

→ Tim Parson, CFA/S - 16 Wash.

Final Report

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program
 Form 3400-189 (R 11/05)

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

1. Grant Type

- Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant
- Planning - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

RECEIVED

SEP - 5 2007

BUREAU OF WATERSHED MGMT

2. Grantee & Project Information

Project Name Storm Water Utility District Development	Grant Number USP-UR08-14291-000^{B-03}
Governmental Unit Name City of Watertown	Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.) City
Watershed Name Sinissippi Lake	Watershed Code UR08
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name Rock River	Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable) -1

s. 303(d) Waterbody? Yes No

What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?

For each project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

Location:		A	B	C	D	E
Minor Civil Division Name						
PLSS	Town	T8N				
	Range	R15E				
	Section	4				
	Quarter					
	Quarter-Quarter					
Latitude		43°11'45"N	(City Hall 106 Jones Street)			
Longitude		88°43'24"W				
Property Owner(s)	Name					
	Mailing address					
Site address (if different than mailing address)						

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, *to the best of your knowledge*, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project decreased storm water impacts on state waters (<i>i.e.</i> , storm water plan, I & E plan, <i>etc.</i>)	Municipalities planned for	11	11.8 Sq. Mi. Map Analysis
	Acres planned for	7562	7,562 A. Map Analysis
Document/track progress made in implementing the planning product (<i>i.e.</i> , ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, <i>etc.</i>)	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
Other (specify)			

B. Project Results Narrative

Analysis and development of a storm water utility for the City of Watertown. A well rounded Committee of 22 members was created to understand and develop a new storm water utility.

The purpose of a storm water utility is to establish a Municipal fund, separate from municipality's general fund, dedicated to storm water management.

Storm water utility was approved by Watertown Common Council on April 5, 2005. First storm water utility bills sent out December 2005.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Requirements (if applicable)

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

Notice Information				Notice Satisfaction Information		
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Satisfied?		Date Letter Sent
				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

5. Summary of Project Challenges

1. Generate adequate revenues for storm water management.
2. Allocate the costs of the City's storm water management program in a manner that is fair and equitable.
3. Improve accountability for storm water management spending.
4. Fund storm water management in a manner that is relatively easy to understand and administer.

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)

Ten (10) alternative service charge rate structures were reviewed by the Storm Water Management Committee.

7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)

Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.


Name of Document	Date(s) effective	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator
Report of the Storm Water Management Committee on the Feasibility of the Storm Water Utility - City of Watertown	February 2005	04/06/06 Ruth Johnson

8. Grantee Certification:

Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.

Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.

Mike Hoppenrath, City Clerk

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date
	4 Sep 2007