# Wisconsin Conservation Congress
## Rules & Resolutions Committee
### Meeting Minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORDER OF BUSINESS</th>
<th>April 28, 2014</th>
<th>9:30 am</th>
<th>Mead visitors center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## I. ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

### A. CALL TO ORDER

**MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY**
Chairman Larry Bonde at 9:30 am

### B. ROLL CALL

**ATTENDEES**
Larry Bonde, Ken Anderson, Frank Reith, Greg Wysocki, Dick Baudhuin, Jim Burmeister, Mike Dreischmeier, Jayne Meyer, Mark Krmpotich and Dick Koerner

**EXCUSED**
Ray Smith, Mike Gullickson

**UNEXCUSED**
Steve Washkuhn, Ten Engelien?

**GUESTS**
Chandra Harvey – DNR attorney; Kari Lee-Zimmermann, Congress Liaison

### C. AGENDA APPROVAL/REPAIR

**DISCUSSION**
None

**ACTION**
Motion to approve the agenda as publically posted, motion carried, all voting aye.

### D. REVIEW COMMITTEE MISSION STATEMENT

**DISCUSSION**

**ACTION**
Motion to approve the mission statement; motion carried, all voting aye.

### E. PUBLIC COMMENTS

**DISCUSSION**
NA

**ACTION**
NA

## II. INFORMATION & ACTION ITEMS

2401, 2402, 2403 GREEN LAKE, THREE LOCAL RESOLUTIONS BY ONE PERSON

**[PRESENTER]**

**DISCUSSION**

Motion by Baudhuin let the two that passed stand, sec by Koerner. Meyer supports rejecting since all three were by the same person. Delegates should know they can only have two, not three. Baudhuin supports the two that passed. Meyer, specific to that county, a local fish issue. Krmpotich indicated a delegate should know the rules. Reith, they had at least 66 people and should have been able to find someone else to introduce it. We need consistency . . . motion failed.

Motion to strike the third one, sec by Burmeister 2403; it was out of order; yes – 4 no – 5, motion failed.

**ACTION**
Motion to reject all three due to improper procedure; Motion carried, all voting aye.
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE | DEADLINE
--- | ---

**KEWAUNEE CO HAD MORE BLUE BALLOTS CAST THAN PEOPLE PRESENT**

**[PRESENTER]**

**DISCUSSION**

White ballots came out ok, not the blue.

**ACTION**

Motion and second to nullify the blue ballots vote on 310114 and 310214; Motion carried, all voting aye.

**PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE**

**DEADLINE**

Resolution Results by title: Committee made assignments by consensus to the various study committees which were recorded by Kari and will be distributed at the convention.

Motion to consolidate three resolutions on the proposed deer study in Vilas Count using the language in 60-01-14 on lands acquired with Stewardship funds and present it to the floor of the congress for action. Motion Carried unanimously.

**III. B Ex Council vacancy mid term:** Discussion on options for filling. At district meetings or simple appointment? Leave vacant until the next May convention? Three opportunities a year for election by the district counties. Have Executive Committee fill the vacancy until the next District meeting?

Add XI. E to the Code: Motion; if an Executive Council vacancy occurs, it shall be filled by election by the District and the position will remain vacant until filled by election at the next regularly scheduled District meeting, second, discussion, there are three a year, Fall, Spring, Annual; Motion carried unanimously.

C. Change Personal Data Questionnaire to Delegate Profile; motion made, seconded and passed unanimously.

D. IX C 4: Language change. Eligible voters v. participating voters. Need a majority of participating voters. Change from “eligible” to “participating” voters; motion carried unanimously.

E: Code of Conduct: Let’s think about this. Discussion. Concerns of persons identifying themselves as from the Congress and expressing views not consistent with Congress positions. More discussion. If you violate “this” this will happen and if it happens a second time, “this” is what happens and we need consistency by leadership of the Congress. Need to define “this” as being removed form the Congress but thinking of the committee is there should there be an appeals process if removed? Is the appeal to the full Executive Council? Written record should document the issue with any reprimand or removal? What about verbal reprimands?

More Discussion: Have delegates sign a slip that they read the Code of Procedure . . . not necessarily understand it . . . may be easier for a reprimand . . . that you’re aware of your responsibilities under the Code of Procedure. Do it as part of the annual profile update. Make a recommendation for a signed Code sheet collected by County Chair’s at District Meetings. Next step if not turned in by a certain date? Or, bring back at our next meeting and debate again? Communications need to be respected, reprimands, updates.

**IV. MEMBERS MATTERS**

**DISCUSSION**

Meyer “didn’t think I would like this committee but I do.” Greg Wysocki, University students voting for delegates could impact results.

Reith, sportsmen are going to be in for a big surprise when they get new game rules, and people are sitting on their hands and as a group we’re too complacent. Don’t know how we got away from the science of management.

Burmeister, same as before as part of our strategic plan get more footing than other groups like Whitetails and over time people go directly to legislators and not to us as resolutions and present it at the Spring hearings; legislatures are listening to them and not us, Anderson, Vilas County had 224 which was the third county attendance.

**ACTION**

**V. ADJOURNMENT**

**MEETING ADJOURNED**

[Time]1:55 pm adjourned

**SUBMITTED BY**

Ken Anderson

**DATE**

[Date]April 28, 2014