## Checklist to Evaluate MDV Applications Form XXXX-XXX Pa

Page X of X

<b>3</b>					
Notice: This checklist is meant to be a tool to help DNR staff review municipal and industrial multi-discharger variance (MDV) applications (Forms XXX-XXX and XXX-XXX). Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.).					
Section 1. General Information	-				
Permittee Name					
WPDES Permit Number					
County					
This operation is (check one):	New or relocated outfall. STOP- facility not eligible. Existing outfall				
The point source is located in an MDV eligible area	Yes				
(see Appendix H):	NO. STOP- facility not eligible.				
Section 2. Variance Requirements					
1. A major facility upgrade is required to comply	Yes				
with phosphorus limits:	No. STOP- facility not eligible.				
2. List the months where phosphorus limits					
cannot be achieved during the permit term:	Jan Apr July Oct				
Note: If this does not match information in application, the application	Feb May Aug Nov				
should be updated prior to approval.	Mar June Sep Dec				
3. What is the current effluent level achievable?					
Method for calculation:	30-day P99				
	Other, specify:				
Note: If this does not match information in application, the application shou	ıld be updated prior to approval.				
<ol><li>Has this facility optimized?</li></ol>	Yes				
	No				
5. Describe the appropriate interim limitation for	the permit term:				
Provide Rationale:					
Note: See description in Section 2.02 of the MDV implementation guidance.	As a general rule of thumb, if the Effluent TP > 0.6 ma/L then interim limit				
= 0.8 mg/L; If 0.6 mg/L $\geq$ Effluent TP > 0.5 mg/L then interim limit = 0.6 mg/					
6. Has a facility plan for phosphorus been completed for the facility?					
7. Has the facility considered adaptive manageme					
water quality trading?					
8. Are changes needed to any of the following inf	ormation provided in the application:				
Water supply	Comment:				
Process and operation information	Comment:				
Sludge management	Comment:				
Projected compliance costs Comment:					
Note: if any of the boxes are checked above, the MDV application	n should be updated prior to approval.				

Section 3. Verify MDV Eligibility				
<ol> <li>9. What is the projected cost for complying with phosphorus? Source:</li> </ol>	\$			
10. Has the point source met the appropriate primary screener: Note: For municipal discharges, the MHI must exceed 1%. For industries, the point source must r least one screener provided in Appendix G.	Yes No. STOP- facility not eligible.			
<ol> <li>What is the secondary indicator score for the county (countie discharge is located?</li> <li>See Appendix A-F of the MDV Implementation Guidance for details.</li> </ol>				
<ul> <li>12. Is the secondary score sufficient to confirm that the point source discharger has a substantial economic impact:</li> <li>See Section 2.01 of the MDV Implementation Guidance for details.</li> </ul>	Comments:			
13. What watershed option was selected?				
County project option. <i>Complete Section 5.</i>				
<ul> <li>Binding, written agreement with the DNR to construct plan. Complete Section 4.</li> <li>Binding, written agreement with another person that project or implement a watershed plan. Complete Sectio</li> </ul>				
Section 4. Watershed Plan Review	+	•		
14. MDV Plan Number: Note: This is for tracking purposes. Contact Statewide Phosphorus Implementation Coordinator for the plan number. 15. Did the point source complete Form XXXX-XXX?		Yes	3	
	Ē	] No		
16. Is the project area in the same HUC 8 watershed as the		_ Yes	S . STOP- Watershed plan must be updated.	
point of discharge? 17. Are the actions of the plan occurring on the direct receiving		Yes		
water?		No		
18. What is the annual offset required? See Section 2.03 of the MDV implementation guidance. If this value is different from the offset target provided in form XXXX-XXX, the watershed plan should be amended.				
19. Does the plan ensure that the annual load is offset annually?	5 . STOP- Watershed plan must be updated.			
<ul> <li>20. Are projects occurring on land owned/operated by a CAFO or within a permitted MS4 boundary?</li> <li>Yes. Work with appropriate DNR staff to ensure projects are not working towards other permit compliance</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>21. Are other funding sources being used as part of the MDV watershed project?</li> <li>Yes. Work with appropriate DNR staff to ensure that funding sources can be appropriately used in the plan area.</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>				
22. Do you have any concerns about the watershed project: Note: Coordinate with other DNR staff as appropriate.		] Yes ] No	5. STOP- Watershed plan must be updated.	

Page X of X

Comments:	
Section 5. Payment to the County(ies)	
23. At this time, the appropriate per pound payment is: See "Payment Calculator" document at <u>\\central\water\WQWT_PROJECTS\WY_CW_Phosphorus\MDV</u> .	
Section 6. Determination	
Based on the available information, the MDV application is: Approved Conditionally Approved Denied Additional Justification (if needed):	
Preparer Name	Title
Signature of Preparer	Date

A copy of this completed checklist should be saved in SWAMP, and a notification of the final determination should be sent to the Phosphorus Implementation Coordinator.

