

2022-23 Snowmobile Funding Summary

| <u>Category</u> | <u>Funds Available</u> | <u>Amount Requested</u> | <u>Amount Funded</u> | <u>Balance</u> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Funds Available | \$ - | | | \$ - |
| Maintenance | (19,884 @ \$300/mile) | \$ 5,965,335 | \$ 5,965,335 | \$ (5,965,335) |
| <u>Funds For Development, etc</u> | \$ - | | | \$ - |
| Storm Damage | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Cost Increase Requests | | \$ 58,515 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Snow Bridge Rehab | | \$ 2,665,518 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Snow Trail Rehab | | \$ 82,270 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Snow Relocation Mandatory | | \$ 517,344 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Snow Relocation Discretionary | | \$ 315,953 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Snow Bridge New | | \$ 740,904 | \$ - | \$ - |
| New Miles in ROW (40' Rule) | | \$ 7,890 | \$ - | \$ - |
| New Miles | (339 @ \$300/mile) | \$ 304,865 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Snow Acquisition | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Snow Non-Trail Development | | \$ 324,948 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Development Total | | \$ 5,018,206 | \$ - | |
| Grand Total | | \$ 10,983,541 | \$ 5,965,335 | \$ - |

Prelim. Supplemental (21-22): \$ -

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE COST INCREASE REQUESTS

| Region | County | | New/Increase Component | New Costs | Total | Approved | Comments |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| #80 | NOR-S Burnett | Burnett County is requesting a cost increase to the Trail 925W Boardwalk Bridge Rehab project. The county received four bids to do the work, with the lowest being \$31,240 over the original estimates. The project is split between ATV and Snow. Additional RTP funds have contributed to the project's overall funding. | COSTS | | \$31,240 | After Cost Share: \$15,620 | |
| | | | As Bid Overrun | \$31,240 | | | |
| | | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | |
| | | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: \$ 15,620 | | |
| | | | Original Grant # | S-5557 | Funding Category Rehab | | |
| Original Grant Amt | \$ 53,750 | New Grant Amt (After) | \$69,370 | | \$15,620 | | |
| #81 | NOR-S Burnett | Burnett County is requesting a cost increase to the Trail 130 Boardwalk Bridge Rehab project. The county received four bids to do the work, with the lowest being \$18,790 over the original estimates. The project is split between ATV and Snow. Additional RTP funds have contributed to the project's overall funding. | COSTS | | \$18,790 | After Cost Share: \$9,395 | |
| | | | As Bid Overrun | \$18,790 | | | |
| | | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | |
| | | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: \$ 9,395 | | |
| | | | Original Grant # | S-5581 | Funding Category Rehab | | |
| Prev Grant Amt | \$ 74,125 | New Grant Amt (After) | \$83,520 | | \$9,395 | | |
| #82 | SCR Tri-Co (Cheese Country) | Tri-County (Cheese Country) received a grant in fall of 2020 to repair 900 ft of shoreline along the Pecatonica River that had washed out in a storm event. The original cost estimate was \$198,334 split between snowmobile and ATV. Since that time, bids have come in higher than expected. Additional riprap is needed as well. The revised cost estimate is \$265,350. An additional \$67,000 is requested, split between snowmobile and winter ATV | COSTS | | \$67,000 | After Cost Share: \$33,500 | |
| | | | Bid Overrun | \$8,335 | | | |
| | | | Engineering Oversight | \$13,665 | | | |
| | | | Adnl RipRap | \$20,000 | | | |
| | | | | \$25,000 | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | YES | Amount: \$ 33,500 | | | | | |
| Original Grant # | S-5499S | Funding Cat. Storm | | | | | |
| Previous Grant Amt | \$99,172 | New Grant Amt (After) | \$132,672 | | \$33,500 | | |
| | | | TOTAL | | \$58,515 | \$0 | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|---|--------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| #1 | Forest | Forest County proposes to replace the existing Swamp Creek Bridge on the Lumberjack Memorial Trail-6 (LMT-6) with a new 12'x25' bridge. The current bridge is 20 years old and in poor condition. The trail is not open to ATVs. The county is also applying for RTP. | *Structure | \$20,000 | \$167,000 After Cost Share: \$67,000 | | <i>This is very expensive for a 25' bridge. Why so expensive? Is there an alternate trail nearby that could be used to get around this?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$18,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | \$22,500 | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$20,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$25,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$25,000 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$1,500 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$35,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Yes | Amount: | | \$ 100,000 | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 12 x 25 | New Bridge Size: | 12 x 25 | | | |
| | Water Body: | Swamp Creek | Labor Source: | Contract | | | |
| | Years in System: | 20 years | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$67,000 | | |
| #2 | Forest | Forest County proposes to replace the existing Swanson Creek Bridge on the Nicolet State Trail with a new 12'x80' bridge. The current bridge is over 60 years old and in poor condition. The county is also applying for RTP and ATV grant funds. | *Structure | \$73,000 | \$251,500 After Cost Share: \$75,750 | | <i>Needs current pictures. Also, very expensive price per foot, why?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$30,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | \$22,500 | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$20,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$28,500 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$31,000 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$1,500 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$45,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Yes | Amount: | | \$ 175,750 | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | DNR 21-005 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 12 x 80 | New Bridge Size: | 12 x 80 | | | |
| | Water Body: | Swanson Creek | Labor Source: | Contract | | | |
| | Years in System: | Many | Design Weight Load: | 30,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$75,750 | | |
| #3 | Iron | Iron County proposes to replace the existing Boomer Creek Bridge (Bridge#32) on Snowmobile Trail 2, which is also a year-round ATV/UTV trail. The original bridge was 20 ft x 12 ft timber frame with 12 ft approaches and constructed in 2004. The timber is deteriorating on the approaches, decking, and railing; and needs replacement. The new bridge will be a 40ft steel span and make use of the existing concrete abutments. The County proposes to split the cost with ATV grant funds. | *Structure | \$23,597 | \$44,255 After Cost Share: \$22,128 | | <i>How will existing abutments be utilized? 20' vs. 40'</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$1,500 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$11,210 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$6,248 | | | | | | |
| Disposal | \$1,700 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Yes | Amount: | | \$ 22,127 | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | Iron #32 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 12 x 20 + 12's | New Bridge Size: | 12 x 40 | | | |
| | Water Body: | Boomer Creek | Labor Source: | Force Acct | | | |
| | Years in System: | 18 Years | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$22,128 | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------|------------------|--|----------|---|
| #4 | Vilas | Vilas County proposes to replace the existing Verna Creek Bridge on Snow Trail Corridor 10 with a new 12'x30' bridge. The current bridge is over 30 years old and in poor condition. This trail is not open to ATVs. | *Structure | \$25,000 | \$59,500 After Cost Share: \$59,500 | | <i>Need pictures (Jeff has them)</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$5,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | \$5,500 | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$2,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$10,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$8,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| # of New Mi | 0 | | | \$59,500 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | No | Amount: | 0 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | Vilas-4 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 12 x 25 | New Bridge Size: | 12 x 30 | | | |
| | Water Body: | Verna Creek | Labor Source: | Contract | | | |
| | Years in System: | 34 | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| #5 | Price | Price County proposes to replace the existing bridge over a tributary to Hay Creek on Snow Trail 102, near Hwy 13 between Phillips and Prentice. The new bridge will be 12.8ft x 22ft, with 15ft open approach ramps on either side. The current bridge is over 25 years old and in poor condition. This trail is not open to ATVs. | *Structure | \$44,000 | \$67,000 After Cost Share: \$67,000 | | <i>Needs better pictures. When was this bridge last funded? Why 12.8' or 13' instead of 12'? Council seems unwilling to pay more than 12'. Is the bridge on private or public land? (conflicting answers)</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$4,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$5,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| # of New Mi | 0 | | | \$67,000 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | No | Amount: | 0 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | Price-10 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 12 x 20 | New Bridge Size: | 12.8 x 22 + 15's | | | |
| | Water Body: | Trib to Hay Crk | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | 28 | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| #6 | Price | Price County proposes to replace the existing bridge over the Little Elk River on Snow Trail 173 near Phillips. The new bridge will be 12.8ft x 34ft, with 17ft open approach ramps on either side. The current bridge is over 20 years old and in poor condition. This trail is not open to ATVs. | *Structure | \$68,000 | \$91,000 After Cost Share: \$91,000 | | <i>Needs Private LUA, Capital Waiver. Why 12.8'?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$4,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$5,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| # of New Mi | 0 | | | \$91,000 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | No | Amount: | 0 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | Price-13 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 12 x 35 | New Bridge Size: | 12.8 x 34 + 17's | | | |
| | Water Body: | Little Elk Rvr | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | 23 years | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|---|--------------------|--|---------------------|------------------|--|----------|--|
| #7 | Price | Price County proposes to replace the existing bridge over the Somo River on Snow Trail 123. The new bridge will be 12.8ft x 20ft, with 12ft open approach ramps on either side. The current bridge is over 20 years old and in poor condition. This trail is not open to ATVs. | *Structure | \$32,000 | \$55,000 After Cost Share: \$55,000 | | <i>Needs Private LUA, Capital Waiver. Why 12.8'?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$4,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$5,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | No | Amount: | | 0 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | 12.8 x 20 + 12's | | | |
| | Water Body: | Somo River | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$55,000 | | |
| #8 | Price | Price County proposes to replace the existing bridge over Chase Creek on Snow Trail 173 near Phillips. The new bridge will be 12.8ft x 22ft, with 15ft open approach ramps on either side. The current bridge is almost 30 years old and in poor condition. This trail is not open to ATVs. | *Structure | \$44,000 | \$67,000 After Cost Share: \$67,000 | | <i>Needs Private LUA, Capital Waiver. Why 12.8'?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$4,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$5,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | No | Amount: | | 0 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | Price-14 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | 12.8 x 22 + 15's | | | |
