


## "How much pulpwood do we produce?"

## Pulpwood production by species and region of the state

Table 1. Pulpwood production by species (standard cords)

|  | 2007 | 2013 | Percent change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugar maple | 313,709 | 314,296 | 0.2\% |
| Aspen | 375,838 | 296,232 | -21\% |
| Soft maple | 310,678 | 279,127 | -10\% |
| Red oak | 233,617 | 190,502 | -18\% |
| Red pine | 160,380 | 185,563 | 16\% |
| Paper birch | 242,602 | 148,438 | -39\% |
| Jack pine | 70,425 | 114,963 | 63\% |
| Ash | 89,906 | 102,734 | 14\% |
| White pine | 73,567 | 64,996 | -12\% |
| Balsam fir | 58,361 | 51,718 | -11\% |
| Spruce | 80,274 | 39,542 | -51\% |
| Hemlock | 15,791 | 24,848 | 57\% |
| White oak | 49,364 | 12,502 | -75\% |
| Basswood | 82,835 | 10,970 | -87\% |
| Yellow birch | 27,641 | 7,255 | -74\% |
| Tamarack | 5,221 | 5,120 | -2\% |
| Beech | 2,557 | 4,115 | 61\% |
| Northern white-cedar | 352 | 1,414 | 302\% |
| Elm | 19,059 | 800 | -96\% |
| Black cherry | 567 | 730 | 29\% |
| Hickory | 442 | 556 | 26\% |
| Black walnut | 247 | 0 | -100\% |
| Total | 2,216,451 | 2,126,087 | -4\% |

*Standard cords unpeeled, not including composite
Source: Ronald Piva, USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, St. Paul MN

Pulpwood production decreased 4\% from 2007 to 2013 (Table 1). Five species groups accounted for $70 \%$ of pulpwood: sugar maple, soft maple, aspen, red pine and the red oaks.

Among major species, some of the largest gains in pulpwood production were for jack pine, red pine, ash and hemlock and some of the largest losses were in aspen, paper birch, spruce, basswood, red and white oaks (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Pulpwood production by species
Source: Ronald Piva, USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, St. Paul MN

"How much fuelwood do we produce?"
Fuelwood production by species and region of the state

Table 2. Industrial fuelwood production (cords) 2013*

|  | Central | Northeast | Northwest | Southwest | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspen | 3,251 | 5,423 | 19,775 | 287 | 28,736 |
| Hard maple | 2,002 | 2,850 | 12,495 | 8 | 17,356 |
| Soft maple | 1,295 | 2,173 | 9,634 | 149 | 13,250 |
| Red oak | 709 | 89 | 9,592 | 652 | 11,043 |
| Red pine | 840 | 1,750 | 3,776 | 114 | 6,480 |
| White pine | 501 | 1,167 | 3,764 | 409 | 5,841 |
| Ash | 43 | 4 | 4,617 | 501 | 5,165 |
| White birch | 125 | 458 | 3,917 | 130 | 4,631 |
| Basswood | 918 | 47 | 3,308 | 35 | 4,308 |
| Tamarack | - | 583 | 986 | - | 1,569 |
| Hemlock | 500 | 500 | 250 |  | 1,250 |
| White oak | 25 | - | 634 | 112 | 771 |
| Jack pine | 250 | 167 | 200 | 3 | 620 |
| Balsam fir | 167 | 167 | 108 | - | 442 |
| Spruce | 167 | 167 | 104 | 2 | 439 |
| Hickory | - | - | 225 | 175 | 400 |
| Black cherry | - | - | 170 | 39 | 209 |
| Beech | - | - | 38 | 2 | 40 |
| Elm | - | - | 19 | 10 | 29 |
| Cedar | - | - | 10 | 1 | 21 |
| Yellow birch | 0 | 3 | 17 | - | 19 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 5 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 , 6 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 6 1 8}$ |

*Fuelwood production in the southeast region was negligible.

Aspen, maples and red oak accounted for over 70\% of fuelwood production in 2013 with pines making up another 13\% (Figure 2). Northwest Wisconsin produced about $72 \%$ of all fuelwood (Table 2).


Figure 2. Fuelwood productionby species ( thousand cubic feet)
Source: Ronald Piva, USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, St. Paul MN

"How much wood do we produce on state and county lands?"
Timber sales on state and county lands in Wisconsin

State and county forestlands generated about $\$ 50.4$ million worth of timber revenue in 2016 (Table 3). Although county lands accounted for $\mathrm{c} .75 \%$ of total sales and cords harvested, sales on state forests are larger ( 90 acres per sale compared to 66 on county lands) and generate higher revenues per sale. County forests, however, generate more revenue per acre.

Of the 2.4 million acres of county forests, 40,616 were harvested in 2016 (a decrease of $18 \%$ from 2014). The value of this timber, however, was up $10 \%$ over 2014. Of the approximately one million acres of state land, 14,794 were harvested in 2016 (a decrease of $11 \%$ over 2014). Stumpage value on state lands, however, remained unchanged.


Figure 3. Cord equivalent sales on public lands.
Source: Douglas Brown, Dept of Natural Resources, Madison WI

Table 3. 2016 completed sales on public lands ("B" notices)

|  | \# Sales <br> completed | \# Acres <br> harvested | Acres <br> per sale | \# MBF <br> harvested | \# Cords <br> harvested | All products: <br> \# Cord Equiv | Stumpage <br> value | Value <br> per sale | Value per <br> acre |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Forests | 101 | 9,087 | 90 | 2,915 | 152,442 | 158,940 | $\$ 7,166,709$ | $\$ 70,958$ | $\$ 789$ |
| Other State Lands | 125 | 5,707 | 46 | 2,332 | 92,343 | 97,495 | $\$ 3,878,130$ | $\$ 31,025$ | $\$ 680$ |
| County Forests | 615 | 40,616 | 66 | 19,109 | 831,182 | 743,787 | $\$ 39,383,277$ | $\$ 64,038$ | $\$ 970$ |
| Total | 841 | 55,410 | 66 | 24,356 | $1,075,967$ | $1,000,222$ | $\$ 50,428,116$ | $\$ 55,476$ | $\$ 842$ |

Source: Douglas Brown, Dept of Natural Resources, Madison WI

## "How much wood do we produce on national forest lands?"

Volume of roundwood by product on federal lands

Only 10,720 acres were harvested on the Chequamegon and Nicolet national forests in 2016 compared to 55,410 acres on state and county lands (Table 4). Total stumpage value in 2016 was about $\$ 8.1$ million on federal lands, compared to a total of $\$ 50.4$ million on state and county lands.

A comparison between the federal, state, and county shows that the national forests are harvesting only $1.2 \%$ of their accessible acreage annually (compared to an average $1.9 \%$ for state and county). On state lands, volume per acre and sale value per harvested acre are lower than on county and forest service properties.

Harvest on the national forests by product in 2016 was: sawlogs 2,188 mcf, pulpwood - 13,343 mcf, fuelwood - 396 mcf and miscellaneous products (biomass) - 87 mcf (Figure 4).

*Miscellaneous products include fuelwood and green and dry biomass.
Figure 4 . Roundwood production on national forest lands. Source: Tina Baumann, Timber Resource Specialist, USDA Forest Service, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forests (FY16 data)

Table 4. A comparison of harvest levels on federal, state, and county lands (2014).

| Landowner | Total acres forested | Total acres harvested | Percent of forested acres that are harvested | Volume harvested (cord equiv) | Volume per harvested acre (cord equiv/acre) | Total sale value | Sale value per harvested acre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest service ${ }^{1}$ | $1,319,000$ total (864,000 legally accessible) | 10,720 | 0.8\% of total acres <br> (1.1\% of accessible) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 207,326 } \\ (99,331 \mathrm{MBF}) \end{gathered}$ | 19.3 | \$8,100,543 | \$756 |
| State ${ }^{2}$ | 979,081 | 14,794 | 1.7\% | 256,435 | 17.3 | \$11,044,839 | \$747 |
| County ${ }^{2}$ | 1,989,975 | 40,616 | 2.4\% | 743,787 | 18.3 | \$39,383,277 | \$970 |

[^0]
"What kind of forest products do we harvest?"

## Wisconsin's roundwood production by species group and product

Total roundwood production was approx. 312 million cft in 2013, of which over half is pulpwood and $30 \%$ sawlogs and veneer (Table 5 and Figure 5).

