I. ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

A. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order by Larry Bonde at 1:30 PM

B. ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTENDEES</th>
<th>Larry Bonde, Al Shook, Dale Maas, Joe Weiss, Lee Fahney at 2 PM, Kari Lee Zimmermann, Mike Schmit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXCUSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEXCUSED</td>
<td>Terry Bay, Chandra Harvey, Rob Bohmann, Pete Wolter, and Sharene Smith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUESTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Agenda approval and repair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>Larry asked that we modify the agenda to allow our guests to speak to the topics as they are available.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>Motion by Maas 2nd by Shook to concur with that action. Motion carried.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. N/A

II. INFORMATION & ACTION ITEMS

NRB October agenda item2.B.2: Recommendations for third phase of land sales

A. Larry gave an overview on the process used in determining which properties would be offered for sale. Any properties that were flagged by the Wisconsin Conservation Congress were also discussed with the department in most cases the property either was removed from the for sale list or the rationale behind it was satisfactory. Larry mentioned that in some cases Sheboygan County had additional concerns that a meeting was conducted between department staff of the Sheboygan County Conservation Alliance and once again the issues were resolved. Larry commented that this was a very big undertaking mandated by the legislature and many many hours of effort were put into this. He also stated that the department in his opinion it a fantastic job the course of their review any was quite pleased with the outcome. Dale asked for clarification on the sale process and the order in which property would be offered to government, adjoining landowners or open to the general public. Terry, Pete and Sharene explained all facets of the procedure. They also explain the terminology listed in the sales documents so that was more understandable. Larry mentioned that in general the process went pretty smoothly and while some small parcels were disputed on a local level and no one wanted to see any public land sold the mandate to reach the 10,000 acre goal by the legislature was the guiding force. We as a Congress need to look at the broader overview when we deal with local entities. Pete also explained the process to determine fair market value and an appropriate sell price. Dale asked that once the property had an offer to purchase on it did those offers have to go back to the Natural Resources Board for final approval. Terry explained that once the total package was approved by the board that the department was an authorized to complete the transaction. Al
asked what if the properties that have been offered for sale have no bidders the property doesn’t get sold. Do we have to look for additional acreage that people want to buy to achieve that 10,000 acre goal? Terry explained that the legislation that they were going by stipulated that 10,000 acres minimum needed to be identified and offered for sale, legislation did not call for the sale of all 10,000 acres. By approving phase 3 we will be over 10,000 acres if the board approves the package. The policy was written with the intention of getting rid of the least desirable properties, properties that are landlocked, too small, have questionable access or just plain hard to develop.

ACTION
Motion by Maas 2nd by Shook to approve phase 3 of the land sale plan. Motion carried.

B. MOU between WCC and Friends of WCC

Rob Bohmann

DISCUSSION
Rob explained the proposed memorandum of understanding between the Wisconsin Conservation Congress and the friends of Wisconsin Conservation Congress as it pertains to administering a $9000 grant.
The purpose of the MOU is to enter into an agreement with LaBarbera Associates to administer this $9000 grant. Mark LaBarbera currently runs the Youth Expo conducted at Poynette game Farm. The purpose of the grant is to plan and execute a process to get the Wisconsin Youth Conservation Congress up and running in a more robust manner. The outline of the project sets 3 distinct areas of concern it also sets forth a schedule of payment upon completion of each of the 3 segments of the outline.
Oversight of this process will include members of the Wisconsin Conservation Congress Executive Committee and Friends of the Wisconsin Conservation Congress.

ACTION
Motion by shook 2nd by Maas to advance the MOU. Motion carried

C. (taken)

Kari mentioned the NRB board meeting next week.
Mike mentioned that he checked into patches for sale to delegates per the request from the convention. The vendor is flexible as far as size and colors but he needed to find out our thoughts on those before he made any prototype. Al suggested that they send us a small sample so that we could better understand the capabilities. Mike will follow up on that for future meetings.
CWD project team will be meeting next week to to review their project plan and move on with implementation.
Dale mentioned the possibility of doing an article on how an idea passed through our system from basic concept to resolution resolution through committee through leadership Council onto statewide questionnaire just follow the process and and see a think it would be interesting to that track that.

ACTION
N/A

D. Conservation Chronicle

Lee Fahrney
**DISCUSSION**

Lee stated that the deadline for the next Chronicle is February 1st. Al Horvath will be featured in the delegate profile. He's working on an article on outreach and public relations committees and asking for people to submit hunting fishing and trapping stories and pictures if they have them about how their season went.

Actually anything to do with natural resources would be appropriate, 100 to 200 words would be just fine if they're having trouble you can direct them to him and he will work with them.

Another possibility would be having an article looking back on how things were in the past in the Conservation Congress. Joe thought that that would be a great idea will work with Lee on trying to provide that type of information on regular basis.

**ACTION**
None taken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**III. MEMBERS MATTERS**

**DISCUSSION**

Dale thanked Mike and Kari and Gino for their work on the new forms for secretaries of committees the feedback that I've been getting so far is that to the guys who only use them once or maybe twice a year they are very happy with them easier for them to function and move around in and they appreciated their efforts. I would mention that I'm still waiting for my new form that I know they're working on and the that will make life easier for me too thanks.

Dale also asked if there might be any interest in having a small workshop for current secretaries or people that would consider being secretaries on committees. There are people out there that I'm sure are more literate than I am with computers but there are other dedicated individuals that might step up if they had a little training. Larry said we could look at that as a breakout session possibly at next year's convention.

Another item was that we never really asked for or received feedback on the possibility of developing another conservation Congress traveling trailer for the northern half of the state is there any interest in that I don't want to expend any energy or dollars in working on it if there is no interest in the North.

I think we need to publicize the availability of the trailer and what's in it. Maybe that would be a good area to look at for a Chronicle article down the line. We also need to look at the possibility of upgrading the current trailer prior to the youth Expo so that we have the freshest looking display that we can make. Larry said that the mounts do not travel very well no matter how well their cased and the cost of making large prints is very expensive.

Joe gave us an update on his progress as historian and what he is proposing to do later on in the year. He also gave input on a couple of the resolutions that have been acted on by committee both warm water and Great Lakes and his thoughts on that progressing through the process.

Lee commented on a resolution 410716 having to do with voter on-line access and the problems involved with that.

Joe had nothing other than moved for adjournment.

**ACTION**

---

**IV. ADJOURNMENT**

**MEETING ADJOURNED**

Motion by shook 2nd by Fahrney to adjourn. Meeting adjourned at 3:10 PM.

**SUBMITTED BY**

Dale C. Maas

**DATE**

12/10/2016