From: Dodds, Jennifer <dodds.jennifer@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2020 12:56 PM

To: Jeffrey Howard Danko

Cc: Carey, Angela J - DNR; Clarizio, Richard; Moore, Tammy; Sundar, Bhooma

Subject: EPA Review of Tyco 2-14-20 VI Work Plan Responses

Attachments: EPA 4-29-2020 Comment Letter - Tyco VI Workplan.pdf; NonResidential

Indoor Air Eval Form.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Mr. Danko,

Please find attached an electronic copy of the EPA and WDNR review of Tyco's February 14, 2020 responses related to the Vapor Intrusion Assessment and Work Plan (VI Work Plan) for the Tyco Fire Products LP, Stanton Street Facility, located in Marinette, Wisconsin. Also attached is a non-residential building indoor air evaluation form which is further explained in the attached letter. Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please let me know.

Thank you,

Jennifer Dodds

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Land, Chemicals and Redevelopment Division 77 West Jackson Blvd, LR-16J Chicago, IL 60604-3590

Tel: (312) 886-1484 dodds.jennifer@epa.gov



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5

77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF: LR-16J

April 29, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. Jeffrey Danko EHS Manager – Environmental Remediation Johnson Controls 5757 N. Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53209

RE: Review of the February 14, 2020 Tyco Fire Products LP response to the December 19,

2019 Agency comments on Vapor Intrusion Assessment and Work Plan Tyco Fire Products LP, Stanton Street Facility, Marinette, Wisconsin

EPA ID: WID 006 125 215

EPA RCRA Administrative Order Docket No. RCRA-05-2009-0007

Dear Mr. Danko:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) have reviewed the Tyco Fire Products LP (Tyco) February 14, 2020 response to agency comments on the Vapor Intrusion Assessment and Work Plan (VI Work Plan) originally dated September 27, 2019 for the Tyco Fire Products LP, Stanton Street Facility, located in Marinette, Wisconsin. Comments are provided in this letter.

If you have any questions regarding these comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at 312-886-1484 or dodds.jennifer@epa.gov.

Sincerely,



Recoverable Signature

Jennifer Dodds

Jennifer Dodds **EPA Project Manager** Signed by: dodds.jennifer@epa.gov

Tammy Moore, EPA ecc: Rich Clarizio, EPA

Angela Carey, WDNR

EPA and WDNR comments on 02/14/2020 Response to Agency Comments on VI Assessment and Work Plan originally submitted 09/27/2019

General Comments:

1. Tyco has proposed a quantitative decision framework (QDF) approach to address the expanded assessment required to meet the regulatory requirements clarified in the agencies' 12/19/2019 response, and has indicated that the proposed QDF addresses comments 1, 3-6, 9-14, and 18. Comments 2, 7, 8, 15, 16, 17 and 19 were addressed separately.

Comments 1, 3-6, 9-14, 18: Quantitative Decision Framework Approach:

- 2. To perform a comprehensive assessment of vapor risk that prioritizes buildings with higher occupancy and less air exchange, a modification of a QDF process developed for naval facilities with industrial/commercial buildings is proposed. Vapor risk would be assessed based on building characteristics, occupancy, and subsurface information. An example decision framework is provided as an attachment however, it is difficult to assess the QDF process without a site-specific scoring and decision framework. Please note that the use of such a strategy cannot supplant state rules and guidance. A workplan should be submitted which presents the framework showing the specific elements and scoring that will be used at the Tyco facility. The framework should include the following elements for each building:
 - a. Demographics: consider vulnerable populations.
 - b. Duration of occupancy of buildings: Are buildings occupied continuously or intermittently?
 - c. HVAC system: Is the building heated/cooled, negatively or positively pressured, and are there substantial exhaust fans that could create negative pressure zones?
 - d. Building envelope: What is the slab thickness and condition, ceiling height, number of sumps, utility penetrations, etc.?
 - e. History of contaminant use: historical use to provide info on likelihood of release, current use to inform viability of indoor air samples.
 - f. Depth of building foundation compared to water table elevations.
 - g. Range of water table elevation variability.
 - h. Soil data: Given that available data is from investigations performed in 2000, how will soil contamination be addressed in the QDF?
 - i. Condition of sewer lines: provide detailed information. For example, if the sewer lines into a building have been relined, discuss how it impacts the decision to sample the conduit in addition to indoor air.
 - j. Discrete samples and analytical results must be used for groundwater and sub-slab concentrations, not averages as indicated in the QDF.
 - k. Soil vapor samples: The score card for QDF includes soil gas data. The vadose zone may not be present in locations where building foundation may be in contact with water table. In those cases, how would the prioritization efforts and score card be adjusted?
 - 1. Due to the proximity of water table to building foundations, vertical distance separation criteria may not apply for petroleum VOCs. The QDF evaluation pathway should be identical for both cVOCs and petroleum VOCs in groundwater and soil source area.

Indoor Air and Sub Slab Vapor Sampling:

- 3. In the response to comment #1, on page 2, it states that higher scoring buildings would be assessed by first collecting indoor air samples. The strategy to quickly collect indoor air samples at buildings with higher occupancy and less air exchange allows assessment of current vapor intrusion however, indoor air sampling is not a substitute for sub-slab sampling when soil, soil gas and groundwater indicate a potential risk. All conditions that lead to vapor intrusion should be assessed and multiple lines of evidence should be used to prioritize assessment activities.
- 4. Building 14: Initially two sub slab vapor sampling locations were proposed for Building 14 however, in the response to comment 16, sub slab vapor sampling is only proposed if indoor air results indicate that vapor intrusion is occurring. Wis. Admin. Code NR716.11(5)(g) requires sub slab soil gas sampling when data indicate that vapors may migrate to the foundation of occupied buildings. The language of Figure 3a of RR800 that discusses using site specific factors in determining whether sub slab sampling would be necessary reflects the latter part of NR716.11(5)(g) which states "taking into account the biodegradability of vapors, preferential pathways of vapor movement, or other physical or chemical factors affecting vapor movement into buildings." For example, if it can be shown that the only pathway for vapor intrusion into a building is likely via a preferential pathway, then taking sub slab samples may not make sense. However, given the high concentrations of chlorinated solvents in groundwater adjacent to Building 14 (including TCE of 100 micrograms per liter), the shallow depth to groundwater, and that little attenuation of chlorinated solvents in the vadose zone is expected, the sub slab concentrations must be established. This is important to determine whether vapor concentrations pose a threat to occupants of the building now and in the future.

As discussed in Section 7 of RR800, response actions for vapor intrusion are required primarily based on sub slab vapor concentrations. Also, when subsurface vapors are at or over vapor risk screening levels, Wis., Admin. Code Sections NR 722.09 and 726.05 require remedial actions to reduce the mass and concentration of the vapor source to the extent practicable. Therefore, it is important to establish sub slab vapor concentrations at this building by sampling vapor pins through the foundation.

- 5. *Other Buildings:* Similarly, if subsurface data indicates the likelihood that vapors may migrate to the foundation of occupied buildings, then sub slab sampling may be necessary. This decision can be made on a building by building basis however, this decision cannot be based primarily on indoor air sample results.
- 6. *Comment 16: Building 14:* Please provide a sketch which shows where the office and support areas occur within the larger building.
- 7. The non-residential building evaluation form that is included as an email attachment along with this letter, is to be completed and submitted along with Winter 2020 indoor air sampling analytical data.

Instructions: Non-Residential Indoor Air Evaluation Form

Introduction

This form was developed to aid in the evaluation of volatile organic compound (VOC) vapor intrusion into non-residential buildings. Portions of this form may also be applicable to radon intrusion. It is assumed that the user of this form has a basic knowledge of environmental sampling, building ventilation, and building construction. For building evaluations, it is important that the building maintenance staff be present. For more complicated buildings, the HVAC specialist or contractor may need to be present.

It is recommended that two staff be present to conduct evaluations. The staff should have appropriate health and safety training for the hazards that may be present in non-residential buildings. During the building evaluation, there are certain activities that should only be performed if the staff has the appropriate training and clearance. For example; viewing ventilation and other equipment on roofs, or entering building structures dedicated to mechanical equipment and HVAC systems that may require specific confined space and lockout tagout procedures and training for ladder safety. The staff activities should follow the guidelines from their health and safety specialist and those from the facility being evaluated.

How to use this form

The form has been broken into four parts that should be completed to the best extent possible. Supplemental information, such as HVAC test and balance, commissioning, and sequence of operations, may also need to be provided by the building operator. For large buildings or campuses, it is recommended that a kick off meeting be conducted and documented. This meeting should be used to discuss the purpose of the evaluation, gather information and documentation, and to gain insight into building operations.

Evaluation of ventilation for large warehouse spaces and hangers is not covered here. In addition, if there are structures built inside these spaces, they should be evaluated as individual buildings using this form.

It is recommended that the staff evaluating the building be familiar with this form contents and document information when it is observed or is raised during conversation.

Part 1

The intention of Part 1 is to document contact, building size, and building construction and occupant information.

Part 2

Part 2 includes initial observations of conditions that could impact vapor intrusion and evaluations of potential indoor air sources. General ventilation observations, a pathway evaluation, and pressure and temperature evaluations should be documented in this part. Sampling should be focused in areas where there are pathways, driving forces, or stagnant conditions. Areas where there is greater outdoor air exchange and/or potential VOC sources should be a lower priority for sampling.

Instructions: Non-Residential Indoor Air Evaluation Form

Where pathways are identified, they should be used to help target locations to collect indoor air samples. It is also recommended that VOC samples be collected in the identified pathways to help understand vapor intrusion potential.

Part 3

List items/products in the building or the adjacent structures that may contain site specific compounds of concern. These should be removed prior to sampling. List items/products/operations that give off significant volatiles as these may interfere with chemical analysis. The use of a portable instrument, such as a photo-ionization detector (PID), can help locate volatile chemicals.

Most businesses should have Safety Data Sheets (SDSs), these should be evaluated. A physical inventory should also be conducted, there may be products present that are not represented by SDSs.

Examples of products that may contain trichloroethene (TCE) are gun cleaner, rubber cement, solvent degreasers, spot removers, correction fluid, and electrical motor cleaner. Be aware that older products are more likely to contain TCE.

Part 4

Part 4 is a voluntary evaluation of building ventilation systems. Because most non-residential buildings are intended to be actively ventilated, the form focuses on active ventilation systems. Where there are non-residential buildings that are designed and constructed like residential buildings (or are converted residential buildings) the residential evaluation form should be used for ventilation evaluations.

If there is a building management system, it is critical to review the system operations, set points, and the sequence of operations for each ventilation zone. Information from the management system should also be verified during the walkthrough of the building.

For more information on this form please contact EPA's Project Manager.

Date:	Facility Name:	EPA ID No.:	
PART 1: General I	nformation		
Business Name:			
Address:			
Facility Owner/Landlord Ir	nformation (If different from abo	ove)	
Name:			
Phone:	Email:		
Other Building Contacts			
☐ Office Space ☐ Wareho		xes) i-story Multi-tenant Warehouse	
Building Occupancy			
Number of Occupants:	Adults: Gender M/F	_/ General Age ranges:	
Days/Hours of occupancy _	Duration of work shi	fts	
Days/Hours of ventilation sy	stem operation		
Building Characteristics Year/Decade Built:	Number of Stories:		
Approximate Building Area ((square feet): Total	First Floor	
Is there an attached wareho	use/shop space? (Y/N) de	escribe its use:	
Is there a basement or unde	erground garage? (Y/N) de	escribe its use:	
	above-Grade (elevated/cap-slat	o on fill) Crawl Space Basement D	None
Survey Preparation Inform			
		Data Proparadi	
	Phone:	Date Prepared:	

Date:	Facility Name:	EPA ID N	lo.:		
PART 2: Facto	ors Impacting Indoor Air Quality and	l Samp	oling		
Questions Describe renovation	activities over the last 6 months (what was done, what	t area, ar	nd when):		
Describe any open co	ombustion in the building. (smoking/incense/candles/c	cooking/b	urning)		
	ntaminants of concern been used or stored in the build		-		
_	amounts of volatile chemicals been used recently?		_		
Please list the chemic Describe any instance	calse of water/groundwater present in the basement/craw	/Ispace (ir	ncluding sui	mps):	
	r sewer gases to enter the building (dry p-traps, open d/seated plumbing)? Describe:	clean-ou	ts, abandor	ed hook-ups,	
Observations					
What is the temperate	ure relative to outside?		VI is promoted wi warmer than the e	nen the interior is exterior.	
Were windows/doors	/roll-up doors kept open?		→	Increased ventilation from the outside will dilute vapors from t	he
Mechanical ventilatio	n system status and condition?		_	subsurface and may mitigate areas of negative pressure.	
Are intake or exhaust	t fans being used?				_
Are there ventilation I	hoods in use?	1	Negative pres	ir moving from the outside in. sure is the main driving force that into a building.	
Is there evidence of r	negative pressure?		`		_
Do parts of the indoo	r environment appear stagnant?			ors may build up in areas with ventilation.	
Describe any strong	odors.	l l	-	cate poor ventilation or an indoor erfere with analysis.	
Building Constructi	on				J
Building Construction Concrete Con	n Materials?				

Does the building have an at-grade or below-grade garage? _____ How is it ventilated? _____

Date:	Facility Name:	EPA ID No.:
Does the bu	uilding have an attached mechanical room?	
Does the bu	uilding have footers distinct from the slab or integrated footers?	
Is the buildi	ng slab constructed with post-tension concrete?	
What are th	e ceiling heights?	
Pathway A	nalysis	
	uilding have a basement or sub-surface structures that are/have ned Exposed soil Damp or flooded Unsealed utility lin	
	tilities that penetrate the slab that may be conduits for soil vapor these:	? □Yes □No
Со	nnected to subsurface vaults? Yes No	
Со	nnected to utilities closer to potential VI sources? \square Yes \square No	
In a	areas where pressure differential would cause air to flow through	them? Yes No
Is there nor	n-ventilated spaces in the building (maintenance /electrical / serv	rer rooms)? Yes No
If Y	es, describe:	
Are	these spaces occupied?	
At v	what frequency/duration?	
Are	there potential pathways in these spaces? Yes No	
	If Yes, describe:	
Are	there heat sources in these spaces (servers, transformers, etc.	? □Yes □No
	If Yes, describe:	
☐Yes ☐	eat sources or other systems that may generate a negative pres No cribe:	
	evators in the building?	
	ors are hydraulic how deep do the pistons penetrate below the	slab?
Are there u	tilities penetrating the floor/slab? Yes No	
Are there so	umps, either interior or outside and adjacent?	
What is the	condition of the foundation/slah?	

Date:	Facility Name:	EPA ID No.:	
	ructed with a subslab system or barrier?		
Are there floor drains?	☐Yes ☐No describe:		
_	n specifications and/or as-built drawings at may be of importance in understandin	·	
Potential Sampling Lo	ocations		
General notes on poter	ntial sample locations and type. Tentative	e sampling date(s) and preferred times.	

On a separate page, draw/attach the general floor plan of the building and denote potential locations of sample collection. Indicate locations of doors, windows, ventilation system components, indoor air contaminant sources and field instrument readings.

Date:	Facility Name:	EPA ID No.:

PART 3: Inventory of Potential Indoor Contaminant Sources:

List items/products in the building or the attached warehouse/shop that may contain site-specific contaminants of concern. Attach Safety Data Sheets (SDSs).

Potential contaminant source	Location of Product Source	Photograph, ingredients, PID reading	Remove? Y/N

Date:	Facility Name:	EPA ID No.:	
(Note: Complete this see have access to informat	ng Heating/Cooling/Ventilat ction as much as possible. Not all facility ion in this section. Information from this s inpacts on vapor intrusion)	personnel or their cor	
	are used for heating, cooling and ventilati		ly.
	ctric or water/steam)	<u> </u>	☐Built-up ☐None
Comments			
	provide make-up/fresh air? ☐Yes ☐N	NO commercial/in Standard 62, N has guidelines	dild be supplied in all dustrial/institutional settings. ASHRAE /entilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality, son how much air should be supplied. Meeting nents generally helps to mitigate VI impacts.
Have the systems been	evaluated for ASHRAE Standard 62 com	npliance? Yes N	No
Is a system commission	ing report available? ☐Yes ☐No (atta	ach)	
When was the system la	st tested and balanced?	(attach report i	f available)
Is the ventilation system	automated (building automation system))? □Yes □No	Automation systems can be used to record settings during sampling and to verify HVAC operation where an HVAC remedy is require
If yes is the data	a recorded or can it be recorded?	s 🗆 No	
(Note that the ve where possible.)	entilation settings should be evaluated in)	the automation system	n and verified manually
System operations For each of the ventilation	on systems describe how is outdoor air s	upplied?	
• Economizers: _			
	minimum and maximum settings cfm or	%	
 Manual adjustat 	ole outdoor air intakes		
	Settings		
Fixed outdoor ai	r intakes?		
Unused outdoor	air intake (blank panel)?		
Outdoor air intak	ke not easily installed (e.g., split system,	radiant heating)	
How frequently are the v	rentilation systems serviced?		Generally, systems should be serviced quarterly to verify performance.
Days and hours of opera	ation for each ventilation system		
Do any of the ventilation	systems operate during nights and weel	kends?	

Date:	Facility Name: E	PA ID No.:		
	If yes, are they operating on reduced settings? The North No	0		
Are the tempera	ture / ventilation settings locked or routinely adjusted by the	occupants? _		
What are the ter Weekends	mperature settings? (note if seasonally variable) Days	N	ights	
	conomizer, does the system control outdoor air supply using: (emperature/enthalpy \square CO ₂ concentration \square Other		apply)	
Is there power e	xhaust? Yes No			
Is the po	ower exhaust setting dependent on \square economizer damper p	position st	atic pressi	ure
Does the system	n use variable or constant air volume distribution (VAV/CAV)?	?		
Is there a dedica	ated outdoor air system installed? Yes No			
	If Yes, describe:			
Other Ventilation	on Issues impacting vapor intrusion potential.			
Does the ventila	tion system have any underground components?		the buildin	flow on or below g floor can draw in m the subsurface.
Is ventilation bei	ng supplied or returned under a false floor above the building	slab?		This is common in server rooms
Are ducting com	ponents routed through a basement, crawlspace, or utility va	ult area?		
Is a boiler or hea	ater present in a basement or crawlspace? describe_			
Is the make-up a	air balanced with the exhaust fans in kitchens, laboratories ar	nd similar spa	ces?	
Are there space	s of the building that are inherently at a negative pressure? _			Certain rooms such as kitchens are generally ke
Outdoor air into	akes utdoor air intakes located?			at negative pressure other rooms may be negative due to system design/use
Are any intakes	near sources of contaminants / sewer vents?			
	n filters present in the ventilation system?	hanged?		

Date: _____ Facility Name: _____ EPA ID No.: _____

Zone/	System Type	Supply Air	Supply Air	Ducted	Return Air	Ducted
Room		Total cfm (range if VAV)	% outdoor (range)	y/n	cfm	y/n

Date:	Facility Name:	EPA ID No.:
Additional Notes:		