

905R93103

3-3-93

COMPARISON OF PROPOSED HHBAFs FOR THE GLI

by

Charles E. Stephan

Environmental Research Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Duluth, MN

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 5, Library (PL-12J)
77 West Jackson Boulevard, 12th Floor
Chicago, IL 60604-3590

A

> No measured BAF was found.

2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL [CAS#: 88-06-2]

> Predicted BAF based on Log P:

The following values were found for Log P:

3.69	MedChem Star
3.57	MedChem Calc
2.97	Isnard and Lambert 1989

A value of 3.5 was selected as a "typical Log P", from which the following were obtained:

Predicted BCF (at 7.6% lipids) = 231.7

Normalized BCF (at 1.0% lipids) = 30.5

Food Chain Multiplier = 1.0

For 5.0% lipids at trophic level 4 the predicted HHBAF that is calculated from the "typical Log P" is $(30.5)(5.0)(1.0) = 152$.

> Predicted BAF based on Log P and measured BCF:

BCF	% L	Norm BCF (1.0% L)	Reference
88	12.4	7.10	Smith et al. 1990,1991

Geometric mean normalized BCF = 7.10

For 5.0% lipids at trophic level 4 the predicted HHBAF that is calculated from the geometric mean normalized BCF is $(7.10)(5.0)(1.0) = 36$.

> No measured BAF was found.

VINYL CHLORIDE [CAS#: 75-01-4]

> Predicted BAF based on Log P:

The following value was found for Log P:

1.36	MedChem Calc
------	--------------

A value of 1.36 was selected as a "typical Log P", from which the following were obtained:

Predicted BCF (at 7.6% lipids) = 4.72

Normalized BCF (at 1.0% lipids) = 0.621

Food Chain Multiplier = 1.0

For 5.0% lipids at trophic level 4 the predicted HHBAF that is calculated from the "typical Log P" is $(0.621)(5.0)(1.0) = 3.1$.