

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

1. Grant Type

- Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant
- Planning - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

2. Grantee & Project Information

Project Name Mosher Creek Rehabilitation Project	Grant Number USC-UF01-20161-03
Governmental Unit Name North Fond du Lac	Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.) Village
Watershed Name Lake Winnebago West	Watershed Code UF03
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name Upper Fox	Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable) 133500

s. 303(d) Waterbody? Yes No

What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?

Runoff and bank erosion.

For **each** project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

Location:		A	B	C	D	E
Minor Civil Division Name		North Fond du Lac	North Fond du Lac	North Fond du Lac		
PLSS	Town	16N	16N	16N		
	Range	17E	17E	17E		
	Section	33	33	32		
	Quarter	NE 1/4 NW 1/4	SE 1/4 NW 1/4	NE 1/4 SE 1/4		
	Quarter-Quarter	-	-	-		
Latitude		88 28' 57.5" W	88 28' 57.5" W	88 28' 57.5" W		
Longitude		43 49' 4.4" N	43 49' 4.4" N	43 49' 4.4" N		
Property Owner(s)	Name					
	Mailing address					
Site address (if different than mailing address)						

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, *to the best of your knowledge*, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected	2200	
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., storm water plan, I & E plan, etc.)	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
Document/track progress made in implementing the planning product (i.e., ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, etc.)	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
Other (specify)			

B. Project Results Narrative

The planning of the entire creek project was completed and in conjunction with grant TUC-US03-20161-04 a majority of the 2200 feet of planned bank restoration and protection was completed. Mosher Creek was moved in some areas and meanders and riffles were added to control the flow and improve the water quality, temperature, and fish habitat. Foot Bridges spanning the creek were removed to help reduce the flood hazard of damming. The channel was widened in some areas to remove hydraulic lifts created by stream narrows.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Requirements (if applicable)

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

Notice Information				Notice Satisfaction Information		
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Satisfied?		Date Letter Sent
				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

5. Summary of Project Challenges

Initially we had trouble receiving our chapter 30 permits because of differences in design opinions. The initial construction easements were more difficult to obtain than what we anticipated due to residents differing work schedules. The project by its nature was weather dependent. This dependence on the weather meant that there were some smaller delays. We found that the restorative plantings did not take hold as we liked when done in the fall; we therefore determined that the remaining plantings would be done in spring. Additionally, a long seasonal span was necessary between construction sessions.

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)

There is a section of creek left to be rehabilitated. It is the Village's hope that it can be completed and funded by the Village in the near future. Overall the Village is happy with the project. The project helped to reduce flood potentials.

7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)

Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.

Name of Document Floodplain Study and Ch 30 Permit Application for Mosher Creek	Date(s) effective 1-29-04	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator 1-29-04
---	-------------------------------------	---

8. Grantee Certification:

Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.

Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.

Peter J. Fetters Zoning Administrator

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date 2-20-06
--	------------------------