Participant Information					
Name of County Participating					
Street Address					
City		State	Zip Code		
Contact Name	Title	I			
Email	Phon	e Number			
Address (if different than above)					
City		State	Zip Code		
List the HUC 8 Watershed(s) in which the County wis	hes to	receive funding:			
Name	ŀ	<u>IUC-8</u>			
Has the county board, land conservation committee or			Yes 🗌		
representative (e.g. county executive or administrator)			No 🗌		
county's participation in the MDV? (Attach meeting mindocumentation)	nutes o	r other supporting			
Has the county board, land conservation committee or	other a	authorized county	Yes 🗌		
representative approved the county's participation in the	he MD\	/? (Attach	No 🗌		
resolutions and other supporting documentation) Based on the information provided, I believe that the C	ounty	ic oligible to receive	funde generated from		
the multi-discharger phosphorus variance pursuant to					
these funds, I commit to submit a watershed plan on March 1 <sup>st</sup> of this calendar year and completed					
annual report to the Department no later than May 1 <sup>st</sup> of the second year after receiving a payment. I					
certify that this information provided is true, accurate, and complete.					
Individual Submitting Request (Individual must be an Title Date					
Authorized Representative)					

State of Wisconsin Runoff Management Section-WT/3 Department of Natural Resources 101 South Webster Street Madison, WI 53703

**Notice:** This form was created by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. This watershed plan is required pursuant to Wis. Stat. s. 283.16(8)(b)2m. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposed and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Please read the instructions prior to completion of this form. Instructions can be found in the Multi-Discharger Variance (MDV) implementation guidance. Complete all sections as applicable. To view the Web sites included in this application, please copy and paste them into your Web browser address line.

Section 1. Applicant Informa	<u>tion</u>			
Plan Name				
Name of County Applying				
Street Address				
City			State	Zip Code
Contact Name		Title		
Email		Pho	ne Number	
Address (if different than above	e)			
City	, I		State	Zip Code
Section 2. Plan Information				
This plan is a:				
Small-scale plan				
Large-scale plan (Co	mplete sections 5 and	d 6)		
Are you collaborating with othe	-	,		
counties in this plan?		iry.		
8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (H				
8)	100			
Note: May only have one per form.				
Name of HUC 8				
Targeted 12-digit Hydrologic U	Init			
Codes (HUC 12)				
Surface Waters Targeted for T	his Plan:			
Name:	WBIC:	🗌 TP Ir	npaired Water	EPA-Approved TMDL for TP
Name:	WBIC:	🗌 TP Ir	npaired Water	EPA-Approved TMDL for TP
Name:	WBIC:	🗌 TP Ir	npaired Water	EPA-Approved TMDL for TP
Name:	WBIC:	🗌 TP Ir	npaired Water	EPA-Approved TMDL for TP
Maps and Photographs:	1		I	
An 8.5" X 11" map fror	n the DNR data/map	viewers,	showing the plan	area, is attached.
-			<u> </u>	vidual project locations.
Does the plan area have the g				
of the state compared to other				
	es		No	
Describe analyses of land use	and land manageme	nt practic	es that were used	to make this determination
(check all that apply and provid				
Water Quality Modeling – S		1	ATE:	Page Number/Section:
Specify:				
🗌 EVAAL		DA	ATE:	Page Number/Section:
EPA-Approved TMDL Repo	ort	DA	DATE: Page Number/Section:	

DNR-Approved 9 Element Watershed Plan	DATE:	Page Number/Section:
TMDL Implementation Plan		Dana Number/Oastian
DATCP-Approved County Land and Water Resource Management Plan	DATE:	Page Number/Section:
WQ Monitoring and/or Habitat Evaluation	DATE:	Page Number/Section:
SNAP-Plus	DATE:	Page Number/Section:
Surveys of cropland and/or animal feeding operations	DATE:	Page Number/Section:
Other, Describe:		
This watershed project plan is consistent with the follow	ving existing plans	s: (Check all that apply)
DATCP-Approved County Land and Water	Expiration	
Resource Management Plan	Expiration	Date.
Approved 9-Key Element Plan	Expiration	Date:
DNR-Approved TMDL Implementation Plan	Expiration	Date:
EPA-Approved TMDL Report	Expiration	Date:
Previous MDV Watershed Plan	Expiration	Date:
Other, Specify:	Expiration	Date:
Letters of support from affected landowners/land op	erators or survey	results for the plan area are attached.

**Field Windbreaks** 

Filter Strips

			ral Nonpoint S					
			for applicable M Itural performa		trictions. <b>8 &amp; prohibitions to b</b>	e addressed in	the plan area?	
	k all that				- p			
	mance nber	Pe	erformance star	ndard & prohibit	ion to be addressed			
	1		Sheet, rill, and	d wind erosion.	(NR 151.02)			
2	2			k. (NR 151.03)				
3	3		Phosphorus in	ndex. (NR 151.0	04)			
2	4		Manure storage	ge facilities <del>-</del> new	/significant alteration	s. (NR 151.05(2)	)	
Ę	5		Manure storage	ge facilities-clos	sure. (NR 151.05(3))			
6	6		Manure storage	ge facilities-exis	sting failing/leaking. (N	IR 151.05(4))		
7	7		Process wast	ewater handling	g. (NR 151.055)			
8	3		Clean water d	liversions. (NR	151.06)			
ç	9		Nutrient mana	agement. (NR 1	51.07)			
1	0		Prohibition: P	revention of ove	erflow from manure st	orage facilities. (	NR 151.08(2))	
1	1		Prohibition: Prevention of unconfined manure piles in water quality management areas (within 300 feet of a stream, 1000 feet. of a lake, or areas where the groundwater is susceptible to contamination). (NR 151.08(3))					
1	2		Prohibition: P the state. (NR		ect runoff from a feedl	ot or stored man	ure into waters of	
1	3		concentration		imited livestock acces event the maintenance 51.08(5))			
1	4		Other, specify					
What	are the a	agricul			tices (BMPs) to be in	nplemented? (c	check all that apply)	
	Practice			Wis. Adm. Code	Performance Standards to Be Addressed Note: Insert performance number(s) listed above	Method to Quantify Phosphorus Reduction	Priority Ranking of Practices (High, Medium, Low)	
	Manure	Storag	e Systems	NR 154.04(3)				
	Manure Storage System NR 154.04(4) Closure							
	Barnyard Runoff Control NR 154.04(5) Systems							
	Access Roads & Cattle NR 154.04(6) Crossings							
	Animal 1	Frails a	nd Walkways	NR 154.04(7)				
	Critical A	Area St	abilization	NR 154.04(10)				
	Diversio			NR 154.04(11)				

