

Species Assessment Group - Summary of group ratings Date: _____

Species: *Pyrus calleryana*

Members of the SAG:

Ratings for Criteria - 1st round	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total #	Average
1. Current status and distribution	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	4									
2. Establishment potential	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	4									
3. Damage potential	2	2	2	1	ii	2	ii	2									
4. Prevention and control potential	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	4									
5. Socio-economic impacts	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	ii									
Totals - 1st round	Prohibited			Restricted				Watch				Non-restricted				Insufficient Information	
Number of votes for each classification	x			x				xxxx				xxx					

Comments:3 - Commercially important species, many cultivars. I have never seen this species become invasive in WI, not hardy in north. Isolated plants do produce fruit, but have never observed seedling development. 4 - There is no significant distribution recorded in WI. No Significant destructive populations recorded anywhere in undisturbed areas. I have been growing plants for 30 years and have never observed significant establishment of seedlings, juvenile plants are less hardy than grafted plants, which means seedlings would be considerably less hardy than mature plants. Its removal from commerce would have significant negative economic consequences for many nursery and landscape businesses.

Ratings for Criteria - 2nd round	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total #	Average
1. Current status and distribution																	
2. Establishment potential																	
3. Damage potential																	
4. Prevention and control potential																	
5. Socio-economic impacts																	
Totals - 2nd round	Prohibited			Restricted				Watch				Non-restricted				Insufficient Information	
Number of votes for each classification																	

Final Recommended Classification : Non-restricted

Final Comments/Rationale:

