

Species Assessment Group - Summary of group ratings Date: _____

Species: *Cytisus scoparius*

Members of the SAG:

Ratings for Criteria - 1st round	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total #	Average
1. Current status and distribution	4	4	4	4	ii	4	4	4	4								
2. Establishment potential	4	4	4	1	1	4	2	4	4								
3. Damage potential	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	4	4								
4. Prevention and control potential	4	4	4	1	1	4	1	4	3								
5. Socio-economic impacts	4	4	4	4	1	4	1	ii	4								
Totals - 1st round	Prohibited			Restricted				Watch				Non-restricted				Insufficient Information	
Number of votes for each classification	xxxxxxxx																

Comments: 1 - Lots of sensitive habitats in the north, concerned that it could spread rapidly in these areas. 3 - Not hardy or present in WI. 4 - Only one plant reported in WI, it should be eradicated. It is probably not hardy in WI. 9 - concerned about long seed viability and high rate of spread, could be a problem in barrens areas. 5 - Under current status and distribution, only one plant found in WI.

Ratings for Criteria - 2nd round	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total #	Average
1. Current status and distribution																	
2. Establishment potential																	
3. Damage potential																	
4. Prevention and control potential																	
5. Socio-economic impacts																	
Totals - 2nd round	Prohibited			Restricted				Watch				Non-restricted				Insufficient Information	
Number of votes for each classification																	

Final Recommended Classification : Prohibited

Final Comments/Rationale: