

Wisconsin Conservation Congress CDAC Oversight Group Meeting Minutes



ORDER OF BUSINESS	03/03/16	6:30 PM	Conference call
-------------------	----------	---------	-----------------

I. ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

A. CALL TO ORDER

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY	Chair Bonde at 6:30 PM
----------------------------	------------------------

B. ROLL CALL

ATTENDEES	Ed Harvey Jr., Scott McAuley, Antony Grabski, Joel Taylor, Larry Bonde
EXCUSED	
UNEXCUSED	
GUESTS	Bob Nack, Kevin Wallenfang, Kari Lee-Zimmermann

C. AGENDA APPROVAL/REPAIR

DISCUSSION	none
ACTION	Move approval-Scott, second-Joel

D. REVIEW COMMITTEE MISSION STATEMENT

DISCUSSION	none
ACTION	Move approval-Ed, second-Tony

E. PUBLIC COMMENTS

DISCUSSION	none
ACTION	

II. INFORMATION & ACTION ITEMS

A. REVIEW COMMENTS FROM PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

**BOB NACK AND KEVIN
WALLENFANG**

DISCUSSION	<p>Bob and Kevin gave an overview of each public comment gathered during the public comment period. Kevin had made suggestions with how the department and the oversight committee might incorporate or deal with the comments/concerns received. Most of the suggestions were supported by the CDAC oversight committee.</p> <p>Concern the CDAC over sight group had were as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the comment card really need to be filled out before the meeting or could people comment and then fill out the card at the end of the meeting to keep a record of comments? Comment cards could be filled out at any time, but do need to be used. 2. Confusion about metrics....it may need to better defined. 3. Several public comments were directed at rule language and the oversight group felt since it doesn't have authority to deal with rule language they could not address those comments.
------------	---

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Since the WCC and CDAC are only advisory what recourse does the public have if the NRB does not agree with the will of the public? 5. There was some discussion about the residency requirement for a CDAC member. After all the discussion it was determined to keep the residency requirement in place. 6. There was also a fair amount of discussion about the role of Chair and alternate chair. The alternate chair is the only position that is not defined as representing a specific entity or group. The suggestion was to add some language that the alternate is a WCC member and as such should be representing all of the citizens of his/her county by making sure everyone's perspective is represented and looking for compromises in the best interest of the resource and the citizenry. 7. Suggestion to put a list of counties with >30% forested area in appendix. 8. Kevin said he could create a summary sheet of season structure changes recommended in the March meetings to be circulated to the other CDACs prior to the April meeting and their decisions on final recommendations. This would allow all counties in a zone to know what the other counties in their zone, especially adjacent counties, plan to recommend. 	
ACTION		
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE		DEADLINE

III. MEMBERS MATTERS

DISCUSSION	Tony-wanted to reiterate simplification and consistency
ACTION	

IV. ADJOURNMENT

MEETING ADJOURNED	8:30 PM
SUBMITTED BY	Larry Bonde
DATE	03/03/2016