

Substitution Request for Targeted Runoff Management, and Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management-Construction Grants

Notice: Complete this application if you want to change the location where practices were to be installed after grant assistance has been awarded by the Dept of Natural Resources, as required under ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and Chapters NR 153 and 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Information collected will be used for program budget analysis and project evaluation. Personally identifiable information will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss.19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.]

Instructions:

A grantee selected for funding through either the Targeted Runoff Management (TRM) or the Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management (UNPS&SW) Grant Program may propose substitutions to the original project proposal. Completion of this form is required for substitutions to the initial grant, including alternative locations for installing practices and, in some cases, revisions to best management practices (BMPs). The grantee must certify that the substitution will achieve results comparable to those anticipated through the original project proposal. All project substitutions must comply with federal and state laws for the protection of cultural and historical resources, and for detecting and managing contaminated soils or solid waste encountered during installation of the BMPs. If such materials are encountered, the grantee shall immediately contact the Department's Regional Nonpoint Source (NPS) Coordinator. The Department may terminate this proposed grant substitution if it determines that installation and operation of the best management practices may facilitate movement of hazardous substances to waters of the state.

Please answer the following questions and sign the form. Submit a signed printed copy of the request, including any attachments, to the Department's Regional NPS Coordinator for your area. If an alternative BMP location is being proposed, include a photocopy of a topographic map showing the location of the proposed location.

The most current grant application, found at: <http://dnr.wi.gov/runoff/grants/applications/> will be used by the Department in making decisions concerning this substitution request.

The Department's Surface Water Data Viewer (http://dnr.wi.gov/org/water/data_viewer.htm) may be helpful in answering some of the following questions.

Grantee Information			
Governmental Unit Name Waupaca County LWCD		Grant Number TRC-WR10-68000-14B	
Former Project Name Pigeon Lake- Reisenburg Farm Project		Watershed in Which Project Was To Be Located (Must be in the same Watershed as original grant) Pigeon River	
Legislative District: (find at : http://www.legis.state.wi.us/) Senate 14 Assembly 40			
Proposed Project Name Pigeon Lake- NWQI Project-Schultz Farm, Yaeger Farm, Reetz Farm, Reetz Helfer Facility, Steenbock Farms		Watershed in Which Proposed Project Is to be Located (Must be in the same Watershed as original grant) Pigeon River	
Proposed Watershed Name Pigeon River	Proposed Waterbody Code WR10	Proposed Primary Waterbody Name Pigeon River	Proposed Nearest Waterbody Name Pigeon Lake
Proposed 12-digit federal Hydrologic Unit Code: 040302021103		Does the waterbody appear on the State of WI list of impaired waters submitted to the USEPA in compliance with sec. 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1251. <i>et seq.</i>)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Name of s. 303(d) Listed Waterbody: Pigeon Lake	

Pollutants to be addressed by the project: (Note: To remain eligible for federal funding, the pollutants to be controlled by the proposed project must be those responsible for the waterbody impairment as shown by the federally-approved 303(d) List of Impaired Waters. To determine the waterbody's impairment, refer to the list found at: <http://dnr.wi.gov/org/water/wm/watersummary/Waterqualityassessment.html>)

Nutrients Sediment Other:

Total Phosphorous

Questions	
1. Reason for Request:	
	State the rationale for requesting this substitution. If requesting new or replacement BMPs, you must identify the BMP. Also include a revised budget sheet for Part I, Question 1.B of the application.
	<p>Waupaca County is requesting this substitution because the original landowner (Reisenburg) of the original TRM Grant site sold the property and moved to another county shortly after the grant was awarded. The property had been bought by a neighboring larger farm that houses its animals in a freestall barn on its home farm. The property was bought for the cropland and that owner has subsequently lotted off a 3.2 acre envelope surrounding the former Reisenberg dairy facility and has sold it as a residential/hobby farm property. Waupaca County LWCD and Waupaca County NRCS have entered into a joint NRCS NWQI project in the Pigeon Lake HUC 12 watershed in 2013. There are currently 5 neighboring farms to the original Reisenburg TRM Grant site that are under contract with NRCS for similar agricultural waste management projects. Due to the flat rate nature of NRCS cost share funding some of these 5 farms could use additional state cost share to help fund their projects. Waupaca County LWCD would normally fund the difference or additional practices with DATCP LWRM funds. Waupaca County LWCD instead proposes substituting the under funded or non-funded portions of these similar agricultural waste and agricultural runoff BMP's on some or all of the 5 farms in the Pigeon Lake NWQI Project. This would allow Waupaca County LWCD to utilize it's scarce LWRM funds in other areas of the county.</p>

2. Analysis of Request for Substitution:											
Yes	No	NA									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>a. The proposed substitution is in the same hydrological unit (the federally-assigned numeric code for a subdivision of a watershed, used to organize hydrologic data,) and affects the same water resources identified in the original application. Identify the location of the proposed BMP(s) below.</p>								
			MCD (Minor Civil Division)	Township	Range	E or W (identify)	Section	Quarter	Quarter/Quarter	Latitude (degrees, minutes and seconds, only, North of the Equator)	Longitude (degrees, minutes, and seconds, only, West of the Prime Meridian, in Greenwich, England)
			Town of Larrabee	25	14	E	2	SW	SW	44.6641	-88.7750
							16	NE	NE	44.6461	-88.7982
							15	NE	SE	44.6410	-88.7883
							15	SE	NE	44.6422	-88.7993
			Town of Matteson	25	15	E	18	SE	SW	44.6361	-88.7275
							19	NW	NW	44.6326	-88.6297
			b. Navigable Waters and Wetland Determinations								
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>i. <u>Navigable Waters</u>: If this project will install an urban storm water treatment practice, the applicant has determined that the practice will not be located in any intermittent or perennial waterway as shown on a map from the Department's Surface Water Data Viewer identified below. Check to indicate the map has been consulted.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water Data Viewer Map, 24K Hydro Layer at: http://dnr.wi.gov/org/water/data_viewer.htm</p>								

Yes	No	NA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ii. <u>Wetlands</u> : If this project will install an urban storm water treatment practice, the applicant has determined that the practice will not be located in any wetland, based upon consulting both of the following: <input type="checkbox"/> Wisconsin Wetland Inventory at: http://dnr.wi.gov/wetlands/inventory.html <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Indicator Toolkit at: http://dnr.wi.gov/wetlands/locating.html
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	c. If this is a proposed urban project to be funded under ch. NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code, the proposed project area is an urban area as defined by s. NR 155.12(31), Wis. Adm. Code...
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	d. If this is a proposed urban project which requires that the applicant have control of the property, the applicant currently owns the property or has control of the property through an easement or construction/maintenance agreement.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	e. If this application proposes excavation in an urban area, or the purchase of land or an easement, the applicant has completed and attached the Environmental Hazards Assessment form (1800-001), available at: http://dnr.wi.gov/org/caer/cfa/Grants/Forms/1800001_fillPrint.pdf Also, complete the following:
			i. If this is a project which includes excavation or the purchase of land or an easement, consult the Bureau of Remediation and Redevelopment (R&R) Site Map (at: Error! Bookmark not defined. and answer the following questions using a map scale of 1:8529 or larger:
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		1. There is one or more <u>open</u> (ongoing cleanup) R&R sites on the <u>same property</u> where the excavation is planned.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		2. There is one or more <u>closed</u> (completed cleanup) R&R sites on the <u>same property</u> where the excavation is planned.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		3. There is one or more <u>open</u> (ongoing cleanup) R&R sites on an <u>adjacent property</u> .
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		4. There is one or more <u>closed</u> (completed cleanup) R&R sites on an <u>adjacent property</u> .
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	f. If this is a proposed project for the University Board of Regents, the project meets the criteria under s. 281.66 (6), Wis. Stats.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	g. If this is a proposed urban project, then one of the following is true: 1) local governmental unit has jurisdiction over the project area; or 2) the municipal storm water discharge is covered by a municipal storm water permit and the appropriate intergovernmental agreement is in place to install, operate and maintain the BMP.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		h. Installation of the proposed BMPs will be completed within the grant period. If an extension of the grant period is needed, include a revised project timeline using the table from Part I., Question 1.A .of the grant application.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		i. Staff or contractual services, in addition to those funded by this grant, will be provided as needed.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		j. Staff and/or contractors have adequate training, knowledge, and experience to implement the proposed project.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		k. Best management practices constructed under this grant are consistent with performance standards under ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		l. The substitution will not increase the amount of the grant request.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		m. The applicant is requesting the addition of one or best management practices (BMPs) that are not on the current grant.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		n. The BMP you are requesting in this proposed substitution is a cost-effective means of controlling the pollutant source that is targeted to be controlled. Attach a revised cost-effectiveness assessment using Part I., Question 1.C. from the grant application.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	o. If this application is for livestock operation controls (e.g., barnyard runoff or manure storage), the applicant has attached the Animal Unit Calculation worksheet, available at: http://dnr.wi.gov/runoff/pdf/ag/cafo/form340025A.doc .
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	p. If this proposed substitution will result in a change in the project evaluation strategy, identify which of the following components the revised strategy will include: <i>(check all that apply)</i>
			<input type="checkbox"/> i. Modeling or other changes in pollution potential - <i>specify the method (required)</i>
			Method:
			<input type="checkbox"/> ii. Monitoring <i>(Requires a pre-approved monitoring plan that must be attached.)</i>
Yes	No	NA	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	q. If this is an agricultural project, the landowners who are essential to successful implementation are involved in and committed to the project, or the Department or grantee has agreed in writing to issue a regulatory notice as needed.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	r. If this is an urban project, attach documentation that the local share is approved and available.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		s. The extent of pollutant control for the revised project is comparable to the extent of pollutant control in the original application. If "No," explain:

Applicant Certification:

I certify that the above information is true and correct.

Signature of Responsible Municipal Representative <i>Brian Haase</i>	Date <i>9-22-14</i>
---	------------------------

Name and Title of Responsible Municipal Representative (please print legibly or type)

Name: Brian Haase
Title: County Conservationist

For DNR Use Only

Regional Office Reviewer Signature
Erin Hanson

Name (print) <i>Erin Hanson</i>	Date <i>10/8/14</i>
------------------------------------	------------------------

Recommendation (check one): Approve Disapprove

Rationale:
Same watershed, similar environmental benefits, cost-effective use of funds.

Transmittal

From Regional Office to Runoff Management Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Date Sent: <i>10/8/14</i>
From Runoff Management Section to Community Financial Assistance Section <input type="checkbox"/>	Date Sent: