**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**

**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

1. **Type of Estimate and Analysis**
   - ☑ Original  ☐ Updated  ☐ Corrected

2. **Date**
   - 8/9/2019

3. **Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)**
   - NR 10, WM-04-19

4. **Subject**
   - Eliminating Night Hunting Restrictions for Raccoons

5. **Fund Sources Affected**
   - ☐ GPR  ☐ FED  ☐ PRO  ☐ PRS  ☐ SEG  ☐ SEG-S

6. **Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected**
   - None

7. **Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule**
   - ☑ No Fiscal Effect  ☐ Increase Existing Revenues  ☐ Increase Costs  ☐ Decrease Costs  ☐ Indeterminate  ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues  ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency’s Budget

8. **The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)**
   - ☐ State’s Economy  ☐ Local Government Units  ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers  ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors  ☐ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

9. **Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).**
   - $0 (No implementation and compliance cost anticipated)

10. **Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be $10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?**
    - ☐ No  ☑ Yes

11. **Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule**
    - These rules will simplify regulations by removing the restrictions for night hunting of raccoons, coyotes and fox during the nine-day deer gun season in the forest and central farmland deer management zones. Historically, night hunting of raccoons was prohibited during the nine-day deer firearm season. In some parts of the state, the peak of raccoon hunting often occurs during the nine-day gun deer season. After the discovery of Chronic Wasting Disease in the southern farmland zone, firearm deer seasons were extended and the prohibitions for night hunting raccoons were removed. Night hunting of raccoon is also allowed during all other gun deer seasons including CWD zone seasons.

12. **Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.**
    - A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will be posted on the department’s website in August 2019 for fourteen days and various interest groups may be contacted. No fiscal effects on small businesses, their associations, or local governments are anticipated

13. **Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.**
    - A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will posted on the department’s website during a 14 day period in August 2019.

14. **Summary of Rule’s Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State’s Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)**
    - These rules, and the legislation which grants the department rule-making authority, do not have fiscal effects on the private sector or small businesses. No costs to the private sector or small businesses are associated with compliance to these rules.

15. **Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule**
    - These proposals will contribute to providing good opportunities for hunting and trapping and maintenance of the economic activity generated by people who participate in those activities

16. **Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule**
The long range implications of this rule proposal will be the same as the short term impacts. These proposals will contribute to providing good opportunities for hunting and trapping and maintenance of the economic activity generated by people who participate in those activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>States possess inherent authority to manage the wildlife resources located within their boundaries, except insofar as preempted by federal treaties and laws, including regulations established in the Federal Register. None of these rule changes violate or conflict with the provisions established in the Federal Code of Regulations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota, Iowa, Illinois and Michigan all allow night hunting of raccoons during their firearm deer seasons.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19. Contact Name</th>
<th>20. Contact Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Karel</td>
<td>608-267-2457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.
# ATTACHMENT A

## 1. Summary of Rule’s Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

## 2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule’s impact on Small Businesses

## 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- [ ] Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- [ ] Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- [ ] Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- [ ] Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- [ ] Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- [ ] Other, describe:

## 4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

## 5. Describe the Rule’s Enforcement Provisions

## 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No