

Public Action Team Implementation Proposal

Action Team: Science & Research	Chronic Wasting Disease D.9
<input type="checkbox"/> Draft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submitted	Deer Trustee Report Page 57

This document shows the original Deer Trustee Report recommendation that the Public Action Team considered when developing proposals for implementing the recommendation in Wisconsin. The Public Action Team's implementation proposal is presented then followed by additional background information.

I. ORIGINAL DEER TRUSTEE REPORT RECOMMENDATION D.10

We will discuss at length suggested research activities in that section of our report, but we feel use of human dimensions research to anticipate, rather than reacting to issues as they arise would be very effective.

II. PUBLIC ACTION TEAM PROPOSAL FOR IMPLEMENTING THE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION

Below is the Public Action Team implementation proposal along with their rationale and supporting evidence, potential implementation obstacles and consideration of the proposal's potential impact on the overall deer management in Wisconsin.

1. Action Team Implementation Proposal:

- Team endorses this proposal, subject to implementation recommendations and rationale outline in D.8. and H.2.
- D-8
 - Team endorses this recommendation; however, as deer management is at DMU-level that should be how the congress gathers input.
 - Special effort is needed to ensure all members of the public are adequately represented.
 - Reliable study design is needed to properly gather input.
- H-2
 - Agree that this recommendation is important and endorse the need for the development of human dimensions research.
 - Develop metrics for human-dimensions using long-term monitoring, potentially including such topics:
 - Deer hunter retention and recruitment monitoring or tracking.
 - Evaluation of preferences regarding trade-offs between seeing more deer, harvesting more deer and trophy deer opportunities.
 - How much public land and MFL land would we need to increase hunter satisfaction?
 - Baiting and feeding.
 - Better ways of using public lands and MFL land in a manner that reduces hunter conflict and increase hunter satisfaction.
 - Perceptions of citizens regarding the deer population, deer impacts, predator populations, and the relationships to their expected future resource use.

2. Supporting data, references, rationale and other information behind it.

- D-8
 - Involving the conservation congress will allow us to leverage resources and better represent the public and gather more representative input.
 - This will allow the DNR to react more quickly to problem areas.



Public Action Team Implementation Proposal

- Greater public support when the public is included.
- This would allow the public to view it as a DNR-driven process and more of a cooperative process.

3. Consider and describe potential implementation obstacles or drawbacks.

- No comments submitted by Team

4. Overall, how will this proposal simplify or complicate deer hunting, management, or research in Wisconsin.

- No comments submitted by Team