

Notice: Application is hereby made to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Watershed Management for grant assistance consistent with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats., and Chapter NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Collection of this information is authorized under the authority of s. 281.66, Wis. Stats. The information contained in this form will be used for program budget analysis and project evaluation in the Urban Nonpoint Source Water Pollution Abatement and Storm Water Management Grant Program. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.]. *Unless otherwise noted, all citations refer to Wisconsin Administrative Code.*

Instructions: Complete all sections as applicable.

Applicant Information

Governmental Unit Applying: (name & type) (example: Madison, Town of)

Sun Prairie, City of

Name of Authorized Representative (First, Last) Joe Chase			Name of Governmental Contact Person (First, Last) (if different) Robb Remiker, P.E		
Title Mayor			Title Staff Engineer		
Area Code + Telephone Number 608-825-1164			Area Code + Telephone Number 608-825-0863		
Area Code + Fax Number 608-825-1194			Area Code + Fax Number 608-825-1194		
E-Mail Address jchase@cityofsunprairie.com			E-Mail Address rremiker@cityofsunprairie.com		
Mailing Address - Street or Route 300 E. Main Street			Mailing Address - Street or Route 300 E. Main Street		
City Sun Prairie	State WI	Zip Code 53590	City Sun Prairie	State WI	Zip Code 53590

Consulting Firm Name (if applicable)

Consulting Contact Person Name

Title

Area Code + Telephone Number	DNR Use Only				
Area Code + Fax Number					
E-Mail Address					
Mailing Address - Street or Route					
City					

Project Information

A. Project Name

Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Project Information (continued)

B. Location of Project Area

County: `Dane

Minor Civil Division (city, town, village, example: Wrightstown, Village of)	Town (N)	Range (E/W)	Section	Quarter	Quarter/ Quarter	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)
Sun Prairie, City of	8	11E	6	NE	SW	43deg 11' 27"	89deg 14' 8"
Sun Prairie, City of	8	11E	6	NW	SE	43deg 11' 28"	89deg 14' 11"

Method for Determining Latitude & Longitude (check one)

- GPS
 DNR WebView or Surface Water Data Viewer
 Other (specify):

C. Project Summary and Description

The City is proposing to construct a wet stormwater management facility in a City-owned parcel north of Batz Pond in the Renstone Greenway. The basin will serve to reduce total suspended solids and attached pollutants from an existing development that eventually drains into the Koshkonong Creek.

D. Watershed & Waterbody (see Attachment A)

Watershed Name Upper Koshkonong Creek	Watershed Code LR12	Primary Waterbody Koshkonong Creek
---	-------------------------------	--

Note: If the project is in more than one watershed, submit a separate application for each watershed, unless this application is for a high-efficiency street sweeper.

Yes No

E. Pro-rating for Existing versus New Development

- Project will serve existing development only. If no, provide attachments and the following:
 Percentage of design volume from existing development. (change default % if necessary)

F. Request for Funding of Land Acquisition or Easements

- Requesting funding for either land acquisition or purchase of easements as part of this application to support a structural urban best management practice (BMP). If yes, attach the property acquisition proposal, as defined in **Attachment G**, to the completed application form.

G. Request for Retroactive Funding for Design

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name
Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Project Information (continued)

- Requesting reimbursement for design costs that have been or will be incurred before issuance of the grant. See Instructions for required design approval process.
- H. Request for Funding Force Account Work**
- Requesting reimbursement for technical services to be performed by governmental unit staff (force account).
- I. Endangered and Threatened Resources, Historic Properties and Wetlands**
- Check "Yes" for any of the following the governmental unit knows to occur where the project disturbs land:
1. There are endangered or threatened resources, as identified in s. 29.604, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 27 in the project area.
2. There are archaeological sites, historical structures, burial sites, or other historic places identified in s. 44.45, Wis. Stats., in the project area.
3. There are wetlands in the project area that are governed by water quality standard provisions of ch. NR 103 and for which mitigating measures should be taken to minimize the impacts.
- J. Environmental Contamination**
- The applicant is aware that there is environmental contamination of the soil and/or groundwater or potential for contamination in the project area.
- K. Alternative Funding Possibility**
- This applicant requests that the DNR also submit a copy of this application to the Clean Water Fund loan program.

