#### 2019-20 Snowmobile Funding Summary

.

Category			<u>Amount</u>	Requested	Amo	unt Funded	Bala	<u>ance</u>
Funds Available (*)	\$	9,454,932					\$	9,454,932
Maintenance	(18,510	@ \$300/mile)	\$	5,549,772	\$	5,549,772	\$	3,905,160

Council voted to set aside \$2 mill	Council voted to set aside \$2 million for storm damage and other unforseen expenses										
Storm Damage	\$	2,000,000	\$	715,622	\$	202,902	\$	1,797,098			
	•						•				
Available after \$2 mil set aside	\$	1,905,160					\$	1,905,160			
Club Signs			\$	-			\$	1,905,160			
Cost Increase Requests			\$	81,610	\$	81,610	\$	1,823,550			
Snow Bridge Rehab			\$	1,117,324	\$	1,111,290	\$	712,260			
Snow Trail Rehab			\$	14,985	\$	14,985	\$	697,275			
Snow Relocation Mandatory			\$	42,133	\$	42,133	\$	655,142			
Snow Relocation Discretionary			\$	170,516	\$	170,516	\$	484,626			
Snow Bridge New			\$	261,400	\$	93,400	\$	391,226			
New Miles	(1,262	2 @ \$300/mile)	\$	591,310	\$	-	\$	391,226			
Snow Acquisition			\$	-	\$	-	\$	391,226			
Snow Non-Trail Development			\$	-			\$	391,226			
Supplemental Transfer							\$	391,226			
							\$	391,226			
Total			\$	8,544,671	\$	7,266,608					

Preliminary Supplemental (18-19): \$ 3,492,658.21

2017-18 supplemental final: \$ 2,145,475.00

(\*) Based on Chapter 20 cap

## 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NER	Oconto	High winds and rain between 7/18/19 and 7/20/19 caused excessive	Bridges		\$367,140	\$0	Deferred until
	_	tree damage. The <u>preliminary</u> cost estimate is primarily debris clearing and removal. Some trail rehab is anticipated. 50%	Culverts Equip & Labor	\$367,140	After Cost Share: \$183,570		info on FEMA available
#S1		requested from ATV council.	Other	ψ507,140	φ103,370		avallable
I							
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?  Yes or No: Yes Amount: 183570					
WOD		FEMA Eligible? TBD FEMA Applied For? TBD	D : 1		\$183,570	<b>#</b> 44.005	
WCR	La Crosse S-5400S	Between the time frame of 8/17/18 and 9/14/2018, La Crosse Co	Bridges Culverts		\$11,995 After Cost Share:	\$11,995	Motion to recommend
	0 04000	experienced unusually severe storm activity resulting in rapidly moving flood water. The flooding water caused a number of	Equip & Labor	\$11,995	\$11,995		funding by Bev,
<b>#S2</b>		washouts, loss of rip rap and mudslides on the trail in numerous	Other		. ,		2nd by Andy.
		locations.					Motion passed unanimously.
							unanimousiy.
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	[				
		Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? Denied FEMA Applied For? Yes	-		¢44.005		
WCR	Monroe	FEMA Eligible? Denied FEMA Applied For? Yes  The week of August 28, 2018 the county received extensive amounts	Bridges		\$11,995 \$28.147	\$28.147	Motion to
	S-5401S	of rainfall that caused severe damage on the trails. They have	Culverts		After Cost Share:	Ψ=0,	recommend
		repaired most of the damage and are looking for reimbursement.	Equip & Labor	\$28,147	\$28,147		funding by
<b>#S3</b>			Other				Fuzzy, 2nd by Arlyn. Motion
							passed
							unanimously.
			]				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount:	۱ ۱				
		FEMA Eligible? Denied FEMA Applied For? Yes	1		\$28,147		
WCR	Pierce	Due to rain event on April 17, 2019, the snowmobile bridge over the	Bridges	\$128,500	\$131,500	\$131,500	
	S-5402S	Big River is no longer in place. The trail is gone as well.	Culverts	<b>#</b> 0.000	After Cost Share:		recommend funding by Andy,
#S4			Equip & Labor Other	\$3,000	\$131,500		2nd by Mike.
#3 <del>4</del>			2.3.0.				Motion passed
							unanimously.
	_						
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No: No Amount:	]				
		FEMA Eligible? No FEMA Applied For? No			\$131,500		

## 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
#S5	Langlade	Langlade County was impacted by a high wind storm on July 19, 2019. Hundreds of miles of snowmobile trail were impacted, and the State of WI declared a state of emergency. This is a preliminary estimate of the cost to clear the trails, Funds are also being requested of the ORV council.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$329,150	\$329,150 After Cost Share: <b>\$329,150</b>	, ,	Deferred until info on FEMA available
NODE		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: FEMA Eligible? TBD FEMA Applied For? TBD			\$329,150		
NOR-R	Price S-5403S	Price County proposes to repair a bridge over Beaver Creek that was washed out during storms on May 9th and May 19th. Scope of work	Bridges Culverts		\$4,940 After Cost Share:	\$4,940	Motion to recommend
#S6		includes adding fill to the approaches and leveling the deck.	Equip & Labor Materials	\$2,020 \$2,920	\$4,940		funding by Lee, 2nd by Sam. Motion passed unanimously.
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? FEMA Applied For?			\$4,940		
NOR-R	Barron S-5404S	Barron Storm Damage	Bridges Culverts		\$26,320 After Cost Share:	\$26,320	Motion to recommend
#S7			Equip & Labor Other	\$26,320	\$26,320		funding by Bob, 2nd by Dave. Passed unanimously.
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? FEMA Applied For?	-	TOTAL	\$26,320 \$715,622	\$202,902	

Page 3 of 32

## 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE COST INCREASE REQUESTS

Danier	0			New/Increase		Tatal	A	0
Region	County			Component			Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County has received three bids for this bridge replace	ement	Bridge	\$81,610		\$81,610	Motion to
		project, ranging from \$265,000 to \$580,000. This is higher tha	n			After Cost Share:		recommend
		originally anticipated. The county is seeking an additional \$81,	610 to			\$81,610		funding by Lee,
#A1		cover the lowest bid.						2nd by Sam.
πМТ		cover the lowest blu.						Motion passed
								unanimously.
								Ť
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
		Yes or No: No Amount:		•				
		Original Grant # S-4898 Funding Category Bridge	Rehab					
		Previous Grant \$204,390 New Grant Amt \$2	286,000			\$81,610		
		Amt (After)						
				·	TOTAL	\$81,610	\$81,610	

Page 4 of 32

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NER	Calumet	Calumet proposes	s to replace two	culverts lost during	the March flood	*Structure		\$4,300	\$4,300	Motion to
	S-5405	event on Corridor	5. The two rep	lacement culverts w	ill both be 30X24	Engineer		After Cost Share:		recommend
		based on downstr	ream culvert siz	es and consultation	with County	Permits		\$4,300		funding by Bev,
#A1		Land & Water Cor	nservation emp	loyees.		Site prep				2nd by Sam.
				•		Materials				Motion passed
						Abutments				unanimously.
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches	\$400			
				sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts	\$1,900			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor				
	Variance or	Old Culvert Size:		New Culvert Size:	30x24	Equip Rental	\$2,000			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Pine Creek Trib		Contractor	Other	0	<b>#4.200</b>		
NER	Fond du Lac	Years in System:	10	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi *Structure	0	\$4,300 \$15,000	¢1E 000	Motion to
NEK	S-5406			rehab a bridge on C		Engineer		After Cost Share:	\$15,000	recommend
I I	3-3400			it, by replacing one	substructure	Permits		\$15,000		funding by Joel,
#B1		stringer and the e	ntire deck.			Site prep		\$15,000		2nd by Fuzzy.
"""						Materials	\$5,000			Motion passed
						Abutments	\$5,000			unanimously.
						Pilings/Piers				unanimousty.
						Approaches				
		Other funds rea	ooiyad ragua	sted. or committe	242	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	e <u>u r</u>	Riprap				
		Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	44x10	New Bridge Size:	44x10	Equip Rental	ψο,σσσ			
	Exception?	_	Milwaukee River		Contractor	Other	\$5,000			
		Years in System:	28	Design Weight Load:	15,000	# of New Mi	0	\$15,000		
NER	Manitowoc		v proposes to re	eplace this failed brid		*Structure	\$34,000	\$47,920	\$47,920	Motion to
l I	S-5407	bridge on B-1 We			3	Engineer		After Cost Share:		recommend
#C1						Permits		\$47,920		funding by Sam,
#CI						Site prep				2nd by Tom.
						Materials				Motion passed
						Abutments				unanimously.
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
				sted, or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	?	Labor	\$9,700			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12x20	New Bridge Size:	12x40	Equip Rental	\$1,000			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Mud Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor	bridge remova	\$3,220			
		Years in System:	13	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$47,920		

Region	County			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
		Douglas County proposes to replace a broken bridge wi	th a 60 inch	*Structure		\$7,007	\$3,503	
	5408	by 24 foot culvert. The project will include removal of old		Engineer		After Cost Share:		
		installation of culvert. The project is a 50/50 split with A		Permits		\$3,503		
		Bridge Replacement.		Site prep	\$2,077			
		geep.acee		Materials				
#1				Abutments				
				Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed	?	Culverts	\$2,930			
		Yes or No: ves Amount:	3504	Riprap	\$500			
		Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$1,500			
	Variance or		5x24 culvert	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Trib Popular R Labor Source:	25 000	Other # of New Mi	0	\$3,503		
NOR	Polk S	Years in System: 25 Design Weight Load: 2 Polk County proposes to rehab the Cattail Trail Head Br	25,000 ridge pear	*Structure	0	\$3,503 \$62,596	\$31,298	
NOIX	5409	Amery. The project will consist of redecking, runners, a	•	Engineer		After Cost Share:	ψ51,290	
	7	railing as necessary. Project will be split 50/50 with AT\		Permits		\$31,298		
#2		, , ,	/ program.	Site prep		ψ01,200		
"-		Cattail Trail Head Bridge Rehab		Materials	\$26,629			
	_			Abutments	Ψ20,020			
				Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed	?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: yes Amount:	31298	Riprap				
		Public or Private: public Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$20,700			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 16x140 New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$5,267			
	Exception?	Water Body: Apple River Labor Source: c	contract	Other				
			14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$31,298		
NOR	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to replace the Trail 27 Bridge wit		*Structure		\$22,200	\$68,200	
. I	S-5410	culvert, 112"x75"x30'. The project will require a wetland	delineation	Engineer	\$5,000	After Cost Share:		
#3		and H&H analysis. <i>Trail 27 Bridge Replacement</i>		Permits	<b>^</b>	\$22,200		
				Site prep	\$7,000			
				Materials				
				Abutments				
				Pilings/Piers Approaches				
			^	Culverts	\$5,200			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed Yes or No: No Amount:	(	Riprap	<b>უ</b> ე,∠00			
		Yes or No:     No     Amount:       Public or Private:     private     Bridge Inventory #:	47	Labor				
	Variance or	private	17 112"x75"x30'	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	· ·	contract	wetland delin.	\$5,000			
	Exception:		25,000	# of New Mi	φυ,υυυ	\$22,200		
		Todio in System. 21   Design Weight Load. 2	_0,000	" OF TAGAN INII	U	ΨΖΖ,ΖΟΟ		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR	Washburn	Washburn County prop	poses to re	hab the Hay Creek B	ridge. The	*Structure		\$61,268	\$15,317	
	S-5411	project will consist of t				Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#4		layer. Also applied for	r ATV and F	RTP (tentatively appro	oved at 50%).	Permits		\$15,317		
π		Hay Creek Bridge Rel	ehab.			Site prep				
	,					Materials	\$53,768			
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
				4 1 144	10	Approaches Culverts				
		Other funds receive			d?					
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	ves	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	\$45.951.00 1311	Labor	\$7,500			
-	Variance or			New Bridge Size:	1311	Equip Rental	\$7,500			
	Exception?	_	lay Creek	Labor Source:	force/club	Other				
-	LXCeption:	Years in System:	,	Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$15,317		
WC	Chippewa	Chippewa Co proposes			•	*Structure	\$54,000	\$67,900	\$67,900	
	S-5412	that was originally built			•	Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		
μг		decking needs replace				Permits	\$900	\$67,900		
#5		b/w the bottom of the b				Site prep	\$1,000			
	J	The bridge will be refur				Materials				
		ramps, reset to increas				Abutments	\$2,000			
		Tampo, rocot to moroac	oo oloalallo	o and moreage weigi	n oapaony.	Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds receive			d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000			
-		-	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	401 451	Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	•		New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	12' x 45'	Equip Rental				
-	Exception?	Water Body: Ced Years in System:	edar Creek 23+		25 000	Other # of New Mi	0	¢67,000		
WC	Chippewa	Chippewa Co proposes				*Structure	U	\$67,900 \$12.782	\$12,782	
""	S-5413	27. by installing riprap						After Cost Share:	Ψ12,102	
		pilings. Investment in				Permits		\$12,782		
#6		current structure. The				Site prep	\$1,500	<b>v</b> :=,: v=		
l I		labor.	CIUD WIII UC	site preparation and	i illiscellarieous	Materials	<b>V</b> 1,200			
		labor.				Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds receive	ed. reque	sted, or committee	d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$6,782			
				Bridge Inventory #:	18	Labor	\$4,500			
	Variance or	<u> </u>	12' x 40'	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental				
	Exception?	_	tter Creek	Labor Source:		Other				
		Years in System:	29	Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$12,782		

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	Chippewa	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' x 30' steel truss bridge originally	*Structure	\$20,700	\$21,900	\$21,900	
<u> </u>	S-5414	built and installed in 1995 - \$7,400 S-1724. The bridge was removed from	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#7		Cor. 33 when the trail was rerouted. Proposal refurbish the bridge by original	Permits	\$1,200	\$21,900		
		manufacturer,(Anderson) reinforce to provide a 14,000 lb cap. and installed	n Site prep				
	-	the Boyd Cut Across Trail which currently has an at grade stream crossing.	Materials				
		Bridge to be set on steel pilings protected by riprap.	Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap				
		Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #:	<b>3</b> Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 30' New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
1 [	Exception?	Water Body: Yellow Riv Trib Labor Source:	Other				
		Years in System: 24 Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$21,900		
WC	Dunn	Dunn County proposes to replace a deteriorated bridge trailer frame	*Structure	\$33,400	\$43,900	\$43,900	
	S-5415	bridge over Otter Creek on Corridor 64.	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#8			Permits		\$43,900		
#0			Site prep	\$9,000			
1	J		Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap				
	., .	Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Dunn 14	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12'x30' New Bridge Size: 12'X40' w/appr	Equip Rental	\$1,500			
	Exception?	Water Body: Otter Creek Labor Source:	Other		<b>#</b> 40,000		
14/0	11	Years in System: Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$43,900	<b>#4.400</b>	
WC	Jackson S-5416	Jackson Co proposes to contract new deck installation on the Glen	*Structure		\$2,200	\$1,100	
	3-3416	Creek Bridge. The project will be a requested split with ATV.	Engineer Permits		After Cost Share:		
					\$1,100		
			Site prep Materials	62.200			
#9			Abutments	\$2,200			
 			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received requested as committed?	Culverts				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Cuiverts  Riprap				
		Yes or No:     Ves     Amount:     110       Public or Private:     public     Bridge Inventory #:     JC30028	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Glenn Creek Labor Source:	Other				
	Exception?	Years in System: 19 Design Weight Load: 12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$1,100		
		Teals III System. 19 Design Weight Load. 12,000	# OI INEW IVII	U	φ1,100		

Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	Jackson	Jackson Co proposes to contra-	ct new deck and railing in	stallation on	*Structure		\$4,068		Not funded, this
		the Indian Grave Creek Bridge.			Engineer		After Cost Share:	· ·	bridge has
#10		with ATV.	p,		Permits		\$2,034		received
					Site prep		,		snowmobile
					Materials	\$4,068			bridge rehab
					Abutments				grant funds less
					Pilings/Piers				than 10 years
					Approaches				ago.
		Other funds received, requ	ested, or committed?		Culverts				
		Yes or No: Yes	Amount:	2034	Riprap				
		Public or Private: public	Bridge Inventory #: Jo	C30023	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Indian Creek	Labor Source:		Other				
		Years in System: 19	Design Weight Load: 12		# of New Mi	0	\$2,034		
WC	Juneau	Juneau Co proposes to repair F	· ·		*Structure		\$9,200	\$9,200	
	S-5417	damage to the abutments durin	g the 2018 flooding event	t <b>.</b>	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
					Permits		\$9,200		
#11					Site prep				
#11					Materials				
					Abutments	\$9,200			
					Pilings/Piers				
					Approaches				
		Other funds received, requ			Culverts				
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private: public	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 75'	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: W Br Baraboo			Other				
		Years in System: 7	Design Weight Load: 12		# of New Mi	0	\$9,200		
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse Co proposes to repla	•	e which was	*Structure	\$79,000	\$115,000	\$115,000	
	S-5418	lost in the severe flood event of	2018.		Engineer	\$5,000	After Cost Share:		
					Permits	\$2,000	\$115,000		
ш4 Э					Site prep	\$2,000			
#12					Materials				
					Abutments	\$6,000			
					Pilings/Piers	Φ= 000			
					Approaches	\$5,000			
		Other funds received, requ			Culverts	Ф0.000			
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000			
-	\	Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:	D! v 00!	Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 40'		2' x 80'	Equip Rental	Ф0.000			
-	Exception?	Water Body: Coon Creek	Labor Source:		Other	\$9,000	Ф44E 000		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$115,000		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse Co is propos	sing to rais	e the existing bridge	over <b>Dutch</b>	*Structure		\$16,000	\$16,000	
	S-5419	Creek for better clearar	•	• •		Engineer		After Cost Share:		
		install riprap to protect t				Permits		\$16,000		
	_	bridge. Storm damage			1000 11110	Site prep	\$1,800			
#13		bridge. Otomi damage	ca last sain	inici. <u>Option i</u>		Materials				
#13						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers	\$7,000			
						Approaches	\$3,000			
		Other funds receive			d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,400			
		-		Bridge Inventory #:	LC01	Labor				
				New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$1,000			
	Exception?		~	Labor Source:		Other	\$800	040.000		
14/0	La Cresses	,		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$16,000	40	Ontion 4 -t
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse County prop			•	*Structure	\$36,000	\$53,300	\$0	Option 1 above
		Creek. It was rehabbee		•		Engineer	\$2,000	After Cost Share:		was funded instead.
#14		Option 2 to replace the	e bridge an	d re-home the old on	ie.	Permits	\$1,500	\$53,300		instead.
TI						Site prep Materials	\$2,000			
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches	\$5,000			
		Other from de manaire		-1	-10	Culverts	\$5,000			
		Other funds receive Yes or No:	ea. reaues No	sted. or committed Amount:	0 <i>?</i>	Riprap				
				Bridge Inventory #:	LC01	Labor	\$6,000			
	Variance or			New Bridge Size:	12' X 50'	Equip Rental	ψ0,000			
	Exception?	· ·		Labor Source:	12 7 00	Other	\$800			
	LXCCPtion:	Years in System:	_	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$53,300		
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse County prop			•	*Structure	\$54,000	\$98,000	\$98,000	
	S-5420	Creek. The bridge has				Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:	400,000	
#15		orden. The bridge ride	o dotoriorati	od and baroty to a con	1100111.	Permits	\$2,000	\$98,000		
#13						Site prep	\$1,000	, ,		
						Materials	. ,			
						Abutments	\$12,000			
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches	\$5,000			
		Other funds receive	ed. reques	sted, or committee	d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000			
		Public or Private: p		Bridge Inventory #:	LC07	Labor	\$5,000			
		ŭ		New Bridge Size:	12' x 64'	Equip Rental	\$5,000			
	Exception?		~	Labor Source:		Other	\$9,000			
		Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$98,000		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	Vernon	Vernon Co proposes	to replace th	ne Anderson/Knapp b	oridge that was	*Structure	\$31,000	\$41,600	\$41,600	
1	S-5421	damaged by the Aug	gust 27, 2018	flood. The bridge is	not	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#16		salvageable.		· ·		Permits		\$41,600		
						Site prep				
						Materials				
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
		0/1 / 1			10	Approaches Culverts				
		Other funds recei			ed'?	Riprap				
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No private	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	VN33	Labor	\$8,200			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 36'	New Bridge Size:	VN33	Equip Rental	\$1,500			
	Exception?		Knapp Valley	Labor Source:		Other	\$900			
	LACEPHOTI:	Years in System:	29	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$41,600		
WC	Vernon	Vernon Co proposes				*Structure	\$54,000	\$82,000	\$82,000	
	S-5422	displaced by the Aug	•	9		Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:	. ,	
447		enough for the new of	•	9		Permits		\$82,000		
#17						Site prep	\$1,000			
						Materials				
						Abutments	\$12,000			
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds recei			ed?	Culverts	<b>#</b> 0.000			
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No	Amount:	1/1105	Riprap	\$2,000			
-	Variance	Old Bridge Size:	private 12' x 65'	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	VN35	Labor Equip Rental	\$5,000			
	Variance or Exception?	_	West Fork	Labor Source:		Other	\$5,000			
	Exceptions	Years in System:	29	Design Weight Load:	25 000	# of New Mi	ψ5,000	\$82,000		
SCR	Dane	Dane County propos				*Structure	\$56,000	\$69.200	\$69,200	
1 22., 1	S-5423	corridor 21 which was					455,550	After Cost Share:	<b>\$33,200</b>	
#18		which widened the riv				Permits		\$69,200		
"10		footings during both				Site prep	\$10,000			
		looming bour	overno.	oto sacoa on enigio (	quoto omy.	Materials				
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds recei			d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	<u>No</u>	Amount:		Riprap				
-	\/anianiani	Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	фо ооо			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	11x37	New Bridge Size:	12x54	Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$1,200			
<b> </b>	Exception?	-1	Little Sugar	Labor Source:	25 000	Other # of New Mi	\$1,200	\$69,200		
		Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# Of New IVII	U	\$69,∠00		

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace the Dahlk Mt. Vernon Creek bridge	*Structure	\$76,000	\$91,200	\$91,200	
	S-5424	on corridor 21 which was damaged due to 2 recent major flooding	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
IIII		events which are washing out footings that cannot be repaired due to	Permits		\$91,200		
#19		age and construction. Replacement of this bridge will improved	Site prep	\$11,000			
		waterflow preventing likely future bridge damage. Costs based on	Materials				
		single quote only.	Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
		Other founds received required as committed?	Approaches Culverts				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap				
			9 Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12.5x24 New Bridge Size: 12x50	Equip Rental	\$3,000			
	Exception?	Water Body: Mt. Vernon Labor Source:	Other	\$1,200			
		Years in System: 20 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$91,200		
SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace the Streiff Spring Valley Creek	*Structure	\$41,400	\$51,600	\$51,600	
	S-5425	bridge on corridor 21 which was damaged due to a recent major	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#20		flooding event which compromised the stream banks at the site. The		l . I	\$51,600		
"20		structure and footings have deteriorated to the point where it no	Site prep	\$6,000			
		longer supports snowmobile use. The replacement structure will be	Materials				
		higher and longer, thus preventing likely future bridge damage from	Abutments				
		flooding. Costs based on single quote only.	Pilings/Piers Approaches				
		Other founds received required as committed?	Culverts				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap				
			4 Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12.5x25 New Bridge Size: 12x40	Equip Rental	\$2,000			
	Exception?	Water Body: Streiff Spring Labor Source:	Other	\$2,200			
	•	Years in System: 20 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$51,600		
SCR	Columbia	Columbia County proposes to replace the deteriorated bridge over the	e *Structure	\$49,000	\$77,000	\$77,000	
	S-5426	Rocky Run Creek on trail 15	Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		
#21			Permits	[ [	\$77,000		
#41			Site prep	\$1,000			
	_		Materials	640.000			
			Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$12,000			
			Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap	\$2,000			
			8 Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12x34 New Bridge Size: 12x60	Equip Rental	, , , , , , ,			
	Exception?	Water Body: Rocky Run Labor Source:	Other	\$5,000			
		Years in System: 20 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$77,000		

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR-R	Taylor S-5427	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the <b>Pleasant Creek Bridge</b> on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge	*Structure Engineer		\$13,062 After Cost Share:	\$3,266	
#22	(projects combined)	is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$7,812	\$3,266		
	Variance or Exception?	Other funds received. requested. or committed?       Yes or No:     TBD     Amount:     \$9,796.5       Public or Private:     Public of Bridge Inventory #:     PL 1       Old Bridge Size:     42' x 10.8'     New Bridge Size:     42' x 11'       Water Body:     Pleasant Creek     Labor Source:     Contractor	Labor Equip Rental Other	\$5,250			
NOR-R	Taylor	Years in System: 30 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$3,266 \$25,813	\$6,453	
#23	S-5427 (projects combined)	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the <b>Ballpark Creek Bridge</b> on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$15,438	After Cost Share: \$6,453	<b>9</b> 0,433	
-	Variance or	Other funds received. requested. or committed?Yes or No:TBDAmount:\$19,359.7Public or Private:Public Bridge Inventory #:PL 2Old Bridge Size:83' x 10.8'New Bridge Size:83' x 11'Water Body:Ballpark CreekLabor Source:Contractor	Culverts  Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$10,375			
	Exception?	Years in System: 30 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$6,453		
#24	Taylor S-5427 (projects combined)	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the <b>Bear Creek Bridge</b> on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$18,042	\$30,167 After Cost Share: \$7,542	\$7,542	
-	Variance or Exception?	Other funds received. requested. or committed?Yes or No:TBDAmount:\$22.625.2Public or Private:Public Public Size:Bridge Inventory #:PL 3Old Bridge Size:97' x 10.8'New Bridge Size:97' x 11'Water Body:Bear Creek Years in System:Labor Source:ContractorDesign Weight Load:25,000	Culverts 5 Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$12,125 0	\$7,542		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County prop	ooses to replac	ce a failing timber cro	ssing over	*Structure	\$103,060	\$103,060	\$58,060	
<u>                                     </u>	S-5428	Furbush Creek.	The existing str	ructure was built by th	he club in the	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#25				nt funding since. The		Permits		\$58,060		
πZJ				ds (max \$45,000 per		Site prep				
	_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	Materials				
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
					10	Approaches				
				sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$45.000					
	1/	Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	39-12-05	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: Water Body:	24' x 12'	New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	28' x 12' Contractor	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Years in System:	Furbush Creek 30+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	Other # of New Mi	0	\$58,060		
NOR-R	Langlade			an failing wooden brid		*Structure	\$19,000	\$38,285	\$9,571	
14011-11	S-5429			bridge is believed to be		Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:	ψθ,57 1	
шас	0 0420			e are in need of replace		Gravel	\$660	\$9,571		
#26				ell. Langlade County i		Disposal	\$2,000	Ψ3,37 1		
				s asking for grant assis		Site Prep	\$1,465			
		Grant Program as we		o doming for grain door		Abutments	\$5,000			
						Piling/Piers	φο,σσσ			
						Approaches				
		Other funds rec	eived reque	sted. or committe	2d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$28.713.75		\$2,000			
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Langlade-11	Labor	\$5,100			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	16' x 12'	New Bridge Size:	20' x 12'	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	unnamed	Labor Source:	Contractor	Signs	\$60			
		Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$9,571		
NOR-R	Iron		•	the decking and railir	•	*Structure		\$9,156	\$4,578	
	S-5430	frame bridge over t	the <b>Potato Riv</b>	rer on Trail 6 in the	Town of	Engineer	I	After Cost Share:		
#27		Anderson. The bri	dge was built i	n 1995 with grant fur	nds and has not	Gravel		\$4,578		
		received a grant si	nce. Iron Cou	nty is asking for gran	t assistance	Materials	\$5,389			
		from ATV Grant Pr	ogram as well			Site Prep				
			· ·			Abutments				
						Piling/Piers				
					10	Approaches				
				sted, or committee		Culverts				
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	TBD	Amount:	\$4.577.82		¢2.520			
∥ ⊦	Variance er	Old Bridge Size:	Public 35' x 12'	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	Iron #4	Labor Equip Rental	\$2,520 \$1,247			
	Variance or	Water Body:	Potato River	Labor Source:	County	Signs	\$1,247			
∥ ⊦	Exception?	Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$4,578		
<u> </u>		i cais iii Systeiii.	∠U <del>†</del>	Design weight Load.	20,000	# OF INCW IVII	U	<b>Ψ4,376</b>		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR-R	Price			an existing bridge o		*Structure		\$33,000		Council puts a
	S-5431		•	obed by the club in 2		Engineer		After Cost Share:		contingency on
#28		proposed new bri	idge will be 28.5'	x 12.8' and include	two 17' ramps to	Gravel		\$33,000		this funding that
#20		eliminate the nee	d to place fill.			Materials	\$16,000			the county bid this out at 25k
	_					Site Prep Abutments				tnis out at 25k load limit, not
						Piling/Piers	\$3,000			14k
						Approaches	\$5,000 \$500			1-1
		Other funds re	coived reque	sted. or committe	M2	Culverts	Ψ300			
		Yes or No:	No.	Amount:	<u> </u>	Riprap				
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:	Price #12	Labor	\$10,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	28.5' x 12.8'	Equip Rental	\$3,500			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Deer Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor	Signs				
		Years in System:	19+	Design Weight Load:	14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$33,000		
SER		Sheboygan Cour	ity proposes to re	eplace the center su	pport, decking	*Structure		\$1,600	\$1,600	Motion (by Bob)
	S-5432	and railings on B	ridge 42			Engineer		After Cost Share:		to recommend
#29						Permits		\$1,600		funding projects
#23						Site prep	\$100			A1 to 29, with modifications
						Materials	\$1,300			noted above,
						Abutments	ψ.,σσσ			minus projects
						Pilings/Piers				10 and 14. 2nd
						Ŭ				by Joel. Motion
				4 1 144	10	Approaches				approved
				sted, or committe		Culverts				unanimously.
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
L		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$200			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	8x12	New Bridge Size:	8x12	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	Ben Nutt Creek	Labor Source:	club	Other				
		Years in System:	13	Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$1,600		
		-					TOTAL	\$1,117,324	\$1,111,290	\$0

Page 15 of 32

Region	County		Des	scription		Component	Costs	Total	<b>Approved</b>	Comments
NOR-R	Vilas S-5433	Vilas County proposes to			· •	*Structure Engineer		\$14,985 After Cost Share:	. ,	Motion to recommend
		There are two stretches The side slopes on the h snowmobilers have rolle	nighway right-of way a	are too steep to enable g	rooming and several	Permits Site prep	\$4,500	\$14,985		funding by Bev, 2nd by Fuzzy.
#30		railing on the two segme on the uphill side of the i	ents for a total of 400	linear feet on the downh	ill side. Fill and grading	Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$6,985			Motion passed unanimously.
		Other funds received		_		Culverts Riprap				
	Variance or	Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size:	No Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:		Labor Equip Rental	\$3,500			
		Water Body: Years in System:		Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Contract	Other # of New Mi	0	\$14,985		
		r cars in Cystem.		pesign weight Load.		# OI INGW WII	TOTAL	\$14,985	\$14,985	\$0

## 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

									Reason	A Length	Safety	rail Type	er Funds	Snowfall	unty Pts.	ılti-grants	RR Xing	olete App	TOTAL
Region	County	Des	cription	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	0	17	5	10 1	0 10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10	
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to re	locate 1.8 miles of Corridor	*Structure		\$2,730	\$2,730			17	0	10 1	0 2	3	10				52
	S-5434	10 near Sayner due to loss	of easement. Trail will be	Engineer		After Cost Share:													
#31		relocated onto public land us	sing existing logging roads.	Permits		\$2,730													
		Scope of work includes wide	ening/easing corners. No net	Site prep															
		gain/loss of miles.		Materials															
				Abutments Pilings/Piers															
				Approaches															
		Other funds received re	equested. or committed?	Culverts															
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap															
		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor	\$650														
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental	\$2,080														
	Exception?	Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other															
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$2,730													
NOR	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to re		*Structure		\$4,050	\$4,050			5	5	10 1	0 2	2	10	0	0	0	44
	S-5435	trail at the request of the lan		Engineer		After Cost Share:													
#32			way from his expanded maple	Permits	¢4 400	\$4,050													
"52			e property. The re-route will	Site prep Materials	\$1,400														
		include a 3'x25' culvert and		Abutments															
		miles of new trail. Corridor	31 re-route.	Pilings/Piers															
				Approaches															
		Other funds received re	eauested. or committed?	Culverts	\$2,650														
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap															
		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor															
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental															
	Exception?	Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other															
11/0		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	7.,000					_								
WC	Pierce S-5436	Co Rd Y Reroute - Due to a		*Structure		\$19,633 After Cost Share:	\$19,633			5	0	10 1	0 0	2	7				34
1122	3-5450		o is required to reroute a trail	Engineer Permits		\$19,633													
#33		and remove a bridge that ha		Site prep		φ19,033													
ı I			e, reroute trail north to utilize	Materials	\$5,020														
			that crosses the Rush River.	Abutments	<b>\$0,020</b>														
		Two approaches would be n	ieeueu.	Pilings/Piers															
				Approaches															
		Other funds received, re	equested, or committed?	Culverts															
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap															
		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor	\$5,483														
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental	\$2,450														
	Exception?	Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other	\$6,680 0	£40,000										1	1		
<u> </u>			Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$19,633			1										

## 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2019	9-20 SNOWMOBIL	E MANDATORY TE	RAIL RE	LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ REG	QUESTS	S	Reason	LUA Length	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App	TOTAL
Region	County	Desc	cription	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments			5 10				0* -1	0 -10		
#34		Co Rd O Reroute - Due to a is required to re-route Corrid the SW portion of the county continued access to a recen small section of trail will requer landowner request.	/. The re-route allows tly constructed bridge. A	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$1,300 \$6,315	\$9,045 After Cost Share: <b>\$9,045</b>	, , , , ,			5	0 1	0 10	0	2	3			30
		Yes or No: No Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body:	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$1,430 0	\$9,045												
NER	Oconto S-5438	Oconto County proposes to	relocate a 4.27 mile section of	Brushing	\$4,800 \$1,200	\$6,000	\$6,675	Motion by Bev to recommend		5	0	5 5	0	2	10		<del>                                     </del>	27
#35		Yes or No: No	equested. or committed?	Signs Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap	\$1,200	\$6,675		funding all projects in this category, requiring that an easement be obtained for #35. 2nd by ?. Motion										
		Old Bridge Size: Water Body:	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	Z TOTAI	\$6,675	\$42 133	passed unanimously.										

TOTAL \$42,133 \$42,133

#### Incomplete App Ded: RR Xing Other Funds 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS County Pts Multi-grants Miles Lost Trail Type Snowfal Reasor \ Length TOTAL Safety 5 15 5 10 10 10\* Region County Description Component Costs Total Approved 10 5 -10 SCR 3 17 5 10 10 Sauk \$13,000 \$13,000 0 54 If a project In 2018, the Merrimac Snowbusters completed phase 1 of a reroute through \*Structure After Cost Share: S-5439 starts to the previous Badger Army Ammo Plant. Phase 2 is scheduled to be Engineer \$13,000 encounter Permits completed in 2019. This phase eliminates 4 landowners & a fence safety #36 problems that Site prep \$13,000 concern. Funding is needed for major brushing & other tasks. This trail is a Materials may increase major connector from the north of Sauk County to the southern trails. Exact the cost (as Abutments miles of the reroute are unknown at this time but are estimated to be about Pilings/Piers happened in the same as the existing trail, if not less. Approaches Phase 1), stop Culverts and contact Other funds received, requested, or committed? grants staff Yes or No: No Riprap Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: and/or county **Public** Labor New Bridge Size: Variance Old Bridge Size: Equip Rental Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$13,000 NOR \*Structure \$2,200 0 17 5 10 10 Rusk Rusk County proposes to re-route Trail 12 due to a wetland After Cost Share S-5440 Engineer area that does not always freeze down. The project will **Permits** \$2,200 involve some dozing and brushing with 5 miles of trail and will #37 Site prep \$2,200 add 2.3 miles of new trail. Trail 12 re-route. Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Nο Amount: Riprap Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other # of New Mi Years in System: Design Weight Load: \$2,200 NOR \*Structure \$3,125 \$1,563 Douglas Douglas County proposes to re-route a short section of trail After Cost Share S-5441 Engineer under a WIDOT bridge to remove an at grade crossing. The \$1,563 Permits project will involve the removal of soil under the bridge to #38 Site prep \$2.615 provide enough overhead clearance and adding a gravel base Materials \$510 This project is proposed to be split with ATV, 50% Abutments Trail 2 Re-Route Pilinas/Piers Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? **1562** Riprap Yes or No: ves Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi \$1,563

#### Ded: Incomplete App Ded: RR Xing Other Funds Miles Lost 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS LUA Length County Pts. Snowfall Multi-grants Trail Type Reasor TOTAL Safety 5 15 5 10 10 5 10\* Region County Description Component Costs Total Approved 10 Chippewa Chippewa Co is proposing to relocate the Budweiser \*Structure \$9.670 \$9.670 S-5442 After Cost Share: Snowmobile Trail. They will replace 1.0 mile of historic route Engineer Permits \$9,670 with 1.8 miles of trail using existing field roads, woods roads Site prep #39 and field crossings. This will increase safety, enjoyment and Materials \$5,170 length of the trail. Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Riprap Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor \$2,000 Equip Rental Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: \$2,500 Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi \$9,670 WC Dunn Co is proposing a discretionary reroute with 2/bridges. \*Structure \$4,165 \$4,165 Dunn After Cost Share S-5443 Engineer This reroute is due to a safety concern at the intersection of **Permits** \$4,165 Hwy 40 and Co Rd M and will remove .33 miles of travel within Site prep \$3,500 40' of the road. The existing trail is 0.45 miles, with 0.12 miles Materials road route. Proposed trail is 0.61 miles with no road route. Abutments #40 See letters of approval from DOT, Dunn County and the Colfax Pilings/Piers School District. Total project cost est. \$123,165.00 Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Riprap Yes or No: Nο Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other \$665 # of New Mi Years in System: Design Weight Load: \$4,165 \*Structure \$78,100 \$78,100 \$78,100 Dunn, Bridge #1 After Cost Share cont'd Engineer Permits \$78,100 Site prep Materials Abutments Pilinas/Piers Approaches Other funds received, requested, or committed? Culverts Riprap Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: **Public** Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental 12' x 60' Exception Water Body: 18 Mile Crk Labor Source: Other Years in System: Design Weight Load: 25,000 # of New Mi \$78,100

# 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

										Reason	A Length	Safety	rail Type	Miles Lest	Snowfall	unty Pts.	lti-grant	RR Xing	lete App	TOTAL	mment
Region	County		Desc	cription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	. —			т у 10 1							7	<u>ń</u>
rtogion	,	Bridge #2	D030	on paon		*Structure	\$40,900	\$40,900	\$40,900	-	10	Ŭ	10 .	<u> </u>		10	10	10	10	0	
	cont'd	go				Engineer	, ,,,,,,,,	After Cost Share:	, ,,,,,,												
						Permits		\$40,900													
						Site prep															
						Materials															
						Abutments															
						Pilings/Piers															
						Approaches															
		Other funds rec		uested. or commi	tt <u>ed?</u>	Culverts															
		Yes or No:	No.	Amount:		Riprap															
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor															
		Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	12' x 45'	Equip Rental															
	Exception	Water Body:		Labor Source:	05.000	Other	0	<b>#40.000</b>													
NOR-	Iron	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi *Structure	0 040 046	\$40,900	<b>\$20.048</b>	╟	17	5	E	0	2 /	10	0	0	0	44 4	Motion to
R		• • •		ruct a 35' x 12' bridge		Engineer	\$12,816 \$250	\$20,918 After Cost Share:	\$20,918		' '	Э	5	۷	2 5	10	U	U	0 2		recommend
				in the Highway 182 I	•	Permits	\$230	\$20,918													funding
#4:				er to relocate the fun		Site prep	\$143	\$20,910													projects 36-
"-			-	he highway shoulder		Materials	Ψ140													- '	41. 2nd by
		•		lder crossing hazard		Abutments															Sam. Motion
		new snowmobile b	ridge will be	placed on DOT right	:-of-way.	Pilings/Piers															passed
						Approaches														L	unanimously.
		Other funds rec	eived. rea	uested, or commi	tted?	Culverts															-
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap															
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	<u>-</u>	Labor	\$4,680														
	Variance	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	35' x 12'	Equip Rental	\$3,029														
	Exception	Water Body:	Unnamed	Labor Source:	Force Acco	Other															
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$20,918													

0 \$20,918 TOTAL \$170,516 \$170,516

#### ncomplete Easemen Location Funding LT Cos 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS 5 17 10 20 25 -10 Region County Component Costs Total Approved 10 10 72 0 17 10 NOR-R Price \*Structure \$30,000 \$30,000 10 10 20 Price County proposes to have a built-on-site 22' x 12.8' bridge S-5445 After Cost Share: Engineer constructed over an unnamed stream locally known as Genny Permits \$30,000 Creek, on the Corridor 16 trail connecting Catawba and Prentice. #42 Site prep Ice crossings have been used to date but a bridge would increase Materials \$13,000 safetv. Abutments Pilings/Piers \$3,000 Approaches \$500 Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Riprap Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: \$10,000 Public Labor 22' x 12.8' Variance or Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental \$3,500 Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Contractor Unnamed Other Years in System: Design Weight 14,000 # of New Mi \$30,000 NOR Rusk County proposes to install a new 71"x47"x26' arched culvert \$6,400 \$6,400 0 10 0 17 8 5 15 0 0 55 Rusk \*Structure S-5446 Engineer After Cost Share: in the road ditch where the groomer is having trouble crossing. Permits \$6,400 The ditch sometimes carries water that backs up and causes #43 Site prep flooding problems. HWY D culvert. Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts \$3,800 Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Nο Amount: Riprap Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor \$2,200 New Bridge Size: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Equip Rental Water Body: Labor Source: Other \$400 Exception? Years in System: Design Weight # of New Mi \$6,400 WC Trempealeau Co proposes to replace a highway bridge crossing \*Structure \$35,000 \$57,000 \$57,000 5 0 15 10 0 10 45 Motion to Trempeal 5 \$3,000 After Cost Share: S-5447 with a new bridge 12' x 40' over an unnamed stream. Due to Engineer recommend funding 42-44 Permits \$57,000 increased traffic and the location of the trail crossing, it is felt a #44 Site prep \$5,000 by Bev, 2nd bridge would improve safety for snowmobiles and motorists. Materials by Arlyn and/or Jeff. Abutments \$7.000 Pilings/Piers Motion Approaches passed unanimously. Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? \$2,000 Yes or No: No Amount: Riprap Public or Private: \$5,000 private Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance or Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: 12' x 40' Equip Rental Water Body: Labor Source: Exception? unnamed storm Other # of New Mi Years in System: Design Weight 25,000 \$57,000

		2019	-20 SNOW	MOBILE N	EW BRIC	GE REQ	UESTS	8		Permits	Location	Easement	Туре	Impact	Active LT Cost	Incomplete	County	Q & A Total	
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	10 5	5 17	10	20 2	25 -10	-10	10	72	
# <b>45</b>	Rusk	Fork Main Creek	to alleviate a h	a new 12'x100' bri azardous ice cros neation and H&H	sing. The	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$159,000			0	_	0 5	_					38 Pr	oject thdrawn by pplicant.
	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Vater Body: Varian System:  Other funds received. requested. or committed? No Amount: Private Private Private Sridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 12x100 Labor Source: Design Weight 25,000						\$4,000 0	\$168,000											
				-		•	TOTAL	\$261,400	\$93,400		•	-			-				

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	0-3			nt groomed 0-2	County Coordinator 0-2 pts		s to lake/river	qu 2. 3	cestions and control to be marked Colum H indicated to the Column I in the Columns Jacanings and	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat icates if the requ was su dicates if the ce statement was I through R are the rankings with point u R should be er	ns needs tion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. he actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3			6		_	ТОТ		\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-2	NOR	Douglas	Trail 217	5.9	у	n	n	У	у	2	3	3	3	2	2	0 (	) (			\$1,770	
NM-3	NOR	Sawyer	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	0.78	у	n	n	У	у	3	3	3	2	2	2 (	0	0	15		\$234	
NM-4	SCR	Rock	proposed new trail	7.15	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	3	3	3	2	2	0 (	) (	14		\$2,145	
NM-5	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 18	3.44	у	n	n	у	у	3	2	3	3	2	1	0 (	) (	14		\$1,032	
NM-7	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 3	1.6	у	n	n	у	у	3	3	3	3	2	0	0 (	) (	14		\$480	
NM-10	NOR-R	Taylor	Taylor to Price Connector	11.9	Υ			Υ	Υ	3	3	2	3	2	0	0 (	) (	13	\$0	\$3,570	
NM-12	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	5.69	У	n	у	У	у	1	3	3	3	2	1	0 (	) (	13		\$1,707	
NM-14	NOR-R	Price	Trail 85	3	Υ			Υ	Υ	3	3	3	2	2	0 (	) (	0	13	\$0	\$900	
NM-15	NOR-R	Taylor	G Trail	2			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	3	2	3	2	0	0 (	) (	13	\$0	\$600	
NM-6	NOR-R	Taylor	Trail 86 aka North Loop	3	Υ			Υ	Υ	3	3	2	3	2	0	0 (	) (	13	\$0	\$900	
NM-65	NOR-R	Price	Trail 106	1.5	Υ			Υ	Υ	3	3	3	2	2	0 (	) (	0	13	\$0	\$450	
NM-9	WCR	Eau Claire	Fairchild Connector	12.2	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	3	3	1	3	1	2			13		\$3,660	
NM-1	NOR-R	Forest	Wolf River State Trail	14.2	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	3	3	2	2	2 (	) -3	3 0	12	\$0	\$4,260	
NM-11	WCR	Chippewa	Shortcut	6.2		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2	2	2			12		\$1,860	
NM-13	NOR-R		State Corridor 25	4.3			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	2	2	3	2	0	0 (	) (	12	\$0	\$1,290	
NM-16	NOR-R	Price	Catawba to Taylor	21	Υ			Υ	Υ	0	3	3	2	2	2 (	) (	0	12	\$0	\$6,300	
NM-17	WCR	Trempealeau	Newcomb Valley Trail	15	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	1	3	2	2			12		\$4,500	
NM-18	NOR	Douglas	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	12	У	n	n	У	у	1	3	3	3	2	0	0 (	) (	12		\$3,600	
NM-19	WCR	Monroe	Trail 34	12		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	3	1	2	2	2			12		\$3,600	
NM-20	NOR	Rusk	Trail 16	6	у	у	n	У	у	3	3	2	2	2	0	0 (	) (	12	\$400	\$2,200	
NM-21	NER	Winnebago	C2 Drifters Waupaca Trail	4.65	Х			У	У	2	3	1	3	1	2			12		\$1,395	
NM-22	NOR-R	Taylor	River Trail	1.8		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	2	2	3	2	0	0 (	) (			\$540	
NM-226	SCR	Iowa	Bareneveld Trail Eagles request	17.5		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	2	2					0		12		\$5,250	
NM-230	SCR	Sauk	HC3W	1.77	Х	Х		Х	Χ	3	3	1	3	2	0 (	0	0			\$531	
NM-25		Marinette	Ranger City Corridor 1	23.25				У	У	2	2	2	2	2	2			12		\$6,975	
NM-24			Ithaca trail		Х			У	у	0	3					0	0		. ,	\$7,400	
NM-26			#1 Montello West	12.7		Х	Х	У	у	1	2	1	3	2	2			11		\$3,810	
NM-27		Rusk	Trail 113	3.4	n	n	У	У	у	1	2	2	2	2	2	0 (	) (	11	\$2,600	\$3,620	
NM-30	WCR	Trempealeau	Boondocks	8.5	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	3	1	3	2				11		\$2,550	

		2	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	hed		0-3	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	r 0-2	s ω	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. ( 3.	estions and of to be marked Colum H indi Column I in I. Columns J ratings and	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat cates if the requ was su dicates if the ce statement wa through R are the rankings with po u R should be er	ns needs tion to be eligible. iired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. he actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2			5 6		7	7	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-32	SCR	Lafayette	Arthur-Belmont	7.75		Х	Х	у	у	0	3			2 2	_		0	11		\$2,325	
NM-34		Ashland	Trail 13A		n	У	У	У	у	0	1			2 2						\$1,800	
NM-38	NOR-R		P Trail SOUTH	2			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1			2 (	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$600	
NM-40	NOR-R		Trail 10D Eagle River	2		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		3		0 2	2 0				11	\$0	\$600	
NM-41	NOR-R	Lincoln	Hwy JJ Merrill Access	1.5		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	2	2	1 2					\$0	\$450	
NM-45	SCR	Grant	Ellenboro-Arthur	18	Х	Х		У	у					2 2				11		\$5,400	
NM-31	NOR-R		C Trail Central	8.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	2	3	2 1	C	0	0	10	\$0	\$2,520	
NM-37	NOR-R		A Trail	3.5				Υ	Υ	0	3			2 (		_	_	•	\$0	\$1,050	
NM-39	NOR-R		E Trail	2.9				Υ	Υ	0	3			2 (	_	_		_	\$0	\$870	
NM-43		Dane	County Line #17	21.1		Х	Х	у	у	2	3		3	1 (			0	_		\$6,330	
NM-44		Dane	Waubesa Snobirds #29	19.2		Х	Х	у	у	2	1		_	1 2	2 0	0	0	. • [		\$5,760	
NM-47	WCR	Chippewa	Lake Hallie Trail	13		Χ	Χ	Χ	X	2	2			2				10		\$3,900	
NM-48		Dane	Marshall/Utica/Nora/Rockdale #27	9.7		Х	Х	у	у	2	2	1		2 (	0	0	0			\$2,910	
NM-49		Calumet	Fond du Lac Co line to Chilton	8.6					у	2	3	•		2 1				10		\$2,580	
NM-50		Marquette	#2	6.7			Х	у	у		•	1 :	3 2					10		\$2,010	
NM-51		Waushara	Coloma #23A-Co Line	6.7	Х	Х	Х	у	у		_			2 1				10		\$2,010	
NM-52	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail I	6			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	2			2 1	О	-3	0	10	\$0	\$1,800	
NM-54		Marquette	#3	4.5			Х	у	у		•		3 2					10		\$1,350	
NM-57		Bayfield	Trail 19	3.8		n	у	У	у	3	2			2 (	) -3	0	0			\$1,140	
NM-58		Chippewa	Big C	3.8				Χ	Х	2	2			2				10		\$1,140	
NM-60		Iowa	Arthur club request	2.9		Х	Х	у	у	1	-			2 0		0		10		\$870	
NM-63	NOR-R	•	C Trail North	1.9		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	1	2			2 (	0	0	0		\$0	\$570	
NM-64	NER	Oconto	Marinette 2	1.846	Х			у	у	1				2 1				10		\$554	
NM-67		Dodge	Throttle Jockey Waukesha Co Line	1.4		Х	Х	У	у	1	3		_	1 2	_	0	0			\$420	
NM-8		LaCrosse	Segment 1	17.11	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	X	2	3			2 2		-3		10		\$5,133	
NM-82		Florence	Junction 17 to Junction 18	5.1			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1			2 2	0	-3	0	10	\$0	\$1,530	
NM-90		Manitowoc	I-2	3.1				У	у	2	1			2 2	_			10		\$930	
NM-129		St Croix	250th Trail (9A)	8			Χ	Χ	Х	0	2			2 1	_			9		\$2,400	
NM-23	NOR-R	Taylor	Medford North Trail	1.7		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	2	3	2 (	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$510	

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	hed	Public Lands 0-3	0-3	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	ა თ	to lake/river	2.	estions and comments to be marked Colum H inding.  Column I in the Columns Jeratings and I	ns E, F, & G are one of the column of for the applicate cates if the required was subjected by the column of the c	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification is signed. ne actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3		_	6		7	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-29			Preston to Fennimore	9	Х	Х	Х	У	у					2	) (	0 0	0	9		\$2,700	
NM-33			Sandy Hook Trail	6.01	Х	Х		у	у	2	2	1	2	2	0 (			9		\$1,803	
NM-36	NOR-R	Taylor	C Trail South	3.7	Υ			Υ	Υ	1	3	2	3	2	1	0 -3	3 0	9	\$0	\$1,110	
NM-38	NOR-R	Taylor	P Trail NORTH	1.1			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	2	3	2	1	0 -3	3 0	9	\$0	\$330	
NM-46	SCR	Grant	Beetown to North Andover	14	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	2	1	2	2	0 (	0 (	0	9		\$4,200	
NM-53	SCR	Jefferson	Waterloo Spur	5	Х	Х	Х	у	У	0	3	1	2	2	1 (	0 (	0	9		\$1,500	
NM-55	SCR	Jefferson	Hwy 16 parallel	4.5	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	3	1	2	2	1 (	0 (	0	9		\$1,350	
NM-681	SCR	Dane	County Line #59	9.3	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	2	1	3	1	0	0 (	0	9		\$2,790	
NM-682	SCR	Dane	CP Riders #69	12.7	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	2	1	3	1	0	0 (	0	9		\$3,810	
NM-69		Juneau	East River Trail	20.26		Χ		Χ	Χ	0	2	1	2	2	2			9		\$6,078	
NM-70	SCR	Columbia	Trail #41 - Cambira to Rio connect	15	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	2	1	2	2	2	0 (	0	9		\$4,500	
NM-72	SCR	Dodge	Segment #3	9.2	Х	Х	Х	у	у	1	3	1	2	2	0	0 (	0	9		\$2,760	
NM-73	NER	Waushara	Borth to Poysippi	8.2	Х	Х	Х	у	у	1	3	1	1	2	1			9		\$2,460	
NM-74			Beaver Township	8.13	у	у	У	У	у	1	1	2	2	1	2	0 (	0	9		\$2,439	
NM-75	WCR	Trempealeau	Pine Creek Trail	8	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	3	1	3	2			-2	9		\$2,400	
NM-77		Juneau	Finley Trail	7.19	Χ			Χ	Χ	1	3	1	2	2				9		\$2,157	
NM-86	SCR	Lafayette	Blanchardville	4.8	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	3	1	3	2	0 (	0 (	0	9		\$1,440	
NM-89		,	Trail 22	3.38		n	У	У	у	3	1	3	3	2	0 -	3 (				\$1,014	
NM-91			Marshal #20	2.8		Х	Х	,	у	2	1	1	3	2	0	0 (	0			\$840	
NM-92			CP1 Coloma Link	2.64		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	1	3	1	2	1	1			9		\$792	
NM-94	NOR-R		Medford East Trail	2.5		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	1	1	2	3		-	0 (	_	_	\$0	\$750	
NM-96			Trail 5		n	У	n	У	у	3	1	2			1	0 -3	3 0	_		\$600	
NM-97			Connecting Trail	1.36		Х	Х	У	у	0	2	1	2		2			9		\$408	
NM-101			Trail 5	10.1		Х	Х	у	У	0		-+	•		2			8		\$3,030	
NM-102			Segment #4	9.9		Х	Х	У	у	1	2	1	2	2		0 (				\$2,970	
NM-104			Segment #5	6.5		Х	Х	У	у	1	3	1	2	1		0 (	0			\$1,950	
NM-106			River Road Riders Int 204-Int 226	5.1					У						)			8		\$1,530	
NM-107	NOR-R		Trail 118	5			Υ	Υ	Υ								0	8	\$0	\$1,500	
NM-108	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail SF	4			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2	2	0	0 -3	0	8	\$0	\$1,200	

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts		Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	pe/Trail needs 3		Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. C 3.	estions and of to be marked Colum H indi Column I in Columns J ratings and i	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat cates if the requi was su dicates if the cer statement was through R are the rankings with poi u R should be en	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. ne actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3		5 6	7	7	7	TOT	\$ DEV		FUNDED
NM-111	NOR-R		Trail 121	3.1			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2 2	2 (	0 (	-3	0	8	\$0	\$930	
NM-112	NER		Kiekhaefer Park- Peebles Shell	3		Х	Х	У	у	0	2	1		2				6		\$900	
NM-113	NER	Manitowoc	D-2-3	2.5	Х			У	у	2	3	1	2	2	1	-3		8		\$750	
NM-114	WCR	Jackson	Casino Connector	2.2		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	3	1	1	2	2 :	2	-3		8		\$660	
NM-119	NOR-R		Trail 17B Lac du Flambeau	1.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	0	1 (	0 (	0	0	8	\$0	\$420	
NM-120	NER	Manitowoc	M-2	1.2	Х			У	У	2	1	1	2	2	0			8		\$360	
NM-121		Brown	Access To Food/Gas/Park	0.83		Х	Х	У	у	2	1	1		2				8		\$249	
NM-122		Brown	Access to Village Park/Gas/Food	0.8		Х	Х	У	n	2	1	1	2	2				8		\$240	
NM-123		Manitowoc	G-2	0.5		Х		У	у	2	1	1	2	2	0			8		\$150	
NM-124	SCR	Lafayette	Fayette Access	0.5		Х	Х	У	у	0	2			2 (	_	_	0	8		\$150	
NM-233		Sauk	W7	4.07		Х		Х	Χ	0	3	1		1 (	0 (		0	8		\$1,221	
NM-28	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders-Corridor 3	8.2	Х			У	у	3	1	2		2	0	-3		7		\$2,460	
NM-35	NOR-R		Trail Alt 13	4		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	3		2	1 (			8	\$0	\$1,200	
NM-83		Columbia	#69 - Columbus connector trail		Х	Χ	Х	У	У	0	3	1		_	0 0			8		\$1,500	
NM-88		Columbia	Connecting trail #61 - I-50 to Dane	3.69	Χ	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	2	2	0 0	0 (	0	8		\$1,107	
NM-98		Buffalo	Gilmanton to Waumandee	17		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	2	1			2			9		\$5,100	
NM-99		Shawano	Tigerton to Carolina	13.6		Х		У	n	0				2 2				8		\$4,080	
NM-105	SCR	Jefferson	Palmyra-Hebron	5.28			Х	У	у			1		2 (	0	0	0	7		\$1,584	
NM-116		Portage	Portage to Big Eau Pleine	1.8			Χ		Χ	3	2	1		1			-2	7		\$540	
NM-126		Chippewa	Rough Rider	11.1			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2		2		-3		7		\$3,330	
NM-127	SCR	Dodge	Segment #2	11		Χ	Х	У	У	1	2	1		1	0 0	_		7		\$3,300	
NM-128			#2A	8.4			Х	У	У	1	3	1		2		-3		7		\$2,520	
NM-130		Burnett	Trail 80	7.7	У	n	n	У	У	0	3	2	3		1 -3	3 0	0	7		\$2,310	
NM-131		Marathon	Stratford Connector	6.38		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2		2	2	-3		7		\$1,914	
NM-132		Polk	West Sweden	6.34	_	У	у	У	у	1	1	2	_	_	0 0		0	7		\$1,902	
NM-133	NOR-R		Trail 12 Conover	5.6		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3		1 2	2 0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$1,680	
NM-134		Chippewa	Buckhorn	5.3			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2		2		-3		7		\$1,590	
NM-135	NER	Shawano	Outagamie Trail	4.6				У	n	0	3			2				7		\$1,380	
NM-136	NOR-R	Lincoln	Old J	4.6			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	2	2	2 (	0 0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$1,380	

		2		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	nt groomed 0-2	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	s to lake/river 2	2.	estions and c to be marked Colum H indi . Column I in 4. Columns J ratings and	icates if the requir	is needs on to be eligible. red map bmitted. tification s signed. ie actual nt value.	
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3			6		7	тот	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-137		St Croix	Cty Rd C (4A)	3.5		Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	0	1	1	3	2				7		\$1,050	
NM-138	WCR	Chippewa	High Rider	3.2		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2	2		-3	3	7		\$960	
NM-139	NER	Oconto	Chute, Unfunded 1	2.774			Х	У	у	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$832	
NM-140	NER	Calumet	Badger Creek Trail	2.4	Х				у	2	3	1	1	2	1	-3	3	7		\$720	
NM-141	NOR-R	Price	Trail 88	2.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	3	2	2	0 (	0	-2	7	\$0	\$720	
NM-142	WCR	Marathon	Wittenberg Connector	2.26	Χ			Χ	Χ	2	3	2	1	2		-3	3	7		\$678	
NM-143	NOR-R		Vascheau	1.9			Υ	Υ	Υ	0		2	2	2	0 (	0	0	7	\$0	\$570	
NM-144	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 3	1.638		Х		У	У	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$491	
NM-145	NER	Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 1	0.728		Х		У	У	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$218	
NM-146	WCR	Marathon	Wiouwash	0.68			Χ	X	Χ	2	3	2	1	2		-3	3	7		\$204	
NM-147	NER	Shawano	Wildlife Trail	0.52	Х			У	n	0	3	2	0	2				7		\$156	
NM-148	NER	Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 2	0.361			Х	У	У	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$108	
NM-231	SCR	Sauk	HC4N + H6	7.89			Х	Х	Х	0	1	1	3	2	0 (	0	0	7		\$2,367	
NM-42	NOR-R	Iron	Cramer Reroute Ext	2.3	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2	2	2 -	3 -3	0	7	\$34,382	\$35,072	
NM-56	SCR	Dane	White Lightning #18	3.9	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	3	1	3	1	0	0 -3	0	7		\$1,170	
NM-61	SCR	Dane	Yellow Thunder #61	2.2	Х	Х	Х	у	У	2	3	1	3	1	0	0 -3	0	7		\$660	
NM-62	SCR	Dane	Golden Triange #73	2.1	Х	Х	Х	у	У	2	3	1	3	1	0	0 -3	0	7		\$630	
NM-66	NER	Marinette	Kumalong Riders Crivitz to Trail 18	1.45		Х	Х		У	2	2	2	2	2	0	-3	3	7		\$435	
NM-71	WCR	Monroe	Trail 28	10			Χ	Χ	Χ	0	2	1	2	2				7		\$3,000	
NM-76	WCR	Adams	GM5 Grank Marsh Link	7.95	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	1	1	1	2	1	1			7		\$2,385	
NM-80	WCR	Monroe	Trail 65	6		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	2	1	2	2				7		\$1,800	
NM-81	SCR	Columbia	New Trail #48 - conecting #43 to #	6	Х	Х	Х	У	У	0	2	1	2	2	0	0 0	0	7		\$1,800	
NM-84	SCR	Jefferson	Watertown By-Pass	5	n	Х	Х	У	у	0	2	1	2	2	0 (	0	0	7		\$1,500	
NM-100		St Croix	Erin to Emerald Connect Cor 63&6	11			Χ	Χ	Χ	0	2	1	3		1	-3		6		\$3,300	
NM-115		Marathon	Eau Pleine Connector	1.99				Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2			-2	6		\$597	
NM-125	SCR	Grant	Lancaster to Beetown	15	Х	Х	Х	У	У	0	2	1			0 (			6		\$4,500	
NM-149	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 1	8.73		Х		У	У	1	2	2	1		1	-3		6		\$2,619	
NM-150	NOR-R	Lincoln	Somo	8.5		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	2	2	1	0	0 0	-2	6	\$0	\$2,550	
NM-151	WCR	Juneau	Necedah to Germantown	8.32		Χ		Χ	Χ	0	1	1	2	2				6		\$2,496	

		2		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands	<u>0</u> -3			nt aroomed 0-2	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	ω̈́	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	3.	estions and control to be marked Colum H indicated Column I in the Columns Jeratings and I	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat cates if the requi was su dicates if the cer statement was through R are th rankings with poi u R should be er	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification is signed. ne actual int value.	
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3		5 (	6 7		7	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-152	NOR-R	Lincoln	McCord	7.7			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	2	2	1	0 (	0 (	0	6	\$0	\$2,310	
NM-153	WCR	Adams	R-4,R-5,R-6 Rome Area Access	6.92		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		1	1	2	2				6		\$2,076	
NM-155		Waupaca	Trail 10	5.7		Х	Х	у	у	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$1,710	
NM-156	WCR	Pierce	Plum City North	5.7	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	1	2	1	2	2	1	-3	3	6		\$1,710	
NM-157		Shawano	Docs Trail	5.6		Х		у	n		2	2	0 2	2				6		\$1,680	
NM-158		Sheboygan	7TSF2,PSR Club-2	5.59	Х	Х	Х	у	у	3	1	1	1	2	1	-3		6		\$1,677	
NM-159		Pierce	E Prescott Trail	5.3	Χ		Χ	Χ		1	2	1	2	2	1	-3		6		\$1,590	
NM-160		Ashland	Trail 9A (Special note)		n	n	У	у	у	0	1	3		2	0 -	3 0	0	6		\$1,500	
NM-161		Chippewa	Weiser Trail	4.5			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	1	2		2		-3		6		\$1,350	
NM-162		Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 1	4.465	Х			у	у					2		-3		6		\$1,340	
NM-163		Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 2	4.359			Х	у	у	3	1	2	1 :	2		-3		6		\$1,308	
NM-164		Monroe	Trail 60	4			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	1		2		-3	3	6		\$1,200	
NM-165		Buffalo	Mondovi North to Cty Line	3.9		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	1	1	2	2	0			6		\$1,170	
NM-166		Door	West Jacksonport Cross Trail	3.5			Х		n	0	1	1	1	1	2			6		\$1,050	
NM-167		Shawano	Mattoon to Langlade Co	3.5				у	n	0		2	0	1				6		\$1,050	
NM-168		Sheboygan	RL Club -4	3.35		Χ	Х	у	у	1	3	1	1	2	1	-3		6		\$1,005	
NM-169		Sheboygan	NF Club-1	3.24	Х			у	у	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$972	
NM-171		Waupaca	Trail 1	3		Х	Х	у	у		•			1				6		\$900	
NM-172	NOR-R		Trail 1 Eagle River	3		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0					) (			6	\$0	\$900	
NM-174	Shwno?		Gillett, Unfunded 3	1.95				У	У					2		-3		6		\$585	
NM-175		Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 2	1.589			Х	У	У			2	1 :	2		-3		6		\$477	
NM-176		Waupaca	Trail 7	1.5	Х		Х	У	У	0	3			1				6		\$450	
NM-178		Adams	GM6 Grand Marsh to Adams	1		Χ		Χ		1	1	1	2	1				6		\$300	
NM-232		Sauk	H3	6.97		Х	Х	Х	Х	0	1				2 (		0	6		\$2,091	
NM-59	NOR-R		Q Trail	3.8		Υ		Υ	Υ	0	2	2				) -3		6	\$0	\$1,140	
NM-79	NOR-R		Trail 94	6.6		Υ		Υ	Υ		-+			_	) (		0	6	\$0	\$1,980	
NM-85		Douglas	Trail 6	4.8	n	У	n	У	У	0	1	3			0 (	) -3	0	6		\$1,440	
NM-87		Racine	Browns Lake South/Rail trail	4.7			Х	У	У	3	2	1		2	1	-3		6		\$1,410	
NM-95	SCR	Dane	Ashton #51	2.2	Х	Χ	Х	у	У	2	1	1	3	2	0	) <mark>-3</mark>	0	6		\$660	