NR 154.04(12)

NR 154.04(13)

State of Wisconsin Runoff Management Section-WT/3 Department of Natural Resources 101 South Webster Street Madison, WI 53703

Grade Stabilization	NR 154.04(14)			
Heavy Use Area Protection	NR 154.04(15)			
Lake Sediment Treatment	NR 154.04(16)			
Livestock Fencing	NR 154.04(17)			
Livestock Watering Facilities	NR 154.04(18)			
Prescribed Grazing	NR 154.04(22)			
Relocating or Abandoning Animal Feeding Operations	NR 154.04(23)			
Riparian Buffers	NR 154.04(25)			
Roofs	NR 154.04(26)			
Roof Runoff Systems	NR 154.04(27)			
Sediment Basins	NR 154.04(28)			
Sinkhole Treatment	NR 154.04(30)			
Subsurface Drains	NR 154.04(33)			
Terrace Systems	NR 154.04(34)			
Underground Outlets	NR 154.04(35)			
Waste Transfer Systems	NR 154.04(36)			
Wastewater Treatment Strips	NR 154.04(37)			
Water and Sediment Control Basins	NR 154.04(38)			
Waterway Systems	NR 154.04(39)			
Well Decommissioning	NR 154.04(40)			
Wetland Development or Restoration	NR 154.04(41)			
Process Wa	astewater Hand	lling: NR 154.04(29)	& NRCS 629	
Milking Center Waste Control Systems				
Feed Storage Leachate				
Streamb		line Protection: NR 1 ssociated fencing)	54.04(31)	
Stream Crossing				
Streambank/Shoreline Rip- rapping				
Streambank/Shoreline Shaping & Seeding				
		bing Practices		
Contour Farming	NR 154.04(8)			
Cover & Green Manure Crop	NR 154.04(9)			
Nutrient Management	NR 154.04(20)			
Pesticide Management	NR 154.04(21)			
Residue Management	NR 154.04(24)			

	Strip-Cropping	NR 154.04(32)			
	Other (specify practice and	method of quanti	fication)	I	
	(-p) p		,		
<u>Secti</u>	ion 4. Financial Budget				
Proje	ect Activity	Planned	Estimated	Estimated MDV	Estimated MDV
		quantity/units	Total Cost	amount to be	amount to be spent
				spent this calendar year	next calendar year
				calcindar year	
Struc	tural BMPs:				
0					
	Construction Subtotal		\$	\$	\$
Crop	ping and Other BMPs:		Ψ	Ψ	Ψ
Crop	pilly and Other Divirs.				
			•		
	Other BMP Subtotal		\$	\$	\$
Moni	toring:				
			\$	\$	\$
	Monitoring Subtotal				

State of Wisconsin Runoff Management Section-WT/3 Department of Natural Resources 101 South Webster Street Madison, WI 53703

Staffing:							
Staffing Subtotal		\$	\$	\$			
Other:		Ψ	Ψ	Ψ			
Grand Total		\$	\$	\$			
Describe all other funds that will c	ompliment MDV f		Ψ.	Ψ			
Section 5. Other Plan Compone Method for verifying practices rem		and/or maintai	and over time: (Cheel	( all that apply)			
	-			( all that apply)			
Written agreements/cont							
Photography	specificit reports						
Surveys of participating I	andowners/land	operators					
Other; Specify:							
Monitoring				<b>.</b>			
Note: It is strongly recommended complete this section.	that all large-sca	le plans have o	r develop a monitoring	g strategy and			
A monitoring strategy has been	developed	Page	number(s)/section:				
Type of monitoring:							
In-stream monitoring							
Edge-of-field monitoring							
Check all pollutants to be mor	itored:						
🗌 Total Phosphorus 🗌 T	otal Nitrogen [	Total Susper	nded Solids	ner, Specify:			
Describe location and protocols and persons/organizations that will be used to gather monitoring data: (include map of sample sites and locations). <i>Note: May include section/page number information if included in a separate approved plan.</i>							
Section 6. Extended Plans							
It is strongly recommended that la	rge-scale MDV p	lan areas deve	op a 9 key element p	lan or already have a			
DNR-approved 9 key element plai		-	. , , , ,				
The county is developing a 9 k	ey element plan.	Anticipated cor	npletion date:				
A DNR-approved 9-key elemer	A DNR-approved 9-key element plan already exists.						