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part I. Screening Requirements

Yes No **A. Map**
 An 8.5" x 11" topographic map from USGS or the DNR viewers showing the project area is attached.

- B. Best Management Practices (BMPs) For Which Funding Is Requested** (check all that apply)
- Detention Basin
 - Wetland Basin
 - Filtration Practice
 - Infiltration Practice
 - Property Acquisition – Fee Title
 - Property Acquisition – Easement
 - Accelerated or High-efficiency Street Sweeper
 - Shoreline Habitat Restoration for Developed Areas
 - Streambank/Shoreline Protection:
 - Rip-Rapping
 - Shaping and Seeding
 - Other Streambank/Shoreline Protection (including Bio-engineering) - specify below
 - Other (specify):

(see **Attachment D** for additional BMP information)

C. Filters

Note: The governmental unit must be able to answer "Yes" or "N/A" (Not Applicable), to each of the following to be eligible for a grant.

- Yes No
1. Project is in an urban area as identified in **Attachment B**.
 2. Project will be completed within 24 months of the start of the grant period.
 3. Staff and contractors designated to work on this project have adequate training, knowledge, and experience to implement the proposed project.
 4. Staff or contractual services, in addition to those funded by this grant, will be provided if needed.
 5. Best management practices constructed under this grant will not work at cross-purposes to (are consistent with) non-agricultural performance standards under ch. NR 151. (see **Attachment E**)
 6. The local DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator (see **Attachment C**) has been contacted about this project.

Name of the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator Contacted	Date Contacted	Subject of Contact
Carolyn Betz	2/27/07; 3/12/07; 3/29/07; 4/2/07	Project start, nutrient management, water quality, attachments

7. Construction Ordinance
Local regulations and/or intergovernmental agreements are in place, or will be developed prior to the end of the project period, to administer and enforce construction erosion controls in the governmental unit consistent with the non-agricultural performance standards in s. NR 151.11.
8. Post-Construction Ordinance

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part I. Screening Requirements (continued)

Local regulations and/or intergovernmental agreements are in place, or will be developed prior to the end of the project period, to administer and enforce post construction runoff from areas of new development and re-development in the governmental unit consistent with the non-agricultural performance standards in s. NR 151.12.

Yes No NA

9. If this is an application to construct ponds in navigable streams or in wetlands, the necessary waterway or wetland permit (chs. 30 or 281, Wis. Stats.) has been received. If yes, give the docket number and date of issuance.

Docket Number	Date of Issuance
---------------	------------------

10. a. The grant application is for a local governmental unit having jurisdiction over the project area.

b. The grant application is for a local governmental unit **not** having jurisdiction over the project area and both of the following conditions are met:

- The applicant is required to obtain a permit under subchapter I of ch. NR 216.
- Inter-governmental agreements are in place, or will be put in place prior to the end of the project period, to assure urban best management practices included on the grant are installed and maintained (see **Attachment J**).

Note: A governmental unit is considered to have jurisdiction over the project area if it has control over the construction or long-term maintenance.

11. If the applicant is the University of Wisconsin Board of Regents, the project is for practices, techniques or measures to control storm water discharges on a University of Wisconsin System campus located in a municipality that meets both of the following criteria:

- is required to obtain a municipal storm water permit under ch. NR 216 and
- is located either in a priority watershed or lake area identified under s. 281.65, Wis. Stats., or in an area of concern as identified by the International Joint Commission under the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.

If the governmental unit answered "No" to any of the items in Question C above, stop here. This project is ineligible.

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part II. Minimum Qualifications

Question 1. Fiscal Accountability

A. Timeline and Source of Staff

For each applicable milestone listed below, fill in the appropriate data:

Milestone	Target Completion Date (month/year)	Source of Staff
Completion of design	9/07	Consultant
Obtaining required permits	10/07	Consultant
Landowner contacts	5/07	City Staff
Bidding	11/07	City Staff
DNR approvals	9/07	City Staff and Consultant
Contract signing	11/07	City Staff and Consultant
BMP construction	12/07 to 3/08	Contractor
Site inspection and certification	12/07 to 4/08	City Staff
Project evaluation	10/08	City Staff and Consultant
Purchase street sweeper		
Other (specify)		

B. Adequate Financial Budget

Provide the following information for the project. The state share may not exceed 50% of eligible costs. The grant amount is capped at \$150,000 for the installation of eligible BMPs and \$50,000 for property acquisition.

