

NAME OF SPECIES: *Carduus acanthoides* L.

Synonyms: N/A

Common Name: Plumeless Thistle, Spiny Plumeless Thistle

A. CURRENT STATUS AND DISTRIBUTION

I. In Wisconsin?	1. YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
	2. <u>Abundance</u> : Widespread distribution in southern and central Wisconsin, where it is locally abundant (1).
	3. <u>Geographic Range</u> : Found in 16 counties in Wisconsin (1).
	4. <u>Habitat Invaded</u> : Dry, open or partially shaded areas. Disturbed Areas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Undisturbed Areas <input type="checkbox"/>
	5. <u>Historical Status and Rate of Spread in Wisconsin</u> : Earliest herbarium specimen was collected in 1937 in Iowa County (1).
	6. <u>Proportion of potential range occupied</u> : Could potentially expand into additional open areas
II. Invasive in Similar Climate Zones	1. YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Where (include trends)</u> : Invasive throughout the United States (2).
III. Invasive in Similar Habitat Types	1. Upland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Dune <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prairie <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aquatic <input type="checkbox"/> Forest <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grassland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bog <input type="checkbox"/> Fen <input type="checkbox"/> Swamp <input type="checkbox"/> Marsh <input type="checkbox"/> Lake <input type="checkbox"/> Stream <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Disturbed sites, glade communities, buffer zones, restorations, abandoned agricultural land, dumps, fencerows, pastures, canopy gaps and open spaces in high quality natural areas.
IV. Habitat Effected	1. <u>Soil types favored (e.g. sand, silt, clay, or combinations thereof, pH)</u> : Most common in well-drained or sandy, fertile soils with neutral or slightly acidic pH (3).
	2. <u>Conservation significance of threatened habitats</u> : Prairie and grassland communities provide ecosystem services (carbon sequestration) and habitat for arthropods and birds, and other wildlife.
V. Native Habitat	1. <u>List countries and native habitat types</u> : Southern Europe and western Asia (4).
VI. Legal Classification	1. <u>Listed by government entities?</u> Yes. Noxious in AZ, MN, AR, MD, NE, WV, WY, CA, CO, IA, NC, WA. Regulated in OR, SD.
	2. <u>Illegal to sell?</u> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Notes:

B. ESTABLISHMENT POTENTIAL AND LIFE HISTORY TRAITS

I. Life History	1. <u>Type of plant</u> : Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Biennial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monocarpic Perennial <input type="checkbox"/> Herbaceous Perennial <input type="checkbox"/> Vine <input type="checkbox"/> Shrub <input type="checkbox"/> Tree <input type="checkbox"/>
	2. <u>Time to Maturity</u> : Typically 2 growing seasons, but <i>C. acanthoides</i> can act as a winter annual under ideal growing conditions (3).
	3. <u>Length of Seed Viability</u> : Seeds persist in the seed bank for several years.
	4. <u>Methods of Reproduction</u> : Asexual <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Please note abundance of propagules and other important information</u> : Early flowering genotypes can produce more than 1500 seeds per head; late flowering genotypes as few as 25 seeds per head (3) (5) (6). Seeds are dispersed 1 - 3 weeks after flowering (3).

	5. <u>Hybridization potential</u> : High. <i>Carduus X orthocephalus</i> Wallr. is a hybrid between <i>C. acanthoides</i> L. and <i>C. nutans</i> L. (1) (7) (8). Hybrids are more aggressive than <i>C. acanthoides</i> (8).
II. Climate	1. <u>Climate restrictions</u> : requires cold period to induce reproductive stage
	2. <u>Effects of potential climate change</u> : Unknown
III. Dispersal Potential	1. <u>Pathways - Please check all that apply</u> : <u>Intentional</u> : Ornamental <input type="checkbox"/> Forage/Erosion control <input type="checkbox"/> Medicine/Food: Other: <u>Unintentional</u> : Bird <input type="checkbox"/> Animal <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicles/Human <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wind <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Mower decks, impurity in hay and straw.
	2. <u>Distinguishing characteristics that aid in its survival and/or inhibit its control</u> : Prolific seeder.
IV. Ability to go Undetected	1. HIGH <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> LOW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

C. DAMAGE POTENTIAL

I. Competitive Ability	1. <u>Presence of Natural Enemies</u> : NA
	2. <u>Competition with native species</u> : NA
	3. Rate of Spread: HIGH(1-3 yrs) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM (4-6 yrs) <input type="checkbox"/> LOW (7-10 yrs) <input type="checkbox"/> Notes: Mower decks enhance the rate (and distance) of spread.
II. Environmental Effects	1. <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community composition?</u> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Notes: Reduces native species density and diversity.
	2. <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community structure?</u> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Notes: Can form monotypic vegetation stands.
	3. <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community functions and processes?</u> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Notes: Fire will not push through heavy infestations. May distract pollinators from native species (2).
	4. <u>Allelopathic properties?</u> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Notes: Possibly. Closely related sympatric congener <i>C. nutans</i> exhibits allelopathic effects.