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	hed	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts		Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts		Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2.	to be marked Colum H indi Column I in Columns J ratings and	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicati cates if the requi was su dicates if the cer statement was through R are th rankings with poin u R should be en	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification is signed. ne actual nt value.
PROJ#	REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7 7	7	ТОТ	\$ DEV		FUNDED
NM-103		Portage	Corridor 15 & Corridor 13 Connect	9.3			Χ	Χ	Χ		1	1	2	2	2	-(		5		\$2,790	
NM-109	SCR	Dane	Viking Snow Drifters #75	3.8	Х	Х	Χ	У	у	0	3	1	3	1		0 -3	0			\$1,140	
NM-110		Wood	Auburndale Loop	3.2			Χ	Χ	Χ		1	1	2	2	2	-;		5		\$960	
NM-117	NER	Outagamie	Z1-1-NG	1.72	Х	Х	Χ	у	у	0	3	1			1		-3			\$516	
NM-118	NER	Outagamie	Z6-1-NPR	1.41	Х	Х		у	У	0	3	1	1	2	1		-3	5		\$423	
NM-154	SCR	Columbia	Connecting trail - Keyser #71 to Da	6	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	2	2	0	0 -3	3 0	5		\$1,800	
NM-179	NER	Manitowoc	D2-2,D-2-1, B-2 Pigeon	11.5				У	у	2	1	1	2	2		7	3	5		\$3,450	
NM-180	NER	Waupaca	Trail 6	10.5		Х	Χ	у	У	0	2	1	1	1				5		\$3,150	
NM-181	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 51C	8.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	3	2	1	0	0 -3	3 0	5	\$0	\$2,520	
NM-182	NER	Shawano	Red River Loop	8			Χ	у	n	0	1	2	0	2				5		\$2,400	
NM-183	NER	Shawano	Tilleda Loop	6.8		Χ		у	n	0	1	2	0	2				5		\$2,040	
NM-184	SER	Sheboygan	FC Club-1	6.25		Χ	Х	У	у	0	1	1	1	2				5		\$1,875	
NM-185	WCR	Marathon	Hatley Connector Trail	5.72		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		ï	3	5		\$1,716	
NM-186	WCR	Marathon	West Marathon City Connector	5.28		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-	3	5		\$1,584	
NM-187	WCR	Marathon	Memories Connector	5.02		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-:	3	5		\$1,506	
NM-188	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 8A	4.8			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	3	2	1	0	0 -3		5	\$0	\$1,440	
NM-190	NER	Marquette	#4 Endeavor loop	3.9			Χ	у	У	1	1			2		-3	3	5		\$1,170	
NM-191	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders Int 315-Int 318	3.4		Χ			У	1	1	2	2	2	0	-3	3	5		\$1,020	
NM-192	WCR	Marathon	North Marathon City Connector	3.05		Χ			Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-	3	5		\$915	
NM-193	WCR	Marathon	Kelley Connector	2.96			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-;	3	5		\$888	
NM-194	WCR	Marathon	South Mararthon City Connector	2.86		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-;	3	5		\$858	
NM-195	SER	Sheboygan	AY Club-1	2.6		Χ	Χ	у	у	3	1	1	1	2		-7	3	5		\$780	
NM-196	NER	Kewaunee	KU-SLN	2.4			Χ		у	0	1	1	0	2	1			5		\$720	
NM-197	SER	Waukesha	Trial 16 & Trail 8 connector	2.1		Х	Х	У	у	0	1	1	3	1	2	-3		5		\$630	
NM-198		Oconto	Gillett, Unfunded 2	2.021		Х		у	у	1	2			2		-3	3	5		\$606	
NM-199	NER	Shawano	Mountain Bay to Gresham	2		Х		у	n	0	1			2				5		\$600	
NM-200		Shawano	Shortcut Trail	1.9			Χ	у	n	0	1	_	0	2				5		\$570	
NM-201		Marathon	Pine Lane Trail	1.35				Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-:	3	5		\$405	
NM-202	WCR	Marathon	Poniatowski Connector	1.29			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-:	3	5		\$387	

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	2	∍∕Trail needs  3		Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	3.	estions and c to be marked Colum H indi Column I in 4. Columns J ratings and	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat cates if the requ was su dicates if the ce statement was through R are the rankings with point u R should be er	ns needs ion to be eligible. irred map ubmitted. rtification is signed. ne actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-203		Marathon	Lions Park Connector	1.06		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2			-3		5		\$318	
NM-204	NER	Oconto	Gillett, Unfunded 1	1		Х		у	У	1	2	2	1	2			-3		5		\$300	
NM-93		Columbia	Connecting trail - Columbia #86 to	2.62	Х	Х	Х	у	У	0	3	1	2	2	0		<mark>-3</mark>	0	5		\$786	
NM-189		Columbia	Otsego #70 to Casino (connecting	4.1		Х	Χ	у	У	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	-2	0	4		\$1,230	
NM-205		Waupaca	Trail 3	2.6		Х	Х	У	у	0	1	1	1	1					4	\$170,000	\$170,780	
NM-206	NER	Kewaunee	L-ELL	8.1			Х		У	0	1	1	0	1	1				4		\$2,430	
NM-207	NOR-R	Lincoln	Old Grade	3.2			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	2	2	2	0	0	-3	0	4	\$0	\$960	
NM-208	NER	Waupaca	Trail 9	2.5		Х	Х	У	у	0	1	1	1	1					4		\$750	
NM-209		Racine	Saller Woods	2.4	Х			у	У	3	1	1	0	2			-3		4		\$720	
NM-210	NER	Oconto	Paul Bunyan, Unfunded 1	2.321			Х	у	у	1	1	2	1	2			-3		4		\$696	
NM-211		Oconto	Paul Bunyan, Unfunded 2	0.86			Х	у	у	1	1	2	1	2			-3		4		\$258	
NM-212	NOR-R	Lincoln	Beacon	0.7			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	2	2	2	0	0	-3	0	4	\$0	\$210	
NM-213		Waupaca	Trail 8	0.6		Х	Х	у	у	0	1	1	1	1					4		\$180	
NM-78		Buffalo	Trail 95 Cty R to Cty Y	7	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	1	1	2	2			<del>-</del> 3		3		\$2,100	
NM-170	SER	Racine	Snowhawks	3.05		Х	Х	у	у	0	2	1	0	2	1		-3		3		\$915	
NM-173	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders-Int 330-Int 314	2.7		Х			у	1	1	2	2	2	0		-3	-2	3		\$810	
NM-214	NOR	Burnett	Trail 22	5.3	n	n	у	у	у	0	2	2	3	1	0	-3	-2	0	3		\$1,590	
NM-215	NER	Fond du Lac	St Peter South Trail	4.91		Х	Х	у	у	0	2	1	1	2			-3		3		\$1,473	
NM-216	NER	Waupaca	Trail 2	4.7	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	1	1		-3			3		\$1,410	
NM-217	SER	Sheboygan	HG Club-2	3.7	Χ		Х	у	у	2	1	1	1	1			-3		3		\$1,110	
NM-218	NER	Waupaca	Trail 4	2.1	Х		Х	У	у	0	3	1	1	1			-3		3		\$630	
NM-219	NOR-R	Lincoln	Schult	2.1			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$630	
NM-220	NER	Kewaunee	DU-LL	1.3			Х		У	0	0	1	0	2	0				3		\$390	
NM-221	NER	Waushara	Tri-Co #26-#30A	8.5				У	у	0	1	1	1	2			-3		2		\$2,550	
NM-222	NER	Outagamie	Z5-6-PB & Z5-7-GK	3.15		Х	Х	У	у	0	1	1	1	2	0		-3		2		\$945	
NM-223	NER	Outagamie	Z5-4-DT	2.69		Х	Х	У	У	0	1	1	1	2	$\Box$	1.	-3	Ī	2		\$807	
NM-224	NER	Outagamie	Z5-3-DT	2.38			Х	У	У	0	1	1	1	2	$\Box$		-3		2		\$714	
NM-225	NOR-R	Lincoln	Alexander	2.2			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	2	2	1	0	0	-3	-2	2	\$0	\$660	
NM-227	WCR	Wood	Wilhorn Trail - Withdrawn	4.8																	\$1,440	
																					_	-

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		1.	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use		음	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Snowfall 1-3	Ratio 1-3	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. ( 3.	estions and of to be marke  Colum H ind  Column I ir  Columns of the columns of t	ns E, F, & G one of the col d for the appl icates if the r wa ndicates if the statement I through R a rankings with	umns licatio equires s sub certi was re the poin e ente	n needs in to be eligible. ed map imitted. fication signed. e actual t value. ered as	s e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1 2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	F	UNDED	כ
NM-228	NER	Fond du Lac	Autobahn	7.1	Х		Х	y :	/	2 :	3 1	1	2	2				11		\$2,1	30		
				1,268.09															\$210,882	\$591,3	310	\$0	)