

c. Regulation	
Noxious/Regulated ^{2,4} :	AL, CA, CT, FL, LA, MS, SC, TX
Minnesota Regulations:	<i>Not regulated</i>
Michigan Regulations:	<i>Not regulated</i>
Washington Regulations:	<i>Not regulated</i>
II. Establishment Potential and Life History Traits	
a. Life History	Free-floating monocotyledonous perennial ⁷ (but may act as annual); aquatic herb in the Araceae family ⁴
Fecundity	High
Reproduction Importance of Seeds: Vegetative:	Sexual; Asexual ⁴ High (temperate) ⁴ ; hydrosol under mats holds 4,196 seeds/m ² (⁴) Primary means of expansion ⁴ ; up to 15 secondary rosettes may be attached to a single plant and up to four generations of rosette may be connected to one stolon ⁴
Hybridization	Undocumented
Overwintering Winter Tolerance: Phenology:	Plant is frost intolerant ^{4,9} , but seeds will tolerate ice (-5°C) for several weeks ⁵ ; overwinters by seed in the Netherlands; survives harsh winters in Slovenia by overwintering in thermal streams ¹² Emerges late relative to natives (may change with climactic shifts)
b. Establishment	
Climate Weather: Wisconsin-Adapted: Climate Change:	Warm winters may allow spread Uncertain; could persist in cold temperate climates by repopulating from seed in spring ⁴ Likely to benefit growth and distribution
Taxonomic Similarity Wisconsin Natives: Other US Exotics:	Medium; family Araceae Medium; family Araceae
Competition Natural Predators: Natural Pathogens: Competitive Strategy: Known Interactions:	Neotropics: 21 insects (including 14 weevils): 9 occur in Florida ⁴ <i>Ramularia pistiae</i> , <i>R. aromatica</i> , <i>Cercospora pistiae</i> ¹⁰ , <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> ¹¹ and other fungi Rapid growth rate; competitive exclusion (shading) ⁶ Outcompeted by <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> (water hyacinth) ⁴ ; caused declines in <i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> , <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , <i>Najas marina</i> , and <i>Trapa natans</i> in their respective native ranges ¹²
Reproduction Rate of Spread: Adaptive Strategies:	High Rapid clonal reproduction; floating rosettes can spread with current
Timeframe	Can reach 2,000 g/m ² in one season ⁴
c. Dispersal	
Intentional: Unintentional: Propagule Pressure:	Aquarium trade, ornamental use, wastewater treatment ⁴ Wind, water, animals, humans, boats and trailers ⁶ Medium; fragments relatively easily accidentally introduced



Figure 2: Courtesy of Forest and Kim Starr, Starr Environmental, Bugwood.org¹³
 Figure 3: Courtesy of Ken A. Langeland, University of Florida¹⁴

III. Damage Potential

a. Ecosystem Impacts

Composition	Disrupts submersed animal and plant communities ⁴ ; greatly reduces biological diversity (submersed and emersed plants) ⁷ ; decreases dissolved oxygen concentrations, causing fish kills ⁴ ; decreases in planktonic diversity ¹⁵
Structure	Miniaturization of plankton volume ¹⁵ ; floating mats change community architecture; fish respond to change in architecture ¹
Function	Increased siltation, nutrient loading, alkalinity, and thermal stratification; reduced dissolved oxygen ⁴
Allelopathic Effects	Undocumented
Keystone Species	Undocumented
Ecosystem Engineer	Yes; dense canopy decreases light penetration; increases siltation and causes thermal stratification
Sustainability	Undocumented
Biodiversity	Decreases ^{6,7}
Biotic Effects	Planktonic structure, diversity decreases ¹⁵
Abiotic Effects	Decreases in dissolved oxygen concentration, pH and permanganate index ¹⁵ ; increase in siltation, transparency, nitrate, ammonium, total nitrogen, total phosphorous, and total bacteria ^{4,15}
Benefits	Increases water clarity ¹⁵

b. Socio-Economic Effects

Benefits	Wastewater treatment ¹⁶
Caveats	Risk of release and population expansion outweighs benefits of use; favorable breeding ground for mosquitoes ¹⁷
Impacts of Restriction	Increase in monitoring, education, and research costs
Negatives	Blocks navigational channels ⁴ ; impedes water flow in irrigation and flood control canals ⁶ ; breeding ground for mosquitoes (disease vectors) ^{1,4,6} ; bioaccumulation of heavy metals ⁴ ; can interfere with hydroelectric operations ⁴

Expectations	Undocumented
Cost of Impacts	Decreased recreational and aesthetic value; decline in ecological integrity; increased research expenses
“Eradication” Cost	Depends on population size
IV. Control and Prevention	
a. Detection	
Crypsis:	Low ⁷
Benefits of Early Response:	High; prevents prolific seed set crucial to survival in temperate zones
b. Control	
Management Goal 1	Eradication
Tool:	Hand pulling; seining ⁶
Caveat:	Labor intensive; many regions do not report success
Cost:	Undocumented
Efficacy, Time Frame:	Successful in New Zealand ¹⁸ ; small deliberately planted populations may be quickly removed
Management Goal 2	Nuisance relief
Tool:	Mechanical chopping; harvesting ⁷
Caveat:	Plants must be removed from the water to prevent vegetative spread
Cost:	Undocumented
Efficacy, Time Frame:	Undocumented
Tool:	<i>Spodoptera pectinicornis</i> (noctuid moth) ¹
Caveat:	Populations establish, but fail to persist, restocking is necessary ⁴
Cost:	Undocumented
Efficacy, Time Frame:	Only larvae feed on <i>P. stratiotes</i> ; short life cycle ⁶
Tool:	<i>Neohydronomus affinis</i> [<i>pulchellus</i>] Hustache (weevil) ^{4,19}
Caveat:	Research still being conducted
Cost:	Undocumented
Efficacy, Time Frame:	Produces 90% declines but is cyclical (long term suppression elusive)
Tool:	<i>Samea multiplicalis</i> (pyralid moth) ¹
Caveat:	Feeds on other species of plants
Cost:	Undocumented
Efficacy, Time Frame:	Undocumented
Tool:	<i>Argentinorhynchus breyeri</i> , <i>A. bruchi</i> , <i>A. squamosus</i> ²⁰
Caveat:	No studies into host specificity
Cost:	Undocumented
Efficacy, Time Frame:	<i>A. breyeri</i> showed potential to kill plants if enough larvae develop
Tool:	Endothal ^{4,6} , diquat ^{4,22} , glyphosate ^{4,22,23} , 2,4-D ²⁴ , triclopyr ²⁴
Caveat:	Non-target plant species are negatively impacted
Cost:	Undocumented
Efficacy, Time Frame:	Good to excellent control reported; potential oxygen depletion with die-off
Documented Cost	Estimate total expenditures exceed \$1 million annually in Florida ⁴

-
- ¹ US Forest Service, Pacific Island Ecosystems at Risk (PIER). 2010. *Pistia stratiotes* L., Araceae. Retrieved December 28, 2010 from: http://www.hear.org/pier/species/pistia_stratiotes.htm
- ² United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service. 2010. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA, USA. Retrieved December 28, 2010 from: <http://plants.usda.gov/java/profile?symbol=PIST2>
- ³ Cleland, C. 2007. Personal communication.
- ⁴ Dray, F.A. and T.R. Center. 2002. Waterlettuce. Biological control of invasive plants in the eastern United States. USDA Agricultural Research Service, Invasive Plant Research Laboratory, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA. Retrieved October 22, 2010 from: <http://www.invasiveplants.net/biologicalcontrol/pdf/5Waterlettuce.pdf>
- ⁵ Pieterse, A.H., L. DeLange and L. Verhagen. 1981. A study on certain aspects of seed germination and growth of *Pistia stratiotes* L. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 30(1-2):47-57.
- ⁶ Global Invasive Species Database. 2005. Retrieved December 28, 2010 from: <http://www.issg.org/database/species/ecology.asp?si=285&fr=1&sts=sss&lang=EN>
- ⁷ Ramey, V. 2001. *Pistia stratiotes* L. University of Florida Sea Grant Pages. Retrieved September 21, 2010 from: <http://plants.ifas.ufl.edu/node/328>
- ⁸ Ghavzan, N.J., V.R. Gunale, D.M. Mahajan and D.R. Shirke. 2006. Effects of environmental factors on ecology and distribution of aquatic macrophytes. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences* 5(5):871-880.
- ⁹ Benson, A.J., C.C. Jacono, P.L. Fuller, E.R. McKercher and M.M. Richerson. 2004. Summary Report of Nonindigenous Aquatic Species in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Region 5. Prepared for: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arlington, VA.
- ¹⁰ Henry-Silva, G.G. and A.F.M. Camargo. 2005. Interações ecológicas entre as macrófitas aquáticas flutuantes *Eichhornia crassipes* e *Pistia stratiotes*. Ecological interrelationships between floating aquatic *Eichhornia crassipes* and *Pistia stratiotes*. *Hoehnea* 32(3):445-452.
- ¹¹ Waipara, N.W., G.W. Bourdôt and G.A. Hurrell. 2006. *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* shows potential for controlling water lettuce, alligator weed and wandering jew. *New Zealand Plant Protection* 59:23-27.
- ¹² Šajna, N., M. Haler, S. Škornik and M. Kaligarič. 2007. Survival and expansion of *Pistia stratiotes* L. in a thermal stream in Slovenia. *Aquatic Botany* 87(1):75-79.
- ¹³ Starr, K. and F. Starr. United States Geological Survey. Retrieved December 28, 2010 from: <http://www.bugwood.org>
- ¹⁴ Langeland, K.A. University of Florida. Retrieved December 28, 2010 from: <http://www.bugwood.org>
- ¹⁵ Cai, L. 2006. Impact of floating vegetation in Shuikou impoundment, Minjiang River, Fujian Province. *Hupo-Kexue* 18(3):250-254.
- ¹⁶ Zimmels, Y., F. Kirzhner and A. Malkovskaja. 2006. Application of *Eichhornia crassipes* and *Pistia stratiotes* for treatment of urban sewage in Israel. *Journal of Environmental Management* 81(4):420-428.
- ¹⁷ Kengne, I.M., F. Brissaud, A. Akoa, R.A. Eteme, J. Nya, A. Ndikefor and T. Fonkou. 2003. Mosquito development in a macrophyte-based wastewater treatment plant in Cameroon (Central Africa). *Ecological Engineering* 21(1):53-61.
- ¹⁸ Champion, P.D. and J.S. Clayton. 2003. The evaluation and management of aquatic weeds in New Zealand. 429-434. in Child, L., J. H. Brock, G. Brundu, K. Prach, P. M. Wade and M. Williamson, editors. *Plant Invasions: Ecological Threats and Management Solutions*. Leiden, Netherlands Backhuys.

-
- ¹⁹ Mbatia, G. and P. Neuenschwander. 2005. Biological control of three floating water weeds, *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Pistia stratiotes*, and *Salvinia molesta* in the Republic of Congo. *BioControl* 50(4):635-645.
- ²⁰ Cordo, H.A. and A. Sosa. 2000. The weevils *Argentinorhynchus breyeri*, *A. bruchi* and *A. squamosus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), candidates for the biological control of waterlettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*). Proceedings of the X International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds. 4-14 July 1999, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA. Neal R. Spencer [ed.]. 325-335.
- ²¹ Rivers III, L. Water Lettuce-Exotic Aquatics on the Move. Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant. Retrieved October 22, 2010 from: <http://www.iisgcp.org/EXOTICSP/waterlettuce.htm>
- ²² Thayer, D.D. and W.T. Haller. 1985. Effect of herbicides on floating aquatic plants. *Journal of Aquatic Plant Management* 23:94-95.
- ²³ Van, T.K., V.V. Vandiver, Jr. and R.D. Conant, Jr.. 1986. Effect of herbicide rate and carrier volume on glyphosate phytotoxicity. *Journal of Aquatic Plant Management* 24:66-69.
- ²⁴ Langeland, K.A. and B.E. Smith. 1993. Evaluation of triclopyr and diquat for managing mixed populations of waterhyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*). Proceedings Southern Weed Science Society: weed science in harmony with the environment, 46th annual meeting, Charlotte, North Carolina, USA, 18-20 January 1993. 250-254.