D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC Effects

I. Positive aspects of the species to the economy/society:	Notes: None.
II. Potential socio-economic effects of restricting use:	Notes: N/A
III. Direct and indirect effects :	Notes: degrades pastures
IV. Increased cost to a sector:	Notes: Negatively impacts livestock production and reduces land values.
V. Effects on human health:	Notes: Thistles have spines on leaves and stems.

F. REFERENCES USED:

E. CONTROL AND PREVENTION	
I. Costs of Prevention (including education; please be as specific as possible):	Notes: N/A
II. Responsiveness to prevention efforts:	Notes: If detected early, <i>C. acanthoides</i> can be eradicated.
III. Effective Control tactics:	Mechanical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chemical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Times and uses: Herbicide applications are most effective in the rosette stage. Clopyralid and aminopyralid are more effective than glyphosate, but resprouting occurs. Mowing is most effective immediately prior to anthesis. Four biocontrol agents have been tested on <i>C. acanthoides</i> , the beetles <i>Urophora solstitialis</i> L., <i>Trichosiocalus horridus</i> Panzer., and <i>Rhinocyllus conicus</i> Froelich. (9), and the fungus <i>Puccinia carduorum</i> (10).
IV. Minimum Effort:	Notes: Several growing seasons.
V. Costs of Control:	Notes: Variable and site-specific.
VI. Cost of prevention or control vs. Cost of allowing invasion to occur:	Notes: N/A
VII. Non-Target Effects of Control:	Notes: Broad-spectrum and composite/legume-specific herbicides can harm or eliminate desired vegetation. Mowing in mid-summer can be detrimental to nesting birds.
VIII. Efficacy of monitoring:	Notes: If detected early, <i>C. acanthoides</i> can be eradicated. Subsequent monitoring is usually necessary.
IX. Legal and landowner issues:	Notes: Uncontrolled infestations can be a source of invasive propagules into natural areas.

- UW Herbarium
- WI DNR
- TNC
- Native Plant Conservation Alliance
- IPANE
- USDA Plants

Number	Reference
1	Wisconsin State Herbarium. 2007. WISFLORA: Wisconsin Vascular Plant Species (http://www.botany.wisc.edu/wisflora/). Dept. Botany, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706-1381 USA.
2	USDA, NRCS. 2007. The PLANTS Database (http://plants.usda.gov , 16 March 2007). National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA.
3	State of California. 2007. Weed Info: <i>Carduus</i> (http://www.cdfa.ca.gov/phpps/ipc/weedinfo/carduus.htm).
4	Hoffman, R.A. and S. K. Kearns. 1997. Wisconsin Manual of Control Recommendations for Ecologically Invasive Plants. WDNR Publication Publ ER-090 97.
5	Feldman, S.R. and J.P. Lewis. 1990. Output and Dispersal of Propagules of <i>Carduus acanthoides</i> L. <i>Weed Research</i> 30(3):161-169.
6	Feldman, S.R., J.L. Vesprini, and J.P. Lewis. 1994. Survival and Establishment of <i>Carduus acanthoides</i> L. <i>Weed Research</i> 34(4):265-273.
7	Warwick, I., B.K. Thompson, and L.D. Black. 1990. Comparative Growth Response in <i>Carduus nutans</i> , <i>C. acanthoides</i> , and their F1 Hybrids. <i>Canadian Journal of Botany</i> 68(8):1675-1679.
8	Warwick, I. and B. K. Thompson. 1989. The Mating System in Sympatric Populations of <i>Carduus nutans</i> , <i>C. acanthoides</i> and their Hybrid Swarms. <i>Heredity</i> 63(3):329-337.

9	Biological Control Agent Matrix. 2007. (www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfp/publications/00199/plume.htm).
10	Berthier, Y.T., W.H. Bruckart, P. Chaboudez, and D.G. Luster. 1996. Polymorphic Restriction Patterns of Ribosomal Internal Transcribed Spacers in the Biocontrol Fungus <i>Puccinia carduorum</i> Correlate with Weed Host Origin. <i>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</i> 62(8):3037-3041.

Author(s), Draft number, and date completed: Craig A. Annen, First Draft, May 9, 2007.

Reviewer(s) and date reviewed: Jerry Doll, August 21, 2007

Approved and Completed Date: