DATE: November 20, 2013
TO: Cathy Stepp - Secretary
FROM: Michael Staggs – FM/4
SUBJECT: Lake Michigan Chinook salmon stocking recommendation

**Summary:** We recommend stocking 808,255 Chinook salmon in 2014 (similar to 2013 stocking levels) and using a revised Chinook salmon allocation strategy described below to distribute those fish among counties along the Lake Michigan coast. Over the past 2 years Fisheries Management has been working with stakeholders to balance Chinook salmon and other predator stockings with a declining prey base and increasing levels of salmon natural reproduction, and to determine how stocked fish should be distributed along the lake shore. Salmon are highly migratory so stocking location has little impact on spring and summer fisheries, but mature salmon return to their original stocking location to spawn and this can affect local fall fisheries. We heard loud and clear the importance of the fall fisheries to the local economies and local fishing opportunities. The revised strategy allocates 75% of the Chinook salmon equally among most counties and 25% differentially among most counties based on 3 measures of September and October fishing: number of charter boat trips, total hours of directed angler effort for Chinook salmon, and Chinook salmon harvest rate. Generally the strategy applies to each county, but stocking locations in Door, Marinette and Oconto counties are handled differently to fairly account for a large stocking to maintain the spawning run at the Strawberry Creek weir in southern Door Co, and for the presence of other fisheries in Green Bay. Based on stakeholder input, the initial proposal was modified to reduce stocking at Strawberry Creek from 175,000 to 120,000 with the difference being distributed among other stocking locations. Also northern Door County will receive a separate allocation of 30,000 fish rather than be combined with Marinette and Oconto counties. We will run the allocation formula every year and adjust stocking numbers accordingly. When our current study of coded wire tag returns is completed in 2015 or if we get substantially new information we will revisit the strategy with our stakeholders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Chinook to be stocked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenosha</td>
<td>76,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racine</td>
<td>75,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>83,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozaukee</td>
<td>89,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheboygan</td>
<td>86,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitowoc</td>
<td>83,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kewaunee</td>
<td>95,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Door-Strawberry Creek</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Door</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oconto/Marinette</td>
<td>69,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Total</td>
<td>808,255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved:

[Signature]

Ken Johnson, Water Division Administrator

Date: 11-26-2013
Rationale

Stock 808,255 Chinook salmon in 2014

The States managing the Lake Michigan fishery have long cooperated to implement salmon and trout stocking programs that optimize fishing by balancing the number of predators in the lake with the available forage fish. Stocking too few fish allows nuisance species like alewives to become overly abundant, and reduces fishing opportunities and associated economic benefits. Stocking too many fish will crash the forage population and collapse the fishery with dire consequences for communities and businesses that depend on the fishery as happened on Lake Huron in the early 2000’s. Over the past two decades, we have seen a decline in overall forage abundance and an increase in trout and salmon natural reproduction which have required periodic adjustments to stocking numbers to maintain a good trout and salmon fishery. The most recent adjustment was in 2013.

Beginning in the summer of 2011 and continuing through a Lake Michigan Fisheries Forum (LMFF) meeting in December 2012, Fisheries Management along with sister agencies on Lake Michigan, engaged interested stakeholders on the correct number of Chinook salmon to stock into Lake Michigan. During nine formal meetings and many other outreach avenues, stakeholders had the opportunity to listen to information, provide feedback and help managers decide on the appropriate number to stock. After this intensive process, state agencies agreed that stocking 50% fewer Chinook salmon in 2013, 2014 and 2015 was the next adjustment necessary to balance predator and prey populations. Because much of the increasing salmon natural reproduction is occurring in their waters, Michigan reduced their stocking by the largest amount. Wisconsin reduced Chinook salmon stocking by 30% and maintained lower stocking levels of Coho salmon and rainbow trout that had resulted from temporary hatchery problems. That amount for 2014 would be 808,255 Chinook salmon.

During the public meetings, stakeholders reviewed several key pieces of information that they agreed indicated signs of an ecosystem not in balance. These key pieces of information included a) historically low alewife biomass estimates provided by the US Geological Survey, b) severe alewife age truncation in the population with basically all the fish comprised of individuals less than 4 years-old, c) low weights of age-3 female Chinook salmon measured at Wisconsin’s Strawberry Creek Weir and d) modeling efforts that demonstrated a much higher risk of alewife collapse with higher sustained stocking levels of Chinook.

Anglers reported that Chinook fishing was relatively poor throughout parts of the 2013 fishing season, which led some stakeholders to question the stocking reduction. Many anglers observed fewer but much larger fish caught, and large numbers of baitfish on their depth sounders and concluded that the Department had overestimated the number of salmon and underestimated the amount of forage present. However as detailed below, the preliminary data on angler harvest, spawning weir returns and USGS forage trawling from 2013 continues to show that predator abundance is still too high relative to forage abundance and that increasing stocking in 2014 would be problematic.

Alewife numbers and biomass, as measured by the US Geological Survey remain at historically low levels. Information through 2012 (see figures below) shows that lakewide alewife biomass continues to be less than 10% of that observed through the late 1990s. Additionally, alewives in the population are
mostly young age classes. It appears that individuals older than age 4 are reduced or absent because of heavy predation. Preliminary information from 2013 further confirmed that the age composition of the population is very young and that the 2013 year-class was probably below average. The alewifes that anglers have been seeing on their sonars and finding in the stomachs of caught salmon are likely from a great hatch in 2010 and an average hatch in 2012. These year-classes alone are not enough to allow additional salmon to be stocked in Lake Michigan.

While Chinook salmon harvests in 2013 will be lower than the historically high harvest recorded in 2012, there was still a large population of Chinook salmon in the lake. Anglers reported that fishing improved dramatically in the fall when salmon returned to the place they were originally stocked. Returns of salmon to spawning weirs in Wisconsin and Michigan also ended up being higher than or comparable to past years. It is likely that unusual weather conditions that persisted into the summer contributed to poorer fishing by causing fish movements away from traditional fishing waters.

To the extent that Chinook populations were lower in 2013, it is important to emphasize that stocking reductions in 2013 had no impact on fishing in 2013 and will likely not have any impact until 2015 when these stocked fish will be 2 years-old. Of more critical concern is that the 2006-2012 stocking rates which resulted in the record setting Chinook harvest in 2012 also caused the lower harvest in 2013. Any reductions in Chinook populations in 2013 could be partially attributable to poor survival of stocked or naturally reproduced fish resulting from extremely low alewife production in 2011. This should be of serious concern to those advocating a return to earlier stocking levels.
The weight of Chinook salmon harvested by anglers and at Wisconsin weirs in 2013 appears to be heavier than in 2012. This indicates that in 2013 predator numbers were lower relative to alewife numbers in the lake. The higher average weight is a good sign but the 2012 average weight of age-3 female Chinook salmon at Strawberry Creek was the second lowest on record (see figure below). Such wild fluctuations are not typical in a stable or balanced system so we should be even more cautious about stocking too many predators. Based on the extensive modeling work that was done, agencies are recommending that the index weight of age 3 female Chinook at Strawberry Creek should reach a three year average of 9 kg before increases in stocking should be considered. In 2012, those Chinook averaged 5.4 kg. Our preliminary analyses of 2013 returns shows the average weight will remain well below the 9 kg threshold – again showing that it is premature to consider stocking increases.
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Wisconsin DNR, along with our sister agencies, will continue to monitor and measure the critical information needed to evaluate and maintain a high quality Chinook salmon fishery into the future. During this process we have not only used historical information to make our initial decision but continue to use the most recent information to further our understanding of the important link between prey fish biomass and stocking levels of salmon and trout.

**Selection of parameters for allocation strategy**

In the 1980s, the Department created an allocation model to distribute salmon and trout among the Lake Michigan counties to resolve persistent competing and conflicting stocking demands from users in different areas of the lake. This allocation created a systematic approach to the distribution and was based on counties rather than ports. It relied on fisheries biologists working with stakeholders to locally allocate fish in counties where multiple ports occurred. With the lakewide stocking reduction, the question of distribution of the remaining fish was raised again.