Table 5. Industrial roundwood production by species group and product, 2013 (thousand cubic feet).

| Species | Pulp products | Comp <br> products | Saw logs | Veneer <br> logs | Industrial <br> fuelwood | Other <br> products | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspen | 23,402 | 29,881 | 10,119 | 1,167 | 2,012 | 2,470 | 71,062 |
| Sugar maple | 24,829 | 523 | 12,189 | 1,249 | 1,215 | - | 41,221 |
| Red pine | 14,659 | 751 | 15,114 | 440 | 454 | 1,326 | 33,198 |
| Red maple | 22,079 | 841 | 5,497 | 127 | 928 | 16 | 30,414 |
| N red oak | 10,397 |  | 12,902 | 830 | 533 |  | 25,203 |
| Jack pine | 9,071 | 233 | 4,133 | 1 | 43 |  | 13,524 |
| Ash | 8,116 | 18 | 3,804 | 274 | 362 |  | 12,935 |
| Black \& N pin oak | 4,671 |  | 5,797 | 373 | 240 |  | 11,312 |
| White pine | 5,135 | 141 | 3,916 | 37 | 409 | 348 | 10,393 |
| Basswood | 867 | 4,117 | 3,795 | 103 | 302 | 387 | 9,872 |
| Paper birch | 6,769 | 78 | 1,157 | 168 | 324 |  | 8,821 |
| White oak | 989 | - | 4,141 | 388 | 54 |  | 5,626 |
| Balsam fir | 4,075 | 263 | 151 | - | 31 |  | 4,551 |
| Spruce | 3,116 | 28 | 598 | 194 | 31 | 18 | 4,015 |
| Hemlock | 1,963 | - | 147 | - | 88 |  | 2,285 |
| Yellow birch | 573 | 56 | 959 | 111 | 1 |  | 1,702 |
| Black walnut | - | - | 1,139 | 160 | - |  | 1,299 |
| Black cherry | 58 | - | 935 | 49 | 15 |  | 1,071 |
| Hickory | 44 | - | 733 | 58 | 28 |  | 891 |
| Elm | 63 | - | 464 | 56 | 2 |  | 587 |
| N white-cedar | 111 |  | 74 |  | 1 |  | 288 |
| Beech | 325 | - | 93 | 18 | 3 |  | 442 |
| Tamarack | 403 | - | 25 | 4 | 110 |  | 653 |
| Minor species | 26,269 | - | 474 | 106 | 5 | 133 | 26,854 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 7 , 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 9 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 , 3 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 1 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 2 , 0 0 7}$ |



Figure 5. Volume of roundwood by year and product.
*Miscellaneous products include posts, poles and pilings.
Source: Ronald Piva, USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, St. Paul MN

## "How much woody biomass do we have and where?"

## Biomass volume by unit and species group

Table 6. All live tree and sapling aboveground biomass on forestland (million oven-dry short tons) by species group and region of the state.

| Species group | Northeast | Northwest | Central | Southwest | Southeast | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ash | 10.4 | 15.7 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 9.6 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 6}$ |
| Aspen | 17.9 | 26.2 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 2.1 | $\mathbf{5 7 . 8}$ |
| Balsam Fir | 5.7 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ |
| Basswood | 6.5 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.3 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ |
| Beech | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ |
| Black cherry | 4.0 | 5.1 | 16.4 | 6.0 | 2.1 | $\mathbf{3 3 . 6}$ |
| Black walnut | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ |
| Elm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1.4 | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |
| Sugar maple | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 2.3 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ |
| Hemlock | 5.8 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 9.7 |
| Hickory | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 2.3 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ |
| Jack pine | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ |
| Black \& N pin oaks | 11.3 | 19.2 | 12.4 | 15.9 | 3.6 | $\mathbf{6 2 . 3}$ |
| N red oak | 6.7 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ |
| White-cedar | 4.8 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.9 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 9}$ |
| Paper Birch | 19.0 | 28.3 | 19.8 | 3.4 | 2.7 | $\mathbf{7 3 . 2}$ |
| Red pine | 11.2 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ |
| Red maple | 5.1 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ |
| Spruce | 35.9 | 31.4 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 3.8 | $\mathbf{8 3 . 8}$ |
| Tamarack | 3.6 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ |
| White oaks | 0.8 | 6.6 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 7.2 | $\mathbf{4 0 . 8}$ |
| White pine | 10.5 | 6.8 | 10.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0}$ |
| Yellow birch | 3.9 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ |
| Minor species | 2.6 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 9.9 | 7.5 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 3}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 8 . 8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

There were 639 million oven-dry tons (ODT) of biomass on timberland in Wisconsin in 2016 (437 of which was classified as merchantable). This is an increase of 94 million ODT or 19\%, since 1996. As with volume, most biomass is located in northern Wisconsin (58\%) with lesser amounts in southern (24\%) and central (19\%) parts of the state (Figure 6).


Figure 6. All live biomass on timberland (million oven-dry tons). Source: USDA Forest Inventory and Analysis data.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tina Baumann, Timber Resource Specialist, USDA Forest Service, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forests (FY16 data)
    ${ }^{2}$ Source: Douglas Brown, Dept of Natural Resources, Madison WI ( 2016 CY data)

