

From: ODonnell.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov
To: [Dinsmore, Donalea - DNR](#)
Cc: [Fayram, Andrew - DNR](#); Blume.Louis@epamail.epa.gov; [Molly D Middlebrook](#); [Hron, Stacy L - DNR](#); [Galarneau, Stephen G - DNR](#); [Pappas, Victor C - DNR](#)
Subject: RE: Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised-20110922
Date: Thursday, October 06, 2011 10:39:29 AM

Hi Donelea,

Thanks for sending this revised version with information on evaluation of Year 1 data.

I approve the field collection and chemical analyses portion of this QAPP at this time. This represents a **partial approval** of the full QAPP and is contingent on follow-up to document the advisory evaluation process. Please let me know when this follow-up and documentation of the evaluation process may occur.

Also, at the F&W TAC meeting yesterday, there was a quick update from Dale on the FY2010 grant for work in Sheboygan and contaminant analysis of resident mallards and geese. Is the protocol for this collection similar to the protocol for the FY2011 grant? If so, can that data be used for a Year 1 evaluation of the advisory or at the very least inform future sampling/advisory evaluation?

Kevin

T. Kevin O'Donnell, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Great Lakes National Program Office
77 West Jackson Boulevard (G-17J)
Chicago, IL 60604-3590
Telephone: 312-886-0813
Email: odonnell.thomas@epa.gov

From: "Dinsmore, Donalea - DNR" <Donalea.Dinsmore@Wisconsin.gov>
To: Thomas O'Donnell/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Fayram, Andrew - DNR" <Andrew.Fayram@Wisconsin.gov>, Louis Blume/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, "Hron, Stacy L - DNR" <Stacy.Hron@wisconsin.gov>, "Galarneau, Stephen G - DNR" <Stephen.Galarneau@Wisconsin.gov>, "Pappas, Victor C - DNR" <Victor.Pappas@Wisconsin.gov>, Molly D Middlebrook <mmiddlebrook@csc.com>
Date: 10/05/2011 02:09 PM
Subject: RE: Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised-20110922

[attachment "Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised_20111005.doc" deleted by Thomas O'Donnell/R5/USEPA/US]

Per our conversation on 10/4, we have edited the language in the QAPP to highlight the decision criteria. Apparently, Jackie Fisher sent the revised QAPP to DHS on September 22 and as the message below indicates, they don't have a problem. We clarified that the geese and ducks are being aged.

Donalea Dinsmore

Great Lakes Funding and Quality Assurance Coordinator
Office of the Great Lakes
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
(📞) phone: (608) 266-1926
(📞) fax: (608) 267-2800
(✉️) e-mail: donalea.dinsmore@wisconsin.gov

From: Anderson, Henry A - DHS
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 2:22 PM
To: 'Fisher.Jacqueline@epamail.epa.gov'; Schrank, Candy S - DNR
Subject: RE: Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised-20110922

Jackie,

Looks OK to me. I hope they will be aging the geese tested. If they are local year-round residents this isn't much of a problem, but I recall that in the past geese and ducks that were not young of the year and which migrated north, had much higher lead levels probably due to lead shot.

Andy

* * * * *

NOTICE: This E-mail and any attachments may contain confidential information. Use and further disclosure of the information by the recipient must be consistent with applicable laws, regulations and agreements. If you received this E-mail in error, please notify the sender; delete the E-mail; and do not use, disclose or store the information it contains.

Henry A. Anderson, MD
Chief Medical Officer
Wisconsin Division of Public Health
PO Box 2659
Madison, WI 53701
608-266-1253
Henry.Anderson@WI.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Fisher.Jacqueline@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Fisher.Jacqueline@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2011 1:48 PM
To: Schrank, Candy S - DNR; Anderson, Henry A - DHS
Subject: Fw: Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised-20110922

Hi Andy and Candy - I wanted to make sure that you were in the loop about a project happening in Sheboygan. Waterfowl will be sampled to see if contaminant levels had changed since they were last sampled eons ago. Can you please take a look at the QAPP and let me know if everything looks OK to you? Thanks, Jackie

Jackie Fisher
Environmental Health Coordinator
Great Lakes National Program Office

U.S. EPA
77 W. Jackson Blvd. G17-J
Chicago, IL 60604
Ph. 312-353-1481
Fax 312-353-2018
fisher.jacqueline@epa.gov

From: O'Donnell.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:O'Donnell.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 01:34 PM
To: Dinsmore, Donalea - DNR
Cc: Fayram, Andrew - DNR; Blume.Louis@epamail.epa.gov; Hron, Stacy L - DNR; Galarneau, Stephen G - DNR; Pappas, Victor C - DNR
Subject: Re: Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised-20110922

Hi Donalea,

Thanks for this revision. I am finishing up my review and will be able to give a decision early next week.

I noticed that no one from DHS is included on the distribution list. Has this QAPP been shared with DHS or have more formal meetings occurred between WDNR and DHS to formalize the consumption advisory evaluation process at the end of this three-year data collection period?

Kevin

T. Kevin O'Donnell, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Great Lakes National Program Office
77 West Jackson Boulevard (G-17J)
Chicago, IL 60604-3590
Telephone: 312-886-0813
Email: odonnell.thomas@epa.gov

From: "Dinsmore, Donalea - DNR" <Donalea.Dinsmore@Wisconsin.gov>
To: Thomas O'Donnell/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, Louis Blume/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Galarneau, Stephen G - DNR" <Stephen.Galarneau@Wisconsin.gov>, "Hron, Stacy L - DNR" <Stacy.Hron@wisconsin.gov>, "Fayram, Andrew - DNR" <Andrew.Fayram@Wisconsin.gov>, "Pappas, Victor C - DNR" <Victor.Pappas@Wisconsin.gov>
Date: 09/22/2011 05:05 PM
Subject: Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised-20110922

Kevin and Lou,

Here is the revised QAPP for the waterfowl consumption advisory evaluation. It still proposes to use the guidelines established in the Protocol for a Uniform Great Lakes Sport Fish Consumption Advisory with some clarifications. This is a weight of evidence approach with conservatism build in for public health protection (i.e. very limited data in a single year can be used to add an advisory but at least 3 years data over a 5 year maximum to reduce the advice) that also considers cost and effort needed for sampling. To the extent feasible, sampling will use resident populations of geese and scaup. Mallards are likely to be more transitory. Unlike fish advisories, there is very little historic data available. We have build in a data assessment after the first year so there is an opportunity to adjust the sample size or schedule based on a better understanding of the range of results and the level of effort that will be needed to provide the weight of evidence.

I recommend that this QAPP be approved with the understanding that it is a living document and adjustments are to be expected after the initial sampling season.

Please direct questions or comments to me or Andy Fayram and we can coordinate any response.
Thank you.

Donalea Dinsmore

Great Lakes Funding and Quality Assurance Coordinator

Office of the Great Lakes

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

(☎) phone: (608) 266-1926

(☎) fax: (608) 267-2800

(✉) e-mail: donalea.dinsmore@wisconsin.gov

[attachment "Sheboygan River Waterfowl QAPP Revised-20110922.doc" deleted by Thomas ODonnell/R5/USEPA/US]