FINANCIAL BUDGET TABLE

A	B	C
Project Activity for Which <u>DNR Funding</u> is Requested	Estimated Total Cost (\$)	Amount from Column B Eligible for DNR Cost Sharing (\$)
Construction Components:		
Mobilization	10,000	10,000
Common Excavation & Grading	80,000	80,000
Perimeter Erosion Control	3,500	3,500
Native Plant Seed & Mulch	4,000	4,000
Native Plant Plugs	40,000	40,000
2009 Maintenance of Native Plants	3,500	3,500
2010 Maintenance of Native Plants	2,000	0
2011 Maintenance of Native Plants	2,000	0
Erosion Mat Class 1, Type B	6,000	6,000
Clearing & Grubbing	1,500	1,500
Restoration	20,000	20,000
Outlet/Inlet Pipes/Structures	6,000	6,000
Concrete Channel Removal	17,000	17,000
Geotechnical Investigation	5,000	5,000
Permits	500	500
1. Construction Subtotal	\$201,000	\$197,000
2. Design	37,050	37,050
3. Storm Sewer Reroute		
4. Structure Removal		
5. Subtotal [add rows 1-4]	\$238,050	\$234,050
6. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement		
7. Grand Total [add rows 5 & 6]	\$238,050	\$234,050

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Cost-Sharing Worksheet

Eligible Costs:

Multiply the eligible costs (column C) by the percent for proration (if applicable) and the applicable cost-share rate. Enter the result in the column on the right.

- 8. Construction/Design
- 9. Property Acquisition

Prorate %	Cost-Share %	
100%	50%	\$ 117,025
100%	50%	\$ 0

Cap Test:

- 10. Construction/Design: Lesser of (8) or \$150,000
- 11. Property Acquisition: Lesser of (9) or \$50,000
- 12. Maximum State Share [(10)+(11)]

\$	117,025
\$	0
\$	117,025

State & Local Share:

- 13. Requested State-Share Amount (Requested Grant Amount)
- 14. Local-Share Amount [Grand Total (7), column B less (13)]

\$	70,215
\$	167,835

Local-Share Source(s):

The City's share will be funded through its Stormwater Utility.

Method(s) Used to Calculate Cost Estimates:

The design cost was based on a bid. The construction cost estimate was based on similar projects that have been constructed in Sun Prairie.

C. Cost-Effectiveness

1. Tangible Benefits

a. Primary Benefit:

List the pollutants to be controlled by the project.

This project will reduce the total suspended solids, nutrients, and other pollutants to Batz Pond, and eventually into the Koshkonong Creek, by intercepting runoff from existing streets and properties that are currently directed into Batz Pond by a grass swale and concrete channel.

b. Secondary Benefits:

Select the following secondary benefits which will be achieved by implementing this project. (check all that apply)

- Fish and wildlife habitat enhancement
- Enhancements to recreation
- Public safety
- Economical operation, economical maintenance and enhanced life expectancy of the BMP
- Other (specify): _____

2. Cost-Effectiveness

Explain why the proposed project is cost-effective considering the environmental benefit(s) and cost of the project.

The proposed wet basin will be designed per WDNR Technical Standard 1001 in order to remove 80% of the total suspended solids and other pollutants. A properly designed and constructed wet basin is the most cost-effective best management practice at trapping total suspended solids by providing sediment storage and preventing solid resuspension. The cost for the additional native plant plugs and seeds in a dry basin is more than the cost for the additional excavation for a wet basin. If engineered soil is installed at the bottom of the dry basin, the costs increase even more. Given the higher efficiency and lower cost of a wet basin, the wet basin is more cost-effective (\$297,563 per % benefit).