Ti	Title of Plan:						
w	Web Link to Plan:						
-	se provide the page numbers/sections where the follow	ng 9-key elements are	in the approved plan:				
Elem	nent:	Page Number/Section	n:				
Outre	each/education activities						
Sche	dule for implementing management measures						
Criteria to determine whether load reductions are or are not being achieved over time							
	nate the load reductions expected from the agement measures described under Section 3:	Method for approxima	ation:				
	Total Phosphorus:						
	Total Nitrogen:						
	Sediment: ion 7. Certifications						
the c box.	: This section applies to all plans. Please check all appli ounty, I agree to the following requirements. Counties DNR understands that this section will be completed be blan was completed. MDV funding will not be used to implement or maintai	must certify all of the found o	llowing by checking each ble information at the time				
	implemented via another local, state, or federal progra MDV payments will not be made for practices to main standard on farmland if a local or state agency has pro achieved compliance with that performance standard.	tain or restore complian					
	For plans outside a TMDL area, MDV funds will only be For plans within a TMDL area, MDV funds will only be compliance with load allocations specified in an EPA-	used towards NR 151					
	MDV funding will not be used to fund activities and pra WPDES permit.	actices required to comp	bly with a MS4 or CAFO				
	At least 65% of the MDV funds received will be spent	on practices identified in	n Section 3.				
	MDV funds will be placed in an interest bearing account additional NPS practices in accordance with this plan.		will be used to implement				
	MDV funds provided for this annual watershed plan will be used within 24 months of submitting this plan to DNR unless a request is granted for an additional 12-month extension.						
Based on the information provided, I believe that the County is eligible to receive funding generated from the multi-discharger phosphorus variance pursuant to Wis. Stat. s. 283.16, I understand that by receiving these funds, the County will submit a completed annual report in accordance with Wis. Stat. s. 283.16(8)(b)(2m)3. to the Department no later than May 1 of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> year following the year the county received payments under s. 283.16. To the best of my knowledge, I certify that the information provided in this plan is true, accurate, and complete.							
Indiv	idual Submitting Request (Individual must be an prized Representative)	Title	Date				

Page 1 of \_\_\_\_

**Notice:** This form was created by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. This watershed plan is hereby made to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources pursuant to ss. 283.16(8)(b)2 and 3, Wis. Stats. This checklist is not meant to cover watershed plans developed by Counties under the \$50/lb watershed project option pursuant to s. 283.16(8)(b)1, Wis. Stats. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposed and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Please read the instructions prior to completion of this form. Instructions can be found in the Multi-Discharger Variance (MDV) implementation guidance. Complete all sections as applicable. To view the Web sites included in this application, please copy and paste them into your Web browser address line.

Section 1. Applicant Info	<u>rmation</u>						
Permittee Name		Pern	Permit Number				
-							
Facility Street Address							
City			State		Zip Code		
Contact Name		Title	1				
Email		Phor	ne Number				
Address (if different than above)							
City			State		Zip Code		
Section 2. Project Inform	ation						
The plan was developed b	y:						
The permittee pu	rsuant to s. 283.16(8)(	b)2, Wi	s. Stat.				
·		,		Vis. Sta	ats. Specify and provide		
	ion (if different from Se						
			/				
Project County(ies)							
8-digit Hydrologic Unit Cod	le						
(HUC 8)							
12-digit Hydrologic Unit							
Codes (HUC 12)							
Maps and Photographs:							
	from the DNR data/m			-			
· · ·		os are i	included of know	wn indi	vidual project locations.		
Surface Waters Targeted f							
Name:	WBIC:		Impaired Water		PA-Approved TMDL for TP		
Name:	WBIC:		Impaired Water		PA-Approved TMDL for TP		
Name:	WBIC:		Impaired Water		PA-Approved TMDL for TP		
Name:	WBIC:	TP	Impaired Water	EP	PA-Approved TMDL for TP		
Section 3. Agricultural N							
Note: See Section 6 for ap	plicable MDV funding	restricti	ions.				
What are the agricultural (check all that apply)	performance standa	rds & p	prohibitions to	be ad	dressed in the plan area?		
Sheet, rill. and	wind erosion. (NR 15	1.02)					
Tillage setbac	· ·	,					
	Phosphorus index. (NR 151.04)						

Page 1 of \_\_\_\_

	Manura storage facilities-new		tions (NP 151 05(2))								
		Manure storage facilities-new/significant alterations. (NR 151.05(2)) Manure storage facilities-closure. (NR 151.05(3))									
	Manure storage facilities-existing failing/leaking. (NR 151.05(4)) Process wastewater bandling. (NR 151.055)										
	Process wastewater handling. (NR 151.055) Clean water diversions. (NR 151.06)										
		1									
	Nutrient management. (NR 151.07)										
	Prohibition: Prevention of overflow from manure storage facilities. (NR 151.08(2))										
		Prohibition: Prevention of unconfined manure piles in water quality management areas (within 300 feet of a stream, 1000 feet. of a lake, or areas where the groundwater is susceptible to contamination). (NR 151.08(3))									
	Prohibition: Prevention of dire (NR 151.08(4))	ct runoff from a f	eedlot or stored manure i	nto waters of the state.							
	Prohibition: Prevention of unli concentrations of animals pre vegetation. (NR 151.08(5))										
	Other, specify:										
	the agricultural best manager	nent practices (	BMPs) to be implement	ed? (check all that							
apply)		Wis. Adm.	Estimated/Calculated	Method to Quantify							
	Practice	Code	Offset Generated	Phosphorus Reduction							
	Manure Storage Systems	NR 154.04(3)		,							
	Manure Storage System Closure	NR 154.04(4)									
	Barnyard Runoff Control Systems	NR 154.04(5)									
	Access Roads & Cattle Crossings	NR 154.04(6)									
	Animal Trails and Walkways	NR 154.04(7)									
	Critical Area Stabilization	NR 154.04(10)									
	Diversions	NR 154.04(11)									
	Field Windbreaks	NR 154.04(12)									
	Filter Strips	NR 154.04(13)									
	Grade Stabilization	NR 154.04(14)									
	Heavy Use Area Protection	NR 154.04(15)									
	Lake Sediment Treatment	NR 154.04(16)									
	Livestock Fencing	NR 154.04(17)									
	Livestock Watering Facilities	NR 154.04(18)									
	Prescribed Grazing	NR 154.04(22)									
	Relocating or Abandoning Animal Feeding Operations	NR 154.04(23)									
	Riparian Buffers	NR 154.04(25)									
	Roofs	NR 154.04(26)									
L			1	1							

