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INTRODUCTION

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources regularly conducts fishery surveys of area lakes and reservoirs to gather information on species composition, population size, reproductive success, size/age distribution, and growth rates. The information from the netting and electrofishing surveys helps the WDNR determine the best management practices for that body of water. Another important aspect of a fishery is the amount of harvest that is occurring on the lake. This information is collected by creel census or creel survey.

On lakes in the Ceded Territory of Wisconsin, harvest of fish is divided between sport anglers and the six Chippewa tribal bands. The six Chippewa tribal bands harvest fish under rights governed by federal treaties of 1837 and 1842. Most tribal fish harvest is done by spearing during a short period of time in the spring. All speared fish are individually counted by tribal creel clerks, allowing for a complete “census” of the tribal fish harvest in the spring.

Information is also collected on the effects of sport angler harvest on fish populations. Because it would be impractical and costly to conduct a complete “census” of the fish harvested by sport anglers on area lakes, a creel survey is conducted to estimate the amount of fish harvested by sports anglers.

A creel survey is a sampling tool used to measure the fishing activities of the sport anglers and to estimate the amount of fish harvested on a body of water. Creel surveys are designed to have a creel clerk on a lake, work random shifts, and forty hours each week throughout the fishing season. Each month these shifts cover a sample of all the daylight hours. Creel clerks travel their lakes using a boat, snowmobile or vehicle to count and to interview anglers.

The information collected from anglers during the interview includes the species of fish being targeted, catch and harvest, lengths of harvested fish, and hours of fishing effort. Typically only anglers that have completed their fishing trip are interviewed because it provides the most accurate information and it avoids the need to disturb anglers while they are fishing.

You may have encountered one of the DNR creel clerks on a recent fishing trip. The survey only takes a moment of your time and we appreciate your cooperation during an interview. The information collected gives the DNR valuable knowledge required for management of the fishery.

The data collected during the survey is processed by a computer program and summarized by month to calculate estimates of the total fishing pressure, fishing effort directed at each species, catch and harvest rates, and the number of fish caught and harvested.

This creel survey report will provide you with four types of estimated information for this body of water:

1. Overall fishing pressure
2. Fishing effort directed at each species
3. Catch and harvest rates
4. Numbers of fish caught and harvested.

Also included in this report are physical information about the lake, discussion of results of this survey and detailed summaries by species.
GENERAL LAKE INFORMATION

Location
Lake Eau Claire is located in Eau Claire County approximately five miles north of Augusta on Hwy 27.

Physical Characteristics
The lake is an 860 acre impoundment lake created by a dam built on the Eau Claire River. The lake runs east to west and has three small islands. There are two main boat landings on the lake, one on the southwest end and the other in the northeast. The maximum depth is 25 feet and the lake is very susceptible to water fluctuations. Water clarity is generally poor.

Seasons Surveyed
An open water creel survey was conducted from opening day of gamefish season on May 4 and ran through the end of October. Winter creel was conducted from December 1st through the close of the gamefish season on March 2.

Harvest Regulations
The following seasons, daily bag limits, and length limits were in place on this lake in 2013-2014:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Bag Limit</th>
<th>Min. Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walleye*</td>
<td>05/04-3/02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth Bass</td>
<td>05/04-3/02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smallmouth Bass</td>
<td>05/04-3/02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskellunge</td>
<td>05/25-11/30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Pike</td>
<td>05/04-3/02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panfish</td>
<td>all year</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The walleye bag limit may have been reduced due to tribal declarations.

SPECIES CATCH AND HARVEST INFORMATION

Angling information is summarized on a single page for each species. If a page for a particular species is not present in this section it is because no one reported fishing for that species and/or none were caught. Each species page has up to five graphs covering the following:

FIGURE 1
ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT
Total calculated number of hours during each month that anglers spent fishing for this species.

FIGURE 2
ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES
Calculated number of hours it takes an angler to catch or harvest a fish of this species. Only information from anglers who were specifically targeting this species is reported here.

FIGURE 3
ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST
Calculated number of fish of this species caught or harvested by all anglers. This estimate also includes incidental catch and harvest of fish by anglers that were not specifically targeting this species.

FIGURE 4
LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY CLERK
All fish of this species measured by the clerk during the entire creel survey season.
FIGURE 5
AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED
Average length and the largest fish of this species harvested each month. Only those fish measured by the creel clerk are reported here.

Seasonal Angler Effort Summary
The table provides a summary by month of the total angler hours and total angler hours per acre. Also, the table compares county average and ceded territory average to current survey results.
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This graph illustrates the percentage of time that anglers spent fishing for each species during the entire creel survey. The percentages are based on the species of fish anglers told the clerk they were fishing for, not what they actually caught. If a particular species is not present in the graph it is because no one reported they were fishing for that species.
SEASONAL ANGLER EFFORT SUMMARY
2013-14

Estimated angler fishing effort on Lake Eau Claire Lake for each month surveyed and by season. March included data collected to the end of gamefish season on March 2nd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number of Angler Party Interviews</th>
<th>Total Angler Hours</th>
<th>Total Angler Hours/Acre</th>
<th>Ceded Territory Average Hours/Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>7813</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>7516</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9955</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6431</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>4019</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2895</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>8588</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>8474</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Summer Total</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>38628</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Winter Total</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>19876</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>58504</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*"Summer" is May-October; "Winter" is December-March

**Number of Angler Party Interviews** is the number of groups of anglers interviewed by the creel clerk. A party is considered the members of a group who fish together in the same boat, ice shanty, or from shore. The clerk fills out one interview form for each group of anglers. The number of individual anglers actually contacted by the clerk is usually much greater than the number of groups listed in this table since most groups consist of more than one angler.

**Total Angler Hours** is the estimated total number of hours that anglers spent fishing on this lake during each month surveyed.

**Total Angler Hours/Acre** is the total angler hours divided by the area of the lake in acres. This is useful if you wish to compare effort on this lake to others.

**County Average Hours/Acre** is the average angler effort in hours per acre for county lakes that have been surveyed since 1990. This value can be useful in comparisons as well.

**Ceded Territory Average Hours/Acre** is the average angler effort in hours per acre for inland lakes in the ceded territory surveyed between 1990 and 2013. This value can be used to compare this lake to other lakes in the ceded territory.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIES</th>
<th>DIRECTED EFFORT (Hours)</th>
<th>PERCENT OF TOTAL</th>
<th>TOTAL CATCH</th>
<th>SPECIFIC CATCH RATE (Hrs/Fish)</th>
<th>TOTAL HARVEST</th>
<th>SPECIFIC HARVEST RATE (Hrs/Fish)</th>
<th>MEAN LENGTH OF HARVESTED FISH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walleye</td>
<td>19441</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>8060</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Pike</td>
<td>6231</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>2195</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskellunge</td>
<td>1761</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smallmouth Bass</td>
<td>6061</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>2681</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>204.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth Bass</td>
<td>7473</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>3294</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>238.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegill</td>
<td>26775</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>30508</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8188</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumpkinseed</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Crappie</td>
<td>32415</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>39962</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11083</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Perch</td>
<td>30031</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>49241</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>13423</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Crappie</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CREEL YEAR: NO CREEL HAD BEEN CONDUCTED ON LAKE EAU CLAIRE PRIOR TO THE 2013-2014 SEASON