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name
Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Yes No 3. Alternatives

a. There is more than one way to achieve the benefits checked above. If no, go to part b.

1) If **yes**, complete the following table with information for the alternative governmental unit have chosen and one or two other alternatives. Note that the table requires information about the cost and pollutant load/potential reductions.

Alternatives Analysis				
	A	B	C	D
	Alternative	Cost	Effectiveness	(B ÷ C) Cost-Effectiveness
		Estimated Amount	Estimated % of Pollutant Load Reduction	
1	Dry Basin	\$ 265,511	70 %	379,301
2	Dry Basin w/ Engineered Soil	\$ 334,783	70 %	478,261
3	Wet Basin	\$ 238,050	80 %	297,563

2) If the governmental unit is not choosing the alternative with the lowest ratio of cost to pollutant load/potential reductions, explain why it was not chosen in terms of any of the following: feasibility; secondary benefits potential; or other mitigating factors.

The City is choosing the alternative with the lowest ratio of cost to pollutant reduction.

b. If the answer to part 3.a. was **no**, explain why there is no other reasonable alternative to achieve the reduction in pollutant loading/potential or the secondary benefits checked above.

Question 2. Project Evaluation Strategy

Pre- and post-project evaluation measures used to ensure success in meeting project goals.

A. Modeling & Measures of Change

The applicant must agree to provide a description of the modeled results or changes in pollution potential in the final project report. The project evaluation strategy will be based on comparing pre- and post-project changes in modeled pollutant loading to water resources or will be based on the quantity of units managed.

Check all that apply in the table below.

	Priority for Developed Urban Area	Units of Measure	Recommended Measurement Method
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced	SLAMM, P-8
		% TSS reduction	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume	Recarga, SLAMM, P-8
		Cubic feet stay-on volume	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second	TR-55 or equivalent
<input type="checkbox"/>	Protective areas	Feet of bank protected	count
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence	visual assessment
<input type="checkbox"/>	Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula
		Feet of bank protected	count

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name
Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Other (specify) _____

- Yes No **B. Monitoring** (not eligible for cost sharing at this time)
- The project evaluation strategy will provide pre- and post-project information from water quality monitoring. If yes, check all that apply below.
- The project will evaluate the physical habitat, fisheries, biological, or chemical conditions, including temperature and coliform bacteria.
- A one-page summary of the monitoring strategy is attached.
- C. Additional Monitoring**
- The applicant is willing to participate with the Department to do monitoring in the project area should cost sharing become available.

Question 3. Evidence of Local Support

The level of local support that currently exists for the proposed project.

- Yes No **A. Government**
1. a. The local-share funds for the construction/installation expenses are already included specifically in an adopted budget.
- b. The local-share funds for the construction/installation expenses are or will be included in a proposed budget.
2. The governmental unit has already conducted public information activities within the project area for this practice.

If yes, provide details regarding the nature of the opportunity for public reaction the governmental unit provided and indicate the general public support or **non**-support for the project that was indicated.

B. Landowners

1. The governmental unit:
- a. already owns, or holds an easement for, the land on which the project is to be installed.
- b. is submitting with the application a list of landowners, occupants, or tenants that occupy the property and information indicating each party's willingness to sell or ease the necessary parcel.
2. Evidence is attached of **citizen** (non-governmental) support for the project (such as letters from the neighborhood association, a civic group or an environmental organization).

Question 4. Basin Priorities (check one)

- A. Clean Water Act s. 303(d) List of Impaired Waters**
Project with water quality goals directly dealing with a waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, where the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, **and** the project will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is listed.
- B. Outstanding and Exceptional Resource Waters**
Waterbody is included in s. NR 102.10 (Outstanding Resource Waters) and/or s. NR 102.11 (Exceptional Resource Waters).
- C. NPS Rankings**
Project is located in a large-scale watershed, a small-scale watershed, lake watershed, or other area ranked high or medium on the NPS Rankings List, where the goals of the project are directly associated with the reason for the ranking on the NPS Rankings List.
- D. Amendment of the NPS Rankings List Using State of the Basin Reports**
Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but information in a DNR State of the Basin report indicates a need to amend the NPS Rankings List because the stream or stream segment or lake is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name

Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

- E. Amendment of the NPS Rankings List Using Other Data Sources**
Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but adequate data exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.
- F. Sources of Information for Areas Not Included in State of the Basin Reports**
For some border waters, there is no State of the Basin report (i.e., along the Mississippi River or the Great Lakes). For these situations, another governmental document, accepted by the Regional NPS Coordinator, can be used to classify the resource as having a significant nonpoint source pollution impairment.
- G. Not Included in Other Categories Above**

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name
Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part III. Competitive Elements

Question 5. Water Quality Needs

The water quality category which best identifies the water quality goals for the project directly deals with: (check one)

Note: For border waters where a State of the Basin Report does not exist, another governmental document acceptable to the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may be used to identify the water quality need.

Surface Water Considerations

- A. 303(d) Listed Waterbody**
A waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, where the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, **and** the project will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is listed.
- B. Not Fully Meeting Uses**
A waterbody (lake or stream) identified in a DNR State of the Basin report as not meeting or partially meeting designated uses due to nonpoint sources, but is not on the 303(d) List.
- C. Threatened Waterbody**
A waterbody (lake or stream) viewed as "threatened" by nonpoint sources in a DNR State of the Basin report.
- D. Outstanding or Exceptional Resource Waters**
Prevention of degradation due to nonpoint sources of outstanding or exceptional resource waters or high quality, recreationally significant waters, but not including waters listed as "threatened."
- E. Surface Water Quality**
Prevention of surface water quality degradation due to nonpoint sources. Waters in this category are neither high quality, recreationally significant waters nor "threatened" waters.

Groundwater Considerations*

- F. Exceeds Groundwater Enforcement Standard**
Groundwater within the project area where representative information indicates that stormwater pollutants in groundwater exceed the Enforcement Standard (ES).
- G. Groundwater Quality (see Attachment H)**
The project area is within a geological area defined in Attachment H as susceptible to groundwater contamination.
- H. Exceeds Groundwater Preventive Action Limit**
Groundwater within the project area where representative information indicates that stormwater pollutants in groundwater exceed Preventative Action Limits (PAL).

*Consult the Regional Drinking Water and Groundwater Specialist or the County Extension office.

Bonus Points (see Attachment F):

Yes No

- Water quality goals relate to the control of nonpoint source contaminants in public drinking water supplies.
 - 1. If yes, and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is groundwater, the project protects:
 - a. One wellhead
 - OR
 - b. More than one wellhead
 - 2. If yes, and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is surface water, check the source water assessment area in which the project is located:

<input type="checkbox"/> Pike River & Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> Twin Rivers
<input type="checkbox"/> Root River	<input type="checkbox"/> Kewaunee & Ahnapee
<input type="checkbox"/> Oak Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> Menominee River
<input type="checkbox"/> Milwaukee River	<input type="checkbox"/> Fish Creek
<input type="checkbox"/> Sauk Creek	<input type="checkbox"/> St. Louis & Nemadji River
<input type="checkbox"/> Sheboygan & Onion Rivers	<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Winnebago
<input type="checkbox"/> Manitowoc River	

UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name
Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

Question 6. Extent of Pollutant Control

Yes No **A. NR 151 Performance Standard for Total Suspended Solids**
 This project focuses on controlling total suspended solids (TSS) in urban runoff that enters waters of the state. Only check "Yes" if the area is covered by an NR 216 permit.

B. Other Water Resources Management Priority
The proposed project addresses a water resources management priority other than the NR 151 performance standard in part A above.

If yes, describe the priority and how the project addresses this priority.

C. Planning Data & Source Targeting
The applicant has quantitative planning information that ranks pollution sources from highest to lowest in severity and the proposed project will manage a pollution source contained in the top 50% of the ranked list. If yes, provide:

a. Description of planning data

b. Name of document(s)

c. Date(s) published

d. Pertinent page numbers

e. A copy of non-state document(s) is available: (check all that apply)

At this website: http://

Attached to this application form.

Contact this person: Name: _____

Phone: _____

Question 7. Consistency with Resource Management Plans & Supporting Regulations

Yes No **A. Consistency with Resource Management Plans**
 The project implements a water quality recommendation from a locally approved resource management plan.

Summarize the water quality recommendation. Cite the name and date(s) of publication of the document.

In the November 1997 City of Sun Prairie Stormwater Management Plan, Foth & Van Dyke recommended constructing stormwater quality improvement facilities where feasible in order to improve water quality to levels that existed prior the the existence of the City. Wet basins should be designed to provide an 80% reduction of pollutant loading.

B. Supporting Regulations

The project is located within an area which has:

1. One or more regulations that implement the non-agricultural performance standards for developed urban areas under s. NR 151.13.

2. Other regulations designed to reduce the impact on water quality from new development, other than construction site erosion control or a storm water ordinance.

Describe in relation to the goals of the project.

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name
Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

Question 8. Use of Additional Funding

Yes No NA

 A. The project is for construction or design and the state share is below the \$150,000 cap.

 B. The project includes property acquisition and the state share is below the \$50,000 cap.

 C. Funding requested is below the 50% cost-share rate.

Question 9. City of Racine

Yes No

 This is an application from the City of Racine for a project that is necessary for the city to comply with state storm water permitting requirements.

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name
Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers

Completion of this part of the application is optional. However, an applicant can increase the final project score by qualifying for a project multiplier.

Local Implementation Program

Yes No NA

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | A. The governmental unit is implementing a pollution prevention information and education program targeted for property owners and other residents. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | B. The governmental unit is implementing a nutrient management plan for municipally owned properties of at least five acres of pervious area where nutrients are applied. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | C. The governmental unit is implementing a tracking of storm water permitting activity (construction and post-construction) in the governmental unit and can make summary information available to the DNR upon request. |

Optional Additional Information

Carefully review the answers to all of the questions above. Is there additional information that will add to the understanding of this project? If so, describe here.

Question 2A: From a soils map, the soils within the project area are Sable, which has seasonably high water table. Infiltration practices will be looked at in the project area even though the high groundwater may not allow for infiltration to be implemented.

Question 7B1: In addition to the City's Erosion Control Ordinance (15.28) and Stormwater Management Ordinance (15.30), the City has ordinances for clean-up of spilled or accidentally discharged wastes (8.24.010), storage of polluting substances (8.24.020), discharge of decontaminated water (13.12.450), toxic or noxious material standards (17.36.150), and waste material standards (17.36.160).

Part IV A. The City sends two Stormwater Utility Newsletters a year informing residents and business owners about managing yard waste, grass clippings, pet waste, automobiles, etc.

B. The City has one park (Sheehan) over five acres where a nutrient management plan is implemented. The latest soil results indicate lime, phosphorus, and potassium are not needed on any of the athletic fields, except phosphorus is needed on the south athletic fields (37 ppm => 2 lb phosphate/1000 sf or 100 lb phosphate/acre).

C. The City logs every erosion control and stormwater management plan that is submitted. The log includes the site, application date, approval date, permit number, expiration date, fee, date and check number of check, and any citations.

Applicant Certification

An Authorized Representative must sign and date the application form prior to submittal to the DNR. All four copies must include signatures of the Authorized Representative.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this application and attachments is correct and true.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Date Signed

Joe Chase Mayor

[name and title]

Telephone Number **608-825-1164**

Fax Number **608-825-1194**

E-Mail Address **jchase@cityofsunprairie.com**

Mailing Address **300 E. Main Street Sun Prairie WI 53590**

To be considered for funding, provide the following for each application submitted:

- One copy of the completed application form (DNR Form 8700-299 (R 1/07) with original signature in blue ink;
- Three additional copies of the completed, signed application form;
- One electronic copy of the completed application form on CD or diskette.

**UNPS&SW Program Construction Grant Application
CY 2008 Funding**

Form 8700-299 (R 1/07)

Page ___ of ___

UNPS&SW Grant Project Name Renstone Greenway Stormwater Management Facility

Optional Additional Information & Applicant Certification (continued)

All application materials must be postmarked by midnight **April 16, 2007**.

Mail to: Department of Natural Resources
Attn: Kathy Thompson, WT/2
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921