Multi-Discharger Variance Watershed Plan Checklist

State of Wisconsin Runoff Management Section-WT/3 Department of Natural Resources 101 South Webster Street Madison, WI 53703

Form XXXX-XXX

Page 1 of \_\_\_\_

 Practice	Wis. Adm. Code	Estimated/Calculated Offset Generated	Method to Quantify Phosphorus Reduction
Roof Runoff Systems	NR 154.04(27)		
Sediment Basins	NR 154.04(28)		
Sinkhole Treatment	NR 154.04(30)		
Subsurface Drains	NR 154.04(33)		
Terrace Systems	NR 154.04(34)		
Underground Outlets	NR 154.04(35)		
Waste Transfer Systems	NR 154.04(36)		
Wastewater Treatment Strips	NR 154.04(37)		
Water and Sediment Control Basins	NR 154.04(38)		
Waterway Systems	NR 154.04(39)		
Well Decommissioning	NR 154.04(40)		
Wetland Development or Restoration	NR 154.04(41)		
Process Wastewa	ater Handling: NF	154.04(29) & NRCS 62	9
Milking Center Waste Control Systems			
Feed Storage Leachate			
		tection: NR 154.04(31)	
	cludes associate	ed fencing)	
Stream Crossing			
Streambank/Shoreline Rip- rapping			
Streambank/Shoreline Shaping & Seeding			
	Cropping Prac	ctices	
Contour Farming	NR 154.04(8)		
Cover & Green Manure Crop	NR 154.04(9)		
Nutrient Management	NR 154.04(20)		
Pesticide Management	NR 154.04(21)		
Residue Management	NR 154.04(24)		
Strip-Cropping	NR 154.04(32)		
Other (specify practice and m	ethod of quantific	cation)	

Page 1 of \_\_\_\_

	the urban best management practices (BMPs	•	plemented? (	check all that apply)
Note: See	e Section 6 for applicable MDV funding restriction		d/Calculated	Method to Quantify
	Practice	Offset Ge		Phosphorus Reduction
	Bioretention for Infiltration			
	Infiltration Basin			
	Infiltration Trench			
	Vegetated Infiltration Swale			
	Permeable Pavement			
	Wet Detention Pond			
	Proprietary Storm Water Sedimentation Device			
	Constructed Wetland Basin			
	Grassed Swale			
	Vegetated Filter Strip			
	Filtration Device			
	Proprietary Filtration Device			
	Accelerated/High Efficiency Street Sweeper			
	Other Structural Urban Best Management Practice; Specify:			
	Shoreline Habitat Restoration for Developed Area NR 154.04(29); Specify:			
	Other Projects/Practices; Specify:			
Section 5	5. Other Plan Components	1		
			Total Pounds	of TP Per Year
	stimated annual offset needed:			
	stimated total offset generated (sum of stimated/calculated offsets in Sections 3-5):			
C	,	nce (a-b):		
	al offsets are needed the plan should describe h nber/Section:		ffsets will be a	chieved.
Describe	all other funds that will compliment MDV funds ir	n project:		

Does the p	lan have a narrative that describes:		Page Number/Section:					
a. De	escription of existing land uses	Yes No						
b. Lo	cation where offsets will be generated	Yes No	)					
c. Tir	neline for installation and maintenance of offs	sets 🗌 Yes 🗌 No	)					
d. Tra	acking procedures	Yes No	)					
e. Ve	rification procedures	Yes No	)					
f. His	story of project site(s)	Yes No						
g. Mo	onitoring	Yes No	)					
Note: This section applies to all scale plans. By checking each box, the County certifies the following.         Image: MDV funding will not be used to implement or maintain practices that were previously funded or implemented via another local, state, or federal program.         Image: MDV payments will not be made for practices to maintain or restore compliance with a performance standard on farmland if a local or state agency has previously determined that the farmland has achieved compliance with that performance standard.         Image: MDV funding will not be used to fund activities and practices required to comply with a MS4 or CAFO WPDES permit.								
Based on the information provided, I believe that coverage under the multi-discharger phosphorus variance is justified based on s. 283.16, Wis. Stats. I understand that this plan, upon approval, will be reflected in the WPDES permit issued to this facility. I certify that this information provided is true, accurate, and complete.         Individual Submitting Request (Individual must be an Authorized Representative)       Title       Date								

Notice: Pursuant to s. 283.16, Wis. Stats, an owner of an existing permitted wastewater treatment system may apply for a variance to a phosphorus water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL). This form should be completed and submitted to the Department to request coverage under the multi-discharger variance for phosphorus. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requestors to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.] **Facility and Permit Information Facility Contact Information** WPDES Permit No. **Contact Name Facility Name** Title Address Facility Street Address City State Zip Code City State Zip Code Phone Number **Receiving Water** Fax Number Source of Water Supply Actual Discharge Flow Rate Email Address Variance Request Schedule 1. This variance is being requested at the time of application for permit reissuance pursuant to s. 283.16(4)(b)1. 2. This variance is being requested within 60 days after the department reissues or modifies the permit to include a phosphorus WQBEL pursuant to s. 283.16(4)(b)2. 3. This variance is being requested from a current WPDES Permit pursuant to 283.16(4)(b)3. Date of Current Permit Issuance: Note: WPDES permit must be issued prior to April 2014. 4. Has the MDV been included in previously issued WPDES Permits? Yes 🗌 How many permits has the MDV been approved for? No Variance Requirements 5. Has this point source discharge been authorized by a WPDES permit prior Yes to December 1, 2010? No 🗌 Note: If no, you are ineligible for the MDV in accordance with s. 283.16(4), Wis. Stat. STOP. 6. Has this point source relocated its outfall location since December 1, 2010? Yes No 🗌 7. Does this limit require a major facility upgrade in order to achieve Yes 🗌 compliance? No 🗌 Justify: Note: If no, you are ineligible for the MDV in accordance with s. 283.16(4), Wis. Stat. STOP.A major facility upgrade means that a facility needs to install new equipment and a new process such as installing filtration or equivalent technology.