| | Water Body: | Chase Creek | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | 29 | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$67,000 | | |
| #9 | Ashland | Butternut Creek Bridge Snow Project is to replace a deteriorated structure with a new longer bridge. | *Structure | \$32,000 | \$57,000 After Cost Share: \$57,000 | | <i>Need Photos, Map</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$4,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$5,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Amount: | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | private | Bridge Inventory #: | Ash-2 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 30' x 12' | New Bridge Size: | 40' x 12' | | | |
| | Water Body: | Butternut Creek | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | 37 | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$57,000 | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|---|---------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|----------|--|
| #10 | Burnett | Trail 130 Bridge Snow/Winter ATV. Project is for redecking and reinforcing to bring bridge up to 25,000 lb. load rating and new guardrails along the approaches for safety. TPC \$30,000.00 ATV \$3,000 SNO \$3,000 RTP \$24,000 | *Structure | \$18,000 | \$30,000 After Cost Share: \$3,000 | | <i>Could maintenance be used to cover the \$3k snow share? Photos of underdeck and supports. How will this be reinforced? Is there subdeck under the decking? Include Eby's break-down please.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 27,000 | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: public | Bridge Inventory #: Burnett 11 | Labor | \$12,000 | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: 42' x 12' | New Bridge Size: | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | Water Body: Unnamed | Labor Source: | Other | | | |
| | | Years in System: 22 | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | # of New Mi | 0 | \$3,000 | |
| #11 | Burnett | Trail 120 Bridge Snow. Project is for redecking and reinforcing to bringe bridge up to 25,000 lb load rating and new guardrails along the approaches for safety. TPC \$25,000 Snow \$5,000 RTP \$20,000 | *Structure | \$15,000 | \$25,000 After Cost Share: \$5,000 | | <i>Include Eby's break-down please.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: yes Amount: \$ 20,000 | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: Public | Bridge Inventory #: Burnett 1 | Labor | \$10,000 | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: 29' x 12' | New Bridge Size: | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | Water Body: Black Brook | Labor Source: | Other | | | |
| | | Years in System: 22 | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | # of New Mi | 0 | \$5,000 | |
| #12 | Douglas | Trail 35 St. Croix Bridge Redeck Snow & ATV Project is for replacing the deck boards on the old St. Croix River railroad bridge on the Wild Rivers Trail. TPC \$49,859 Snow \$24,929.50 ATV \$24,929.50 | *Structure | | \$49,859 After Cost Share: \$24,930 | | <i>Need map, pix of the bridge structure (not just decking). Consider adding a wear deck. Is this bridge really only rated to 14,000 lbs?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 24,930 | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: public | Bridge Inventory #: Doua15 | Labor | \$19,859 | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: 10' x 150' | New Bridge Size: | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | Water Body: St. Croix River | Labor Source: | Other | | | |
| | | Years in System: 110 | Design Weight Load: unknown | # of New Mi | 0 | \$24,930 | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|--|--------------------|--|--------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|--|
| #13 | Douglas | Trail 35 Culvert Repair Snow & ATV Project is to repair one of the three culverts at this location. Replace the missing rod with a cable to prevent future culvert failure. Repair the riprap on the inlet side of the culverts to help protect the soil around the culverts. TPC \$7,471 Snow \$3,735.50 ATV\$3,735.50 | *Structure | \$5,191 | \$7,471 | | <i>If funded, no more grants for this culvert for 10 years. Would it be prudent to replace all three rods to make sure the repairs last?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$3,736 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 3,736 | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Bridge Inventory #: | Culverts | | | | |
| | Old Culvert Size: | New Culvert Size: | Riprap | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Labor Source: | Labor | \$1,180 | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Equip Rental | \$1,100 | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$3,736 | | |
| #14 | Douglas | Trail 2 Pokegama Bridge Replacement - Snow & Winter ATV Proposing to replace the bridge on Trail 2 Slope stability failure at both banks. An engineering solution would be to provide a stronger abutment wall utilizing steel sheet piling to retain the approach fills, or a longer bridge to span the bank areas or a best cost combination of both. Total Est. \$503,000 Snow \$251,500 ATV \$251,500 | *Structure | \$110,000 | \$503,000 | | <i>More info requested (Co attendance at funding meeting requested). Why is the site prep so expensive? Why was the 2009 repair insufficient? Could the abutments be re-done and this bridge reused, rather than replacing it?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$60,000 | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | \$26,000 | \$251,500 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$201,000 | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 251,500 | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Bridge Inventory #: | Culverts | | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | Riprap | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Labor Source: | Labor | | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Equip Rental | \$91,000 | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$251,500 | | |
| #15 | Douglas | Trail 41 Paske Bridge. Proposing replacing an old bridge over the Moose River. The original bridge utilizes piers from an old dam and the current bridge has been in place since the 1960's. **This project could potentially use a bridge from the Pokegama River if that project is approved. ** Total Est. \$93,851.00 ATV \$46,925.50 Snow \$46,925.50 | *Structure | \$50,851 | \$93,851 | | <i>What is the cost of this project if #14 is approved?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$27,500 | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | \$3,000 | \$46,926 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 46,925.5 | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Bridge Inventory #: | Culverts | | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | Riprap | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Labor Source: | Labor | | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Equip Rental | \$12,500 | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$46,926 | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|---|--------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--|----------------|--|
| #16 | Washburn | Potato Creek Mandatory Trail Relocation w/NEW Bridge. Snow & YR ATV Proposing constructing a new bridge over Potato Creek due to the construction of the new USH 63 and USH 53 interchange at Trego. TPC \$255,000 Snow \$127,500 ATV \$127,500 | *Structure | \$16,000 | \$255,000 After Cost Share: \$127,500 | | <i>Need 2nd estimate. Would like to see details on Anderson proposal.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$160,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$4,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$40,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$8,000 | | | | | | |
| New Miles | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$4,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$20,000 | | | | | | |
| H&H Study | \$3,000 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Yes | Amount: | | 127500 | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | public | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | 12' x 160' | | | |
| | Water Body: | Potato | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | | \$127,500 | | |
| #69 | Tri-County (North) | Fish Creek Bridge #2 Snow & YR ATV Project is to remove the old bridge and pilings and install a new 12' x 130' freespan bridge. TPC \$291,000 Snow \$145,500 ATV \$145,500 | *Structure | \$200,000 | \$291,000 After Cost Share: \$145,500 | | <i>Need more photos to show the need (overall bridge, decking, deterioration). Missing Appendix A.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$15,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$3,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$35,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$3,000 | | | | | | |
| New Miles | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$10,000 | | | | | | |
| Development | | | | | | | |
| Other | \$25,000 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | yes | Amount: | | 145.500 | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | 12' x 130' | | | |
| | Water Body: | | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | | \$145,500 | | |
| #23 | Dane | Replacement of the VSD 2-Leutten Creek Skaalen Farms Bridge (28) is a priority. The bridge is on private land and supports State Corridor Trail #38. The 20+ year old bridge is located on Leutten Creek and is constructed of wooden log supports with an unknown max load. | *Structure | \$98,800 | \$121,800 After Cost Share: \$121,800 | | <i>Keep trying for 2nd estimate.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$12,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Other | \$9,000 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | No | Amount: | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | Dane 28 | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | 28' x 10' | New Bridge Size: | 32' x 12' | | | |
| | Water Body: | Leutten Creek | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | 20+ | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$121,800 | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|---|-----------|--|------------------------------|--------------|--|----------|---|
| #24 | Dane | Replacement of these culverts is a priority. Many years ago, these culverts were installed to replace a dilapidated snowmobile bridge (not currently in inventory, so not numbered) that was never removed. This project includes removing the old bridge and current culverts, and replacing with a new bridge. The bridge will be on private land and supports State Corridor Trail #38. | *Structure | \$98,800 | \$125,800 After Cost Share: \$125,800 | | <i>Keep trying for 2nd estimate. If this location had culverts before, why not use culverts again? What does WMS say?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$12,000 | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No Amount: | | | Culverts | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: Private | Bridge Inventory #: n/a | Labor | | | |
| | | Old Culvert Size: | New Bridge Size: 32' x 12' | Equip Rental | \$2,000 | | |
| | | Water Body: Leutten Creek | Labor Source: | Other | \$13,000 | | |
| | | Years in System: unk | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | # of New Mi | 0 | | |
| | | | | | \$125,800 | | |
| #25 | Grant | Grant Co proposes to replace the existing bridge on the Ellenboro-Arthur Snowmobile Trail. Grant County bridge ASC5. Bridge has deteriorated and needs replacement. Estimated 18 years old. Trail reroute is not possible at this time due to nearby waterway, terrain and residences. | *Structure | \$45,000 | \$58,600 After Cost Share: \$58,600 | | <i>LUA length?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$3,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$700 | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No Amount: | | | Culverts | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: Private | Bridge Inventory #: ACS5 | Labor | \$7,500 | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: 27' | New Bridge Size: 32' x 12' | Equip Rental | \$1,500 | | |
| | | Water Body: unk | Labor Source: | Other | \$900 | | |
| | | Years in System: 18 | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | # of New Mi | 0 | | |
| | | | | | \$58,600 | | |
