# Modeling & Analysis Tools for Nonpoint Source Implementation

Theresa M. Possley Nelson, PE Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources



# **Special Thanks**

#### Challenge.gov Award

- Funded by a partnership of US Federal Agencies and other stakeholders including the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, USEPA, USDA, NOAA, USGS, Tulane University, and the Everglades Foundation.
- Local County, UW-Extension, and WDNR



# Acknowledgements

- Aaron Ruesch
- Dave Evans
- Corinne Billings
- Andrew Craig
- Amanda Minks
- Adam Freihoefer
- Ann Hirekatur



### Overview

- Impaired Waters & TMDLs
- Nonpoint Source Implementation & the 9 Key Elements
- Model Comparison (break in middle)
- DNR Web Maps & Online Data
- Healthy Watersheds Assessment
- LUNCH
- EVAAL
- break
- STEPL

Discussion/Questions



# Impaired Waters & TMDLs



# Introduction

- Water quality standards are the foundation
  - Designated uses & criteria
- Impaired waters don't meet water quality standards
  - Assess against standards
- States are required to develop list of impaired waters
- Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), or cleanup plans, are developed for impaired waters
- Restored waterbodies are removed from the list



#### Statewide Phosphorus Criteria



<sup>1</sup>All unidirectional flowing waters not in NR 102.06(3)(a). Excludes Ephemeral Streams. <sup>2</sup>Excludes wetlands and lakes less than 5 acres

### Listing Process

- 1. Preparation of listing methodology
- 2. Compilation of readily available data
- 3. Assessment of available data
- 4. Public notice of draft list
- 5. Send finalized list to EPA for approval



### Impaired Waters





### TMDLs in Wisconsin

- TMDL = Total Maximum Daily Load
- Established under the Clean Water Act
- The maximum amount of a pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still safely meet water quality standards



#### TMDL Purpose

# Current Pollutant Load

Does not meet water quality standards

Meets water quality standards



# TMDL

Each subwatershed is assessed for:

#### **Background Load**

Naturally occurring from wetlands, forests

#### **Load Allocation**

• Runoff from the landscape

Load

Allocation

+

**TMDL** 

Waste Load

Allocation

• Background

#### Waste Load Allocation

- Municipal Wastewater
- Industrial Wastewater
- Stormwater (MS4s)

Margin of

Safety

+



#### TMDLs Statewide





# Alternatives to TMDLs

- Environmental Accountability Projects
  - Simple and well-understood impairments
  - Flexibility
  - Goal is to remove impairment
  - Examples:
    - Watershed plan developed
      - Must include EPAs 9 key elements
    - State or local regulations will address impairment
    - Superfund projects
    - Dam removals



# **TMDL Implementation**

#### Point Sources

- Municipal & Industrial Wastewater
- Municipal Stormwater
- CAFO Production Areas
- Nonpoint Sources
  - Agricultural Lands



- County Land Conservation
- Watershed Groups
- Producers
- Point Source Dischargers







# Nonpoint Source Implementation





# **NPS Implementation**

- Overview of implementation
- 9 key element plans
- Adaptive management & water quality trading



# NPS Implementation – State

- Develop & enforce rules
  - DNR, DATCP
- Develop implementation tools & strategies
- Award funding through competitive grant processes
- Work with partners



# NPS Implementation – County

#### Boots on the ground

- NR151
- Ordinances
- Grants
- Farmland Preservation



- Land & Water Resource Management Plans
  - Address soil erosion and water quality concerns
  - Strategies for addressing problems
  - Benchmarks
  - Update at least every 10 years



# **NPS Implementation – TMDL Areas**

#### TMDL Report

- Includes section on implementation
- Tends to be general
- TMDL Implementation plan
  - Include specific details on planned activities
  - Goal is to delist waters
  - Must include 9 key elements to be eligible for funding





#### What are 9 Key Element Plans?

- Watershed based
- Restore impaired waters by reducing nonpoint runoff sources (agriculture and urban)
- Can also be used to protect non-impaired waters
- Mimic TMDL's reduce nonpoint pollutant loads to levels a receiving water can assimilate and meet uses (fishable, swimmable, drinkable)
- Incorporate existing activities/plans
  LW plans, FPP, NR 151 implementation, ordinances, grants, AWQMP



# 9 Key Elements

- Identify the causes and sources that need to be controlled to achieve pollutant load reductions
  - Maps
  - Accounting of significant sources and background levels
- Describe management measures that need to be implemented to achieve load reductions
- Estimate the load reductions expected from selected management measures
  - SNAP+, STEPL, BARNY
  - Map priority areas and practices



# 9 Key Elements

- Estimate amounts of technical and financial assistance, costs and authorities relied upon to implement the plan
  - Long Term Operation and maintenance of BMPs
  - Monitoring and Evaluation
- Information/education component to encourage participation and plan implementation
- Schedule for implementing the management measure
  - 5, 10, 15 or 20 years?
  - Include plan milestones



# 9 Key Elements

- Interim, measurable milestones to assess if plan is being implemented
- Set of criteria to determine whether load reductions <u>are or are not</u> being achieved over time

If little progress, how and when will plan be revised?

 Monitoring component to evaluate the effectiveness of the implementation efforts over time using criteria from above
 Integrate with schedule and milestones



#### Importance of 9 Element Plans

- EPA 2015 grant requirements October 2014
- DNR Nonpoint activities funded with EPA 319 grant funds should be linked to <u>water quality</u> <u>outcomes</u>
- Focus on restoration of impaired waters via watershed based plans
- At least 50% of 319 funds <u>must be used in 319</u> <u>eligible areas</u>
- 319 eligible area = has a plan consistent with EPA's 9 Key Elements - DNR/EPA review



Cross-hatch = 319 eligible Expire in 2016-2019

Pink = approved TMDLs

Pink areas will become ineligible in 2015 if they do not have a 9 element plan





http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/nonpoint/9keyelementplans.html

### WDNR – Nine Key Element Website



http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/nonpoint/9keyelementplans.html

#### EPA Handbook for 9 Key Element WS Plans

Handbook for Developing Watershed Plans to Restore and Protect Our Waters

#### 2.6 Nine Minimum Elements to Be Included in a Watershed Plan for Impaired Waters Funded Using Incremental Section 319 Funds

Although many different components may be included in a watershed plan, EPA has identified nine key elements that are critical for achieving improvements in water quality. ( Go

What Does This Mean?

Shows you where one or more of the nine minimum elements are specifically discussed.

to www.epa.gov/owow/nps/cwact.html for a copy of the FY 2004 Guidelines for the Award of Section 319 Nonpoint Source Grants to States and Territories).

EPA requires that these nine elements be addressed in watershed plans funded with incremental Clean Water Act section 319 funds and strongly recommends that they be

included in all other watershed plans intended to address water quality impairments. In general, state water quality or natural resource agencies and EPA will review watershed plans that provide the basis for section 319-funded projects. Although there is no formal requirement for EPA to approve watershed plans, the plans must address the nine elements discussed below if they are developed in support of a section 319-funded project.

In many cases, state and local groups have already developed watershed plans for their rivers, lakes, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and coastal waters. If these existing plans contain the nine key elements listed below, they can be used to support section 319 work plans that contain projects extracted from the plan. If the existing plans do not address the nine elements, they can still provide a valuable framework for producing updated plans. For example, some watershed management plans contain information on hydrology, topography, soils, climate, land uses, water quality problems, and management practices needed to address water quality problems but have no quantitative analysis of current pollutant loads or load reductions that could be achieved by implementing targeted management practices. In this case, the plan could be amended by adding this information and other key elements not contained in the original plan. If separate documents support the plan and the nine elements listed below but

http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/handbook\_index.cfm

#### UW-Ext Citizen's Guide to Watershed Planning



#### Download Guide





The Framework for Protecting or Restaring Local Water Resources

The guide and this website were developed for YOU. While our state (DNR) or federal (EPA) agencies are often looked to to "fix" our water quality problems, we recognize that grassroots efforts, involving local citizens with a passion for their local waterbodies, are the key to making improvements and being stewards of their water resources. This guide to watershed planning is written with the grassroots approach in mind. It offers processes, tips, lists, resources and other information to assist you in writing and implementing a watershed plan that will provide the framework for protecting or restoring local water resources.

#### Take some time to explore this site and the resources we've collected.

On this website, you'll find links to resources to help you on your plan writing path. We've collected example plans that we think are well written and can serve as guides as you write your own plan. Additionally, we've added links to other plan writing guidance and a host of information and data sources you might tap into for information specific to your watershed. Natural Resources Educator University of Wisconsin-Extension daniel.zerr@uwex.edu (715) 836-5513 @

You may also contact your local Natural Resources Educator for additional questions on watershed planning.



#### UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-EXTENSION CONNECT WITH US

#### http://fyi.uwex.edu/watershedplanning/

#### Measuring & Tracking Progress

- National performance measures for NPS Program
  - WQ-9 Estimate annual load reductions of nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment achieved by § 319 funded projects
  - WQ-10 Number of waterbodies primarily NPSimpaired that are partially or fully restored
  - WQ-SP12 Improve water quality conditions in impaired watersheds using the watershed approach



### NPS Web Site

#### dnr.wi.gov – keyword: nonpoint



#### **DNR Contact Info**

#### Andrew Craig – DNR Nonpoint Source Planning Coordinator <u>Andrew.craig@wisconsin.gov</u> (608)267–7695

dnr.wi.gov – keyword: 9 key

http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/nonpoint/9keyelementplans.html



# **NPS Implementation**

"Without a plan, there's no attack. Without attack, no victory."

> -Curtis Armstrong, One Crazy Summer



### NPS & Point Source Partnerships

- NPS plans identify source areas opportunities for BMPs
- Point sources must reduce phosphorus to comply with permit
- Compliance options allow for partnerships



# **Compliance Options Available**

- Minor operational changes to the treatment system
- Construct significant new or upgraded treatment
- Change industrial processes (industrial facilities)
- Water quality standards variance
- Water quality trading
- Adaptive management


#### What is Trading and Adaptive Management?

- Allows point sources to take credit for phosphorus reductions made within their watershed to comply with permit requirements
- Create partnerships to achieve water quality goals in the most economically feasible manner possible
- Voluntary permit compliance option



#### A Closer Look at Adaptive Management

- Compliance option focusing on water quality improvements
- Allows point sources to work with other sources of phosphorus in the watershed
- Goal: To reduce overall phosphorus loads so that water quality criteria can be attained



# The Concept:

 Facility J has a phosphorus WQBEL equal to 0.075 mg/L.





# The Concept:

- Facility J has a phosphorus WQBEL equal to 0.075 mg/L.
- The receiving water is exceeding the phosphorus criteria.

0.041 - 0.075
0.076 - 0.100
0.101 - 0.235





# The Concept:

- Facility J has a phosphorus WQBEL equal to 0.075 mg/L.
- The receiving water is exceeding the phosphorus criteria.



- A watershed plan is developed to improve water quality and reduce sources of P from:
  - Barnyards
  - Urban areas
  - Cropland
  - Natural features

Other





#### Keys to Adaptive Management

- Adaptive management has a 10-15 year project life
- Less restrictive interim limits are included in permit instead of the restrictive WQBEL
- In-stream monitoring required
- Adaptive management can be rolled over into water quality trading if insufficient water quality improvements are demonstrated



#### A Closer Look at Water Quality Trading

- End of pipe pollutant offset
- Water quality trading is an exchange of pollutant reduction credits
- A buyer with a high pollutant control cost can purchase pollutant reduction or treatment from a willing seller
- Buyer applies credits towards compliance with a permit limit



# Example:

 Facility A has a phosphorus WQBEL equal to 0.075 mg/L. They need offset 250 lbs of P/mo to comply.





# Example:

- Facility A has a phosphorus WQBEL equal to 0.075 mg/L. They need offset 250 lbs of P/mo to comply.
- Facility B adds treatment to comply with their own permit limits and is able to sell 100 lbs of P/mo to Facility A.





# Example:

- Facility A has a phosphorus WQBEL equal to 0.075 mg/L. They need offset 250 lbs of P/mo to comply.
- Facility B adds treatment to comply with their own permit limits and is able to sell 100 lbs of P credit/mo to Facility A.
- Facility A also works with a non-permitted urban area to implement of series of practices in the watershed to buy 150 lbs of P credit/mo.





## Keys to Trading

- Trade ratio is required to quantify credits to ensure trades result in water quality improvement
  - Minimum trade ratio is 1.2 : 1 for point to nonpoint source trades
  - Minimum trade ratio is 1.1 : 1 for point to point source trades
- Geographic extent
  - Trades should occur upstream of credit user
  - If downstream trades occur, they should occur within same HUC-12
    - Additional trade ratio factor apply
- Timing
  - Practices must be established and effective before they generate credit
  - Typically cannot take credit for past practices



#### **Benefits of Adaptive Management**

#### Time

- Don't have to generate credits as they can be used
- More restrictive WQBELs will be included in third permit term if water quality improvements not demonstrated
- Flexibility
  - Can adjust plans as you gain more experience
  - Flexibility in quantifying offset requirements and interim success
  - Can always switch to a different option if AM doesn't work, including trading
- Ancillary environmental benefits such as wellhead protection, flood retention, riparian improvement and habitat.



#### **Benefits of Trading**

- Certainty
  - A "1, 2, 3" process- calculate the offset, do the offset, and meet your limit
  - Compliance not dependent on criteria attainment
- Potential pollutants
  - Can look at both TSS and P trades
- Experience
  - Trading has already been done in Wisconsin and in other states
- Ancillary environmental benefits such as wellhead protection, flood retention, riparian improvement and habitat.



### Map of AM/WQT Projects

DEPT OF NATURAL RESOURCES



http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/SurfaceWater/AmWqtMap.html

#### Available Guidance

#### Adaptive Management Technical Handbook Released: 01/07/2013

http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/SurfaceWater/AdaptiveManagement.html (topic keyword: "adaptive management")

Implementing Water Quality Trading in WPDES Permits Released: 08/21/2013

> Water Quality Trading How-To Manual Released: 09/09/2013

http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/SurfaceWater/WaterQualityTrading.html (topic keyword: "water quality trading")



### AM/WQT DNR Webinar Series

#### Watershed-Based Phosphorus Compliance Strategies Webinar Series

This four-part webinar series builds on previous years' offerings to feature case studies, water quality trading and adaptive management examples, and support tools designed to aid in decision making.

Part 1: The Great Phosphorus Compliance Adventure Wednesday, January 21 • 11am-12pm WEBINAR COMPLETE-View archived recording and presentation slides



Part 2: Case studies: Opportunities for Adaptive Management and Water Quality Trading to be Successful Wednesday, February 18 • 11am-12pm

Part 3: EVAAL Model Overview Wednesday, March 18 • 11am-12pm

Part 4: Using the P Trade Report in SNAP+ Wednesday, April 22 • 11am-12pm http://fyi.uwex.edu/nrwebinars/

#### Archived Webinars:

http://fyi.uwex.edu/nrwebinars/category/previous-webinars/previous-water/

### AM & WQT DNR Contacts

Location	<b>Contact Information</b>	DNR Office/Email
Statewide	Amanda Minks	<u>Amanda.Minks@Wisconsin.gov</u>
coordinators	Kevin Kirsch	<u>Kevin.Kirsch@Wisconsin.gov</u>
	Andrew Craig	Andrew.Craig@Wisconsin.gov
Northern District	Lonn Franson	Lonn.Franson@Wisconsin.gov
Southern District– West	Amy Schmidt	<u>Amy.Schmidt@Wisconsin.gov</u>
Southern District- East	Mark Riedel TBD	Mark.Riedel@Wisconsin.gov
Eastern District	Keith Marquardt	KeithA.Marquardt@Wisconsin.gov
Western District	Mike Vollrath	Michael.Vollrath@Wisconsin.gov

#### http://dnr.wi.gov

keywords: "adaptive management", "water quality trading"

#### Start Implementation Plan

- 1. Identify the causes and sources
- 2. Describe management measures that need to be implemented
- 3. Estimate the load reductions expected from selected management measures



# Model Comparison





#### Model Comparison Overview

- What is a model?
- Why use a model?
- Types/characteristics
- Approach
- Overviews



#### What is a model?

A model is a simplified,

#### yet translatable definition of the landscape and its processes

Average Annual Soil Loss = R × K × L × S × C × P



#### What is a model?

- Simplified assumptions of environmental processes
- Idealized formulation that represents the response of a physical system to an external stimuli
- Inputs, parameters, boundary conditions, equations



## Why use a model?

- Explain scientific phenomena
  What happened?
- Predict outcomes & behavior
  - Why did it happen?
- Inform decision making process





http://plumcreek.tamu.edu/our-watershed/

### **Model Categories**

- Type
- Scale
- Land use setting
- Complexity



# Туре

#### Landscape models

- Runoff of water and pollutants on and through the land surface
- Receiving water models
  - Flow of water through streams and into lakes
  - Transport, deposition, and transformation in receiving waters
- Watershed models
  - Combination of landscape and receiving water models



### Scale

- Regional Basin
- Field









Regional





#### Land use setting

- Agricultural
- Urban
- Mixed land use









# Complexity

#### Low

- Screening
- Risk potential
- Long-term averages
- Large geographic scope
- Little to no variation in space and time
- Little data required
- Medium
  - More process-based
  - Monthly or annual averages
  - May vary in time and space
  - Some data required
- High
  - Process-based
  - Daily (or less) representation of system
  - Variation in time and space (more than one dimension)
  - A lot of data required

### Complexity



### General Modeling Approach

- Selection
  - Question to answer, data availability, watershed characteristics, experience, time/money
- Development
  - Conceptualization, input data, scenarios
- Evaluation
  - Check results, calibration, validation
- Application
  - Answer specific question
  - Try scenarios



# "All models are wrong; some models are useful"

# -George E.P. Box



#### Start Implementation Plan

- 1. Identify the causes and sources
- 2. Describe management measures that need to be implemented
- 3. Estimate the load reductions expected from selected management measures



#### **Models Overview**



#### Models



### SPARROW



Name:	Spatially-referenced Regression on Watershed Attributes
Developer:	USGS
Website:	http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/sparrow/
Overview:	The SPARROW model relates in-stream water-quality measurements to spatially referenced characteristics of watersheds, including contaminant sources and factors influencing terrestrial and aquatic transport. It empirically estimates the origin and fate of contaminants in river networks and quantifies uncertainties in model predictions.
Туре:	Watershed
Scale:	Regional – Watershed (HUC10–HUC12)
Land use:	Mixed
Complexity:	Low
Format:	Online viewers; download tabular data





DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES

http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2009/3019/pdf/fs\_2009\_3019.pdf
### SPARROW – Mapper





#### http://wim.usgs.gov/SparrowMRB3/SparrowMRB3mapper.html#

### SPARROW – DSS



#### U.S. Department of the Interior | U.S. Geological Survey

JRL: http://water.usgs.gov/nawga/sparrow/dss/

Page Contact Information: SPARROW DSS Administrator

Page Last modified: 09/14/2014 19:26:16 (Version: 1.4.32.26 (09/14/2014 19:26:16) - Release)





#### http://cida.usgs.gov/sparrow/

## SPARROW

### Main Uses

- Predicting long-term average values of water characteristics, such as concentrations and amounts of selected constituents that are delivered to downstream receiving waters
- Decision Support System based on existing or hypothetical source contributions
- Screening tool
- Limitations/Cautions
  - Limited long-term monitoring data
  - Coarse data inputs
  - Base year 2002



## PRESTO



Name:	Pollutant-Load Ratio Estimate Tool
Developer:	WDNR
Website:	http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/SurfaceWater/presto.html
Overview:	PRESTO is a GIS-based tool that compares the average annual phosphorus loads originating from point and nonpoint sources within a watershed. The comparison provides a screening tool for industrial and municipal dischargers to determine one of the conditions of eligibility for adaptive management as part of s. NR 217.18, Wisconsin Administrative Code.
Туре:	Watershed
Scale:	Basin
Land Use:	Mixed (Ag)
Complexity:	Low
Format:	ArcGIS Toolbox; results for statewide outfalls on web; web-based version under development





Watershed<br/>DelineationEffluent<br/>AggregationPollutant<br/>RunoffImage: State of the state o







Red Cedar River Watershed (HUC 08, 1,890 mi<sup>2</sup>) 20 Outfalls Village of Almena WWTP Upstream Watershed: 32.9 mi<sup>2</sup> Point to Nonpoint Phosphorus Load Ratio

presto!



#### **PRESTO-Lite**

#### A Watershed Delineation and Characterization Tool for Integration into Geocortex Applications



#### Based on user-defined point, upstream watershed report is produced



#### Based on user-defined point, upstream watershed report is produced

#### Adaptive Management Results – Facilities Discharging to the Wild River Watershed

Facility Name	Permit #	Outfall #	Waste Type	Receiving Water	2010-2012 Avg. Phosphorus Load (lbs.)
Wastewater Plant ABC	001000	001	Municipal	Unnamed Tributary	167
Paper Mill XYZ	002000	001	Industrial	Clear Creek	166
Cheese Plant 123	003000	003	Industrial	Wild River	167

#### Watershed Analysis Limitations

- 1. This analysis relies on pre-defined catchments and may not delineate from the exact location required. When assessing phosphorus loads for specific facility in support of efforts such as adaptive management, care should be taken to ensure that additional downstream point sources do not exist. For adaptive management information related to specific facilities please reference the PRESTO website (http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/presto.html)
- 2. If a watershed requires delineation from an exact location the user may use the desktop version of PRESTO that requires ESRI ArcGIS. The PRESTO tool and default datasets can be downloaded at <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/presto.html</u>

WDNR Watershed Report (May 30, 2014)

## PRESTO

### Main Uses

- Delineating watersheds
- Defining a watershed's land cover composition
- Defining the average annual nonpoint phosphorus loading
- Defining annual municipal and industrial phosphorus effluent loading
- determining eligibility for adaptive management
- Screening tool

### Limitations/Cautions

- Only for Wisconsin
- Not accurate for small subbasins, urban areas



# SPARROW vs. PRESTO

- More robust regression equations
- Results for entire US
- Nitrogen
- Allows for basin-wide management scenarios

- Specific to Wisconsin
- Results run for all WI outfalls
- Custom watershed delineation
- Easy to run for new location

PRESTO

AM eligibility

#### SPARROW

### Models



SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool

Name:	Soil Water Assessment Tool
Developer:	USDA ARS & Texas A&M
Website:	http://swat.tamu.edu/
Overview:	SWAT is a physically based continuous simulation model useful for predicting the impact of land management practices on water, sediment, and different agricultural chemical yields from watersheds of various scales and complexities.
Туре:	Watershed
Scale:	Basin
Land use:	Mixed (Ag)
Complexity:	High
Format:	Executable program; ArcSWAT ArcGIS extension; included in BASINS



### SWAT



- Simulates conditions on landscape each day based on climate data
- Input data intensive
- Output information is provided for each subwatershed defined
- Outputs include crop yields, discharge, sediment, & water chemistry

### SWAT Results





### ArcSWAT



## SWAT

### Main Uses

- Predicting the impact of land management decisions on water, sediment, nutrient and pesticide yields
- Evaluating BMPs
- Developing TMDLs
- Evaluating scenarios such as climate change or urbanization

### Limitations/Cautions

- Best for agricultural lands, but fields are not explicit
- Does not spatially locate loadings within subbasin
- Does require calibration



Name:	Hydrological Simulation Program – FORTRAN
Developer:	EPA & USGS
Website:	http://www2.epa.gov/exposure-assessment- models/hspf
Overview:	HSPF is a watershed model that simulates nonpoint source runoff and pollutant loadings for a watershed, combines these with point source contributions, and performs flow and water quality routing in reaches.
Туре:	Watershed
Scale:	Basin
Land use:	Mixed
Complexity:	High
Format:	Executable; included in BASINS, WMS



### HSPF





### **HSPF** Results



## HSPF

### Main Uses

- Simulate watershed hydrology and water quality for both conventional and toxic organic pollutants
- Simulate in-stream processes
- Develop TMDLs
- Limitations/Cautions
  - Does not spatially locate loadings within subbasin
  - Extensive setup
  - Not as good for agriculture management practices
  - Requires calibration



# SWAT vs. HSPF

- Better representation of ag land practices
- Explicit plant growth
- Irrigation
- Better user interface

- Toxics
- Better river & lake processes



### Models





Name:	Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating Pollutant Load
Developer:	EPA/Tetra Tech
Website:	http://it.tetratech-ffx.com/steplweb/default.htm
Overview:	STEPL employs simple algorithms to calculate nutrient and sediment loads from different land uses and the load reductions that would result from the implementation of various BMPs. It computes watershed surface runoff; nutrient loads, including nitrogen, phosphorus, and 5-day biological oxygen demand (BOD5); and sediment delivery based on various land uses and management practices.
Туре:	Landscape
Scale:	Basin
Land use:	Mixed
Complexity:	Low
Format:	Software interface for MS Excel



### **STEPL Methods**

- Hydrology curve number approach
- Frosion USLE, urban runoff concentration
- Pollutant load runoff concentration



NRCS Photo/Tim McCabe



CPRblog/Dave Owen



### **STEPL Results**

		_		_										_	_			
AL	B	С	D	E	F	G	H H		J	I K	L	M 1	I N	0	P	Q	R	
	Total Load This is the summary of annual nutrient and sediment load for each subwatershed. This sheet is initially protected.																	

#### 1. Total load by subvatershed(s)

₩atershe	N Load	P Load	BOD Load	Sediment	N	Р	BOD	Sediment	N Load	P Load	BOD (with	Sediment	×N	%P	%BOD	%Sed
d	(no BMP)	(no BMP)	(no BMP)	Load (no	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	(with	(with	BMP)	Load	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio
				BMP)	n	n	n	n	BMP)	BMP)		(with	n	n	n	n
	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	ti'year	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	tlyear	lb/year	lb/year	lbiyear	t/year	%	%	%	%
W1	1287.4	495.7	2574.9	804.7	1223.1	470.9	2446.1	764.4	64.4	24.8	128.7	40.2	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
Total	1287.4	495.7	2574.9	804.7	1223.1	470.9	2446.1	764.4	64.4	24.8	128.7	40.2	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0

2. Total loa				
Sources	N Load (Ib/yr)	P Load (Ib/yr)	BOD Load (Ib/yr)	Sediment Load (t/yr)
Urban	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cropland	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pastureland	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Forest	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Feedlots	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Septic	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gully	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Streambank	64.37	24.78	128.74	40.23
Groundwater	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	64.37	24.78	128.74	40.23



## STEPL

#### Main Uses

- Evaluating pollutant load reductions due to BMPs
- Reporting BMP load reductions for DNR/EPA funded grant requirements
- General what if scenarios

### Limitations/Cautions

- Simple, planning tool
- Based on coarse data, gives rough estimates
- Pollutant loads by land use type
- Annual average values



### L-THIA

Name:	Long Term Hydrologic Impact Analysis
Developer:	Purdue University
Website:	https://engineering.purdue.edu/~lthia/
Overview:	L-THIA estimates changes in recharge, runoff, and nonpoint source pollution resulting from past or proposed development. It estimates long-term average annual runoff for land use and soil combinations, based on actual long-term climate data for that area
Туре:	Landscape
Scale:	Basin
Land use:	Mixed
Complexity:	Medium-Low
Format:	Online viewer/model; ArcGIS extension



### L-THIA online





Active Map Tool: Identify features on-click

Banner photograph credit: Andrea L. Jaeger Michls

Institute of Water Research at Michigan State University, all rights reserved 2015

-76.75551758, 47.00418589

http://35.8.121.111/glwms/

### ArcL-THIA



Create Allocated CN Map2: Combined map of landuse, soil, and precipitation gauges



## L-THIA

### Main Uses

- Easy online model for load estimating
- Evaluating pollutant load reductions due to BMPs
- General what if scenarios
- Limitations/Cautions
  - Simple, planning tool
  - Based on coarse data, give rough estimates
  - Pollutant loads by land use type
  - Annual average values



# STEPL vs. L-THIA

- Easy-to-use spreadsheet
- Numerous BMPs
- EPA supported

- Online interface
- Automatically determines land use and soils
- GIS interface





### Models



### **EVAAL**



Name:	Erosion Vulnerability Assessment for Agricultural Lands
Developer:	WDNR
Website:	http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/nonpoint/evaal.html
Overview:	EVAAL evaluates locations of relative vulnerability to sheet, rill and gully erosion using information about topography, soils, rainfall and land cover. This tool enables watershed managers to prioritize and focus field-scale data collection efforts, thus saving time and money while increasing the probability of locating fields with high sediment and nutrient export for implementation of best management practices.
Туре:	Landscape
Scale:	Basin/Field
Land use:	Agricultural
Complexity:	Medium
Format:	ArcGIS Toolbox



## EVAAL




## EVAAL



# EVAAL

## Main Uses

- Prioritize areas of highest erosion vulnerability
- Visualize general crop rotations
- Identify internally draining areas
- Limitations/Cautions
  - Wisconsin only
  - LiDAR not available for all counties
  - Does not account for tillage, manure, delivery, etc.
  - Erosion must be driving factor of P problems



Name:	High Impact Targeting
Developer:	Michigan State University
Website:	http://www.iwr.msu.edu/hit2/
Overview:	HIT is an on-line tool that allows users to prioritize erosion and sedimentation reduction conservation efforts in the Great Lakes Basin. Users can compare watersheds by total erosion or sediment load, rates of erosion or sediment loading, and the cost benefit of best management practices (BMPs). Users can also view field-level maps, in 2D and 3D, showing areas at high risk for erosion and sediment loading.
Туре:	Landscape
Scale:	Regional – Basin
Land use:	Agricultural
Complexity:	Low
Format:	Online viewer; download model results





## HIT



## WISCONSIN DEPE OF NATURAL RESOURCES

## http://35.9.116.206/hit2/hitmap.htm

## HIT





# HIT

## Main Uses

- Identify areas at risk for erosion and sediment loading
- Assess impacts of BMPs (select watersheds only)

## Limitations/Cautions

- Great Lakes basin only
- Agricultural lands not urban
- No gully, streambank, or wind erosion
- Results not precise, best used in relative manner



# EVAAL vs. HIT

- Specific to Wisconsin
- Uses LiDAR
- Can run analysis on you own data
- Crop rotation info

- Easy to view online
- Gives estimate of sediment delivery
- Apply BMPs (only in Fox/Wolf Basin)





# EVAAL vs. HIT





HIT

## Models



# BARNY

Name:	Barnyard Runoff Model
Developer:	WDNR
Website:	http://datcp.wi.gov/uploads/Environment/xls/BARNY.xls
Overview:	BARNY is used to estimate loads of phosphorus and chemical oxygen demand in stormwater runoff from individual barnyards. It can also evaluate the impacts of buffers.
Туре:	Landscape
Scale:	Field (barnyard)
Land use:	Agricultural
Complexity:	Low
Format:	MS Excel Spreadsheet



# BARNY

## Main Uses

- Evaluating phosphorus export from barnyards
- Evaluating phosphorus load reductions due to barnyard management activities
- Limitations/Cautions
  - Buffer effectiveness pretty good, other calcs questionable
  - Streams flowing across yard are usually over-rated
  - Roof gutter are usually under-rated
  - Good comparison as long as upstream drainages are no larger than the lot itself



# SnapPlus



Name:	Soil Nutrient Application Planner
Developer:	University of Wisconsin
Website:	http://snapplus.wisc.edu/
Overview:	SnapPlus is Wisconsin's nutrient management planning software. By calculating potential soil and phosphorus runoff losses on a field-by-field basis while assisting in the economic planning of manure and fertilizer applications, it provides Wisconsin farmers with a tool for protecting soil and water quality.
Туре:	Landscape
Scale:	Field
Land Use:	Rural (ag)
Complexity:	Medium – High
Format:	Software



P Index: Nutrient Management Planning Information Is Used to Estimate Annual P Delivery to Surface Water



Laura Ward Good

# SnapPlus

## Main Uses

- Determining Phosphorus Index for individual fields
- Testing impacts of management practices on P-Index and soil loss
- Estimating P and sediment load reductions due to management changes for trading

## Limitations/Cautions

- Assumes gulley erosion is addressed
- Assumes field is uniform
- Uses simplified delivery to stream



# Some Additional Models

- Lake Response
  - WiLMS
- Urban
  - WinSLAMM
  - P8



# WiLMS

Name:	Wisconsin Lake Modeling Suite
Developer:	WDNR
Website:	http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/model/
Overview:	WiLMS model is a lake water quality-planning tool. Non- point source phosphorus loading is predicted using export coefficients; point-sources can be included as well. The model uses an annual time step and predicts spring overturn (SPO), growing season mean (GSM) or annual average (ANN) total phosphorus concentration in lakes. Trophic response parameters (e.g., chlorophyll) are estimated.
Туре:	Watershed
Scale:	Basin
Land use:	Mixed
Complexity:	Low-Medium
Format:	Software



# WinSLAMM

WISCONSIN DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES



Name:	Source Loading and Management Model for Windows
Developer:	PV & Associates
Website:	http://winslamm.com/
Overview:	WinSLAMM was developed to evaluate nonpoint source pollutant loadings in urban areas using small storm hydrology. The model determines the runoff from a series of normal rainfall events and calculates the pollutant loading created by these rainfall events. The user is also able to apply a series of control devices to determine how effectively these devices remove pollutants.
Туре:	Landscape
Scale:	Basin
Land use:	Urban
Complexity:	Medium
Format:	Proprietary software (fee)

	Name:	Program for Predicting Polluting Particle Passage thru Pits, Puddles, & Ponds
	Developer:	William W. Walker, Jr., Ph.D.
	Website:	http://wwwalker.net/p8/
	Overview:	P-8 is a model for predicting the generation and transport of storm water runoff pollutants in urban watersheds. The model has been developed for use by engineers and planners in designing and evaluating runoff treatment schemes for existing or proposed urban developments. The model is used to examine the water quality implications of alternative treatment objectives.
	Туре:	Landscape
	Scale:	Basin
	Land use:	Urban
	Complexity:	Medium-Low
	Format:	Software
WISCONSIN DEPT. OF NATURAL	LRESOURCES	

# WinSLAMM vs. P8

- Stormwater control practices
- Ongoing updates
- Developed in WI

- Free
- Allows % impervious as input



# DNR Web Maps & Online Data



# DNR Web Maps & Online Data

- Interactive Web Mapping Applications
- Online information and data
- GIS Data



## **Interactive Web Maps**

List can be found here:

• <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/maps/gis/applist.html</u>

- Surface Water Data Viewer
- Lakes & AIS Viewer
- Watershed Restoration Viewer



## Surface Water Data Viewer (SWDV)

Education



Welcome to the Surface Water Data Viewer (SWDV), a Wisconsin DNR data delivery system that provides interactive webmapping tools for a wide variety of datasets including chemistry (water, sediment), physical, and biological (macroinvertebrate, aquatic invasives) data.

Licenses & Regulations

The new interactive web mapping application for surface water resources has nearly all the capabilities as the old version as well as a number of new features. One major difference between the old and new versions is that the new interface has tabs which group similar



Contact

🔎 - 🖒 🔣 Surface Water Data Viewer(...

Topics

Little St.Germain Lake, L. Helmuth

sets of tools (similar to MS Word or Excel). Turning on layers, panning and zooming are more seamless; with much shorter page loading times. Other new features include more drawing tools, the ability to add a CSV or Shapefile, and the ability to change coordinate systems.

Recreation

**NOTE:** This site is best viewed with Internet Explorer 8 or higher. For best performance, a high speed Internet connection is recommended. Dialup connection to this site is not recommended. **This site uses the Microsoft Silverlight plug-in for your web browser. If you do not have the Silverlight plug-in installed, you will be prompted to install it.** 

#### Overview Wetlands Dams Floodplains Designated Waters Construction Permits Fish Advice

#### Overview

M http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/swdv/

Business

🗴 🍕 Convert 👻 🛃 Select

Welcome to the Surface Water Data Viewer (SWDV), an interactive mapping tool providing primarily statewide water-related data. The SWDV has five different "themes" or versions, all of which are available through links below. The first is the general theme in which you manually select the datalayers you would like to view. The other themes are wetlands, dam safety, floodplain and designated waters.

Launch application: Surface Water Data Viewer Web Mapping Application

#### Handy Links

- SWDV Updates & Help Documents
- Data Layer Inventory
- SWDV Feedback Survey Results

Last revised: Tuesday December 17 2013

### SWDV

Join DNR

SWIMS help guides About the SWIMS database.

Search or Keywords Q

- 0 ×

命大戀

SWIMS data model

Projects, monitoring stations, fieldwork events, finding data.

Surface water viewer Launch Application: 100 Here

Great Lakes data Beach stations, projects, grants, and data.

River & stream data Stations, projects, results.

Wetlands data Wetlands data in SWIMS.

Aquatic invasives Aquatic invasives in Wisconsin.

Citizen lake data Explore citizen lake monitoring

Citizen stream data Volunteer stream monitoring.

Wisconsin Data Exchange Water Quality Exchange (WQX) Network:

Contact information For information on this page, contact:

Molli MacDonald 608-266-5242 P SWDV File Manager Water Division



## http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/swdv/



Convert 🔻 🛃 Select								
and Minter Date Viewas				Caseb	_			
ufface water Data viewer			Downstroom	of CTHV I (Station 10021061)			_	
🖀 Basic Tools Identify To	ols Drawing Tools Measuring To	OTION RIVER	- Downstream	0101111 (Station 10031961)				- ^ I
🔺 💊 💶	Store a conce	Zoom to Eestu	ire   Pan to Fea	ture   Add to Selected				
	Pap Zoom in Zoom Out Provi	20011101 eato	le   l'alliorea					
Layers Legend	Exte	Details A	ttributes					- 1
Home Map Layers	Navigation							
Results (5)	⊒ ×	Field Name	F	ield Value				
View History	View Selected >>	SWIMS Stati	on ID 1	0031961				- 11
efine Results   Table View   Cha	arting View   Export to Shapefile							
elect All   Select None	Contraction of the second second	Primary Station	on Name C	Dhion River - Downstream of CTHY I				
N al la		WBIC	5	1200				- 11
Impaired River or Stream								
Metadata	hitoring Station							111
Listing Details Sta	ation ID 10031961							
Station	n Name Onion River - Downstream of CTH	-IY I						
CIDIOD DIMOR DOMING								
Monitoring Station Meta								
Monitoring Station Meta Link to Monitoring Data	specific parameter: <pre> Show All&gt;</pre>			$\checkmark$				
Monitoring Station Meta Link to Monitoring Data	specific parameter: <pre>Show All&gt; nple Results</pre>			~				
Onion River - Downs Monitoring Station Meta Show : Link to Monitoring Data Sar Onion River, (WBIC Proje	specific parameter: Show All> nple Results		Date/Time	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	Species	Result	Units	l
Onion River - Downs Monitoring Station Meta Show : Link to Monitoring Data Onion River, (WBIC River or Stream Metada About the Water	specific parameter: <pre>Show All&gt; mple Results ect &gt; Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_ Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_</pre>	13_CMP13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0	Units C	l
Onion River - Downs Monitoring Station Meta Show : Link to Monitoring Data Onion River, (WBIC River or Stream Metada About the Water WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect > Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR > Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR > Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100	Units C C	l
Onion River - Downs Monitoring Station Meta Show : Link to Monitoring Data Sar Onion River, (WBIC River or Stream Metada About the Water WWTF WWTF WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect PBackground TP monitoring 2012 - WCR Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER CONDUCTIVITY FIELD	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681	Units C C % UMHOS/CM	
Onion River - Downs Monitoring Station Meta Show : Link to Monitoring Data Onion River, (WBIC River or Stream Metada About the Water Unnamed, (WBIC 55 Open Water Metadata	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect PBackground TP monitoring 2012 - WCR Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER CONDUCTIVITY FIELD TEMPERATURE AT LAB	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED	Units C C W UMHOS/CM C	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Show :         Link to Monitoring Data         Show :         Sau         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page         About the	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect Packground TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_ Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER CONDUCTIVITY FIELD TEMPERATURE AT LAB DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86	Units C C W UMHOS/CM C MG/L	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Show :         Link to Monitoring Data         Show :         Sau         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page         About the	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect > Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER CONDUCTIVITY FIELD TEMPERATURE AT LAB DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5	Units C C W UMHOS/CM C MG/L	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Show :         Link to Monitoring Data         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER CONDUCTIVITY FIELD TEMPERATURE AT LAB DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION % PH FIELD PH FIELD	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42	Units C C UMHOS/CM C MG/L % SU	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Show :         Link to Monitoring Data         Show :         Sair         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page         About the         WWTF         WWTF         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page         About the         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER CONDUCTIVITY FIELD TEMPERATURE AT LAB DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION % PH FIELD PHOSPHORUS TOTAL TEANEDADENICY TI JEE	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081	Units C C WHOS/CM C MG/L SU MG/L CM	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show :         Sair         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_ b	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6	Units C C UMHOS/CM C MG/L SU MG/L CM C	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sar         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect > Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE AT ILED	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8	Units C C WHOS/CM C MG/L SU MG/L CM C	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sair         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metads         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect > Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CI OUD COVER	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10	Units C C WIHOS/CM C MG/L SU MG/L CM C C %	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sair         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR Background TP monit	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PH FIELD           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           STREAM FLOW - CFS	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4	Units C C WIHOS/CM C MG/L SU MG/L CM C C SSU CFS	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sail         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County         WWTF         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER CONDUCTIVITY FIELD TEMPERATURE AT LAB DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION % PH FIELD PHOSPHORUS TOTAL TRANSPARENCY TUBE TEMPERATURE FIELD AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD CLOUD COVER STREAM FLOW - CFS CONDUCTIVITY FIELD	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574	Units C C WIHOS/CM C MG/L SU MG/L CM C C C SU WG/L CM C C SU UMHOS/CM	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sail         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page         About the         WWTF         Open Water Metadata         County         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_ Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED	Units C C WMHOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L CM C C C C % CFS UMHOS/CM C	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Show:         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sail         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page         About the         WWTF         Sheboygan         County         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_ P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_ Background TP monitoring 2012 - W	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           STREAM FLOW - CFS           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2	Units C C WhOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L CM C C C C % CFS UMHOS/CM C MG/L	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sait         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE FIELD           OLUD COVER           STREAM FLOW - CFS           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %	Species	<b>Result</b> 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3	Units C C Whos/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L C C C S UMHOS/CM C C S UMHOS/CM C MG/L %	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Sail         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE FIELD           OLUD COVER           STREAM FLOW - CFS           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1	Units C C WIHOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L CM C C SU UMHOS/CM C MG/L % SU	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Ink to Monitoring Data         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           COUD COVER           STREAM FLOW - CFS           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PH STELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1 0.103	Units C C WIHOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L CM C C SU WHOS/CM C C SU MHOS/CM SU SU MG/L	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Ink to Monitoring Data         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County         WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results ect P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           COUDUCTIVITY FIELD           COUDUCTIVITY FIELD           DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1 0.103 35.0	Units C C WIHOS/CM C MG/L SU MG/L C C SU MG/L SU MG/L SU MG/L CM	
Onion River - Downs         Monitoring Station Meta         Link to Monitoring Data         Show:         Intervention         Onion River, (WBIC         River or Stream Metada         About the Water         Unnamed, (WBIC 55         Open Water Metadata         Lake Page About the         Sheboygan         County         WWTF         WWTF <tr< td=""><td>specific parameter: Show All&gt; mple Results  ect  P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR</td><td>13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13</td><td>Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM</td><td>DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PH FIELD           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PH FIELD     <td>Species</td><td>Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1 0.103 35.0 21.83</td><td>Units C C WMHOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L C C C SU MHOS/CM C C SU MHOS/CM C C MG/L % SU MG/L C M C C M C C M C C M C C SU C SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU</td><td></td></td></tr<>	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results  ect  P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM 00/24/2012 10:45 AM	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PH FIELD           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PH FIELD <td>Species</td> <td>Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1 0.103 35.0 21.83</td> <td>Units C C WMHOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L C C C SU MHOS/CM C C SU MHOS/CM C C MG/L % SU MG/L C M C C M C C M C C M C C SU C SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU</td> <td></td>	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1 0.103 35.0 21.83	Units C C WMHOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L C C C SU MHOS/CM C C SU MHOS/CM C C MG/L % SU MG/L C M C C M C C M C C M C C SU C SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU SU	
Conton River - Downs Monitoring Station Meta Show: Link to Monitoring Data Onion River, (WBIC River or Stream Metada About the Water Unnamed, (WBIC 55 Open Water Metadata Lake Page About the Sheboygan County WWTF WWTF WWTF WWTF WWTF WWTF WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results  ect  P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR Backgrou	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 10/23/2012 02:20 PM 09/24/2012 10:45 AM 09/24/2012 00:45 AM 09/24/2012 00:45 AM 00/24/2012 00:45 AM	DNR Parameter         TEMPERATURE FIELD         AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD         CLOUD COVER         CONDUCTIVITY FIELD         TEMPERATURE AT LAB         DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD         OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %         PH FIELD         PHOSPHORUS TOTAL         TRANSPARENCY TUBE         CLOUD COVER         STREAM FLOW - CFS         CONDUCTIVITY FIELD         TEMPERATURE AT LAB         DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD         OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %         PH FIELD         TEMPERATURE AT LAB         DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD         OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %         PH FIELD         TEMPERATURE AT LAB         DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD         OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %         PH FIELD         PHOSPHORUS TOTAL         TRANSPARENCY TUBE         TEMPERATURE FIELD         MBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1 0.103 35.0 21.83 19.50	Units C C WMJOS/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L CM C C C SU UMHOS/CM C C SU UMHOS/CM C SU MG/L SU SU MG/L C C	
Conton River - Downs Monitoring Station Meta Show: Link to Monitoring Data Onion River, (WBIC River or Stream Metada About the Water Unnamed, (WBIC 55 Open Water Metadata Lake Page About the Sheboygan County Sheboygan County WWTF WWTF WWTF WWTF WWTF	specific parameter: Show All> mple Results  ect  P Background TP monitoring 2012 - WCR_ Background TP monitoring 2012 - W	13_CMP 13 13_CMP 13	Date/Time           10/23/2012 02:20 PM           09/24/2012 10:45 AM           09/24/2012 10:45	DNR Parameter           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           CLOUD COVER           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           DISSOLVED OXYGEN, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE FIELD           CONDUCTIVITY FIELD           TEMPERATURE AT LAB           DISSOLVED OXYGEN FIELD           OXYGEN, DISSOLVED, PERCENT OF SATURATION %           PH FIELD           PHOSPHORUS TOTAL           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TRANSPARENCY TUBE           TEMPERATURE FIELD           TEMPERATURE FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD           AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE - FIELD	Species	Result 12.0 14.5 100 681 ICED 9.86 91.5 8.42 0.081 52.6 11.2 12.8 10 6.4 574 ICED 10.2 93.3 8.1 0.103 35.0 21.83 19.50 100	Units C C Whos/CM C MG/L % SU MG/L C C C C C Whos/CM C C SU UMHOS/CM C SU UMHOS/CM C C C SU MG/L % SU MG/L %	

\_ 0 X

📚 Map Layers 📵 Results (5)

Terms of Use DNR Website | SWIMS | Comments |

## Add Data



## Lakes & AIS Viewer

DEPT, OF NATURAL RESOURCES





~

🔎 - 🖒 📴 Lakes & AIS Mapping Tool

## 🗶 🍕 Convert 👻 🛃 Select

#### Lakes & AIS Mapping Tools Search ... 8 7 **Basic Tools** Identify Tools Drawing Tools Measuring Tools Find Location Maps & Data Help 差 Volunteer Water Quality Monitoring Station × Show Home Show Feedback Layers Legend Zoom to Feature | Pan to Feature | Copy to Drawing | Add to Selected Table of Content Help 20 Map Layers Attributes Details Links Field Name Field Value ٠ Operational Layers OBJECTID 3678 Boat Access Loc UPPER Station ID 643173 MIGHIGAN I ✓ Monitoring Station Type LAKE I ✓ Satellite Derived I Education & Out Secondary Station Type DEEPEST SPOT - 0 X 🔹 🖌 Invasive Aquatic 4 🗈 🧭 https://dnx.wisconsin.gov/swims/public/reporting.do?type=10&action=post&station| 🔎 + 🔒 🖒 🛛 🧾 😂 Lake Water Quality 2014 An... 🤅 Station Na 🔹 🖌 Invasive Fish 🗴 🍋 Convert 🝷 🛃 Select Project Ty 🔹 🖌 Invasive Invertel Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 🔹 🖌 Invasive Wetland Project Su Lake Water Quality 2014 Annual Report I √ Grants Status Fence Lake Lake Type: DRAINAGE + Dams Vilas County **DNR Region: NO** Last Moni Waterbody Number: 2323000 **GEO Region:NE** 🔹 🖌 Inland Water Res Site Name Storet # SHAPE I ✓ Wetlands Fence Lake - Deep Hole-North 643173 I Geographic & P( MONUT SD CHL TP Date SD Hit TSI TSI TSI Lake Clarity Color Perception + ✓ Cadastral Boundaries (ft) (m) Bottom (SD) (CHL) (TP) Level 06/17/2014 1.41 6.17 37 42 - Base Maps ✓ Cities, Roads, & Waterways Date Project **Data Collectors** Public Lands 06/17/2014 DANIELA GURLIN **Optical Properties of WI Lakes** Air Photos (WROC 2010) SD = Secchi depth measured in feet converted to meters; Chl = Chlorophyll a in micrograms per liter(ug/l); TP = Total phosphorus in ug/l, surface sample only; TSI(SD), TSI Air Photos (NAIP 2008) (CHL), TSI(TP) = Trophic state index based on SD, CHL, TP respectively, Depth measured in feet. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Wisconsin Lakes Partnership Report Generated: 01/15/2015

## Watershed Restoration Viewer

Recreation

Education

http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/restorationviewer/

←

🗶 🌒 Convert 👻 🛃 Select

## P → d M Watershed Restoration Vie... ×

Contact

Topics

Join DNR Search or Keywords Q

## Watershed Restoration Viewer

Licenses & Regulations

### About the Viewer

Business

Welcome to the Watershed Restoration Viewer, a Wisconsin DNR interactive web mapping tool for exploring water quality improvement projects across Wisconsin. The Bureau of Water Quality is continuously working to improve the condition of streams and lakes to provide exceptional aquatic environments for Wisconsinites and beyond. When waters are listed as impaired, we work to improve them through various types of federally supported frameworks such as Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs). When the waters are already exceptional, we protect them for future generations to enjoy. This tool allows users to search and map DNR information regarding water quality with a focus on the places in Wisconsin where the DNR is working with partners to provide exceptional water quality. Within these areas, viewers can explore water quality standards, the current condition of rivers and lakes, and the results of models that the DNR uses to allocate the least amount of resources for the greatest overall improvement in water quality. The map viewer is organized by "themes"—click here for more information about each theme.

**NOTE:** This site is best viewed with Internet Explorer 8 or higher. For best performance, a high speed Internet connection is recommended. Dialup connection to this site is not recommended. This site uses the Microsoft Silverlight plug-in for your web browser. If you do not have the Silverlight plug-in installed, you will be prompted to install it.

### Themes

The Restoration Viewer currently has two primary themes. Please select a theme below to view information about the layers within each.

- Launch the <u>Wisconsin River TMDL Restoration Theme</u>
  - Wisconsin River TMDL Datasets
  - Related Sites: <u>Wisconsin River TMDL</u>
- Launch the <u>Rock River TMDL Restoration Viewer Theme</u>
   Related Sites: <u>Rock River TMDL</u>
- Launch the <u>Healthy Watersheds Assessment Theme</u>
   o Related Sites: <u>Healthy Watersheds</u>

Launch the <u>Statewide TMDL Status Restoration Theme</u>
 <u>Statewide TMDL Status Theme</u>



## http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/restorationviewer/

### **Restoration Viewer**

- 0 X

俞 大 第

Viewer Themes Launch Wisconsin TMDL Launch Statewide TMDL

TMDLs in Wisconsin Learn about Wisconsin's Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) .

Impaired Waters Learn more about Wisconsin's Impaired Waters .

PRESTO About thePollutant Load Ratio Estimation Tool (PRESTO).

TMDL Implementation Learn about Wisconsin's TMDL Implementation

Surface water viewer

SWIMS Database Learn more about the Surface Water Integrated Monitoring System (SWIMS) .

Contact information For information on this page, contact:

Theresa Nelson Restoration Viewer Manager Water Division














## **Online Info & Data**

DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCE





http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/SurfaceWater/

DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES

### Search Waters

M http://dnr.wi.gov/water/waterSearch.aspx     Convert      T      Select	P - C 🕷 Wisconsin Water Search - Fi ×	
Business Licenses 8 Explore Water Waters	& Regulation Recreation Education Topics Contact Join Us <mark>Search or</mark> Lakes Watersheds Basins Impaired Waters Projects	keywords Q Docume
Wisconsin Water Search Enter the name of the water yo	I - Find Rivers, Streams, Lakes, Bays and Harbors u wish to find. Use the export tool to save your data.	Search
Enter Water Name or WBIC Water Type County Watershed Code		Export
Basin Name	Fish &	
Name (Click for (Click for Map) Details)	Mile End Mile Water Size Water Type WBIC County Last Year Monitored Condition	I <u>t Class</u> ORW/ERW
	Feedback     News     Employment     Topics     Legal notices     News     Site requirements	
DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES	Acceptable use policy     101 S. Webster Street PO Box 7921 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7921 608.266.2621	



http://dnr.wi.gov/water/waterSearch.aspx

http://dnr.wi.gov/water/waterDetail.aspx?key=3987353

🔎 – 🖉 👭 Water Detail - Onion River, ... 🗵

Convert	-	Select
---------	---	--------

X

explore wat	ier Wa	iters	Lakes	Watersheds	Basins I	mpaired Water	rs Projec	ts	Documents	
Onion Ri (SH03, S	ver, Mullet H04, SH05	River,	Onion River, Shel	boygan River Wate	ershed	Return to	Search	Go to Wa	atersheds	
Size Segment Natural Co Year Last N General Co Impairmen Pollutants	ver (51200 mmunity Monitored 2 ondition ts include include	)	31.80 Miles 0 - 31.80 Not Determined 2014 Poor This river is <u>impair</u> Degraded Biologic Total Phosphorus	<mark>ed</mark> al Community					ALL DE	
Overview	Conditions	Goals	Monitoring & Projects	Ecosystem Challenges	Fish & Habitat	Photo Gallery	Map Gallery	1		
Overview	N				Counties Trout Water		Shebo	ygan No		

a Cold Water Fish Community stream, Class II trout stream from the headwaters downstream to the top of the Waldo Dam impoundment. A Warm Water Sport Fish Community classification exists from the Waldo Impoundment downstream to the confluence with the Sheboygan River.

Fish and Aquatic I	life	
Current Use	FAL	
Attainable Use	FAL	
Designated Use	Default FAL	

#### ONION RIVER WARM WATER SEGMENT (RM 0.0-31.9)

The lower Onion River extends from the Waldo Dam downstream to its confluence with the Sheboygan River at Rochester Park. It does not completely achieve its potential to support a warm water sport fish community because of water quality and habitat limitations. The reach flows through vast acreage of farmland, where intensive pasturing contributes to erosion and sedimentation. Even light rains, or during periods when the carp are active, the stream becomes turbid, resulting in heavy siltation, and increased nutrient levels due principally to agricultural pollutants (pers. comm. Galarneau). The lower Onion River supports a tolerant warm water fishery with carp, bullhead, northern pike, and green sunfish present.

Overall the Onion River water quality has changed little from the information presented in the Onion River Priority Watershed Plan (WDNR 1981) as compared to our monitoring in 1994. Water quality is still good to excellent in the rivers upstream reaches (above Waldo) and poor in the river's lower reaches. The rivers tributary streams, specifically Belgium Creek and Lima tributary, are severely degraded due to both point and nonpoint sources and ultimately effect the water quality in the Onion River.

The Onion River Priority Watershed Plan (WDNR 1981) reported that both the biotic index samples and the water chemistry samples above the Hingham impoundment were indicative of good to excellent water quality. While samples collected at the downstream end of the watershed (Ourtown Road) rated the river's water quality as poor. Similar results were observed from our 1994 Onion River water quality monitoring (WDNR 1999).

WDNR personnel surveyed the Onion River approximately 1.6 miles downstream of Ourtown Road in July 2000 (River mile 2.8). The stream reach that was surveyed was within the boundaries of the Pinehurst ("The Bull") Golf Course. The fish community rated good



### Monitoring Data

😸 🧉 https://doc.wisconsin.gov/swims/viewStationResults.do?id=45393

D + a C @ dnrx.wisconsin.gov

×

- 8 X

Previous 1-25 of 26 Next

🗶 🍕 Convert 🔻 🛃 Select

#### Monitoring Station

\*

Station ID 10005801 Station Name Hingham Mill Pond

Show specific parameter: Show All>

#### Sample Results

Project	Date/Time	DNR Parameter	Species	Result	Units	Present/Absent	Lab Comments
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	09/15/2011 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.29036500059487	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	09/15/2011 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	09/07/2011 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.40784912895166	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	09/07/2011 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	08/22/2011 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		.883342236360989	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	08/22/2011 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	07/29/2011 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		.471534599468733	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	07/29/2011 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	07/21/2011 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		3.09663297706342	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2011	07/21/2011 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2010	09/28/2010 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.59941598398941	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2010	09/28/2010 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2010	09/12/2010 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.00673314878298	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2010	09/12/2010 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2010	08/27/2010 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		.968748427229305	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2010	08/27/2010 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2009	08/24/2009 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		4.643051	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2009	08/24/2009 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2009	07/07/2009 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.606779	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2009	07/07/2009 12:00 AM	Satellite derived water clarity greater than max depth of lake		N			
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2007-2008	08/28/2008 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.28	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2007-2008	08/03/2007 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.36	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2003-2005	07/25/2004 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		2	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 2003-2005	09/17/2003 12:00 AM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		1.79	FEET		
Satellite Lake Clarity Monitoring 1999-2001	07/09/2001 12:00 PM	Water Clarity - Predicted Secchi Depth Derived from Satellite Imagery		0.40	M		

V



## **GIS** Data

- FTP site:
  - <u>ftp://dnrftp01.wi.gov/geodata</u>
- ArcGIS REST Services Directory
  - <u>http://dnrmaps.wi.gov/arcgis/rest/services/</u>



### FTP GIS Data

(=) @ ftp://dnrftp01.wi.gov/geodata

P ▼ 図 C Ø FTP directory /geodata at d... ×

#### FTP directory /geodata at dnrftp01.wi.gov

To view this FTP site in File Explorer: press Alt, click View, and then click Open FTP Site in File Explorer.

#### Up to higher level directory

02/13/2012	12:00AM	Directory	county bnds
01/18/2007	12:00AM	3,946	dnrlegal.txt
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	DNR geog mgmt units
10/31/2014	12:00AM	Directory	DNR regions
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	drg 100k
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	drg 250k
01/04/2013	12:00AM	Directory	ecological landscapes
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	elevation
08/13/2014	12:00AM	Directory	EVAAL V1 0
04/11/2014	12:00AM	Directory	forestry
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	gcsm
12/11/2014	12:00AM	Directory	hydro 24k
03/21/2014	12:00AM	Directory	hydro va 24k
05/15/2014	12:00AM	Directory	Impaired Waters
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	landcover
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	landnet
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	Landsat ETMPlus mosaic
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	LTAs
11/08/2012	12:00AM	Directory	managed lands
11/14/2014	12:00AM	Directory	metadata
11/06/2009	12:00AM	453	NAD 1983 HARN Transverse Mercator.prj
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	orig veg cover
01/16/2015	03:31PM	Directory	outgoing
05/15/2014	12:00AM	Directory	Outstanding and Exceptional Res Waters
05/01/2013	12:00AM	Directory	PRESTO V1 1
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	projection file
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	quad indexes
11/08/2011	12:00AM	Directory	reed canary grass
01/18/2007	12:00AM	37	schema.ini
06/24/2014	12:00AM	Directory	US Census 2010 Roads
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	watersheds
07/28/2014	12:00AM	Directory	water division
03/19/2014	12:00AM	Directory	wildlife mgmt
03/20/2014	12:00AM	Directory	WI DNR 2014 Metadata
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	WI state outline
01/20/2011	12:00AM	Directory	WI WBD HUCs
		_	

### ArcGIS REST Services Directory

🗧 😥 🥔 http://dn/maps.wi.gov/arcgis/rest/services/ 💫 🖛 🖺 🖉 Fo	lder: / 🐥
ArcGIS REST Services Directory	
Home > services	
JSON   SOAP	
Folder: /	
Current Version: 10.11	
View Footprints In: ArcGIS.com Map	
Folders:	
<u>DW Map Cached</u>	
<u>DW Map Dynamic</u>	
ER Biotics	
FR OPFL	
FR WIS BURN	
LF DML	
PR TRAILS	
<u>RR Sites Map</u>	
<u>Utilities</u>	
• WM CWD	
WM_DMAP	
• WM LMS	
<u>WM_LMS_EDIT</u>	
• WT SWDV	
• WT TMDL	
<u>WY Lakes AIS</u>	
WY PRESTO	



#### WHDPlus



### Watershed Delineation



#### What's this stream like?

- Width
- Gradient
- Discharge
- Temperature
- Connectivity
- Watershed land cover, topography, geology, soils
- Fish community
- ••

Spatial unit: REACH ID = HYDRO ID (Section of stream bounded by confluence or change in HYDRO TYPE)

#### Attributes

S

- HYDRO ID: 200030082
- WBIC: 1248400
- ROW NAME: Blue
   Mounds Creek
- HYDRO TYPE: Stream/River, single-line
- A few more...

### **Attribute Dimensions**



## Attributes

#### Hydrology/temperature

- Groundwater potential
- High capacity wells
- Stream discharge\*
- Stream temperature\*
- Stream Natural Community\*
- Water residence time (lakes)\*

#### Stream network

- Connectivity to Great Lakes, inland lakes, large rivers
- Stream gradient and sinuosity

#### Climate

- Annual precipitation
- Annual, growing season, and July temperature

#### Land Cover

- > 1992 WiscLAND
- > 2001 and 2006 NLCD
- Projected 2020–50
- Pre-settlement

#### Geology/soils/topography

- Soil permeability
- Surficial geology type
- Bedrock depth and type
- Internally drained areas
- Land slope
- Artificial drainage\*
- Runoff curve number
- \*Modeled attribute







	Zoom to Feature   Pan to Feature   Add to Selected			
	Search	Details Attributes		
		Field Name	Field Value	
Find Location Maps & Data Help	Natural Community	Cool-Warm Mainstem	<b>^</b>	
Scale: 1: 163,730 -		Temperature Class	Cool-Warm	
Full Point + Jump to a map bookmark +	New Plot	Hydro ID	200172415	
Location Info Scale & Bookmarks		Reach ID	200172415	
I want to	1	TRW_AREA	1053.69	
1 , when	J.	TEMP_SUMMER_CL_CC	20.48	
$\lambda$ $\lambda$ $\lambda$	2	TEMP_JULY_CL_CC	21.13	
L		TEMP_MAX_CL_CC	24.47	
		TEMP_SUMMER_PL_PC	19.69	
		TEMP_JULY_PL_PC	20.34	
vi ( ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1. 3	TEMP_MAX_PL_PC	25.39	
Last set	1 '2	TEMP_SUMMER_PL_CC	20.34	
the second	1 6	TEMP_JULY_PL_CC	21	
3 - 7 - 7	1 2	TEMP_MAX_PL_CC	25.88	
1 24 1 24 14		QMEAN_SUMMER_CL_CC	196	
		Q05_ANNUAL_CL_CC	583	
		Q10_ANNUAL_CL_CC	493	
		Q25_ANNUAL_CL_CC	339	
ALL TON		Q50_ANNUAL_CL_CC	192	
	- Al	Q75_ANNUAL_CL_CC	161	
	5 2.	Q90_ANNUAL_CL_CC	142	
	1 h	Q95_ANNUAL_CL_CC	131	
	1	Q10_SPRING_CL_CC	514	
my my h	JAS CO	Q50_SPRING_CL_CC	256	
nia. rumanawk		Q90_SPRING_CL_CC	164	
		Q10_SUMMER_CL_CC	283	
	1.	Q50_SUMMER_CL_CC	160	
La contraction of the	15 1	Q90_SUMMER_CL_CC	132	
		Q10_FALL_CL_CC	330	
YY Y Y		Q50_FALL_CL_CC	208	
1 FL		Q90_FALL_CL_CC	145	
.5mi Lat:		Q10_APRIL_CL_CC	439	
	WI Dept, of M	Q50_APRIL_CL_CC	290	
ms of Use DNR Website   SWIMS   Comments		OOD APRIL CL CC	105	•

🔄 💮 📴 http://dnrmaps.wi.gov/sl/?Viewer=SWDV		🔎 🕆 🖒 🕎 Surface Water Data Viewer 🛛 🛪
🗴 🍖 Convert 🔻 🔂 Select		
Surface Water Data Viewer		Search
Basic Tools Identify Tools Drawing Tools Me	easuring Tools Find Location	Maps & Data Help
Home Show Show Layers Legend Home Map Layers Naviga	Out Previous Full Extent State Location	Scale: 1: 163,730 + Sum + Jump to a map bookmark + New Info Scale & Bookmarks
😻 Map Layers	😣 📧 🛛 want to 🕶	
Layer Theme: Surface Water (default)	-	E ( and
Show Legend	Filter	
<ul> <li>Natural Community Modeling</li> <li>Lake Natural Communities</li> <li>No Classification</li> <li>Deep Headwater</li> <li>Deep Lowland</li> <li>Deep Seepage</li> <li>Impounded Flowing Water</li> <li>Reservoir</li> <li>Shallow Headwater</li> <li>Shallow Lowland</li> <li>Shallow Seepage</li> <li>Shallow Seepage</li> <li>Small</li> <li>Streams Natural Communities</li> <li><ali> <ali> <ali> <ali> <ali> <ali> <ali> </ali></ali></ali></ali></ali></ali></ali></li> </ul>		
Cool-Cold Headwater     Cool-Cold Mainstem	245	6
Cool-Warm Headwater     Cool-Warm Mainstem	177	3 M
Large River	2.5mi 5km	Lat: >
No Classification	- Terms of Use DNR Web	osite   SWIMS   Comments

# Healthy Watersheds Assessment





## **Healthy Watersheds Assessments**

National EPA effort to help states:

- Rank watersheds based on their level of "health" and "vulnerability"
- Use it comparatively, not Good/Bad
- Based on a range of metrics & datasets
- Geospatial data & modeled predictions
- Broad-level screening tool
- Make strategic decisions for protection
- Wisconsin is one of the early states to do this





Kristi Minahan DNR

WY 8

NE



## **Project Partners**

- WI DNR
- EPA Headquarters
- **EPA** Region 5
- The Nature Conservancy
- USGS
- Cadmus consulting













## Scale





WHDPlus scale

(similar to HUC 16 or NHD+)

- 0.5 km<sup>2</sup> (ave)
- Can also be 'rolled up' to HUC 12, etc.



## Aquatic Ecosystem Health





**Aquatic Ecosystem Health Index** 

High

Low

Hydrologic Condition Sub-Index Habitat Condition/Geomorphology Sub-Index Water Quality Sub-Index Biological Condition Sub-Index

Miles

100

50

75

#### **SUBINDICES**



## Watershed Vulnerability

Climate Change Projected change in: Runoff\*

Phosphorus\*

Nitrogen\*

Sediment\*

Land Use Change

Projected change in Land cover\* Water Use

High capacity wells

Groundwater dependent ecosystems



## Aquatic Ecosystem Health

## Vulnerability



## Combine Health & Vulnerability Scores...



## Combine Health & Vulnurability Scores...



#### **Protection Priority**

 25% Most Healthy & 25% Most Vulnerable

 50% Most Healthy & 50% Most Vulnerable

 Restoration Priority

 25% Least Healthy & 25% Least Vulnerable

 50% Least Healthy & 50% Least Vulnerable

 0
 25
 50

 100 Miles

## Applications

- County/ Regional Planning
- Watershed/Lake Planning
- Grant criteria
- Wetland assessment and mitigation
- Protecting lands







## **Healthy Watersheds Website**

#### Download:

- Final Report
- PDF maps
- Shapefiles
- Raw data

### Online Mapping Tool

- Zoom to your watershed
- Select map layers
- See ranking scores



http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Watersheds/HWA.html





SHAPE

Polygon

WISCONSIN DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES

# EVAAL





### TMDLs Statewide





### TMDL Results

#### Total Phosphorus (lbs/acre/year)



GreenBay



### Watershed



- 23 square miles
- 187 farms
- 1,129 fields

- Erosion Vulnerability Assessment for Agricultural Lands
- GIS-based model
- Vulnerability to erosion and nutrient export
- Deprioritizes internally draining areas



Erosion Vulnerability Assessment for Agricultural Lands


#### **EVAAL System Requirements**

- Windows operating system
- ArcGIS Desktop 10.1 or 10.2



- ArcGIS Spatial Analyst 10.1 or 10.2
- 1.5 GB RAM minimum
- Does not require any installation, but does need write access to file folder



#### **Available Datasets**



WISCONSIN DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES

#### LiDAR Data



#### **Elevation (feet)**

1000



650

## Digital Dams











#### Crop Data



WISCONSIN DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES

http://nassgeodata.gmu.edu/CropScape/

#### **Crop** Rotations



## C-C-S-C-C, C-S-C-S-C, S-C-C-S-C, C-C-C-S, S-S-S-C = Cash Grain Rotation





## Soils – gSSURGO

DEPT. OF NATL



Soil Erodibility
0.49
0.02

#### 10 meter resolution



#### **Erosion Vulnerability Analysis**

# USLE + SPI - IDA









Erosion Vulnerability Assessment for Agricultural Lands



Sheet and rill erosion

# A = RK(LS)CP

- Rainfall erosivity
- Soil erodibility
- Slope/Slope-Length
- Cover factor
- Practice Factor













Sheet and rill erosion





Sheet and rill erosion

Constant Constant

 $A = \frac{RK(LS)CP}{RK(LS)CP}$ 

A = K(LS)C



Sheet and rill erosion









#### **Stream Power Index**

#### Potential for gully erosion

## SPI = f(slope, catchment area)





#### **Internally Draining Areas**

Areas that do not contribute to surface waters



#### **Internally Draining Areas**

#### Areas that do not contribute to surface waters



DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES





#### Erosion Vulnerability







#### Erosion Vulnerability











## Limitations

#### We can't model what we don't know

- Tillage
- Manure application
- BMPs
- Erosion must be driving factor
- Does not account for delivery factors or tile drainage
- Cannot "target", rather "prioritize"



#### **EVAAL** Website

Documents
Tutorial Data
ArcToolbox

ArcToolbox	д	×
🚳 ArcToolbox		
🖃 🌍 _EVAAL_		
💐 1. Condition the LiDAR DEM		
💐 2a. Download precipitation data		
💐 2b. Create curve number raster		
💐 2c. Identify internally draining areas		
💐 3. Recondition DEM for internally draining a	reas	;
💐 4. Calculate Stream Power Index		
💐 5a. Rasterize K-factor for USLE		
💐 5b. Rasterize C-factor for USLE		
💐 5c. Calculate soil loss index using USLE		
§ 6. Calculate erosion vulnerability index	_	

#### 🐸 Business Licenses & Regulations Recreation Education Topics Contact Join DNR Search or Keywords 🖸 🧰

#### Agricultural NPS pollution Erosion Vulnerability Assessment for Agricultural Lands (EVAAL)



for Agricultural Lands

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) Bureau of Water Quality has developed the Erosion Vulnerability Assessment for Agricultural Lands (EVAAL) toolset to assist watershed managers in prioritizing areas within a watershed which may be vulnerable to water erosion (and thus increased nutrient export) and thus may contribute to downstream surface water quality problems. It evaluates locations of relative vulnerability to sheet, rill and gully erosion using information about topography, soils, rainfall and land cover. This tool enables watershed managers to prioritize and focus field-scale data collection efforts, thus saving time and money while increasing the probability of locating fields with high sediment and nutrient export for implementation of best management practices (BMPs).

#### **Erosion Vulnerability Index**

EVAAL was designed to guickly identify areas vulnerable to erosion, and thus more likely to export nutrients like phosphorus, using readily available data and a userfriendly interface. This tool estimates vulnerability by separately assessing the risk for sheet and rill erosion (using the Universal Soil Loss Equation, USLE), and gully erosion (using the Stream Power index, SPI), while deprioritizing those areas that are not hydrologically connected to surface waters (also known as internally drained areas, IDA). These three pieces are combined to produce an erosion vulnerability index value that can be assessed at the grid scale or aggregated to areas, such as field boundaries,

#### EVAAL, Version 1.0 (August 2014)

- Fact Sheet (PDF)
- Tutorial IPOFI
- (Includes installation instructions to be read prior to downloading EVAAL model files)
- Methods Documentation (PDF)
- EVAAL Model Files (mit DNR)
- EVAAL Tutorial Data (FTP site, Z(P Ne format))

#### Contact information

For questions or information about this model, please contact:

Theresa M. Possley Nelson, P.E. TMDL modeling engineer Project manager

Last revised: Friday September 28 201=

# USLE SPI IDA

EROSION VULNERABILITY INDEX

assistance
 Notices of discharge

Nonpoint source

source pollution Learn more about agricultural nonpoint source pollution

Agricultural nonpoint

Urban nonpoint source

Lesm more about urban noncoint

What you can do

Learn more about controlling

nonpoint source pollution in your area TMDL implementation

Learn more about what the DNR is doing to control nonpoint source

**Related links** 

 Wisconsitt Runoff Rules: What Farmers Need to Know (PDF)

· Environmental impacts

NR 151 implemention

Auricultural technical

Financial assistance

standands & assistance

Discharges, complaints 8.

strategy

pollution

pollution

source pollution

pallution

Nonpoint program contacts

#### http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/nonpoint/evaal.html

## **EVAAL Applications**

#### Outagamie County LWCD

- NPS Implementation Plan
  - Rotation analysis
  - Stream Power Index
  - Erosion Vulnerability
- The Nature Conservancy
  - Mullet Creek Watershed
    - Erosion vulnerability to prioritize field inventories
- Engineering Consultants
  - Watershed assessments









# EVAAL

#### >>> LiDAR & DEM processing



#### What is LiDAR?

- Light Detection And Ranging
- A pulsed laser is used to measure distance to earth
- Most often collected by helicopter or airplane
- Results in a continuous grid of elevation points

http://lidar-america.com



#### **Elevation Data**

- Continuous grid = raster data
- File formats:
  - GeoTIFF (.tif)
  - ERDAS Imagine (.img)
  - ESRI raster geodatabase (no extension)
  - LiDAR specifically:
    - Any of above or
    - Point clouds
      - .LAS or .LAZ
      - Requires additional processing



### Resolution

- Often described by the resolution of one grid cell or pixel (e.g., 3 meter, etc.)
- Large effect on fine scale detail of landscape

#### 3 meter LiDAR



1000m (~1/2 mile)



### Resolution

- Often described by the resolution of one grid cell or pixel (e.g., 3 meter, etc.)
- Large effect on fine scale detail of landscape



#### 10 meter

1000m (~1/2 mile)



### Resolution

- Often described by the resolution of one grid cell or pixel (e.g., 3 meter, etc.)
- Large effect on fine scale detail of landscape



1000m (~1/2 mile)





## Availability

- Elevation data is available for the entire state at the 10 meter (30 foot) resolution from the USGS National Elevation Dataset (NED)
- LiDAR in Wisconsin is collected on county by county basis
  - Only certain counties currently have LiDAR coverage, that is 3m (5 ft) resolution



http://www.wisconsinview.org/



#### Can I run EVAAL without LiDAR?

- EVAAL is intended to be used with highresolution elevation data, LiDAR data
- This provides highly detailed maps of where potential areas of erosion exist
- However, Yes, EVAAL can still be used with lower resolution elevation data
- Note: The lower resolution will affect the results!



## **Difference in IDAs**

- Internally drained areas
  - Modeled hydrology is different
  - For example: 80 times more internally drained area with the LiDAR data







## Erosion Vulnerability Difference

- Erosion vulnerability is a relative metric, changes based on which areas are included in the analysis
- Less area included (because more internally drained) means different range of values
- Compared to LiDAR data, erosion vulnerability is more variable, and a slightly higher mean
- NOTE: this relationship may not always hold true





#### **Erosion Vulnerability Difference**

- Beware the relative nature, only looking within the watershed
- Assess only as relative values





## **Elevation Data Processing**

What to do if you are interested in two watersheds next to one another, breaking across county lines, one with LiDAR, one without?



- Mosaic together:
  - 1st: resample the non-LiDAR to the resolution of the LiDAR (resample tool)
  - 2nd: use mosaic tool to fuse together




#### **EVAAL Outputs**

- EVAAL outputs a relative erosion score, take care in assessing output from different model runs!
  - Normalizes values across watershed
  - Cannot compare values from different watersheds
  - Look at relative values for one run
- How to compare across watersheds?
  - Merge USLE, SPI, IDA layers prior to running erosion vulnerability



## EVAAL

#### >>> Culvert processing



## Digital Dams











### **Digital Dams & Culverts**

- Locate depressions
- Create culverts
- Run EVAAL step 1, DEM processing, and check internally draining areas
- Repeat if necessary



#### Create filled DEM

Spatial Analyst Toolbox – Hydrology – Fill



Raw

Filled







- Subtract rawDEM from filledDEM to get depressions (a.k.a. sinks)
  - Some are real
    - Lakes, quarries, etc.
  - Some are product of LiDAR DEM





- How to differentiate between real and "fake" depressions
  - Overlay lakes
  - View only very deep depressions
  - Look for tell-tale flat sided depression (road berm)







- Ditches
- Notice the flat side





- Small streams
- Flat side again





- Completely round...
- Don't bother trying to cut these



- Completely round...
- Don't bother trying to cut these





- Another
- Again, don't bother





- Another
- Again, don't bother





- Quarries
- Lakes •



- Quarries
- Lakes
- Can't cut



#### Create culverts

#### Different approaches:

- Geolocate culverts in your area of interest in the field, prior to digitizing
- View aerial photos and base maps while creating the culvert layer
- After creating a culverts layer, field verify questionable areas



#### **GPS Culvert Locations**





### **Google Street View**





### **Google Street View**





## **Google Street View**



#### **Create Culvert Layer**

#### Shapefile or Feature Class

- Must be Polyline
- Projection
  - NAD\_1983\_HARN\_Transverse \_Mercator
- Edit in ArcMap





#### **Create Culverts**

- Main idea: input culverts to areas that are drained by culverts, bridges, etc.
  - Find sinks that are likely drained by culverts
  - Create a line that represents a culvert
  - Repeat
  - NOTE: this can be a difficult and iterative process. It will take some time to get right and will involve a number of judgment calls.



## Input Culverts

#### Classic case of a 'digital dam'.

- Large puddle shape
- Flat on one side where there is a road
- Most likely a culvert spanning this area
- Actually see where the culvert is





## Input Culverts

- Classic case of a 'digital dam'.
  - Large puddle shape
  - Flat on one side where there is a road
  - Most likely a culvert spanning this area
  - Actually see where the culvert is





Once you've selected the line tool in the create features box:

- Click once on the upstream side and once on the downstream side (in that order)
- We've found it useful to first use the identify tool to make sure the first point is higher in elevation than the second
- After the two points have been selected, push F2 or right-click and click 'Finish sketch' to finish that culvert.

Identify			
Identify from:	♦ raw_LiDAR		
⊡ raw_LiDAR 	8 7		
Identified 2 featur	ec		



## And repeat

- Find the next digital dam and repeat until done
- Skip ponds
- Skip quarries
- Skip wetland-like areas
- Run the first few steps of EVAAL (up from steps 1 and 2a, b and c) to see how the internally drained areas look
- If it looks good (enough), then you're done, if not, add more culverts to trouble areas and rerun



- Layer of internally drained areas...does it match what you'd expect?
- If not, go back, add more or remove some



## EVAAL

#### >>> Other inputs



## Soils

- Gridded Soil Survey Geographic Database, or gSSURGO database
- Freely available from the USDA-NRCS Geospatial Datagateway

http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov/

 Note that this is a statewide dataset and so is very large and can take several hours to download.

Filename: SDM\_State\_WI.gdb



#### **BMP** Layer

#### Digitize BMPs to remove from analysis





## EVAAL





#### Mitigation Opportunity





#### C Factor Adjustments





#### Mitigation Opportunity





#### **Other Scenarios**

# Edit rotation gridEdit C factor table



ROTATION	SCENARIO	C_FACTOR
Cash Grain	High	0.176
Cash Grain	Low	0.010
Continuous Corn	Low	0.005
Continuous Corn	Medium	0.143
Continuous Corn	High	0.300
Dairy Potato Year		0.085
Dairy Rotation	High	0.180
Dairy Rotation	Low	0.006
Pasture/Hay/Grassland	High	0.039
Pasture/Hay/Grassland	Low	0.000
Potato/Grain/Veggie Rotation	Low	0.181
Potato/Grain/Veggie Rotation	High	0.305



### **Overlay EVAAL**

- Existing nutrient management plans
- Soil P
- Animal lots
- Others....





## EVAAL




### Satellite Imagery Analysis

- Determine percentage of crop residue coverage
- Relate to tillage types



### Satellite Imagery Analysis

Normalized Difference Tillage Index
NDTI = (band5 - band7) / (band5 + band7)



"Remote Sensing Of Crop Residue Cover Using Multi-temporal Landsat Imagery" B. Zheng - 2012

### Normalized difference tillage index

 NDTI is positively correlated with crop residue cover and green vegetation



### Iowa Example





# STEPL





### STEPL

- Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating Pollutant Load
- Simple model MS Excel spreadsheet
- Data driven and highly empirical
- Calculates
  - Pollutant loads by land use type and watershed
  - Load reductions from implementation of BMPs
  - Runoff, nitrogen, phosphorus, BOD5, sediment



### **STEPL System Requirements**

- Windows operating system
- MS Excel 2003/2007/2010
- NOT compatible with Windows 7 OS and MS Excel 2007 combination
- 14 MB hard disk space
- Does require installation to a folder with write access



### **STEPL Methods**

- Hydrology curve number approach
- Frosion USLE, urban runoff concentration
- Pollutant load runoff concentration



NRCS Photo/Tim McCabe



CPRblog/Dave Owen



## **STEPL Tools**

### STEPL

- Calculates loads for different sources
- User specified BMPs
- Urban tool for stormwater BMPs
- BMP Calculator
  - Calculate combined efficiency of multiple BMPs
  - Use when more than 1 BMP applied to same land use type
- Input Data Server
  - Map interface to generate input data for model at HUC12 level



### Data Requirements

- Watershed-level data
  - County & Weather Station
  - Land use distribution
  - Agricultural animal population and number of months manure applied
  - Septic system information
- Land cover specific
  - BMP type and % area applied
  - Urban Land use types for urban BMPs



### **BMPs** Available

### Cropland

- Contour farming
- Diversion
- Filter strip
- Reduced tillage
- Streambank stabilization
- Terrace
- Feedlots
  - Diversion
  - Filter strip
  - Runoff management system
  - Solids separation basin
  - Waste storage facility



### Urban

- Alum treatment
- Bioretention
- Dry/wet detention
- Grass swales
- Porous pavement
- Sand filter
- Settling basin
- Street sweeping
- Wetland detention
- Rain barrel/cistern
- Infiltration Trench
- Filter strips
- Oil/Grid separator



### **STEPL BMP Calculator**



### **Online Input Data Server**





e Edit View Favorites Tool Spreadsheet Too Version 1.0 EPL Input Data Report Itershed Landuse Area Agric	ol for Estir	nating P	ollutant Lo	ad Model					
Spreadsheet Too Version 1.0 EPL Input Data Report Itershed Landuse Area Agric	ol for Estir	nating P	ollutant Lo	ad Model					
EPL Input Data Report Itershed Landuse Area Agric					Input Dat	a Server	1	19	) si
tershed Landuse Area Agric			-						
	ultural Animals (	Count Sept	ic System Hyd	irologic Soli Grou	p				
Vatershed Name H	IUG12	Urban	Cropland	Pastureland	Forest	User Defined	Feedlots	Water	Others
Vest Branch Extension-Pigeon 0	40801030203	1616.582	22635.702	1742.012	1402.861	0.000	1.074	22.239	1179.800
itershed Landuse Area Agric	cultural Animals (	Count Sept	ac System   Hyd	Irologic Soil Grou	p				
Vatershed Name HUC	C12	Beef Cattle	Dairy Cattle	Swine	Sheep	Horse	Chicken	Turkey	Duck
Vest Branch Extension-Pige 040	801030203	36	742	1005	41	17	0 2	3.	6
itershed Landuse Area Agric	uitural Animais (	Count Sept	ic System Hyo	trologic Soil Grou	p				
Vatershed Name	+	IUC12		Septic System	s	Population pe	r Septic System	% Septic Fa	llure Rate
Vest Branch Extension-Pigeon Riv	iver (	4080 103020	3	725		2		1.14	
itershed Landuse Area Agric	cultural Animats (	Count Sept	ic System Hyd	frologic Soil Grou	p				
Vatershed Name		HR	JG12			Hydrole	gic Soll Group		
Vest Branch Extension-Pigeon Riv	ver	04	0801030203			c			



### **STEPL Limitations**

- Simple, planning tool
- Based on coarse data, give rough estimates
- Pollutant loads by land use type
- Annual average values
- Does not account for drain tiles



### Upcoming STEPL Enhancements

- Additional BMPs
  - Several for Pastureland
- Crosswalk to NRCS standards
- Ecoli load reductions
- Flow volume reductions
- Improved guidance and reporting tools



#### http://it.tetratech-fx.com/steplweb/default.htm



the land use distribution and management practices. The annual sediment load (sheet and rill erosion only) is calculated based on the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the sediment delivery ratio. The sediment and pollutant load reductions that result from the implementation of BMPs are computed using the known BMP efficiencies.

on various land uses and management practices. For each watershed, the annual nutrient loading is calculated based on the runoff volume and the pollutant concentrations in the runoff water as influenced by factors such as



Region 5 Model is an Excel workbook that provides a gross estimate of sediment and nutrient load reductions from the implementation of agricultural and urban BMPs. The algorithms for non-urban BMPs are based on the "Pollutants controlled: Calculation and documentation for Section 319 watersheds training manual" (Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, June 1999). The algorithms for urban BMPs are based on the data and calculations developed by Illinois EPA. Region 5 Model does not

estimate pollutant load reductions for dissolved constituents.

Questions? Please contact: STEPL E-mail support Developed for EPA Office of Water Grants Reporting and Tracking System By Tetra Tech, Inc.

### **STEPL Web Resources**

- Frequently Asked Questions
- STEPL Slide Shows & Tutorials
- Alternative Models Document
- STEPL Support:
  - stepl@tetratech.com



### Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating Pollutant Loads (STEPL) webinar

The DNR and EPA offered a hands-on technical training on the <u>Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating</u> <u>Pollutant Loads (STEPL) [exit DNR]</u> on August 5, 2014. A recording of the training session and the presentation materials are available

- Training video recording [exit DNR]
- Presentation slides [PDF]
- Hands-on training exercises [PDF]

This training was specifically offered for DNR and county LCD staff, particularly those counties who are recurring Targeted Runoff Management (TRM) and Notice of Discharge (NOD) grantees. Beginning with the CY 2015 TRM and NOD grant awards, grantees will be required to provide modeled pollutant load reduction estimates (phosphorus, nitrogen and sediment, as applicable) to the DNR as part of their project evaluation strategy in the reimbursement request/final report. Grantees will have the flexibility to select and use an appropriate model to calculate those load reductions. One of the models that EPA offers, and DNR is subsequently offering to grantees, is STEPL.

Learn more about STEPL:

STEPL and Region 5 Model [exit DNR]

### Nonpoint source pollution

#### Agricultural nonpoint source pollution

Learn more about agricultural nonpoint source pollution

#### Urban nonpoint source pollution

Learn more about urban nonpoint source pollution

#### What you can do

Learn more about controlling nonpoint source pollution in your area

#### **TMDL** implementation

Learn more about what the DNR is doing to control nonpoint source pollution

#### **Related links**

- Environmental impacts
- Wisconsin Runoff Rules: What Farmers Need to Know [PDF]
- Nonpoint program contacts

Last revised: Monday September 29 2014



Employment Legal notices Privacy notice Acceptable use policy Site requirements

Topics licy Hotlines Feedback

b Open the Outdoors

LVE
Lve

with customer service

Image: Construction

Image:

# STEPL





## **Running STEPL**

- Know before you begin:
  - Number of watersheds
  - Number of gullies/streambanks
  - Tip: enter more than you need as placeholders
- Check box to turn off Microsoft compatibility checker
- Enable Macros
  - In Excel 2010, Click on File menu > Options > Trust Center > Trust Center Settings > Macro Settings



### Input Data

- User defined:
  - Land use distribution
  - Agricultural animal population and number of months manure applied
  - Septic system information
- These data are derived from user inputs, but can be modified:
  - Soil information (based on county)
  - Curve Numbers (land use/soil group)
  - Urban land use distribution
  - Nutrient concentration in runoff/shallow groundwater
- Other optional input data
  - Special sediment sources from gullies and impaired streambanks



### Land Use Distribution

1. Input wate	ershed land u	se area (ac) a	and precipitat	tion (in)		
					User	
Watershed	Urban	Cropland	Pastureland	Forest	Defined	Feedlots
W1	0	0	0	0	0	0
W2	0	0	0	0	0	0

- STEPL Online Input Data Server
  - By HUC12 only
- National Landcover Dataset (NLCD)
  - 2011 most recent
  - Download from USDA GeoSpatial Data Gateway
    - http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov/
  - GIS analysis
- Surface Water Data Viewer







Reach ID 200028511	I	<b>—</b> ×
Zoom to Feature   Pan to Feature   Add to Selected		
Details Attributes		
Field Name	Field Value	
Reach ID	200028511	
Watershed area, upstream total (sq km)	295.48	
Stream gradient (%)	0.0	
Sinuosity	1.44	
Stream order	4	
Distance to Great Lakes (km)		
Distance to large lake (km)	1	
Distance to medium lake (km)	1	
Distance to small lake (km)	10	
Distance to medium river (km)	0.0	
Distance to large river (km)		
Annual precip., upstream watershed avg (mm, 1961-2000)	837	
Annual air temp., upstream watershed avg (C, 1961-2000)	7.8	
Apr-Oct air temp, upstream watershed avg (C, 1961-2000)	15.7	
July air temp, upstream watershed avg (C, 1961-2000)	21.7	
Runoff curve number, upstream watershed avg	76	
Open Water (% of upstream watershed)	1.1	
Developed, Open Space (% of upstream watershed)	5.7	
Developed, Low Intensity (% of upstream watershed)	6.8	
Developed, Medium Intensity (% of upstream watershed)	1.9	
Developed, High Intensity (% of upstream watershed)	0.5	
Barren Land (% of upstream watershed)	0.1	
Deciduous Forest (% of upstream watershed)	3.7	
Evergreen Forest (% of upstream watershed)	0.1	
Mixed Forest (% of upstream watershed)	0.0	
Shrub/Scrub (% of upstream watershed)	0.5	
Grassland/Herbaceous (% of upstream watershed)	0.4	
Pasture/Hay (% of upstream watershed)	16.9	
Cultivated Crops (% of upstream watershed)	58.4	
Woody Wetlands (% of upstream watershed)	0.8	
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands (% of upstream watershed)	3.2	



Soils

Show optional input tables? Yes



Optional Dat 5. Select ave	a Input: erage soil hvo	trologic grou	d (SHG). SHG	A = hiahest i	infiltration and	d SHG D = lo	west infiltration	
Watershed	SHG A	SHG B	SHG C	SHG D	SHG Selected	Soil N conc.%	Soil P conc.%	Soil BOD conc.%
W1		<b>O</b>			В	0.080	0.031	0.160
W2	۲	<b>O</b>			B	0.080	0.031	0.160

### Web Soil Survey

- <u>http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomeP</u> <u>age.htm</u>
- Zoom to and set Area of Interest (AOI)





## **Gully Stabilization**

1. (	<b>Gully dimensions</b>	in the diff	ferent wa	atersheds	i de la companya de l				
	Watershed	Gully	Тор	Bottom	Depth (ft)	Length	Years	BMP	Soil Textural Class
			Width	Width		(ft)	to Form	Efficiency	
			(ft)	(ft)				(0-1)	
0	W1 💼	Gully1	0	0	0	0	1	0.95	Clay
۰	W1 🚔	Gully2	0	0	0	0	1	0.95	Clay

Volume = (Top Width + Bottom Width) / 2 x Depth x Length



- Load
  - Average annual erosion during the life of the gully (ton/yr)
    - = Volume x Soil Weight / Years
  - Nutrient load
    - = Annual Erosion x Soil Nutrient Conc. x Correction Factor
- Load Reduction after implementing gully stabilization
  - Specify reduction efficiency
  - Reduction is equal to annual erosion x user-specified efficiency



### Streambank Erosion

2. Impaired streamb	ank dime	nsions in	the diffe	erent watersheds				
Watershed	Strm	Length	Height	Lateral Recession	Rate	Rate	BMP	Soil Textural Class
	Bank	(ft)	(ft)		Range	(ft/yr)	Efficienc	
					(ft/yr)		(0-1)	
🖸 W1 🕂	Bank1	0	0	🖸 1. Slight 🛛 🛨	0.01 - 0.05	0.03	0.9	O Clay 🗧
🖸 W1 🚍	Bank2	0	0	🖸 1. Slight 🛛 🚍	0.01 - 0.05	0.03	0.9	O Clay 🚍

- Load (Channel Erosion)
  - = Length \* Height \* Lateral Recession rate \* Soil weight

Determining Lateral Recession Rate by Field Observation

Lateral Recession Rate (ft/yr)	Category	Description
0.01 - 0.05	Slight	Some bare bank, no exposed roots
0.06 - 0.2	Moderate	Bank is mostly bare
0.3 - 0.5	Severe	Bank is bare with exposed roots
0.5+	Very Severe	Bank is bare with fallen trees

Load Reduction = Load \* Load reduction efficiency





View Soil Information By Use: All Uses		Similar Sons Data Sinopping Cart (r	Printable	e Version Add to	Shopping Cart
Intro to Soils Suitabilities an	d Limitations for Use	oil Properties and Qualities Ecological S	Site Assessment	Soil Reports	
Search	🛛 🕞 Map — Surface	Texture			6
Properties and Qualities Ratings	8 🖉 🖉 🧟 🔞	Rot 🗣 🚽 🚺 🖉 🌆 Scale (not to scale) 🔻			i 🔚 🛛
Open All			and the second second		
Soil Chemical Properties			NOT NO		
Soil Erosion Factors	28	ALC FIGT	HET .		
Soil Physical Properties	28	EVE RIDT LAST GAOT		Ad SwA Be	Carlos a
Available Water Capacity	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A NAS			CONTROL OF
Available Water Storage		RICE COG COG RAT	Her FIDI		
Available Water Supply, 0 to 100 cm		Right Color Fright	5	20	
Available Water Supply, 0 to 150 cm	and the second sec		PHEN	HET	P
Available Water Supply, 0 to 25 cm	man harden	FIE FIA	PmA		
Available Water Supply, 0 to 50 cm		RET	N/ TEA	AV.	
Bulk Density, 15 Bar	Gro		I CENER		
Bulk Density, One-Tenth Bar			Ba		
Bulk Density, One-Third Bar				a) for	
Linear Extensibility	in Made and		Ad		· · ·
Liquid Limit		FIOT		~	
Organic Matter					
Percent Clay			Mea S		X
Percent Sand		FROT FROT		RMA	and the
Percent Silt	(2-40) (2-4	GGB	HAB RB COB	COB COB	
Plasticity Index	0	000 ft			
Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (Ksat)					
Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (Ksat),	, Standard	Texture — Summary By Map Unit			6
Classes	Summary by Map	9 Unit — Waushara County, Wisconsin (WI137	")		3
			Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Surface Texture	Map unit symbol	Map unit name		A State of the sta	
Surface Texture View Description	View Rating Ad	Adrian muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes	Muck	103.6	10.5%
View Options	View Rating () (2) (3) () () (3) () (3)	Adrian muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes Belleville loamy sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Muck Loamy sand	103.6 20.3	10.5% 2.1%
Surface Texture View Description View Options	View Rating Ad Be ByB	Adrian muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes Belleville loamy sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes Boyer loamy sand, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Muck Loamy sand Loamy sand	103.6 20.3 12.3	10.5% 2.1% 1.2%

### **Important Parameters**

- BMP efficiencies
- New BMP
- USLE factors
- Nutrient concentrations



			Ţ	lutrTool1.xls	[Compatib	ility Mode]	- Microsoft Exce	
e Home	Insert Page Lay	out Formulas Data Review	View	Develop	r Add-	Ins Acro	bat	۵ 😮 ۵
STEPL *								
Hide/Unhide	Other STEPL Sheets							
Precipitation	/Runoff Data							
USLE Paramet	ters by Land Lise							
View/Edit BM	AD List	Landuse						
PMD Calculat	tor	B	C	D	F	F	G	H I I K I M N
		0	N	P	BOD	Sedimen	t	
Precipitation	Correction Factors				Her		<don't delete<="" td=""><td>1. Do not delete the greved rows.</td></don't>	1. Do not delete the greved rows.
Soil N and P			0	0	0	0	<don't delete<="" td=""><td>2. BMP efficiencies should be &lt;=1.</td></don't>	2. BMP efficiencies should be <=1.
About	1997 - A. 19	ulated	0	0	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3. If you add a row for a new BMP, you
ropland	Contour Farming		0.485	0.55	ND	0.405		must specify landuse, BMP name, and
ropland	Diversion		0.1	0.3	ND	0.35		A Type "ND" for no data
ropland	Paducad Tillaga Su	stome	0.55	0.75	ND	0.05	-	5. Click "Update BMP Data" to update
ropland	Streamhank stabiliz	ation and fencing	0.55	0.45	ND	0.75	· · · · · ·	selection boxes on the BMPs sheet.
ropland	Terrace	ation and ferreing	0.2	0.7	ND	0.85		6. Click "Save Updates" to save the
astureland							<don't delete<="" td=""><td>BMP list to external text files in the</td></don't>	BMP list to external text files in the
astureland	0 No BMP		0	0	0	0	<don't delete<="" td=""><td>STEPL/Support tolder.</td></don't>	STEPL/Support tolder.
astureland	Combined BMPs-Ca	alculated	0	0	0	0		
orest					1		<don't delete<="" td=""><td>Update BMP Data</td></don't>	Update BMP Data
orest	0 No BMP		0	0	0	0	<don't delete<="" td=""><td></td></don't>	
orest	Combined BMPs-Ca	alculated	0	0	0	0		
orest	Road dry seeding	and the second se	ND	ND	ND	0.41		
orest	Road grass and leg	ume seeding	ND	ND	ND	0./1		
orest	Road nydro mulch		ND	ND	ND	0.41		
prest	Road tree planting		ND	ND	ND	0.5	-	and and a second s
orest	Site preparation/hvo	ro mulch/seed/fertilizer	ND	ND	ND	0.71		Save Updates
orest	Site preparation/hyd	ro mulch/seed/fertilizer/transplants	ND	ND	ND	0.69		
orest	Site preparation/ste	ep slope seeder/transplant	ND	ND	ND	0.81		
orest	Site preparation/stra	aw/crimp seed/fertilizer/transplant	ND	ND	ND	0.95		
orest	Site preparation/stra	aw/crimp/net	ND	ND	ND	0.93		
prest	Site preparation/stra	aw/net/seed/fertilizer/transplant	ND	ND	ND	0.83		
Drest Defined	Site preparation/stra	aw/polymer/seed/tertilizer/transplant	ND	ND	ND	0.86	Dan't Dalata	
er Defined	0 No BMP		0	0	0	0	<don't delete<="" td=""><td></td></don't>	
ser Defined	Combined BMPs-Ca	alculated	0	0	0	0	Soont Delete	
edlots							<don't delete<="" td=""><td></td></don't>	
edlots	0 No BMP		0	0	0	0	<don't delete<="" td=""><td></td></don't>	
edlots	Diversion		0.45	0.7	ND	ND		
edlots	Filter strip		ND	0.85	ND	ND		
edlots	Runoff Mgmt Syster	m	ND	0.825	ND	ND		
edlots	Solids Separation B	asin Isain w/Infilt Red	0.35	0.31	ND	ND		
ediots	Solids Separation E	asin w/innit Deo	0.55	0.0	0.85	ND		
edlote	Waste Mont Sveta	m	0.55	0.05	ND	ND		
edlots	Waste Storage Fac	ility	0.65	0.5	ND	ND		
ban						1.5	<don't delete<="" td=""><td></td></don't>	
ban	0 No BMP		0	0	0	0	<don't delete<="" td=""><td></td></don't>	
	Alum Treatment		0.6	0.9	0.6	0.95		
rban	the second se	-	0.63	0.8	ND	ND		
rban /	Bioretention facility				0	0	-	
rban / rban   rban	Bioretention facility Combined BMPs-Ca	alculated	0	0	U	U		

### Parameter Adjustments

4. Modify the	Universal So	oil Loss Equat	tion (USLE) pa	arameters						
Watershed	Cropland					Pastureland				
	R	К	LS	C	Р	R	К	LS	С	Р
W1	374.689	0.197	0.289	0.200	0.986	374.689	0.197	0.289	0.040	1.000
W2	374.689	0.197	0.289	0.200	0.986	374.689	0.197	0.289	0.040	1.000

### Can modify C and/or P factors for each land use type with local information

7. Nutrient co	oncentration	in runoff (mg/	(1)
Land use	Ν	Р	BOD
1. L-Croplan	1.9	0.3	4
1a. w/ manure	8.1	2	12.3
2. M-Croplan	2.9	0.4	6.1
2a. w/ manure	12.2	3	18.5
3. H-Croplan	4.4	0.5	9.2
3a. w/ manure	18.3	4	24.6
4. Pasturelar	4	0.3	13
5. Forest	0.2	0.1	0.5
6. User Defin	0	0	0

### Adjust nutrient concentrations in runoff



# STEPL – Example

### >>> Manure Storage System



			D	E	F	G	H		J	K	L	M	N	0
STEPL I	nput Sheet:	١	Values in RE	D are required	input. Change	worksheets b	y clicking on ta	bs at the bottom.	You entered	1	subwatershee	d(s).		
This shee	et is compos	ed of ei	ght input tabl	es. The first fo	ur tables requi	re users to cha	ange initial valu	es. The next four table	s (initially hide	den) contain de	efault values us	sers may choos	se to change.	
Step 1:	Select the st	tate and	county whe	re vour watersl	heds are locate	ed. Select a ne	earby weather	station. This will auton	atically specif	v values for rai	infall paramete	rs in Table 1 ar	nd USLE param	neters i
Step 2: (	a) Enter land	use ar	eas in acres	in Table 1: (b)	enter total nun	nber of agricult	tural animals b	v type and number of	months per ve	, ar that manure	is applied to d	roplands in Ta	ble 2:	
i	c) enter value	es for se	eptic system	parameters in	Table 3: and (	d) if desired, n	nodify USLE pa	arameters associated	with the select	ed county in T	able 4			
Step 3: )	ou may stor	o here a	and proceed t	o the BMPs sl	heet. If you have	e more detaile	ed information	on your watersheds, cl	ick the Yes bu	itton in row 10	to display opti	ional input table	es	
Step 4: (	a) Specify th	e repres	sentative Soil	Hvdrologic G	roup (SHG) an	d soil nutrient	concentrations	in Table 5: (b) modify	the curve num	ber table by la	nduse and SH	G in Table 6:		
	c) modify the	nutrier	nt concentrati	ions (ma/L) in	runoff in Table	7 and (d) spe	cify the detaile	d land use distribution	in the urban a	rea in Table 8		,		
Step 5	Select BMPs	in BMF	Ps sheet	(g. 2)	Step 6: View	the estimates	of loads and lo	ad reductions in Total	Load and Gra	phs sheets				
0100 0. 0					0.000 0. 1101		or rouge and re		Louid and old					
Show or	tional inpu	t tables	? Yes	No	🗌 Treat all t	he subwaters	sheds as parts	of a single watershe	ed 🛛 🗖 Groui	ndwater load	calculation			
State		(	County		Weather Sta	tion (for rain	correction fa	ctors)						
Wiscons	in	<b>•</b>	Brown	-	WI GREEN	BAY WSO	<b>T</b>							
			2.0			2								
										Dain correct	tion footors			
1 Input	watarahad I	and us		and propinite	tion (in)					0.010	0 220		1	
r. mput	watersneu	anu us	e alea (ac)	and precipita		Hear		Ecodlet Percent		0.010	0.555	Ava		
Matarah	od Urbon		Cropland	Desturaland	Forest	Defined	Foodlate	Pered Daved	Total	Deinfall	Dain Dava	Avg.		
Matersii	eu orban	-		Fastureianu	FUIESL	Denned	reeulois		0.00		Kalli Days			
VV 1		U	0	0	U	U	0.20	• 75-100%	0.25	20.25	101.2	0.674	J	
2 1			-											
2. Input a	agricultural	anima	ls							# - 5 6				
2. Input	agricultural	anima	Is							# of months	1			
2. Input	agricultural	anima	l <mark>ls</mark>							# of months manure				
2. Input Watersh	agricultural	anima Cattle	lls Dairy Cattle	Swine (Hog)	Sheep	Horse	Chicken	Turkey	Duck	# of months manure applied				
2. Input Watersh	agricultural ed Beef C	anima Cattle I	ls Dairy Cattle 190	Swine (Hog)	Sheep 0	Horse 0	Chicken 0	Turkey	Duck 0	# of months manure applied			]	I
<mark>2. Input :</mark> Watersh W1 Total	agricultural ed Beef C	anima Cattle I 0 0	l <mark>ls</mark> Dairy Cattle 190 190	Swine (Hog) 0 0	Sheep 0 0	Horse 0 0	Chicken 0	Turkey C	Duck 0	# of months manure applied				I
<mark>2. Input (</mark> Watersh W1 Total	agricultural	anima Cattle I 0 0	l <mark>ls</mark> Dairy Cattle 190 190	Swine (Hog) 0 0	Sheep 0 0	Horse 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0	# of months manure applied				I
2. Input Watersh W1 Total	agricultural	anima Cattle I 0 0	lls Dairy Cattle 190 190	Swine (Hog) 0 0	Sheep 0 0	Horse 0 0	Chicken 0	Turkey C	Duck 0	# of months manure applied 6				I
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s	ed Beef C	anima Cattle I 0 0	IIS Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc	Swine (Hog) 0 0	Sheep 0 0	Horse 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0	# of months manure applied				I
2. Input . Watersh W1 Total 3. Input :	agricultural ed Beef C	anima Cattle I 0 0 m and	Ils Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc	Swine (Hog) 0 0	Sheep 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0	# of months manure applied				I
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No.	anima Cattle I 0 0 m and of	Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc	Swine (Hog) 0 0 ct wastewater Septic	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0	# of months manure applied 8				I
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input :	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No. Sep	anima Cattle I 0 0 0 m and tic	Is Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic	Swine (Hog) 0 0 ct wastewater Septic Failure	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0	# of months manure applied 8				ſ
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No. Sep ned System	anima Cattle I 0 0 0 m and of tic ems	Is Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic System	Swine (Hog) 0 0 ct wastewater Septic Failure Rate, %	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey (	Duck 0 0	# of months manure applied				·
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s Watersh W1	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No. Sep ned System	anima Cattle I 0 0 m and of tic ems 0	Is Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic System 2.43	Swine (Hog) 0 0 ct wastewater Septic Failure Rate, %	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0 0	# of months manure applied			<u></u>	I
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s Watersh W1	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No. Sep ied System	anima Cattle I 0 0 m and of tic ems 0	Ils Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic System 2.43	Swine (Hog) 0 0 ct wastewater Septic Failure Rate, % 2	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0 0	# of months manure applied			<u></u>	I
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s Watersh W1	ed Beef C septic system No. Sep ned System	anima Cattle I 0 0 m and of tic ems 0	Is Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic System 2.43	Swine (Hog) 0 0 ct wastewater Septic Failure Rate, % 2	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0 0	# of months manure applied			<u></u>	
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s Watersh W1 4. Modify	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No. Sep ned System y the Univer	anima Cattle I 0 0 m and of tic ems 0 0	Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic System 2.43 il Loss Equa	Swine (Hog) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0 0	# of months manure applied 6				
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input s Watersh W1 4. Modify Watersh	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No. Sep ned System y the Univer ed Cropla	anima Cattle I 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic System 2.43 il Loss Equa	Swine (Hog) 0 0 ct wastewater Septic Failure Rate, % 2 tion (USLE) p	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0 0	# of months manure applied 6		Forest		
2. Input Watersh W1 Total 3. Input Watersh W1 4. Modify Watersh	agricultural ed Beef C septic system No. Sep ned System y the Univer ed Cropla R	anima Cattle I 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Dairy Cattle 190 190 illegal direc Population per Septic System 2.43 il Loss Equa	Swine (Hog) 0 0 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Sheep 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Horse 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chicken 0 0	Turkey C	Duck 0 0	# of months manure applied 6	P	Forest R	<u>к</u>	LS



B		C	D E	F	G	Н
Best M	anageme	nt Practice	Select a	n appropriate BM	P except "Combined BMPs-Calculated" for each subwatershe	ed in each land use table
using th	e pull-dow	n list-box if in	teractions betwe	en BMPs are no	t considered. Select "Combined BMPs-Calculated" if multiple	BMPs and their interaction
in the s	ubwatersh	eds are consi	dered; use BMP	calculator (unde	r STEPL menu) to obtain the combined BMP efficiencies and	enter them in Table 7.
Urb	an BMP T	ool	Gully and			
			Streambank Erosio			
4 040						
Watershed Cropland						
vvalers	N N		BOD	Sediment	BMDs	% Area BMD Applied
W1	IN	0	000			
		v	v	0 0		100
2. BMP	s and effi	ciencies for (	different pollut;	ants on PASTUR	RELAND, ND=No Data	
Watershed Pastureland						
	N	P	BOD	Sediment	BMPs	% Area BMP Applied
W1		0	0	0 0		100
			I	I		
3. BMP	s and effi	ciencies for (	different polluta	ants on FOREST	, ND=No Data	
Waters	hed Fore	st				
	N	P	BOD	Sediment	BMPs	% Area BMP Applied
W1		0	0	0 0	0 No BMP	100
					—	
4. BMP	s and effi	ciencies for (	different polluta	ants on USER D	EFINED land use, ND=No Data	
Waters	hed User	Defined				
	N	P	BOD	Sediment	BMPs	% Area BMP Applied
W1		0	0	0 0	● 0 No BMP 🚔	100
5. BMP	s and effi	ciencies for (	different polluta	ants on FEEDLO	TS, ND=No Data	
Waters	hed Feed	llots				
	N	P	BOD	Sediment	BMPs	%Area BMP Applied
VV1		0.65	0.6 ND	ND	Waste Storage Facility	100
	1					
b. BMPs and efficiencies for different pollutants on URBAN						
To char	ige/set BM	IP/LID for urba	an land uses, cli	ck the 'Urban BM	IP Tool' button on the top-left of this sheet.	1

Т


	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R
1	]	Total Load		This is the su	mmary of anni	ual nutrient an	d sediment lo	ad for each su	abwatershed.	This sheet is i	hitially protect	ed.						
15																		
16		1. Total loa	d by subwa	tershed(s)														
	[	Watershe	NLoad	P Load	BOD Load	Sediment	N	Р	BOD	Sediment	NLoad	P Load	BOD (with	Sediment	2.N	ZP ZP	%BOD	%Sed
		d	(no BMP)	(no BMP)	(no BMP)	Load (no	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	(with	(with	BMP)	Load	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio
17						BMP)	n	n	n	n	BMP)	BMP)		(with	n	n	n	n
18	[		lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	tlyear	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	tlyear	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	tilyear	%	7	/	%
19		W1	735.0	147.0	980.0	0.0	477.7	88.2	0.0	0.0	257.2	58.8	980.0	0.0	65.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
20	[	Total	735.0	147.0	980.0	0.0	477.7	88.2	0.0	0.0	257.2	58.8	980.0	0.0	65.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
21																		
27																		
33																		
34		2. Total loa	id by land u	ses (with B	MP)													

-				
Sources	N Load (Ib/yr)	P Load (Ib/yr)	BOD Load (Ib/yr)	Sediment Load (t/yr)
Urban	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cropland	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pastureland	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Forest	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Feedlots	257.24	58.80	979.96	0.00
User Defined	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Septic	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gully	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Streambank	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Groundwater	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	257.24	58.80	979.96	0.00



## STEPL – Example

**BMP** Scenarios



A   D   C   D   L   F   G   H   I   J   K   L   M     State   County   Sheboygan   Weather Station (for rain correction factors)   Will   Mill   Mill </th <th>NU</th>	NU
Visconsin     Sheboygan     Weather station for rain correction factors, Withick EWSO AIRPOR       Image: Sheboygan     Withick EWSO AIRPOR     Sheboygan     Rain correction factors       Image: Sheboygan     Withick EWSO AIRPOR     Sheboygan     Rain correction factors       Image: Sheboygan     User     Feedlot     Feedlot Percent Paved     Annual     Avg. Rain/Event       Watershed     Urban     Cropland     Pastureland Forest     Defined     Feedlots     Paved     Cotal     Rainfall     Rain Days     Rain/Event       W1     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       Watershed     Beef Cattle     Dairy Cattle     Swine (Hog)     Sheep     Horse     Chicken     Turkey     Duck     applied       W1     0     100     0     0     0     0     0     10     12	
Visconsin     Shebuygan     WinkLWACKEL WISCARPOK       Rain correction factors     Rain correction factors       Input watershed land use area (ac) and precipitation (in)     0.842     0.359       Watershed     Urban     Cropland     Pastureland     Forest     Defined     Feedlots     Paved     Total     Annual Rainfall     Avg. Rain/Event       W1     0     225     50     0     0     2     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W2     0     225     50     0     0     2     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     2010     Sheep     Horse     Chicken     Turkey     Duck     applied       W1     0     100     0	
Rain correction factors       1. Input watershed land use area (ac) and precipitation (in)     0.842     0.359       Watershed     Urban     Cropland     Pastureland     Forest     Defined     Feedlots     Pawed     Total     Annual Rainfall     Avg. Rain/Event       W1     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0-24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W2     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0-24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0-24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0-24%     2     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W4tershed     Beef Cattle     Dairy Cattle Swine (Hog)     Sheep     Horse     Chicken     Turkey     Duck     applied       W1     0     100     0	
Rain Correction factors     Matershed land use area (ac) and precipitation (in)   Image: Correction factors     Watershed   Urban   Cropland   Pastureland   Forest   Defined   Feedlots   Feedlots   Total   Annual Rainfall   Avg. Rain/Event     W1   0   225   50   0   0   2   0   0-24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     W2   0   225   50   0   0   2   0   0-24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     W3   0   225   50   0   0   2   0   0-24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     Value agricultural animals     Watershed   Beef Cattle   Dairy Cattle   Swine (Hog)   Sheep   Horse   Chicken   Turkey   Duck   applied     W1   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   12     W2   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   0   12     W3 <td></td>	
Input watershed land use area (ac) and precipitation (in)   User Defined   Feedlots   Annual Paved   Annual Rainfall   Rainfall   Rain/Event     W1   0   225   50   0   0   2   0   0.24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     W2   0   225   50   0   0   2   0   0.24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     W3   0   225   50   0   0   2   0   0.24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     Zerricultural animals     Watershed   Beef Cattle   Dairy Cattle   Swine (Hog)   Sheep   Horse   Chicken   Turkey   Duck   applied     W1   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   102   105.8   0.776     W2   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   105.8   0.776     W1   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   105.8   0.776     W2   0 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Watershed     Urban     Cropland     Pastureland     Forest     Defined     Feedlots     Paved     Total     Rainfall     Rain Days     Rain/Event       W1     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W2     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       W3     O     Dairy Cattle     Swine (Hog)     Sheep     Horse     Chicken     Turkey     Duck     applied       W1     0     100     0     0     0     0     10     10     10     10     10     10     10     10	
Watershed     Orban     Cropiand     Pastierand     Point     Pastierand     Point     Pastierand     Point     Pastierand     Point     Pastierand     Point     Pastierand     Point     Pastierand     Pastierand	
W1   0   220   0   20   0   0   0   0   0   2   0   2217   33.01   103.8   0.776     W2   0   225   50   0   0   2   0   0.24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     W3   0   225   50   0   0   2   0.24%   277   35.01   105.8   0.776     2. Input agricultural animals     Watershed   Beef Cattle   Dairy Cattle   Swine (Hog)   Sheep   Horse   Chicken   Turkey   Duck   applied     W1   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   12     W2   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   12     W3   0   100   0   0   0   0   0   12     W3   0   300   0   0   0   0   0   0   12	
W2     0     220     30     0     0     20     024%     211     33.01     103.3     0.113       W3     0     225     50     0     0     2     0     0.24%     277     35.01     105.8     0.776       2. Input agricultural animals     # of months manure     # of months manure     man	
Watershed     Beef Cattle     Dairy Cattle     Swine (Hog)     Sheep     Horse     Chicken     Turkey     Duck     applied       W1     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       W2     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       W3     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       Iotal     0     300     0     0     0     0     12	
2. Input agricultural animalsWatershedBeef CattleDairy CattleSwine (Hog)SheepHorseChickenTurkeyDuck# of months manure appliedN1010000000010N201000000012N30100000012Total030000000	
WatershedBeef CattleDairy CattleSwine (Hog)SheepHorseChickenTurkeyDuck# of months manure appliedW101000000012W201000000012W30100000012Total030000000	
WatershedBeef CattleDairy CattleSwine (Hog)SheepHorseChickenTurkeyDuckmanure appliedW1010000000012W201000000012W30100000012Total030000000	
Watershed     Beef Cattle     Dairy Cattle     Swine (Hog)     Sheep     Horse     Chicken     Turkey     Duck     applied       W1     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       W2     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       W3     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       Total     0     300     0     0     0     0     12	
W1     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       W2     0     100     0     0     0     0     0     12       W3     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       Total     0     300     0     0     0     0     12	
W2     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       W3     0     100     0     0     0     0     0     12       Total     0     300     0     0     0     0     0     12	
W3     0     100     0     0     0     0     12       Total     0     300     0     0     0     0     0     12	
Total 0 300 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
3 Innut sentic system and illegial direct wastewater discharge data	
A mpar septe system and mega anect waste waste waste waste and Direct	
No. of Population Sentic Direct Discharge	
Septic per Septic Failure Discharge, Reduction	
Watershed Systems System Bate % of People %	
W2 0 2.43 2 0 0	
W3 0 2.43 2 0 0	
4. Modify the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) parameters	
Watershed Cropland Pastureland Forest Forest	
R K LS C P R K LS C P R K	LS
W1 100.000 0.223 0.496 0.200 1.000 100.000 0.223 0.496 0.040 1.000 100.000	0.223 0.4
W2 100.000 0.223 0.496 0.200 1.000 100.000 0.223 0.496 0.040 1.000 100.000	0.223 0.4
W3 100.000 0.223 0.496 0.200 1.000 100.000 0.223 0.496 0.040 1.000 100.000	0.223 0.4
Optional Data Input:	
5. Select average soil hydrologic group (SHG), SHG A = highest infiltration and SHG D = lowest infiltration	
Watershed SHG A SHG B SHG C SHG D SHG Soil N Soil P conc.% Soil BOD	
Selected conc.% conc.%	
W1 C 0.080 0.031 0.160	
W2 • UE • C 0.080 0.031 0.160	
W3 O UE C 0.080 0.031 0.160	



Image: Additional systems   Gully and Streambank Erosion     1   1. BMPs and efficiencies for different pollutants on CROPLAND, ND=No Data     6   Matershed Cropland     7   N   P     8   W1   0   0   0   0     9   W2   0.275   0.225 ND   0.375   Reduced Tillage Systems   1     10   0   0.14   0.15 ND   0.13   Filter strip   1	% Area BMP Applied ( 50 20 % Area BMP Applied
1. BMPs and efficiencies for different pollutants on CROPLAND, ND=No Data     Watershed   Cropland     N   P   BOD   Sediment   BMPs     W1   0   0   0   0   0   •     W2   0.275   0.225 ND   0.375   •   Reduced Tillage Systems   •     W3   0.14   0.15 ND   0.13   •   Filter strip   •	% Area BMP Applied ( 50 20 % Area BMP Applied
Watershed   Cropland     N   P   BOD   Sediment   BMPs     W1   0   0   0   0   0     W2   0.275   0.225 ND   0.375   Reduced Tillage Systems   •     W3   0.14   0.15 ND   0.13   Filter strip   •	% Area BMP Applied ( 50 20 % Area BMP Applied
N     P     BOD     Sediment     BMPs       W1     0	% Area BMP Applied
8     W1     0	( 5) 2) % Area BMP Applied
W2   0.275   0.225 ND   0.375   Reduced Tillage Systems     0   W3   0.14   0.15 ND   0.13   Filter strip     1   2   BMPs and officiencies for different pollutants on PASTUPELAND, ND=No Data	% Area BMP Applied
0 W3 0.14 0.15 ND 0.13 • Filter strip   1   2   2	20 % Area BMP Applied
2 BMPs and officiencies for different pollutants on PASTUPELAND_ND_No Data	% Area BMP Applied
2 RMDs and officionsios for different pollutants on DASTUDELAND, ND-No Data	% Area BMP Applie
2. DMFs and enciencies for different politicality of FASTORLEAND, ND-No Data	% Area BMP Applied
3 Watershed Pastureland	% Area BMP Applied
4 N P BOD Sediment BMPs	
5 W1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
6 W2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	l
7 W3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(
8	
9 3. BMPs and efficiencies for different pollutants on FOREST, ND=No Data	
0 Watershed Forest	
1 N P BOD Sediment BMPs	% Area BMP Applie
2 W1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
3 W2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
4 W3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
5	
6 4. BMPs and efficiencies for different pollutants on USER DEFINED land use, ND=No Data	
7 Watershed User Defined	
8 N P BOD Sediment BMPs	% Area BMP Applie
9 W1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
0 W2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
1 W3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
3 5. BMPs and efficiencies for different pollutants on FEEDLOTS, ND=No Data	
4 Watershed Feedlots	
5 N P BOD Sediment BMPs	%Area BMP Applied
6 W1 0.65 0.6 ND ND Waste Storage Facility	10
8 W3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
CONSIN CONSIN COMMUNICAL RESOURCES	

A	B	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К	L	M	N	0	P	Q	B
	Total Load This is the summary of annual nutrient and sediment load for each subwatershed. This sheet is initially protected.																

1. Total loa	nd by subwa	tershed(s)														
Watershe	N Load	P Load	BOD Load	Sediment	N	Р	BOD	Sediment	N Load	P Load	BOD (with	Sediment	2×N	×P	×BOD	%Sed
d	(no BMP)	(no BMP)	(no BMP)	Load (no	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	(with	(with	BMP)	Load	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio
				BMP)	n	n	n	n	BMP)	BMP)		(with	n	n	n	n
	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	tlyear	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	tiyear	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	tiyeer	%	%	7.	%
W1	10352.5	1818.2	13997.6	177.1	3323.9	304.7	0.0	0.0	7028.6	1513.6	13997.6	177.1	32.1	16.8	0.0	0.0
W2	10352.5	1818.2	13997.6	177.1	1390.2	318.1	407.1	63.6	8962.4	1500.2	13590.5	113.5	13.4	17.5	2.9	35,9
₩3	10352.5	1818.2	13997.6	177.1	674.7	187.0	141.1	22.0	9677.9	1631.3	13858.5	155.1	6.5	10.3	1.0	12 4
Total	31057.6	5454.7	41992.8	531.4	5388.7	809.7	548.2	85.7	25668.8	4645.0	41444.6	445.8	17.4	14.8	1.3	16.1

2. Total loa	id by land u	ses (with Bl	MP)	
Sources	N Load (Ibłyr)	P Load (Ibłyr)	BOD Load (Ibłyr)	Sediment Load (t/yr)
Urban	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cropland	12508.52	3318.13	22365.68	423.17
Pastureland	1143.12	108.17	3624.68	22.61
Forest	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Feedlots	12017.19	1218.68	15454.24	0.00
User Defined	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Septic	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gully	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Streambank	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Groundwater	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	25668.83	4644.98	41444.61	445.79

×N	ZР	%BOD	%Sed		
Reductio	Reductio	Reductio	Reductio		
-	<b></b>	n	<b>n</b>		
<u>"</u>		7.	7.		
<del>7.</del> 32.1		7. 0.0	7. 0.0		
7. 32.1 13.4	74 16.8 17.5	・・・ バ 0.0 2.9	・・・ ン・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・		

19 20

MAG WISCONSIN DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES

### STEPL – Example

#### **BMP Efficiency Calculator**



Practice Combination	% reduction (phosphorus)	% reduction (sediment)
Contour Farming & Reduced Tillage	75.20	85.10
NMP (P based) & Reduced Tillage	86.30	75.00
Cover Crop & Reduced Tillage	58.70	83.70
NMP (P based), Reduced Tillage, & Cover Crops	89.70	83.70
Field Border & Reduced Tillage	86.30	91.30
Field Border & Reduced Tillage & Cover Crops	90.60	92.60
Conservation Rotation & Reduced Tillage	67.00	88.70
Conservation Rotation & Reduced Tillage & NMP (P based)	91.80	88.70
NMP (N&P balanced) & Reduced Tillage	60.40	75.00
NMP (N&P balanced), Reduced Tillage, & Cover Crops	70.30	83.70
Conservation Rotation & Reduced Tillage & NMP (N & P balanced)	76.20	88.70
Average Practice Efficiency	71.04	84.35



1. Total load	by subwater	rshed(s)								
Watershed	P Load Sediment (no BMP) Load (no BMP)		N Reduction	P Reduction	BOD Reduction	Sediment Reduction	N Load (with BMP)	P Load (with BMP)	BOD (with BMP)	Sediment Load (with BMP)
	lb/year	t/year	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	t/year	lb/year	lb/year	lb/year	t/year
W1 (Plum)	35887.4	6244.5	5257.0	15152.6	10514.1	1642.8	179563.2	20734.9	394625.0	4601.7
W2										
(Kankapot)	26829.7	4605.7	5068.3	11807.8	10136.7	1583.9	127446.4	15022.0	276580.5	3021.9
Total	62717.2	10850.2	10325.4	26960.3	20650.8	3226.7	307009.6	35756.8	671205.5	7623.6



### **STEPL Applications**

- Outagamie County
  - Nonpoint Implementation Plan
    - Loads and load reductions from BMPs
- Root–Pike Watershed Initiative Network
  - Pike River Watershed-Based Plan
    - Load and load reductions from BMPs



OUTAGAMIE COUNTY





# **Contact Info**

Theresa M. Possley Nelson, PE (608) 266-7037

Theresa.Nelson@wisconsin.gov

dnrwaterqualitymodeling@wisconsin.gov