A review of the existing formula was conducted by the Department and stakeholders at the December, 2012 LMFF meeting. We found that the older formula included parameters that have changed significantly and agreed to develop a new stocking strategy that would better reflect our knowledge of the current Lake Michigan fishery. At the April 2013 meeting LMFF members generally agreed upon several principles: 1) the summer open water fishery was the most important fishery but not heavily influenced by the number of fish locally stocked; 2) supporting fall tributary fisheries in all areas of Wisconsin is more desirable than just focusing on a few areas; and 3) a revised strategy should including economic factors, but still be easy to analyze and simple to understand. Based on those principles, DNR
staff and LMFF members created a draft strategy which equally allocated 75% of the fish among counties, and differentially allocated the remaining 25 among counties based on 3 parameters: 1) the number of charter boats per county averaged over 5 years, 2) angler effort directed at Chinook salmon in the fall averaged over 10 years and 3) the Chinook salmon harvest rate averaged over 10 years.

Two changes to this strategy were made based on input received during a formal public comment period and a final LMFF meeting held on October 12. The final strategy would use the number of charter trips in September and October averaged over 10 years rather than the number charter trips for the entire year averaged over a five year period. It was felt this parameter should be more reflective of fall fishing activity. In addition, we have created a placeholder for information from the coded wire tag (CWT) study that began in 2013 and will give us better insight as to migration and homing patterns of stocked fish. We anticipate that this parameter will be ready for inclusion in the strategy in 2017 and will re-engage the LMFF when we have that information. This parameter will address survival and contribution of stocking locations to the overall fishery which will address the Forum’s principle that the summer open water fishery is the most important component of the fishery.

**County based stocking strategy**

The Department received feedback suggesting the strategy should allocate fish among individual stocking locations - particularly individual ports - rather than counties. In building the new Chinook salmon allocation strategy, we recommend continued use of counties as an allocation unit. Generally, counties remain a recognizable geographic and economic entity, and efficiently represent a collection of harbors, tributary streams, boat ramps, and shore fishing sites. Based on comments received, it appears that the vast majority of stakeholders are satisfied with that approach.

While it would be possible to collect and analyze information separately for each possible stocking site, it is not clear that the increased complexity and cost to collect accurate information at that level would yield any appreciable improvements to the overall fishery.

While comparable information on harvest, effort and use are available for all counties, we do not have this information available for all stocking locations or stocking ports. We could redesign the creel survey to capture port specific information. In some cases, we could do this with increased effort and cost, but in other cases even with increased effort and cost it would be highly unlikely we could get large enough sample sizes (number of interviews and counts) to adequately estimate effort and harvest rate by port or stocking location.

One important concern about using a county-based approach is that it somehow disadvantages counties with multiple ports. However, there is not a mathematical difference in having one or multiple ports in a particular county. The number of charter trips is summed for all ports in a county and the total fall Chinook salmon directed fishing effort is summed for all areas and ports in a county to accurately reflect all of the effort in the county.

The current strategy allocates a portion of the stocking numbers by county except in Door, Oconto and Marinette counties. The Department must maintain a high level of stocking at its Strawberry Creek spawning weir to ensure that adequate numbers of adult salmon will return 3-4 years later. This is a critical stocking to maintain adequate levels of hatchery spawn collection but traditionally places a large percentage of the entire state stocking in southern Door County. Based on stakeholder feedback, we
propose to reduce this stocking from 175,000 to 120,000 with the difference being distributed among other stocking locations. We will rely on our other egg collection weirs (Besadny Anadromous Fisheries Facility and Root River Steelhead Facility) if unexpected shortfalls in spawning fish occur at Strawberry Creek.

We also heard from stakeholders about the importance of maintaining good trout and salmon fisheries in northern Door, Oconto and Marinette counties. In the initial proposed strategy, these three areas were combined into one stocking unit, however based on stakeholder feedback we are recommending treating northern Door county as a separate stocking unit. In contrast to most counties, northern Door county has numerous small harbors and tributary streams and fall fishing in these locations would not benefit from the Strawberry Creek stocking location. To address this structural inequity, we would directly reallocate 30,000 fish to stocking locations in northern Door Co. Oconto and Marinette were grouped together for this allocation because of historical stocking patterns, availability and quantity of cold water in the bay and presence of other game fish species in the lower portion of the Bay.

In all counties, local fisheries staff will work closely with stakeholders to optimize the number of fish stocked at specific locations.

75% base/25% differential county allocations

LMFF member and other stakeholders initially agreed that the revised strategy should allow for fall fishing opportunities in all counties of Lake Michigan and Green Bay so some level of stocking must occur in each county regardless of the strategy. However, stakeholders also wanted to differentially allocate fish based on economic and actual use patterns in the fall fishery in each county. Following extensive discussions with stakeholders, the revised strategy would allocate 75% of fish generally split equally among all counties, and 25% of fish generally differentially allocated among all counties based on fall fishing parameters.

This part of the strategy was presented at both the April and October meetings of the LMFF. We received a few comments that suggested the fall differential allocation be at least 50% of the stocking if not 75%. Under our recommended allocation strategy, stocking numbers by county vary from a low 69,082 to a high of 95,142 (a range of 26,060 fish). If more weight were given to the parameters the discrepancies among counties would be much greater, and would likely lead to increased controversy among all the constituents, and possible loss of fall fisheries in some locations due to low numbers of returning fish. While the recommended strategy is not completely satisfactory to all stakeholders, the strategy will address the principles laid out at the April Forum meeting. It will provide more fish in areas that have higher values for all the parameters and it will still leave enough fish to be stocked in each county to provide each with a fall fishery.

Additional comments.

Throughout this entire process, we gathered public comments that helped guide and shape the strategy presented in this memo for approval. In the previous sections, we have discussed some of the overarching comments and how we propose to address them. Additional comments that we received are grouped together below by issue. This is not an exhaustive list of all comments, but focuses on those germane to this strategy.
Comment. Stocking of Chinook salmon stopped in Gills Rock in the 2000s. The DNR should stock fish in Gills Rock instead of Ellison Bay. Various reasons were given.

Answer. Stocking of Chinook salmon in Gills Rock was stopped in 1999 and switched to Ellison Bay for a variety of reasons including 1) no public boat ramp in Gills Rock (it was privatized), and 2) a DNR study that showed stocking Chinook salmon directly in the lake reduced their survivability compared to harbor or river stockings and Ellison Bay provides more protected areas than does Gills Rock. Studies show that salmon are highly migratory so this change would have had no effect on the local fisheries, however local fisheries management staff will work with northern Door County stakeholders to determine the most appropriate stocking locations for the Chinook salmon allocated to northern Door County.

Comment. Chinook salmon stocking reductions have hurt local businesses in Gills Rock, stock more Chinook salmon in Gills Rock. Others wanted more fish stocked in Door County or northern Door County.

Answer. Door County Chinook salmon will be directly allocated rather than following the strategy like the rest of the counties due to the Chinook salmon egg take facility, Strawberry Creek being located in Door County. Under this final strategy Door County will get 150,000 Chinook salmon. Northern Door County we receive 30,000 fish and southern Door County (Strawberry Creek) will receive 120,000 fish annually.

Comment. We request no change to the stocking allocation until the 5 year coded tag study is completed to know more clearly what really is happening with the Chinook fishery in the Lake.

Answer. The vast majority of stakeholders and DNR fisheries staff agreed that the old allocation model developed in the late 1980s and used until 2013 needed to be changed. Through the course of two LMFF meetings and various comment periods, we did not get significant feedback that we should delay this strategy until the coded wire tag study was completed. We were able to use current fisheries information like the number of charter boat trips, directed effort and directed harvest rate to fairly distribute Chinook salmon. One of the factors in the strategy is a code-wire tag (CWT) factor to be developed once more CWT data are obtained and analyzed. If substantial new information is gained from the CWT study, we will reengage the LMFF on this allocation strategy to determine if modification of the strategy would be necessary.

Comment. Stock more salmon and trout including Coho, browns and rainbows.

Answer. As described above, stocking more trout and salmon would be very risky because of the current low abundance of prey. In response to this situation, Lake Michigan agencies agreed on an overall Chinook salmon stocking reduction and strategy for 2013 and beyond. The overall stocking numbers of Chinook salmon and of other species like Coho, browns, rainbows and lake trout are agreed to by all the Lake Michigan agencies. As part of our Chinook salmon strategy, we have the option to stock fewer Coho salmon and rainbow trout and increase the number of Chinook salmon that we stock. For 2014, Wisconsin will stock 140,000 less lake trout from the Federal hatcheries in order to stock more Chinook salmon. Also, due to current hatchery limitations we cannot produce the full amount of Coho salmon, brown trout and rainbow trout that we desire so we are stocking a comparable number of additional Chinook salmon. If hatcheries are renovated and able to produce the full agreed upon numbers of these species we will at that time need to address this trade off of stocking the maximum number of Chinook salmon versus stocking the maximum number of the other species.
Comment. Other brood rivers such as the Kewaunee and Root should receive a base allocation like Strawberry Creek.

Answer. Under this strategy, we will have enough Chinook salmon stocked at both the Kewaunee and Root Rivers to provide enough backup for Strawberry Creek.

Comment. You should consider Coho salmon and other stocked species at the ports or counties in determining the numbers of Chinook salmon. This comment came from northern Door County stakeholders who wanted to eliminate Coho stocking and instead stock proportionally more Chinook salmon in this area.

Answer. To the extent possible, the Department approaches trout and salmon management in a holistic manner looking at the whole suite of possible fishing opportunities. However, including multispecies into this Chinook salmon stocking strategy would make the strategy much more complex and stakeholders wanted a simple, straightforward strategy. We remain open to working with stakeholders to review stocking strategies for other species.

Comment. The Chinook salmon stocking number is too large of a reduction for Green Bay. Green Bay already had Coho salmon stocking eliminated.

Answer. In 2013, 81,160 Chinook salmon were stocked into Marinette and Oconto counties. In this final strategy, 69,082 Chinook salmon will be stocked into these counties for a 14.9% reduction. This change is largely due to reliance upon the number of charter trips in the fall and Chinook salmon fishing statistics to determine stocking numbers. As described above, Coho stocking numbers are not being considered in this Chinook salmon stocking strategy but we remain open to future discussions with stakeholders on Coho salmon stocking. Green Bay also receives significant stockings of brown trout and has outstanding bass, walleye, musky and panfishing not found in other areas of Lake Michigan.

Comment. DNR should take into account the higher summer tourist population in Door County.

Answer. In this strategy, the number of charter trips and number of angler hours are both factors that capture the fishing component of fall tourism. Our research shows that salmon are highly migratory and stocking locations do not affect the quality of the fishery in the summer.

Comment. Do something about the fish eating birds.

Answer. The impacts of cormorants on fish populations have been well documented. We have been working with the Federal Wildlife Services program to manage cormorant populations in Green Bay and the managed cormorant populations are near or below target levels. Impacts of other fish eating birds are not as well documented and this is a research priority of the Lake Michigan Fisheries Team.

Comment. Need to do a better job of planting and let sports clubs help more.

Answer. The Department’s stocking plans are based on decades of experience and have created outstanding fishing in Lake Michigan. However, they are complex and logistically challenging, requiring that we prepare and plan well in advance of the stocking event. Stocking events must be scheduled to not only maximize survival of the stocked fish but work within each hatchery systems schedule to insure
that we can stock the maximum number of fish not only in the Great Lakes but inland as well. There are numerous logistical, environmental, and biological factors that are considered when stocking fish. We continue to work with several sports clubs from various areas on stocking fish and appreciate the volunteer help that we receive. We remain open to exploring additional opportunities.

**Comment.** Why does Door County rank so high yet does not receive the reflective proportion of Chinook salmon?

**Answer.** In the initial release of the proposed strategy it appeared as if the fish stocked in northern Door County were the only fish stocked in Door County. This is not the case. In this final strategy, Door County receives 150,000 Chinook salmon which is the highest of any county. This is due to the Chinook salmon egg take facility, Strawberry Creek being located in Door County.