| #26 | Lafayette | Lafayette requests replacement of the Yellowstone Bridge . Current structure is of unknown age, poor condition, and inadequate clearance over the Yellowstone river | *Structure | \$74,700 | \$93,700 After Cost Share: \$93,700 | | <i>2nd estimate? (Verify this is on a funded trail)</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$3,200 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$1,000 | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> NO Amount: | | | Culverts | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: Private | Bridge Inventory #: Araile 1 | Labor | | | |
| | | Old Culvert Size: 12' x 40' | New Culvert Size: 12' x 55' | Equip Rental | \$1,800 | | |
| | | Water Body: Yellowstone Rvr | Labor Source: | Other | \$13,000 | | |
| | | Years in System: 25+ | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | # of New Mi | 0 | | |
| | | | | | \$93,700 | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|---|--------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--|-----------|---|
| #27 | Adams | Adams County proposes to replace the failing bridge over the Big Roche-A-Cri on Corridor 21 in Arkdale adjacent to Hwy 21 and within the Hwy ROW | *Structure | \$120,000 | \$166,000 After Cost Share: \$166,000 | | <i>Need county wide map</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$10,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$2,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| Abutments | \$20,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$10,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: NO | | | Amount: | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | ADCO-2 | | | |
| | Old Culvert Size: | 10' x 96' | New Culvert Size: | 12' x 108' | | | |
| | Water Body: | Big Roche-a-Cri | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | 34 | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | # of New Mi | | | | 0 | \$166,000 | |
| #28 | Dunn | Dunn County is applying for a snowmobile bridge grant to replace the existing bridge (Torches Bridge). The existing bridge is about 15 feet long and is made of wood (no frame is known to exist below the wood). The wood decking on top is rotting and the bridge sags even under the weight of a person. At this time replacing the bridge is a necessity to keep access through this area of the trail open and snowmobiles off the county road. | *Structure | \$32,000 | \$48,200 After Cost Share: \$48,200 | | <i>Would like to see details on Eby's breakdown</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$2,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | \$200 | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$3,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$4,000 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: NO | | | Amount: | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | Dunn 26 | | | |
| | Old Culvert Size: | 10' x 15' | New Culvert Size: | 12' x 36' | | | |
| | Water Body: | unnamed | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | 20+ | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | # of New Mi | | | | 0 | \$48,200 | |
| #29 | Wood | Wood Country proposes to replace the Auburndale Bridge . Existing bridge is an old rail car retrofitted as a snowmobile bridge. It's subject to flooding over and deterioration | *Structure | \$60,000 | \$188,856 After Cost Share: \$188,856 | | <i>Would like to see detailed estimate of installation costs.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$15,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$10,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| Abutments | \$39,980 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | \$10,500 | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$8,626 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$11,250 | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | \$33,500 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Amount: | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | Old Culvert Size: | 12' x 60' | New Culvert Size: | 12' x 60' | | | |
| | Water Body: | N Hemlock Crk | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | Years in System: | unk | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | # of New Mi | | | | 0 | \$188,856 | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|--|--------|--|---------------|-----------|--|----------|---|
| #30 | Monroe | Monroe County proposes to replace the snowmobile bridge on Corridor 25 in Tomah. Bridge spans the Mud Creek and is 31 years old and in need of replacement | *Structure | \$164,400 | \$196,400 After Cost Share: \$196,400 | | <i>Keep trying for 2nd estimate.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$23,000 | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | Culverts | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Public or Private: Private | | | Labor | | | | |
| Bridge Inventory #: | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| Old Culvert Size: 12' x 80' | | | Other | \$9,000 | | | |
| New Culvert Size: 12' x 80' | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$196,400 | | |
| Water Body: Mud creek | | | | | | | |
| Labor Source: | | | | | | | |
| Design Weight Load: 25,000 | | | | | | | |
| Years in System: | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | | | | | | |
| #31 | Monroe | Monroe County proposes to replace the Lucky Seven Bridge on Corridor 25 near Sparta across the La Crosse River. The bridge is 40 years old and needs replacement, the recent large rain events are washing out the bridge abutments. The City of Sparta is applying for a stewardship grant to cost share at least 50 % of the bridge. The labor is donated by a group of volunteers that have built several bridges of this magnitude or larger. | *Structure | \$127,550 | \$160,000 After Cost Share: \$80,000 | | <i>Keep trying for 2nd estimate. Is the golf course contributing? Will the structure be used by golfers? Which bridge is this off of the website?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$4,450 | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | Materials | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Abutments | \$15,000 | | | |
| Public or Private: Public | | | Pilings/Piers | \$10,000 | | | |
| Bridge Inventory #: | | | Approaches | | | | |
| Old Culvert Size: 12' x 60' | | | Culverts | | | | |
| New Culvert Size: 12' x 60' | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Water Body: La Crosse River | | | Labor | \$0 | | | |
| Labor Source: | | | Equip Rental | \$3,000 | | | |
| Design Weight Load: 25,000 | | | Other | | | | |
| Years in System: 40 | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$80,000 | | |
| Years in System: | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | | | | | | |
| #32 | Monroe | Monroe County propose to replace the ties, decking and railing on an old railroad bridge (Farmers Valley) located on property owned by the WDNR. Estimated age of the deck is 50 years. Trail is La Crosse River State Trail | *Structure | | \$0 After Cost Share: \$0 | | <i>Cost? Breakdown?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | Materials | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Public or Private: Public | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Bridge Inventory #: | | | Approaches | | | | |
| Old Culvert Size: 12' x 123' | | | Culverts | | | | |
| New Culvert Size: 12' x 123' | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Water Body: Farmers Valley | | | Labor | | | | |
| Labor Source: | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| Design Weight Load: 25,000 | | | Other | | | | |
| Years in System: 50 | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$0 | | |
| Years in System: | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | | | | | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------|--|----------|--|
| #33 | WCR Tremealeau | Tremealeau County is applying for a new bridge grant (Witt Hill). Currently there is a culvert in poor condition with constant erosion occurring with rain events. The crossing is constantly in need of repair and is a prime location for a new bridge to avoid having to repair the culvert/gravel annually. | *Structure | \$32,000 | \$55,000 After Cost Share: \$55,000 | | <i>Will the 40' bridge be sufficient? Is a larger culvert an option? Talk to WMS</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$4,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$5,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| # of New Mi | 0 | | | \$55,000 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Amount: | | | | |
| | | Public or Private: Private | Bridge Inventory #: n/a | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Culvert Size: | New Culvert Size: 40' x 12' | | | | |
| | | Water Body: unnamed | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | | Years in System: unk | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | | | | |
| #34 | WCR Pierce | Pierce County proposes to rehab the Jodi Timm Memorial Bridge over the Eau Galle River in Spring Valley. The 12' X 80' bridge was built in 1992 and has not been re-decked in the past 20 years. The project consists of removing the existing deck and railing and installing new lumber and railings. The project also will include installing rip rap around the approaches and abutments as some of it has washed away over the past several years. | *Structure | | \$15,000 After Cost Share: \$15,000 | | <i>Not sure the "memorial bridge" signs are an eligible expense...</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| Materials | \$10,705 | | | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$1,592 | | | | | | |
| Other | \$703 | | | | | | |
| # of New Mi | 0 | | | \$15,000 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Amount: | | | | |
| | | Public or Private: Public | Bridge Inventory #: Pierce 10 | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Culvert Size: | New Culvert Size: | | | | |
| | | Water Body: Eau Galle River | Labor Source: Club | | | | |
| | | Years in System: 20+ | Design Weight Load: 12,000 | | | | |
| #35 | NER Calumet | Calumet County proposes to replace a 1978 bridge on Trail 4-3 * 3-3 over the Killsnake River . The old bridge is undersized and deteriorating. | *Structure | \$109,000 | \$146,500 After Cost Share: \$146,500 | | <i>Need Pictures and detailed cost estimates.</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$3,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$2,000 | | | |
| Delivery | \$1,000 | | | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$20,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$5,000 | | | | | | |
| H&H Study | \$6,500 | | | | | | |
| # of New Mi | 0 | | | \$146,500 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Amount: | | | | |
| | | Public or Private: Private | Bridge Inventory #: CALUMET-9 | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: 12' x 64' | New Bridge Size: 12' x 70' | | | | |
| | | Water Body: Killsnake River | Labor Source: Contractor | | | | |
| | | Years in System: 10+ | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | | | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|------------|------------------|---|---|---|--|--------------------|---|
| #36 | NER Oconto | Oconto County proposes to rehab the Chase Bridge on the Little Suamico River . Scope of work includes replacing rip-rap, pouring abutments, replacing decking and rails. | *Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other | | \$40,000 After Cost Share: \$40,000 | | <i>LUA length? Need photos, need to have load limit increased for 25,000 lbs.</i> |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Amount: <input type="text"/> | | | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: Private Old Bridge Size: 12 x 100 Water Body: Little Suamico R Years in System: 25+ | Bridge Inventory #: OCONTO-1 New Bridge Size: no change Labor Source: Contractor Design Weight Load: 12,000 | | \$40,000 | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$40,000 | | |
| #37 | NER Outagamie | Outagamie County proposes to replace a culvert on trail Z1-3-ITR on private property. | *Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other | | \$9,693 After Cost Share: \$9,693 | | <i>Need Photos, LUA length?</i> |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Amount: <input type="text"/> | | | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: Private Old Culvert Size: 42" x 20' Water Body: unnamed Years in System: 20+ | Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: 48" x 20' Labor Source: Design Weight Load: N/A | | \$8,785 \$908 | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$9,693 | | |
| #38 | NER Shawano | Shawano County proposes to replace a bridge on School Section Creek. The creek has eroded the banks and the bridge abutments have settled into the creek on the south side and nearly so on the north side. As a result, the bridge becomes a dam at times of high water, and sediment builds up on the bridge surface. | *Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other | \$60,000 \$4,000 \$5,000 \$7,000 | \$83,000 After Cost Share: \$83,000 | | <i>LUA length? Why 60'? What does WMS say is needed here?</i> |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Amount: <input type="text"/> | | | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: Private Old Bridge Size: Water Body: School Section Years in System: | Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 12' x 60' Labor Source: Contractor Design Weight Load: 25,000 | | \$2,000 \$5,000 | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$83,000 | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments |
|------------|--------|--|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|--|
| #41 | Vilas | Vilas County proposes to rehab about 6 miles of funded trail from Lost Creek Rd to CTH K in the NHAL State Forest , near Sayner. This trail area was hit by a tornado in August of 2020. Salvage timber harvests have left the trail severely rutted making grooming very difficult. There is also still large amounts of debris and uprooted stumps that pose a safety problem. This project will be combined with a request for new ATV trail development using the same trail corridor. Snow funds are requested primarily for stump removal, regrading, and other equipment work. ATV funds are requested for most of the gravel and rock. | *Structure | | \$97,156 | | |
| | | Engineer | \$10,000 | After Cost Share: | | | |
| | | Permits | | \$31,068 | | | |
| | | Site prep | | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Asphalt | \$7,200 | | | |
| | | | Pit Run | \$13,250 | | | |
| | | | Breaker | \$23,200 | | | |
| | | | Gravel | \$30,000 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | \$ 66,088.00 | | |
| | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | N/A | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | N/A | New Bridge Size: | N/A | | |
| | | Water Body: | N/A | Labor Source: | Contract | | |
| | | Years in System: | | Design Weight Load: | N/A | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | No | # of New Mi | 0 | \$31,068 | |
| #43 | Polk | Co Rd C & Stower Trail Intersection Snow Project will build up the intersection of the Stower Seven Lakes State Trail and the club trail that runs along Co Rd C. The project will build out the grade and make a more gradual approach to the north and south of the Stower Trail. | *Structure | | \$5,376 | | <i>Is this a funded trail? (Yes) Should this be relocation? (Per Jillian, it's not really moving, just building up the grade for a smoother transition)</i> |
| | | Engineer | | After Cost Share: | | | |
| | | Permits | | \$5,376 | | | |
| | | Site prep | | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$3,299 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | \$2,077 | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | | | |
| | | Public or Private: | public | Bridge Inventory #: | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | | | |
| | | Water Body: | | Labor Source: | | | |
| | | Years in System: | | Design Weight Load: | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | No | # of New Mi | 0 | \$5,376 | |
| #44 | Polk | Sawmill Trail Rehabilitation - Clear Lake. Snow&YR ATV This 13.67 mile long rail trail is rutted, not marked well and in most of this segment, the trail is not well defined. This project will bring in 250 tons of CL-5 fill to restore the 700-foot segment of trail and new signage. TPC \$10,852.00 Snow \$5,426. ATV \$5,426. | *Structure | | \$10,852 | | <i>What would Snow's share be without the gravel?</i> |
| | | Engineer | | After Cost Share: | | | |
| | | Permits | | \$5,426 | | | |
| | | Site prep | | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$6,352 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | \$4,500 | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | \$ 5,426.00 | | |
| | | Public or Private: | public | Bridge Inventory #: | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | | | |
| | | Water Body: | | Labor Source: | | | |
| | | Years in System: | | Design Weight Load: | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | No | # of New Mi | 0 | \$5,426 | |
| #45 | Monroe | The trail has been in place since 1996. The property is owned by the Village of Oakdale and has been allowed since its start. Village has applied for a grant to create a muti-use trail where the snowmobile trail currently exists. If/When it is awarded, the village will be improving the trail for year round use. As the grant is a shared cost grant (80% state/20% other by source) the village would like the snowmobile program to assist by covering the 20%. If the snowmobile program funds the 20%, the village will enact a local resolution to ensure its use to snowmobiling into the future. The grant applied for by the municipality has an expected award date of the fall of 2022. | *Structure | | \$202,000 | | <i>Will the \$40k be for for the SNOWMOBILE trail, & not the <u>separate</u> use trail? Will the snowmobile trail become plowed in winter? Will this be a permanent easement? Who is funding the 80%.? May make this contingent on</i> |
| | | Engineer | \$25,000 | After Cost Share: | | | |
| | | Permits | | \$40,400 | | | |
| | | Site prep | \$10,000 | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$136,000 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | \$ 161,600.00 | | |
| | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | | | |
| | | Water Body: | | Labor Source: | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Since 1996 | Design Weight Load: | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | No | # of New Mi | 0 | \$40,400 | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments |
|---|--------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--|-----------|--|
| #46 | Oneida | Oneida County proposes a 2.8-mile trail relocation, which will include a new bridge construction. This trail will replace a trail lost to private landowner change a few years ago. The County has used road routes as an interim solution. Project costs reflect the bridge construction, trail engineering, materials, and construction in DOT ROW. Trail construction on private lands will be completed by the snow club for no cost. | *Structure | \$84,960 | \$411,660 After Cost Share: \$411,660 | | <i>We don't pay for thermoplastic coatings. Why is 1 bridge more expensive than the other? What is the breakdown on trail engineering (70K)? Length of LUAs from private landowners?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | \$82,000 | | | |
| | | | Permits | \$1,800 | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$46,575 | | | |
| Abutments | \$6,000 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | Culverts | \$20,325 | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Amount: | | | | |
| | | | No | | \$ - | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | | Both | Bridge Inventory #: | New | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | N/A | New Bridge Size: | 12 x 50 | | |
| | Water Body: | | N.Br. Pelican | Labor Source: | Contract | | |
| | Years in System: | | New | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | |
| | | | | # of New Mi | 2.80 | \$412,500 | |
| #47 | Vilas | Vilas County proposes to reroute Snow Trail Corridor 15 currently located on Gravel Pit Rd in the Town of St Germain. This road has been unmaintained in the winter, but is now going to be maintained year-round requiring the trail to be moved. The county also proposes to develop a new year-round ATV/UTV trail at this location. The new shared trail will be constructed near the road. Work would include cutting trees, removing stumps, brushing, grading, and installing a gravel base. Costs for this project are proposed to be split with ATV funds. | *Structure | | \$26,450 After Cost Share: \$6,100 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| Pit Run | \$18,550 | | | | | | |
| Asphalt | \$1,800 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | Culverts | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Amount: | | | | |
| | | | Yes | | \$ 20,350 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | N/A | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | N/A | New Bridge Size: | N/A | | |
| | Water Body: | | Unnamed | Labor Source: | Contract | | |
| | Years in System: | | | Design Weight Load: | N/A | | |
| | | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$6,100 | |
| #48 | Richland | Mandatory Reroute due to new landowners not wanting trail over their property. \$20,000 for culvert, \$5,000 for signs. | *Structure | | \$25,000 After Cost Share: \$25,000 | | <i>Size and detail of culvert. LUA at culvert location? Has WMS been contacted? What is the length of old trail and length of new trail? Do they need 250 signs, will they be reusing some of the old signs?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| Culverts | \$20,000 | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Amount: | | | | |
| | | | Private | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | | New Bridge Size: | | | |
| | Water Body: | | | Labor Source: | | \$5,000 | |
| | Years in System: | | | Design Weight Load: | | | |
| | | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$25,000 | |
| #50 | Jackson | Jackson Co Forestry & Parks has been required to relocate a section of ATV/UTV/Snowmobile trail due to a land sale for a parking lot expansion of a local restaurant (Culvers). Trail is part of the City of Black River Falls connector. Total cost is \$6,000, split 50/50 with ATV | *Structure | | \$5,220 After Cost Share: \$2,610 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$3,500 | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Yes or No: | | | Amount: | | | | |
| | | | Yes | | \$ 2,610 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | | | |
| | Old Bridge Size: | | | New Bridge Size: | | \$940 | |
| | Water Body: | | | Labor Source: | | \$780 | |
| | Years in System: | | | Design Weight Load: | | | |
| | | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$2,610 | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments | |
|------------------------|----------------|--|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| #51 | WCR Jackson | Jackson Co Forestry & Parks has been required to relocate a section of ATV/UTV/Snowmobile trail due to a land sale with new owner requiring trail to vacate property near Black River Falls . New trail location comes with 10 year LUA. Total cost is \$13,817, split 50/50 with ATV | *Structure | | \$13,817 | After Cost Share: \$6,909 | | <i>Does the Co have extra maintenance money this year, could it be funded out of this?</i> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$11,200 | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | \$1,080 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | \$1,537 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$6,909 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | \$ 6,909 | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | | Design Weight Load: | | | | |
| #52 | NER Waupaca | Waupaca County proposes to relocate a portion of Trail T on the Waupaca/Outagamie County line, due the loss of landowner permission. The reroute will utilize an old railroad stretch owned by DOT. An existing railroad bridge will need to be re-decked and a approaches built up. | *Structure | \$31,925 | \$64,225 | After Cost Share: \$64,225 | <i>Better maps? Breakdown on 61,225 from last page. Inspection of substructure?</i> | |
| | | | Engineer | | | | | |
| | | | Permits | | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$6,600 | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$3,000 | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | \$22,700 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | -1 | \$64,225 | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | | Amount: | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | | New Bridge Size: | 12' x 64.5' | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Maple Creek | Labor Source: | Contractor/For | | | |
| | | Years in System: | 1 | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | | | |
| | | | | TOTAL | \$517,344 | \$0 | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Q&A

| Reg | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | |
|-----|---------|--|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|--|
| #53 | Iron | Iron County proposes to reroute Snow Trail 8 between W Branch Rd and Island Lake Rd. in the Town of Carey to avoid beaver ponds. The county also proposes to develop a new year-round ATV/UTV trail at this location. The new shared trail would utilize existing logging roads. Work would include clearing and grubbing, grading, installing culverts and large material as needed, and installing gravel. Costs for this project are proposed to be split with ATV funds. This project would increase mileage by a net of 0.82 mile. There are no bridges on this proposed trail section. | *Structure | | \$73,693 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$22,109 | | |
| | | | Gravel etc | \$16,250 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | \$4,750 | | | | |
| | | Riprap | | | | | |
| | | Labor | \$22,420 | | | | |
| | | Equip Rental | \$29,573 | | | | |
| | | Erosion | \$700 | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | # of New Mi | 0.82 | \$22,355 | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | 51584 | | |
| | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | N/A | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | N/A | New Bridge Size: | N/A | | |
| | | Water Body: | N/A | Labor Source: | Force | | |
| | | | | Design Weight Load: | N/A | | |
| #54 | Lincoln | Lincoln County proposes to relocate a 0.25-mile portion of trail on the North Loop system due to steep topography and erosion. The new segment will be 0.33 mile in length (increase of 0.1 mile for maintenance) and will use an existing logging road for a portion. Work will include tree removal, grading, stumping, gravelling, installing a culvert, and decommissioning the old trail segment. The County proposes to split this work with ATV grant funds. | *Structure | | \$20,137 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$10,068 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$200 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | \$300 | | | | |
| | | Riprap | | | | | |
| | | Labor | \$10,656 | | | | |
| | | Equip Rental | \$8,981 | | | | |
| | | Other | | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | # of New Mi | 0.1 | \$10,098 | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | \$ 10,069 | | |
| | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | N/A | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | N/A | New Bridge Size: | N/A | | |
| | | Water Body: | N/A | Labor Source: | Force | | |
| | | | | Design Weight Load: | N/A | | |
| #55 | Lincoln | Lincoln County proposes to relocate a portion of the 8 Trail. The new segment will be 1.1 miles long (net increase of 1 mile for maintenance) and will use a formerly funded snow trail that had to be relocated due to landowner permission. The property is now county owned and the trail can be re-established. Overall, the trail is usable except that a new bridge over the Somo River is needed. | *Structure | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$150,000 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | | | | | |
| | | Riprap | | | | | |
| | | Labor | | | | | |
| | | Equip Rental | | | | | |
| | | Other | | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | # of New Mi | 1 | \$150,300 | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | No | Amount: | 0 | | |
| | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | 12 x 50 | New Bridge Size: | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Somo River | Labor Source: | | | |
| | | | | Design Weight Load: | | | |

Could this be covered by mainteance?

Need solid cos

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Q&A

| Reg | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|------------------------|----------|--|---------------|---------------------|--|------------|
| #56 | Douglas | Trail 4/41 Reroute and Bridge Rehab. Snow & Winter ATV Proposing to relocate the winter crossing of Erickson Creek out of a large swamp area 3000 feet to the south to a location of an old road bed that used to cross the creek. The abandoned road and the forestry road on the east side of the creek already connect to the existing trail. Total Est. \$170,000 ATV \$85,000 Snow \$85,000 | *Structure | \$80,000 | \$170,000 After Cost \$85,000 | |
| | | | Engineer | \$35,500 | | |
| | | | Permits | \$3,000 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$2,000 | | |
| | | | Materials | \$3,000 | | |
| | | | Abutments | \$20,000 | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | |
| | | | Approaches | \$2,000 | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | |
| | | | Riprap | \$2,000 | | |
| Labor | \$10,000 | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | \$12,500 | | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$85,000 | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | 85000 | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | Doua7 | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | 12' x 30' | New Bridge Size: | 12' x 80' | |
| | | Water Body: | Ericson Creek | Labor Source: | | |
| | | Years in System: | 10 | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | |
| #61 | Dunn | Dunn Co proposes to construct a bridge in a place that many years ago had a culvert. The culvert washed out so the trail was rerouted and the town road bridge has been used for crossing. The road is fairly narrow, requires tight turns, and increases the chances of collisions with cars. Proposal to put a bridge in the location of the former culvert and reroute the trail to it's previous location. | Bridge 1 | | \$48,200 After Cost \$48,200 | |
| | | | Bridge 2 | \$32,000 | | |
| | | | Permits | \$2,000 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$200 | | |
| | | | Materials | \$3,000 | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$7,000 | | | | | |
| Other | \$4,000 | | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$48,200 | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | No | Amount: | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | Dunn 27 | |
| | | Old Culvert Size: | n/a | New Culvert Size: | 12' x 36' | |
| | | Water Body: | unnamed | Labor Source: | | |
| | | Years in System: | n/a | Design Weight Load: | 25,000 | |
| TOTAL | | | | | \$315,953 | \$0 |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Q & A

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | |
|------------|--------|---|---|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| #57 | NOR-R | Oneida | Oneida County requests funds to address water crossing issues on a mandatory relocation the county completed 2 years ago. This project will install culverts within the DOT Hwy 45 ROW to address unsafe water crossings. | | Bridge 1 | \$42,324 | |
| | | | Engineering | \$14,200 | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | \$4,100 | \$42,324 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | Culverts | \$6,629 | | |
| | | Yes or No: | No | Amount: | 0 | | |
| | | Public or Private: | Public | Bridge Inventory #: | N/A | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: N/A | New Bridge Size: | N/A | | |
| | | | Water Body: Unnamed | Labor Source: | Contract | | |
| | | | Years in System: | Design Weight | N/A | | |
| | | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$42,324 | |
| #58 | NOR-S | Rusk | Corridor 27 Little Thornapple River NEW Snowmobile Bridge Project is for installing a new bridge for a snowmobile trail crossing at the Little Thornapple River. This crossing has been a water crossing that with the changing water levels and unpredictable ice conditions is becoming more difficult to maintain. The new bridge will be 12' x 50' | | *Structure | \$44,000 | |
| | | | Engineer | \$4,000 | After Cost | | |
| | | | Permits | \$4,000 | \$78,000 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | New Miles | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | | Amount: | | | |
| | | Public or Private: | private | Bridge Inventory #: | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | 12' x 50' | | |
| | | | Water Body: Lit Thornapple | Labor Source: | | | |
| | | | Years in System: | Design Weight | 25,000 | | |
| | | | | # of New Mi | | \$78,000 | |
| #59 | SCR | Columbia | Columbia Co is requesting a bridge over wetlands. Marshy area on Trail 35, past couple years the water rises during difficult times of the year where they are not able to use the trail due to high water. They have rerouted this area recently to a safer route along the forest line and road but then have a longer distance to travel to join back with the original trail, so having a bridge to pass over the wetlands/high water area would be the best fix. | | Bridge 1 | \$75,000 | |
| | | | Bridge 2 | | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$165,000 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | \$40,000 | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | Culverts | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | No | Amount: | | | |
| | | Public or Private: | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | n/a | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: n/a | New Bridge Size: | 12' x 70' | | |
| | | | Water Body: Wetlands | Labor Source: | | | |
| | | | Years in System: n/a | Design Weight | 25,000 | | |
| | | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$165,000 | |

Would landowner give a longer LUA?

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Q & A

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | | |
|------------|--------|--------------------|---|-------|-----------|----------|-------------------|--|
| #60 | SCR | Iowa | <p>NEW MILES from LAST YEAR (11 -3 for bridge = 8 pnts) - Not Yet Awarded as it needs a BRIDGE. This trail w/bridge will intersect w/ Cheese Country Rec Trail, additional connections to Military Ridge State Trail and Village of Rewey where it will intersect with several other trails that lead to multiple communities and create a loop to the Pecatonica ST and Military Ridge ST. Trail gives access from the west for fuel, lodging, food,</p> <p>Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No Amount: <input type="text"/></p> <p>Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: n/a Old Bridge Size: n/a New Bridge Size: 60' x 12' Water Body: Sudan branch Labor Source: Years in System: n/a Design Weight 25,000</p> | | | | | |
| | | | | | Bridge 1 | | \$114,525 | |
| | | | | | Bridge 2 | \$83,000 | After Cost Share: | |
| | | | | | Permits | \$1,500 | \$114,525 | |
| | | | | | Site prep | \$13,025 | | |
| | | Materials | | | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | | | | | | |
| | | Riprap | | | | | | |
| | | Labor | | | | | | |
| | | Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| | | Other | \$17,000 | | | | | |
| | | # of New Mi | 12 | | \$114,525 | | | |
| #62 | WCR | La Crosse | <p>La Crosse County is requesting funding for a new bridge to cross a tributary of Bostwick Creek. This used to be a dry run ditch whereby a person could jump from bank to bank, but after years of heavy rains the dry run has expanded to about 10-12' deep and 40+' wide. Water now runs steadily in the deepest part of the ditch. No bridge exists at the location. A temporary structure was placed this past snowmobile season to keep the trail open.</p> <p>Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No Amount: <input type="text"/></p> <p>Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: n/a Old Bridge Size: n/a New Bridge Size: 60' x 12' Water Body: Bostwick trib Labor Source: Years in System: n/a Design Weight 25,000</p> | | | | | |
| | | | | | Bridge 1 | \$60,000 | \$83,000 | |
| | | | | | Bridge 2 | | After Cost Share: | |
| | | | | | Permits | | \$83,000 | |
| | | | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | |
| | | Materials | | | | | | |
| | | Abutments | \$7,000 | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | | | | | | |
| | | Riprap | \$2,000 | | | | | |
| | | Labor | \$5,000 | | | | | |
| | | Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| | | Other | \$4,000 | | | | | |
| | | # of New Mi | | | \$83,000 | | | |
| #63 | WCR | Wood | <p>The Rudolph-Plum Road Snowmobile Trail Project is a culvert designed project that will snowmobiles, groomers, and the private landowner to cross a year long flowing unnamed tributary that starts in Rudolph and empties into Wisconsin River between 2nd and 3rd A venues.</p> <p>Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No Amount: <input type="text"/></p> <p>Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Old Culvert Size: n/a New Culvert Size: 2(54")x(11'x7') Water Body: unnamed Labor Source: Years in System: n/a Design Weight 25,000</p> | | | | | |
| | | | | | Bridge 1 | | \$175,855 | |
| | | | | | Bridge 2 | | After Cost Share: | |
| | | | | | Permits | | \$175,855 | |
| | | | | | Site prep | \$25,000 | | |
| | | Materials | \$34,500 | | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | \$50,080 | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | \$30,000 | | | | | |
| | | Riprap | \$16,275 | | | | | |
| | | Labor | | | | | | |
| | | Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| | | Other | \$20,000 | | | | | |
| | | # of New Mi | 0 | | \$175,855 | | | |

Why not use a bridge, is a culvert going to hold up? Does Co have permisso to fill wetland? Who is the WMS and have they been involved in developing proposal?