8. Phosphorus Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitation from which variance is sought:										
Concentration-ba		•								
TMDL mass-based WQBEL pursuant to s. NR 217.16 Check all months requesting variance for:										
	Jan		Apr	Г	July			Oct		
	Feb		May		Aug			Nov		
	Mar		June	┢	Sep			Dec		
9. Do you believe thes	-	ould b		ed	-	he t	er		Yes	
permit?	0		0 0.01101							
P C									No 🗌	
										1
10. Effluent level currer					-					mg/L
Provide the number	r of samp	es res	sults and	sar	npling t	ime	pe	eriod used:		
Note: Requires a minimum of 1	-									
11. Are applicable phos									Yes 🔄	
more restrictive the	en the app	olicabl	e MDV ir	nte	rim limi	tatic	on	(s.		
283.16(6)(a))?										
Note: The initial interim limitat	tion is set i	nt 0 8 i	ma/I for t	he i	first nern	nit ta	ərn	n unon MDV		
approval.		11 0.0 1	iig/Ejoi t	ine j	nst pen	int ti		rupon MDV		
If yes, provide informa	tion to j	ustify	the incre	eas	e in acc	ord	an	ce with ch. NR 🛛	207, Wis. Ac	lm. Code.
Otherwise, a more res	trictive ír	nterim	n limitati	on	will be	incl	ud	ed in the WPDE	S permit.	
12. Do you believe a les	s restrict	ive (hi	gher) int	eriı	n phos	bhor	rus	limitation is	Yes 🗌	
needed compared t	o the lim	ts spe	cified in	s. 2	283.16(6	5)(a)	?			
									No 🗌	
If yes, please provide a					•				•	
applicable interim limitation	n in s. 283	.16(6)	(a) witho	out	a majo	r fa	cili	ty upgrade and	and explain v	why the
higher interim limitation is r	necessary	:								
Note: The highest limitation th	nat may be	nrovi	ded nursu	ant	to Wis	Stat	29	3 16(6)(am) is 1 i	0 ma/l	
Facility Information (provid								0.10(0)(0.11) 10 11	5 mg/ 2	
13. Describe the waster						and	0	orations and th	o moons of t	roating
phosphorus, includi				•						-
									-	
chemical addition for total phosphorus (TP) control including both liquid and solids treatment trains. Identify all internal waste streams in a water balance schematic diagram.										
	יימזוכ זוו	callis	ma wale			SCILE		and unagrann.		

14. What are the average phosphorus levels within your influent TP		mg/L
concentration?		
<ul> <li>15. What is the water supply source?</li> <li>100% directly from a surface water</li> <li>100% directly from a well(s)</li> <li>Mix of well water and surface water</li> <li>100% from municipal water supply or mix of municipal water and either well or s</li> <li>Name of water supply:</li> <li>Does the water utility add phosphorus for corrosion control or for iron or ma</li> <li>Yes No</li> <li>16. Has the facility optimized to treat for phosphorus?</li> </ul>		estration?
	Completi	on date:
	No 🗌	
Describe optimization measures:		
<ul> <li>17. Phosphorus-Containing Additives- Does the facility use phosphorus-containing</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Can the facility discontinue the use of the phosphorus-containing products or casubstituted to eliminate or reduce the introduction of phosphorus?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	an the product	be
<ul> <li>18. Internal Waste Streams- Can the facility segregate the internal waste stream phosphorus and cost effectively treat this portion of the effluent?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Not applicable</li> </ul>	is containing	
19. <i>Sludge management</i> - Provide the most recent three (3) years of phosphorus with volumes disposed of so as to perform an approximate mass balance of and leaving the plant.	-	

20. Reference or attach any facility planning or evaluation study for phosph performance capabilities (Note- only include studies that are recent or evaluation of the existing facility and current conditions).	
Projected Compliance Costs	
21. Has a facility plan for phosphorus been completed for the facility?	Yes
21. This a radiity plan for phosphoras been completed for the radiity.	
	No 🗌
If yes, what was the date this plan was completed?	
Briefly describe the technology that would need to be added to com	ply with phosphorus:
22. Has the facility evaluated the feasibility of water quality trading or	Yes
adaptive management?	No 🗌
23. Is the facility eligible for adaptive management or water quality trading?	Yes
24. What is the needed offset to comply with AM/WQT?	lbs/year
	Unknown at this time
25. Is adaptive management or water quality trading a viable compliance	Yes 🗌
option?	
Describe:	No 🛄
26. What is the projected cost for complying with the phosphorus WQBELs? Source:	\$
Note: If projected phosphorus costs provided in the final Economic Impact Analysis (EIA) are used, the point source must certify all of the following applies to the facility: Chemical precipitation followed by filtration is the preferred technology, not biological phosphorus removal or other treatment technologies	

Technology needed is consistent with the assumptions	
made to derive the cost curves	
Design and actual flows used in EIA are accurate of current	
conditions	
□ Effluent TP concentration is >0.6 mg/L	
Affordability to Industrial Dischargers	
27. Do you believe phosphorus compliance costs will cause a substantial ec	conomic or social impact to
the facility?	
Yes, such as (check all that apply)	
Reduction of employment	
Decrease/loss of investment	
Inability to compete	
Potential relocation or facility closing	
Other; Describe:	
28. Do you also send waste to a municipal wastewater treatment facility?	
Yes, Name:	
29. If yes, are your sewer rates expected to increase due to phosphorus compliance	ce at the municipal wastewater
treatment facility?	
Yes	
Unknown	
30. What is the secondary indicator score for the county (counties) the	
service area is located in?	
See Appendix A-F of the MDV Implementation Guidance for details.	
Note: If the service area is located in multiple counties, provide the weighted	
average value.	
Watershed Project	
31. Select one of the following watershed project options:	
I choose the \$50/Ib watershed project option.	
I choose to enter into a binding, written agreement with the DNR to	
construct a project or implement a watershed plan.	
Submit Form 3200-XXX with MDV application.	
I choose to enter into a binding, written agreement with another	
person that is approved by the DNR to construct a project or	
implement a watershed plan.	
Submit Form 3200-XXX with MDV application.	

## Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Application for Industrial Facilities - s. 283.16, Wis. Stats. Form XXXX-XXX Page X of X

## **Certification**

Based on the information provided, I believe that my permitted facility qualifies for coverage under the multi-discharger phosphorus variance based on the requirements in s. Wis. Stat. 283.16 (4), Wis. Stat. I understand that as a condition of the variance, the Department will impose interim limitations and require a watershed project or plan to be completed as part of the source reduction measures for phosphorus during the term of the variance in accordance with s. Wis. Stat. 283.16(6). I understand that these conditions will be included in the WPDES permit issued to this facility and I agree to comply with these requirements. I hereby certify that the determination in Wis. Stat. 283.16(2)(a) applies to my permitted facility and that my permitted facility cannot comply with the phosphorus water quality based effluent limitations without a major facility upgrade. To the best of my knowledge, the information in this application is true, accurate, and complete.

Individual Submitting Request (Individual must be an	Title	Date
Authorized Representative)		

## Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Application for Municipal Facilities - s. 283.16, Wis. Stats. Form XXXX-XXX Page X of X

Notice: Pursuant to s. 283.16, Wis. Stats, an owner of an existing permitted wastewater treatment system may apply for a variance to a phosphorus water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL). This form should be completed and submitted to the Department to request coverage under the multi-discharger variance for phosphorus. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requestors to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.] **Facility and Permit Information Facility Contact Information** WPDES Permit No. **Contact Name Facility Name** Title Address Facility Street Address City State Zip Code City State Zip Code Phone Number Fax Number **Receiving Water** Email Address Source of Water Supply Average Discharge Flow Rate Variance Request Schedule Check all that apply: 1. This variance is being requested at the time of application for permit reissuance pursuant to s. 283.16(4)(b)1, Wis. Stat. 2. This variance is being requested within 60 days after the department reissues or modifies the permit to include a phosphorus WQBEL pursuant to s. 283.16(4)(b)2, Wis. Stat. 3. This variance is being requested from a current WPDES Permit pursuant to 283.16(4)(b)3, Wis. Stat. Date of Current Permit Issuance: Note: WPDES permit must be issued prior to April 2014. Has the MDV been included in previously issued WPDES Permits? Yes How many permits has the MDV been approved for? No 🔽 Variance Requirements 5. Has this point source discharge been authorized by a WPDES permit prior Yes to December 1, 2010? No 🗌 Note: If no, you are ineligible for the MDV in accordance with s. 283.16(4), Wis. Stat. STOP. Yes 🗌 6. Has this point source relocated its outfall location since December 1, 2010? No 7. Does this limit require a major facility upgrade in order to achieve Yes compliance? No Provide justification here (cell will expand for text):

Note: If no, you are ineligible for the MDV in accordance with s. 283.16(4), Wis. Stat. STOP.										
A major facility upgrade means that a facility needs to install new equipment and a new										
process such as installing filtration or equivalent technology.										
8. Phosphorus Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitation from which variance is sought:										
Concentration-		•							0	
TMDL mass-bas			•							
Check all mont		-								
Jan Apr July Oct										
		Feb		] May		Aug		Nov		
		Mar		] June		] Sep		Dec		
9. Do you believe the	se	limits co	uld k	be achiev	/ed (	during th	e te	rm of the	Yes 🗌	
permit?										
									No 🔄	
10. Effluent level curre	ent	ly achiev	able	(30-day	P99	):				mg/L
Provide the numbe		•					ne p	eriod used:		
	-					1- 0-				
Note: Requires a minimum of	11	sample r	esults	5.						
11. Are applicable pho		•			effe	ective in t	the \	NPDES permit	Yes	
more restrictive th	•							•		
283.16(6)(a))?		and app							No 🗌	
200120(0)(0))										
Note: The initial interim limite	ntic	on is set a	t 0.8	ma/I_for t	the f	irst perm	it ter	m following MD	v	
approval.						net perm				
	al	informat	ion t	to iustifv	/ the	e increas	e in	accordance w	ith ch. NR 207	7. Wis. Adm.
If yes, attach addition Code. If additional just	stif	ication i	s not	t provide	ed, a	a more r	estri	ctive interim li	imitation will	be included
in the WPDES permit	•									
12. Do you believe a le		restrictiv	e (h	igher) int	torir	n nhosnl	noru	s limitation is	Yes	
needed compared			-					5 111111111111		
	10		.5 SPC	.cineu in	5. 2	.05.10(0)	(u):		No 🗌	
Provide justification why a	hi	abor into	rim l	imitation	n ic i	2000000				
Provide Justification willy a	Шį	gnerinte		IIIIItatioi	1 15 1	lecessar	y.			
Note: The highest limitation that may be provided pursuant to Wis. Stat. 283.16(6)(am) is 1.0 mg/L.										

Facility Information (provide attachments as necessary)	
13. Describe the wastewater treatment facility processes and operations and the phosphorus, including any chemicals used. Attach a flow schematic which sh chemical addition for total phosphorus (TP) control including both liquid and	ows the point(s) of
14. What are the average phosphorus levels within your influent TP concentration?	mg/L
<ul> <li>15. What is the source of the water supply?</li> <li>100% directly from a surface water</li> <li>100% directly from a well(s)</li> <li>Mix of well water and surface water</li> <li>100% from municipal water supply or mix of municipal water and either well or s</li> <li>Name of water supply:</li> <li>Does the water utility add phosphorus for corrosion control or for iron or ma</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul>	
16. Has the facility been optimized to treat for phosphorus?	Yes Completion date: No
Describe optimization measures:	
17. Sludge management- Attach results from the most recent three (3) years of testing, along with volumes disposed of so as to perform an approximate ma phosphorus entering and leaving the plant.	
18. Reference or attach any facility planning or evaluation study for phosphorus performance capabilities (Note- only include studies that are recent or other evaluation of the existing facility and current conditions).	

Service Area Information- Provide the following information for each municipality included in the							
wastewater facility se	ervice area.						
Municipality Name	County	Population Served	Customer	Median Household			
			Households Serve	ed Income (MHI)			
Non-Residential Cus	tomers:			%			
Percent of wastewate	er flow attributed to co	ommercial industrial, l	arge institutional				
and any other specia							
	n-domestic wastewate						
	significantly affect the		tment facility. Exar	nples include: large			
food processors, dair	ies, or industries with	unique wastewater.					
Projected Compliance	on Costs						
	plan for phosphorus b	een completed for the	e facility? Yes				
15. Thas a facility	plan for phosphorus b	een completed for the					
			No				
If yes, on	what was the date this	plan was completed?					
Briefly de	scribe the technology t	hat would need to be	added to comply w	ith phosphorus limits in			
your perm	nit:						
				_			
	bility of water quality	trading or adaptive ma	inagement Yes				
been evaluat	ed for the facility?		No				
21 le the facility	eligible for adaptive m	anagoment or water	auality Yes				
trading?	engine for adaptive m	ianagement of water (	quality res				
u aung:			No				

22. What is the needed offset to comply with AM/WQT?	lbs/year
	Unknown at this time
23. Is adaptive management or water quality trading a viable compliance option?	Yes
Describe:	No 🛄
24. What is the projected cost for complying with the phosphorus WQBELs?	\$
Source of cost projection:	
Note: If projected phosphorus costs provided in the final Economic	
Impact Analysis (EIA) are used, the point source must certify all of the following applies to the facility:	
Chemical precipitation followed by filtration is the preferred	
technology, not biological phosphorus removal or other treatment technologies	
Technology needed is consistent with the assumptions	
made to derive the cost curves Design and actual flows used in EIA are accurate for	
current conditions	
Effluent TP concentration is >0.6 mg/L	
Affordability to Municipal Dischargers	
25. What is the projected household user charge, expressed as a percent of MHI, once phosphorus compliance costs are factored in?	%
Attach supporting information on a separate attachment to this form. The	
applicant may also provide additional information on impacts to commercial,	
industrial, or other special customers or any other information regarding	
affordability. 26. What is the secondary indicator score for the county (counties) in	
which the service area is located in?	
See Appendix A-F of the MDV Implementation Guidance for details.	
Note: If the service area is located in multiple counties, provide the weighted average value.	
Watershed Project. Select one of the following watershed project options:	
Option A. County payment contribution	

Option B. Binding, written agreement with the DNR t project or implement a watershed plan. Submit Form 3200-XXX with MDV application.	o construct a				
Option C. Binding, written agreement with another e approved by the DNR to construct a project or imple					
plan.					
Submit Form 3200-XXX with MDV application.					
Certification					
Based on the information provided, I believe that my permitted facility qualifies for coverage under the multi-discharger phosphorus variance based on the requirements of s. Wis. Stat. 283.16 (4), Wis. Stat. I understand that as a condition of the variance, the Department will impose interim limitations and require a watershed project or plan to be completed as part of the phosphorus reduction measures for phosphorus during the term of the variance in accordance with s. Wis. Stat. 283.16(6). I understand that these conditions will be included in the WPDES permit issued to this facility and I agree to comply with all applicable permit conditions for this variance. I hereby certify that the determination in Wis. Stat. 283.16(2)(a) applies to my permitted facility and that my permitted facility cannot otherwise comply with its phosphorus water quality based effluent limitations without a major facility upgrade. To the best of my knowledge, the information in this application is true, accurate, and complete.					
Individual Submitting Request (Individual must be an	Title	Date			
Authorized Representative)					

Participant Information					
Permittee Name	Pe	Permit Number			
Facility Street Address					
City		State		Zip Code	
Contact Name	Title	Title			
Email	Pho	Phone Number			
Address (if different than above)	·				
City		State Zip Co		Zip Code	
List the County Name and Payments Made to Each Participating County					
County Name	Payment		Date Payment Was Distributed		
	Total:				
I certify that this information provided is true, accurate, and complete. I understand that incorrect payments or payments made after March 1 <sup>st</sup> constitute a WPDES permit violation is and subject to potential enforcement.					
Individual Submitting Request (Individual must be an		Title		Date	
Authorized Representative)					