<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenter/Time</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Key Points</th>
<th>Outcomes, Next Steps, Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6:15 PM       | 1. Call to Order | **Members Present:** Bryan Much, Jim Wisneski, Bill Schumann, Mike Peterson and Chair – Rob McConnell  
Missing: Adam Harden, Ernie Pulvermacher (excused), and Dave Traczyk.  
Others Present: Diane Conklin, Faith Murray, Ann Loechler, Beth Norquist, Ed Slaminski, and Bruce Neeb - DNR, and Paul Teska, Greg Peterson and Jason Nichols (county forestry) | |
|               | 2. Acceptance of Minutes of Previous Meeting | Motion by Jim Wiesneski, second by Bill Schumann for the acceptance of the August 19, 2014 minutes. Motion carried. | |
|               | 3. Chair Comments | Introduction of the first non-voting member from WCFA, Mike Peterson.  
We will be inviting others (DOT, Dept of Tourism, and USFS) | Mike Peterson was asked to join the Council at the table. |
|               | 4. Reports | Budget Balance after funding meeting:  
Approximately $125,000 | |
|               | 5. Action Arising from Reports | None | |
|               | 6. Citizen Participation | None | |
|               | 8. Trail Matters | Storm Damage:  
It has been requested how long do we wait until we close the books on storm damage requests?  
Bryan Much recommended that we not spend any of the balance until we know what the overall picture is. | Motion by Bryan Much that we hold the full $125,000 until we know all of the expenses for storm damage and deal with the rest later. Second by Jim Wisneski. Motion carried. |
Produce an approved variance that will allow expenses incurred before the storm damage grant is written as long as we don’t exceed the balance. There was a lengthy discussion regarding what we should be developing and/or funding. Do we develop standards? How do we determine what is a priority?

9. **Council Member Items**

There is a ride in the Chequamagon National Forest on October where council members are invited and others.

Rob indicated that these are problems that we have been struggling for the last 20+ years so we can’t expect to resolve these in 6 months. We have a new council, for the most part, and are experiencing a learning curve as well. The Troute language, while it is relatively new, will also be something that will take a code change and will take some time.

ORV Council reconvened at 2:44 PM at the Birchwood Clubhouse.

The Chair expressed thanks for everyone developing and joining us on the tour.

No resolution was evident while out on the tour.

Regarding Troutes – several ideas were presented at a previous meeting but did not get approved by the full council. Now, the Ad Hoc Committee needs to go back and revisit the discussion and, in light of what we saw, provide any suggested amendment language.

Provide state trail standards to see what we can use as a reference. This will be assigned to Bryan Much who

Motion by Bryan Much that Rob McConnell write a letter in response to John Brantmeier’s e-mail regarding Troutes explaining what the Council is doing at this point. Second by Jim Wiesneski. Motion carried.
agreed to put together materials.

Clarification for Paul Teska is that we will seek legal opinion regarding the task of development of Troutes. I have looked at NR 64.14 (9) (dg) (g) (h) (i). All of these references use the language “trail development” Is this enough to direct this?

| 10. Adjournment | Next meeting will be after the storm damage claims come in as well as after the WCFA Legislative Committee meeting on September 25, 2014. It may be an electronic meeting as well. | Motion by Jim Wiesneski to adjourn. Second by Bryan Much, Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 3:25 PM. |