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

| Region | County | | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Q & A |
|------------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|-------|
| #64 | Manitowoc | Manitowoc County proposes to construct a bridge over a tributary to the Mud Creek on trail A1/Corr 28. Historically, an ice crossing had been sufficient but recently the creek has widened, and the creek has not been freezing. | Structure | \$68,400 | \$82,200 | | |
| | | | Permits | | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Site prep | | \$82,200 | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Installation | \$13,000 | | | |
| Delivery | \$800 | | | | | | |
| | | | \$82,200 | | | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Amount: | | | | |
| Public or Private: | | Private | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| Old Bridge Size: | | N/A | New Bridge Size: | 12' x 24' | | | |
| Water Body: | | Trib to Mud Crk | Labor Source: | Contractor | | | |
| Years in System: | | 20+ | Design Weight | 25,000 | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$82,200 | | |
| | | | | TOTAL | \$740,904 | \$0 | |

Requested New Miles due to 40' Rule Change.

Must be part of a funded trail stretch on one or both sides, and be marked trail off of the road surface.

| PROJ # | REGION | COUNTY | TRAIL NAME | MILES | \$ TOTAL | FUNDED | DNR Proj Manager Notes |
|--------|--------|-----------|--------------------|-------|----------|--------|------------------------|
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 80 | 1.02 | \$306 | | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 82 | 0.4 | \$120 | | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 90 -- CTH W | 0.95 | \$285 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | C Trail | 3.31 | \$993 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | 51 Trail | 2.79 | \$837 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Cloverbelt | 0.87 | \$261 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Bear Rd | 0.82 | \$246 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Robinson and Trout | 0.6 | \$180 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Old 8 | 0.57 | \$171 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Phalzgraff | 0.56 | \$168 | | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Greene Dr | 0.26 | \$78 | | |
| | NOR-R | Iron | Midland Spur | 0.49 | \$147 | | |
| | NOR-R | Iron | Schomberg Spur | 0.32 | \$96 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Bird Lane | 0.2 | \$60 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Prairie Lake Rd | 0.1 | \$30 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Swamp Creek Rd | 0.7 | \$210 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Swamp Lake Rd | 0.2 | \$60 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Old 8 | 0.6 | \$180 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Kelly Dam Rd | 0.9 | \$270 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Point O Pines Rd | 0.4 | \$120 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Prairie Rapids Rd | 0.2 | \$60 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Jack Pine Ln | 0.25 | \$75 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Prairie Lake Rd | 0.15 | \$45 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Quiet Cove Nest Rd | 0.3 | \$90 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Warehouse Rd | 0.8 | \$240 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Spring Dr | 0.1 | \$30 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Kilawee Rd | 0.6 | \$180 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | S Bo-Di-Lac Dr | 0.2 | \$60 | | |
| | NOR-R | Oneida | Kucera Dr | 0.2 | \$60 | | |
| | NOR-R | Langlade | Clear Lake Rd | 0.44 | \$132 | | |
| | NOR-R | Langlade | Elmo St | 0.2 | \$60 | | |
| | NOR-R | Langlade | Lagoon Rd | 0.37 | \$111 | | |
| | NOR-R | Langlade | Old Elcho Rd | 1.2 | \$360 | | |
| | NOR-R | Langlade | Rodowca Rd | 0.36 | \$108 | | |
| | NOR-R | Langlade | Stone Rd | 0.17 | \$51 | | |
| | WCR | La Crosse | Holmen | 2 | \$600 | | |
| | WCR | Adams | Corridor 21 | 2.7 | \$810 | | |

26.3 \$7,890 \$0

2022-23 NEW MILES

Gateway-Primary Access
 Gateway-Population Access
 Gateway-High Use
 Map attached Y/N
 Certification attached Y/N
 Public Lands 0-3 pts
 Connect Counties/Staes 0-3 pts
 Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts
 Trail Ratio 1-3 pts
 Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts
 County Coordinator 0-2 pts
 Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts
 Deducts parallel trails 3 pts
 Deduct if runs to water 2 pts

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

Parallel Type
 A - Nearest parallel trail 5+ miles a
 B - Nearest parallel trail <5 miles a
 C - Spur trail to services or popula
 center
 D - Through trail that connects to
 otherwise not connected

| PROJ # | REGION | COUNTY | TRAIL NAME | MILES | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | TOT | \$ DEV | \$ TOTAL | FUNDED |
|--------|--------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----------|-----------|--------|
| | NOR-R | Taylor | Lincoln Trail 86 | 2.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$810 | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 87 | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,077 | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 90 | 2.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$630 | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 101 | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$354 | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 103 | 1.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$540 | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 110 | 3.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,149 | |
| | NOR-R | Price | Trail 112 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$138 | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Old Grade | 3.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$960 | |
| | NOR-R | Lincoln | Alexander | 1.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$570 | |
| | NOR-R | Forest | Lumberjack Memorial | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$168 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail F8 - 101 to Forest Co | 3.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,065 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail C6 - Nicolet to Forest Co | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$864 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail F15 - Devils Run to LaSa | 8.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$2,601 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail F10 - Nicolet to Hwy 139 | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$876 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail F5 - La Salle/Pine Backw | 1.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$516 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail F2A - Lake Emily Loop | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$360 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail F13 to Corridor 2 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$216 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Spur Lake Rd to Marinette Co | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$159 | |
| | NOR-R | Florence | Trail F2 - Jct 19 to Hwy 101 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$111 | |
| | SCR | Columbia | Connecting trail 68 | 4.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,197 | |
| | SCR | Columbia | Connecting trail 70 | 4.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,197 | |
| | SCR | Columbia | Trail 48 | 6.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,800 | |
| | SCR | Dodge | Astico | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$150 | |
| | SCR | Dodge | Brownsville | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$210 | |
| | SCR | Dodge | Cambria | 3.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,140 | |
| | SCR | Dodge | Leroy-WISCO | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$120 | |
| | SCR | Dodge | Nenno | 3.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$930 | |
| | SCR | Dodge | Pumpkin Center | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$150 | |
| | SCR | Dodge | Thompson-Talley Ho | 1.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$300 | |
| | SCR | Green | Orfordville CTY T | 20.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$6,000 | |
| | SCR | Iowa | Mineral Point to Rewey | 11.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$114,525 | \$118,050 | |
| | SCR | Jefferson | Concord | 8.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$2,415 | |
| | SCR | Jefferson | Helenville | 5.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,575 | |
| | SCR | Jefferson | Hubbleton | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$750 | |
| | SCR | Jefferson | Ice Trail | 6.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,875 | |
| | SCR | Jefferson | Rome | 5.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,560 | |
| | SCR | Jefferson | Watertown North Stub | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$75 | |
| | SCR | Richland | Blue River Corridor 27 | 5.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,500 | |
| | SCR | Rock | Sundowner New | 4.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,320 | |
| | SCR | Sauk | WM5 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,155 | |

DNR Proj Manager Notes Parallel Bridges

Miles approved in 21-22

2022-23 NEW MILES

- Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
- Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
- Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
- Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
- Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

Parallel Type
 A - Nearest parallel trail 5+ miles a
 B - Nearest parallel trail <5 miles a
 C - Spur trail to services or popula
 center
 D - Through trail that connects to
 otherwise not connected

| PROJ # | REGION | COUNTY | TRAIL NAME | MILES | Gateway Questions | | | | | | | | | | TOT | \$ DEV | \$ TOTAL | FUNDED | DNR Proj Manager Notes | Parallel Type | Bridges | | |
|--------|--------|-------------|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|--------|----------|----------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|--|
| | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| | SCR | Sauk | WM6 | 5.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,707 | | | | |
| | SCR | Grant | Dickeyville-Tennyson-Potosi | 11.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$3,390 | | | | |
| | NER | Fond du Lac | Campbellsport | 2 | Y | | Y | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | 6 | \$0 | \$600 | | Spur to Park & Ride | | |
| | NER | Fond du Lac | Holyland Plank Rd Trail | 5.5 | Y | Y | Y | Y | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | | -3 | 5 | \$0 | \$1,650 | | | | |
| | NER | Fond du Lac | Holyland #1 Kiekhaefer Park | 2.8 | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | | -3 | 3 | \$0 | \$840 | | | | |
| | NER | Fond du Lac | Twin Oaks | 3 | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | -3 | 3 | \$0 | \$900 | | | | |
| | NER | Fond du Lac | Ripon | 4.5 | | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | | -3 | 2 | \$0 | \$1,350 | | | | |
| | NER | Green Lake | Green Lake City | 5.0 | Y | | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | 8 | \$0 | \$1,500 | | Spur to Service AND Loop | B, D 1 | |
| | NER | Door | Peninsula Center | 1.2 | | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | 7 | \$0 | \$360 | | | A 0 | |
| | NER | Door | Institute Connection | 0.9 | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | | | 5 | \$0 | \$270 | | | C 0 | |
| | NER | Door | Ahnapee ST to Stage Rd Inn | 1.3 | | | | | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | | 5 | \$0 | \$390 | | | C 0 | |
| | NER | Manitowoc | E-2 #4 and I-2 (continuous) | 6.3 | | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | | -3 | 2 | \$0 | \$1,890 | | | B Farm | |
| | NER | Oconto | Lena Kelly Lake | 4.3 | Y | Y | | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | -3 | 4 | \$0 | \$1,290 | | | B 0 | |
| | NER | Oconto | Lena Park and Ride | 0.7 | | Y | | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | | | | 6 | \$0 | \$210 | | | C 0 | |
| | NER | Oconto | PBR Wheeler Lake | 2.3 | | Y | | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | -3 | 4 | \$0 | \$690 | | | B 0 | |
| | NER | Oconto | OF Steel Moose Park and Ride | 3.1 | Y | | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | 6 | \$0 | \$930 | | | C 0 | |
| | NER | Oconto | OF Hwy 32 | 1.7 | Y | Y | | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 3 | \$0 | \$510 | | | B 0 | |
| | NER | Outagamie | Z5-4-DT | 3 | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 2 | \$0 | \$900 | | Includes a circle?? | B 0 | |
| | NER | Outagamie | Z5-6-PB/Z5-7-GK | 3 | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 2 | \$0 | \$900 | | | B 0 | |
| | SER | Racine | White River Trail | 0.7 | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | 8 | \$0 | \$210 | | | C 0 | |
| | NER | Shawano | Docs Trail | 5.3 | | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | | -3 | 2 | \$0 | \$1,590 | | | B ? | |
| | NER | Shawano | Shortcut Trail | 1.7 | | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | | | 7 | \$0 | \$510 | | | B ? | |
| | NER | Shawano | Tilleda Loop | 7 | | | Y | Y | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | | | -3 | 2 | \$0 | \$2,100 | | | B ? | |
| | NER | Shawano | Mountain Bay to Gresham | 1.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$420 | | | | |
| | NER | Shawano | T Trail | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$450 | | | | |
| | SER | Sheboygan | AY Club-2/RL Club-6 | 4.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,380 | | | | |
| | SER | Sheboygan | BGH Club-2 | 2.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$630 | | | | |
| | SER | Sheboygan | HG Club-2 | 3.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,110 | | | | |
| | SER | Washington | Allenton | 4.3 | | | | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 5 | \$0 | \$1,290 | | | |
| | SER | Waukesha | Corridor 7 | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$450 | | | | |
| | SER | Waukesha | Corridor 8 | 5.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,620 | | | | |
| | NER | Waupaca | Trail AA | 2.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$630 | | | | |
| | NER | Waupaca | Trail AC | 6.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$2,010 | | | | |
| | NER | Waupaca | Trail DR | 1.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$570 | | | | |
| | NER | Waupaca | Trail O/1 | 6.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$2,010 | | | | |
| | NER | Waupaca | Trail O/2 | 4.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$1,350 | | | | |
| | NER | Waupaca | Trail QQ | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$750 | | | | |
| | NER | Waushara | SAW 47 to 54 | 2.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$780 | | | | |
| | NER | Winnebago | C11 | 6.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$0 | \$2,070 | | | | |
| | NOR-S | Douglas | Trail 3 with Bridge | 2.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | \$88,508 | \$89,378 | | | | |

2022-23 NEW MILES

- Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
- Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
- Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
- Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
- Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

Parallel Type
 A - Nearest parallel trail 5+ miles
 B - Nearest parallel trail <5 miles
 C - Spur trail to services or population center
 D - Through trail that connects to otherwise not connected

| PROJ # | REGION | COUNTY | TRAIL NAME | MILES | Gateway Questions | | | | | | | | | | TOT | \$ DEV | \$ TOTAL | FUNDED | DNR Proj Manager Notes | Parallel Type | Bridges | | | | |
|--------|--------|----------|---------------------------|-------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|--------|----------|--------|------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NOS | Ashland | 9A (Trail 9 to Clam Lake) | 3.35 | | | x | | | | x | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$1,005 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 1 | 4.3 | x | | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$1,290 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 8 | 3.1 | x | | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$930 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 8S | 1.2 | | | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | \$0 | \$360 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 8S2 | 0.3 | | | | x | x | x | x | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | \$0 | \$90 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 15S | 1.00 | | | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | \$0 | \$300 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 17 | 1.3 | x | | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$390 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 27 | 1.8 | x | | | x | x | x | x | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | \$0 | \$540 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 61 | 2.3 | | | | x | x | x | x | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$690 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 63 | 1.5 | | | | x | x | x | x | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$450 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 66 | 1.8 | | | | x | x | x | x | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$540 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 70S | 0.5 | | | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | \$0 | \$150 | | | |
| | NOS | Bayfield | Trail 09S | 0.9 | | | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | \$0 | \$270 | | | |
| | NOS | Burnett | Trail 24 | 5.70 | | x | | x | x | x | x | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | -3 | 0 | \$0 | \$1,710 | | | |
| | NOS | Burnett | Fish Bowl Access Trail | 1.3 | | | | x | x | x | x | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | \$0 | \$390 | | | |
| | NOS | Polk | Co Rd C | 3.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$0 | \$990 | | | |
| | NOS | Polk | Horse Creek Store | 3.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$0 | \$960 | | | |
| | NOS | Polk | Stower Trail | 13.24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$0 | \$3,972 | | | |
| | NOS | Sawyer | Trail 12 | 3.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$0 | \$1,020 | | | |
| | NOS | Sawyer | Trail 22 | 2.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$0 | \$600 | | | |

move the 0.51 funded miles from Trail 12 (just south of the Stower Trail) to the Stower and add an additional 4.73 funded miles to the Stower, to allow for the full 13.24 miles of trail to be funded.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|-----------|--------|--|--|
| TOTAL | | | | 339.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$304,865 | \$0 | | |
| | | | | Miles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Requested | Funded | | |

2022-23 SNOWMOBILE NON-TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments |
|------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|
| #65 | NOR-S Douglas | Wild Rivers Trail Park and Ride Snow/ATV Project is to construct a 80' x 500' park and ride next to the Wild Rivers Trail and Co Rd Y in the Town of Gordon. TPC \$46,542.00 Snow \$23,271 and ATV \$23,271 | *Structure | | \$46,542 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$23,271 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$4,600 | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$27,083 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | \$1,459 | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | \$13,400 | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$23,271 | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | Yes | Amount: | 23271 | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | | | |
| #66 | NOR-S Polk | Gandy Dancer State Trail. - Parking Snow Project will construct a parking area along the Gandy Dancer Trail in the Village of Milltown. | *Structure | | \$20,040 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$20,040 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$17,550 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | \$2,490 | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$20,040 | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | | Amount: | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | | | |
| #67 | NOR-S Polk | Wanderoo's Parking Lot Project request is to fund the remaining work to be completed to provide trailer parking for approx. 11 vehicle/trailers. | *Structure | | \$49,347 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$49,347 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$30,447 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | \$18,900 | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$49,347 | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | | Amount: | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | | | |
| #68 | NOR-S Polk | Woodley Dam Parking Lot Central Trail Head This project will provide a trailhead for snowmobile access in the central region of Polk County. Proposed project will gravel a parking area of almost 1 acre. It will accommodate a min. of 15 pick-up trucks with trailers. | *Structure | \$232,290 | \$232,290 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | After Cost Share: | | |
| | | | Permits | | \$232,290 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | | # of New Mi | 0 | \$232,290 | | |
| | | Other funds received, requested, or committed? | | | | | |
| | | Yes or No: | | Amount: | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Public or Private: | Bridge Inventory #: | | | | |
| | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Labor Source: | | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | | | |
| | | | | | \$324,947.50 | \$0.00 | |