# Water Quality Trading Plan



BelGioioso Cheese Inc. – Freedom, WI April 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018 – Rev 1. July 24, 2019 Trade Agreement Number: WQT-20190724

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction 1									
2	Backg	round	1							
	2.1	Purpose for Water Quality Trade	1							
	2.2	Purpose for New Surface Water Outfall	2							
	2.3	WWTP Overview	3							
	2.4	Location of Outfall and Fields	4							
		2.4.1 Location of Outfall 001	4							
		2.4.2 Location of the Fields	5							
3	Existin	g Conditions and Potentially Tradeable TP and TSS Modeling	7							
	3.1	Existing Land Use of the Fields	7							
	3.2 Soil Sampling									
	3.3	SnapPlus Modeling	8							
	3.4	Trading Requirements Within a TMDL	9							
	3.5	Modeled PTP/PTTSS Under Current Conditions	10							
	3.6	Modeled PTP/PTTSS with Proposed Permanent Grassland	11							
	3.7	Calculation Interim PTP/PTTSS Based on Modified Land Use	12							
4	Trade	Ratio Calculation	13							
	4.1	Individual Trade Ratio Factors	14							
		4.1.1 Delivery factor:	14							
		4.1.2 Downstream factor:	14							
		4.1.3 Equivalency factor:	14							
		4.1.4 Uncertainty factor:	14							
		4.1.5 Habitat Adjustment factor:	14							
	4.2	Calculation of Trade Ratio Based on Individual Factors	15							



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

5 Interim Credit Generation Calculation								
6	Long-T	erm Credit Generation Calculation	18					
7	Land /	Application Nutrient Management Plans	18					
8	Manag	ement Practice Description	22					
	8.1	Installation Plan	22					
	8.2	Operation and Maintenance Plan	22					
9 Timeline								
	9.1	Schedule for Construction and Initial Operation of WWTP	23					
	9.2	Schedule for Installation of Permanent Vegetative Practice	23					
10	Inspec	tions and Reporting	23					
	10.1	Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration	23					
	10.2	Monthly Certification	23					
	10.3	Annual Inspections	24					
	10.4	Notification of Problems with Management Practice	24					
	10.5	Annual Water Quality Trading Report	24					
	10.6	WDNR Right to Inspect the Fields	25					
11	Compl	iance with Water Quality Trading Checklist	25					
12	Certification of Water Quality Trade Report 27							

# **Attachments**

- A Notice of Intent (NOI) to Conduct Water Quality Trading
- B Watershed, Subwatershed, and Field Maps
- C Existing Farming Practices Questionnaire
- D Soil Sampling Results
- E SnapPlus Modeling Reports (Current)
- F SnapPlus Modeling Reports (Grassland)
- G "Practice Registration Form" 3400-207
- H Long-Term TP and TSS Credit Calculation
- I Grassland Establishment Plan
- J Grassland O&M Plan





# 1 Introduction

This water quality trading plan summarizes the plan for BelGioioso Cheese Inc. (BelGioioso) in Freedom, WI to use water quality trading to comply with Total Phosphorus (TP) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) discharge limits in its Wisconsin Discharge Elimination System (WPDES) permit for Outfall 001. To assist in complying with BelGioioso's TP and TSS discharge limits, BelGioioso has installed and will maintain permanent vegetative cover (aka. grassland) on previously farmed fields within the same subwatershed as Outfall 001 on property owned by BelGioioso.

BelGioioso has used SnapPlus modeling to quantify the amount of potentially tradable TP and TSS from the fields assuming current farming practices continued, and then the amount after installation and maintenance of a permanent vegetative cover. Using a trade ratio of 1.2:1, BelGioioso calculated the TP and TSS water quality trading credits available per year based on the change in management practice from farming in corn and soybean rotation to permanent vegetative cover at their existing fields onsite. BelGioioso will use these credits to demonstrate compliance with the TP and TSS limits in their WPDES permit.

# 2 Background

# 2.1 Purpose for Water Quality Trade

The purpose of this Water Quality Trading Plan is to describe BelGioioso's use of water quality trading to comply with the TP and TSS limits at Outfall 001 of WPDES permit WI-0066176-01-0. This Water Quality Trading Plan was developed pursuant to the Notice of Intent to Conduct Water Quality Trading included in Attachment A.

In particular, BelGioioso will trade with property owned by BelGioioso in the same HUC-12 subwatershed as Outfall 001. These fields have been placed into perennial vegetation and BelGioioso will use the phosphorus and TSS credits generated from this management practice to comply with the TP and TSS limits their WPDES permit. Because BelGioioso is both the credit generator and the credit user, BelGioioso is entering into a trade agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR).

The Freedom facility is located within the Lower Fox River Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). Unfortunately, this TMDL was developed without reserve capacity for new or expanded facilities. Onsite wastewater treatment at the Freedom facility will be new to the facility and this will be the first issuance of an individual permit for this facility. As such, there was no allocation for TP or TSS to be discharged from the process wastewater outfall of Freedom's new wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). BelGioioso will use water quality trading to offset the entire phosphorus and TSS load discharged from



the process wastewater outfall of the new WWTP. Noncontact cooling water will continue to be discharged via a separate outfall and be covered under the Noncontact Cooling Water or Condensate and Boiler Water General Permit (WI-0044938-6).

Assuming zero mass net discharge of phosphorus and TSS from Outfall 001, BelGioioso expects to need 36 to 53 lbs of TP credits per year assuming a WWTP effluent of 0.04 – 0.06 mg/L phosphorus. In a wastewater treatment plant designed for biological phosphorus removal like BelGioioso Freedom, total phosphorus makes up approximately 6% of the total solids. Using that standard, BelGioioso expects to discharge between 0.67 and 1 mg/L of TSS. However, 1 mg/L is commonly the limit of detection, so for concentrations less than 1 mg/L, mass will register as 0 lbs. Therefore, BelGioioso expects to need up to 880 lbs/yr of TSS credit This also assumes an average yearly design flow rate of 0.241 MGD. BelGioioso will be able to control the phosphorus and TSS concentrations of their process wastewater via chemical addition to ensure final compliance with the permitted phosphorus and TSS limits and the available annual trade credits discussed further in Tables 10 and 12 of Section 5.

# 2.2 Purpose for New Surface Water Outfall

BelGioioso has historically hauled their wastewater to Appleton's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). When weather allows, BelGioioso can also land apply their normal strength wastewater under the Land Application of Liquid Industrial Waste General Permit. Historically, this land application of industrial liquid waste was done on Fields B and D that are proposed for conversion to permanent grassland as part of this trade as well as Fields E & F on BelGioioso's property and 20 other fields owned by others located in the same HUC-12 which are not proposed for conversion as part of this trade. See map in Attachment B of approved land application sites within the HUC-12 entitled "Land Application Sites within HUC-12". Following implementation of cropping changes, land application will no longer occur on the fields used to generate trade credits. In the future, a SnapPlus Nutrient Management Plan report will be run for any other sites on which industrial liquid waste or WWTP sludge is land applied to ensure that there is no overloading of those fields as a result of this trade.

Noncontact cooling water is currently discharged via a drainage ditch (WBIC 5019845) to Duck Creek (WBIC 409700) and is covered under the Noncontact Cooling Water or Condensate and Boiler Water General Permit (NCCW GP). The NCCW discharge will continue to be covered by the NCCW GP.

Hauling wastewater off-site for treatment is expensive, so BelGioioso is pursuing their own on-site WWTP. BelGioioso plans to discharge treated process wastewater from their new WWTP via a new discharge pipe to Duck Creek. This surface water outfall for treated process wastewater will allow them to discharge year-round and in all weather conditions.



# 2.3 <u>WWTP Overview</u>

BelGioioso intends to build a wastewater treatment plant across the street from their Freedom facility. A full design report with plans was submitted electronically to WDNR for review on April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018 with paper copies that followed in the mail. Those plans were subsequently approved by WDNR on June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2018. However, based on conversations with WDNR regarding TMDL allocations, it was determined that the treated process wastewater and NCCW outfalls should remain separate. As such, updates to the approved design report were submitted on December 11<sup>th</sup>, 2018 and subsequently approved on February 19<sup>th</sup>, 2019. A summary of the December 11<sup>th</sup> report is provided in this section of the Water Quality Trading plan with additional detail related to treatment design in that report.

The new WWTP will include an influent lift station and screening before wastewater enters the equalization tank. Primary solid/liquid separation will then take place in the dissolved air floatation (DAF). Solids removed from the DAF will be sent to the sludge storage tanks. Liquid from the DAF will progress to the selector silo and aeration basin for further treatment. Additional solid/liquid separation will occur in the ultrafiltration membrane system. Solids from the membranes can be wasted to the sludge storage tanks where they will be mixed with the solids removed from the DAF. Permeate from the membranes will flow to the tertiary sand filters before entering the effluent lift station. As needed, wastewater can be passed through the facility's cooling tower for final treatment before being discharged to surface water.

Sludge removed from the treatment system via the DAF and the UF membranes will be stored in the sludge storage tanks until it can be hauled offsite. Sludge will either be land applied on approved sites or will be disposed of via other methods of disposal such as treatment in a digester offsite, or other alternatives. Sludge will be sampled as required by the WPDES permit and reporting will be done on WDNR's form 3400-49 Characteristic Report. Sampling requirements in the permit are expected to include total solids, total kjeldahl nitrogen, chloride, pH, ammonia nitrogen, total phosphorus, water extractable phosphorus, and total recoverable potassium. If the sludge is land applied, volumes and locations will be reported on the 3400-55 form, and if sludge is hauled to other methods of disposal, volumes and locations will be reported on the 3400-52 form. No land application will occur on the fields used to generate trade credits. A SnapPlus Nutrient Management Plan report will be run for any sites on which waste is land applied to ensure that there is no overloading of those fields as a result of this trade.

A majority of the process wastewater is currently hauled to the Appleton WWTP where wastewater is treated. The resulting sludge is land applied on WDNR approved sites. Land application of raw wastewater is not expected to occur frequently following construction of the new WWTP, but land application of sludge generated by the WWTP is likely to occur. Because Appleton currently land applies the sludge generated from



BelGioioso's wastewater treatment, and BelGioioso will land apply this on their own in the future, there is no net increase in sludge being land applied. No land application will occur on the fields being used for this trade. A SnapPlus Nutrient Management Plan report will be run for any other sites on which industrial liquid waste or WWTP sludge is land applied to ensure that there is no overloading of those fields as a result of this trade.

Chemical addition can occur at several locations in the wastewater treatment process with quantities that will vary based on operational setpoints. Acid and caustic can be added in the mix line of the equalization tank to regulate pH of the wastewater entering the WWTP. Polymer can be added prior to the DAF to improve solid/liquid separation efficiency. Ferric can be added to the selector silo and/or aeration basin mix lines to encourage flocculation of solids and removal of phosphorus from the wastewater effluent. Ferric, polymer, and/or cerium chloride can be added prior to sand filtration to remove additional phosphorus and TSS from the discharge.

Probst has extensive experience in design and operation of wastewater treatment plants, especially in the dairy industry. Similar treatment systems have process wastewater effluent that consistently ranges from 0.03 – 0.05 mg/L phosphorus depending on the amount of polymer and ferric dosed into the system. Cerium chloride can also be dosed as needed to achieve extremely low phosphorus in the effluent. BelGioioso will be able to achieve a phosphorus effluent concentration in the range of 0.03 – 0.05 mg/L, as discussed in Section 2.1 above. At such low levels of phosphorus, the TSS is likely to be below the level of detection for most samples. Operators will ensure that appropriate chemical dosing occurs to ensure compliance with the permitted phosphorus and TSS mass discharged from the Outfall, taking the available phosphorus and TSS credits generated by the water quality trade into account. BelGioioso understands the quantity of phosphorus and TSS credits that are available as a result of this trade and will apply the necessary chemicals to ensure compliance with their permitted requirements.

# 2.4 Location of Outfall and Fields

### 2.4.1 Location of Outfall 001

BelGioioso will discharge treated process wastewater to Duck Creek (WBIC 409700) via Outfall 001 at approximately 44.38474°N and 88.30250°W. Outfall 001 is located in HUC12 Subwatershed 040302040104, which is also known as the Middle Duck Creek Subwatershed. The Middle Duck Creek Subwatershed is part of the larger Duck Creek – Frontal Green Bay Watershed (0403020401), which drains to Green Bay on its way to Lake Michigan. The Middle Duck Creek Subwatershed is subject to the Lower Fox River TMDL. Figure 1 below depicts the location of Outfall 001 in the Subwatershed. This is also given in Attachment B. BelGioioso Cheese Inc. - Freedom, WI Water Quality Trading Plan Rev 1 - July 24, 2019





Figure 1

### 2.4.2 Location of the Fields

BelGioioso will implement the management practices to generate TP and TSS credits on portions of BelGioioso's fields which are also within the Middle Duck Creek Subwatershed. All 36.9 acres of the proposed trade fields are upstream of Outfall 001 and are within the Middle Duck Creek Subwatershed. There is no drain tile in these fields. A map is included in Attachment B which shows the portions of the fields which are upstream and downstream of Outfall 001.

The trade fields are located within Outagamie County near the Town of Freedom on Parcel 090040000 and portions of Parcel 090039800. These parcels are all located in SEC 15 TWP 22N 18E. BelGioioso also owns Parcel 023223 and 090039900 within the



Middle Duck Creek Subwatershed. Parcel 023223 will be the location of the new WWTP and Parcel 090039900 is not able to meet the TMDL baseline thresholds for the trade so no credits could be generated from these fields during this permit term. These fields will not be converted to permanent vegetation at this time.

Land application of liquid industrial wastewater from the Freedom facility is expected to decrease dramatically with the installation of the new wastewater treatment plant. Any land application of liquid industrial wastewater that may occur would occur infrequently and would be the result of an upset at the WWTP. All land application that does occur will be done in accordance with the requirements and limits of the WPDES permit. No land application will occur on fields being used to generate trade credits. A SnapPlus Nutrient Management Plan report will be generated annually for each field on which waste was land applied. The Nutrient Management Plan report will show nutrient loading compared to University of Wisconsin recommendations for the crop planted to ensure that the fields receiving waste (industrial liquid waste or WWTP sludge) are not overloaded. The reports will be used to model the original phosphorus non-point load to surface waters before and after land application of waste (industrial liquid waste or WWTP sludge) and will help verify that waste application does not increase phosphorus load to surface waters.

	Parcels owned by Belgioloso Impacted by Water Quality Irade											
Parcel ID	Legal Description	WQT Field Name	Total Acreage	Previously Farmed Acreage	Acreage Converted to Permanent Grassland							
090040000	W1/2 SW NW Less HY Sec 15 T22N R18E	A	18.67	14.8	14.8							
090039800	CSM 5542 LOT2	В	27.68	17.5	17.5							
	(PRT SE NW & PRT SW NW & PRT NE NW SEC 15-22-18)	D	4.6	4.6	4.6							
		TOTAL	50.9	36.9	36.9							

Table 1 below describes the current and future land use.

		Т	able 1				
Parcels owned	bv	BelGioioso	Impacted	bv	Water	Quality	Trade



# 3 Existing Conditions and Potentially Tradeable TP and TSS Modeling

# 3.1 Existing Land Use of the Fields

Table 1 above shows how much land is currently farmed on each parcel. A portion of this land will be converted to generate credits for this water quality trade. The unfarmed acreage, which will not be converted to grassland for use in the water quality trade, is made up of some areas of the production facility (Parcel 090039800), agricultural ditches (Parcel 090040000) and a fallow field (Parcel 090039900). It was also determined that fields E and F do not meet the baseline criteria of the TMDL to generate credits during this permit term. As such, these fields will not be converted to permanent vegetation at this time.

The previously farmed acreage at BelGioioso has been cropped primarily for purposes of land application of industrial liquid wastewater. As such, cropping rotations have been a function of time of year that planting can occur due to land application restrictions rather than following typical crop rotation practices which might be used for strictly agricultural purposes. There is no drain tile present on the site.

# 3.2 Soil Sampling

Soil samples were taken on June 28, 2017 for five fields (A, B, D, E, F) located on the BelGioioso properties. At the request of WDNR based on preliminary review of water quality trade modeling, soil samples were done a second time on November 13, 2017 to confirm results. Phosphorus results from both sample dates were higher than would be typical for agricultural application because portions of these fields have historically been used for land application. Some portions of Field B were not able to be sampled on the November sampling date due to active land application on those portions of the fields. Variability between the two sample dates for all fields is likely due primarily to the amount of time between land application and sample collection. Fields E and F had soil phosphorus concentrations that were too high to achieve baseline thresholds established by the TMDL so those fields will not be converted to permanent vegetation at this time.

A NRCS soils map of the five fields is given in Attachment B and soil sample results from both dates, as well as an average of the two sample dates is given in Attachment D. A map of the sample locations is also included with the results in Attachment D. The average values were used to calculate the current and future potentially tradeable phosphorus and TSS for the water quality trade. Results of the SnapPlus reports using these average soil conditions can be found in Attachments F and G.



# 3.3 SnapPlus Modeling

SnapPlus (version 18.1, build 19015.1024) was used to model the five fields under current conditions. The five fields had all seen identical cropping in 2014, 2015, and 2016: Fallow, Soy Beans, and Corn Grain, respectively. The fields also had the following fertilizer applications:

- 2014: N/A
- 2015: N/A
- 2016: 11,000 gallons manure injected in the Spring

These fields have been cropped primarily for the purpose of land application at BelGioioso. In addition to manure application to ensure healthy crops, industrial liquid wastewater has also been applied historically on Fields B and D in the amounts shown in Table 2 below. There was no land application of industrial liquid wastewater in 2018 because these fields were converted to permanent prairie in June of 2018 to generate credits for the water quality trade.

Field	Year	Acres Land Applied	Amount of Waste [gal]	P [mg/L]*	P [lbs/yr]	P2O5 [lbs/ac]	N [lbs/ac]
	2014	18.5	814,000	42.6	15.6	35.8	44
В	2015	18.5	451,000	36.2	7.4	16.9	22
	2016	18.5	-	-	-	-	-
	2017	18.5	302,500	32.2	4.4	10.0	16
	2014	4.6	151,800	42.6	11.7	26.9	33
D	2015	4.6	77,587	36.2	5.1	11.7	15
	2016	4.6	101,200	38.2	7.0	16.1	22
	2017	4.6	101,200	32.2	5.9	13.5	22

### Table 2: Land Application on BGC/F1 and BGC/F2 (Proposed Trade Fields B & D)

\*Average of all reported samples for each year

Attachment C includes information regarding existing farming practices including a completed Existing Farming Practices (EFP) questionnaire completed by BelGioioso with their farmer as well as maps from NRCS CropScape which confirms the crops that were grown. This cropping and application data was modeled as a 3-year rotation through the year 2023.

There were a few deviations in the modeling from the CropScape maps because the farmer would not have planted different crops on each field. Deviations are as follows:

• **2014:** The CropScape map shows that portions of Fields A and E were planted in corn with a few spots of soybean, winter wheat, and fallow field. The farmer would only plant one crop at the site per year and in 2014 all fields were left fallow.



- **2015:** The CropScape map accurately shows that soybeans were planted on all fields.
- 2016: The CropScape map accurately shows that corn was planted on all fields.
- **2017:** The CropScape map shows that a portion of E was planted in soybeans. The farmer would only plant one crop at the site per year and in 2017 all fields were left fallow.

# 3.4 Trading Requirements Within a TMDL

A credit threshold is the pollutant loading below which reductions are made to generate credits. The credit threshold establishes the amount of pollutant reduction that is necessary before credits may be generated. For agricultural areas addressed by an approved TMDL, the credit threshold is set to reflect the TMDL load allocation (LA). Fields E and F, which were initially intended to be included in the water quality trade, do not meet this credit threshold and will therefore not be converted to permanent vegetation as part of the water quality trade during this permit term.

Agricultural nonpoint source credit generators, like the fields that BelGioioso will use for their water quality trade, that are located in a watershed with an approved TMDL generate two types of credits; interim credits and long-term credits. Interim credits are generated by load reductions that achieve the credit threshold and, therefore, can be generated only when the current pollutant load exceeds the applicable LA. Long-term credits are generated by load reductions obtained below the LA credit threshold.

The duration of interim credits equals the lifespan of the management practice employed to reduce pollutant loads, or 5 years, whichever is shorter. In discussions with WDNR, BelGioioso has confirmed that the interim credits will begin when the WPDES permit is issued regardless of whether the facility is using those credits yet. Once interim credits have expired, the credit user may replace them with new interim credits, which would last another 5 years or life of the management practice, or they may utilize long-term credits. BelGioioso's current trade generates enough interim credits to meet their currently projected TP and TSS loads, but does not currently generate enough long-term credits to offset their load beyond the first 5 years. Improvements in effluent quality or additional water quality trade credits will be required during the next permit term for BelGioioso to continue to comply with their effluent limits. BelGioioioso will pursue compliance options as needed during this permit term to meet their longterm TP and TSS credit needs.

From an implementation standpoint, short-term and long-term credits must be calculated on a field-by-field basis rather than the total from the whole farm. Baseline phosphorus and sediment loss values were set by WDNR for each subwatershed with associated loss goals for each parameter as well. WDNR assumes a transport of 10% for total



suspended solids. The Freedom facility is in LF051300 and has the following baseline losses and goals shown in Table 3 below:

Table 3										
Watershed TP and TSS Baseline Losses and TMDL Goals										
Subwatershed	Baseline P Loss [lbs/ac/yr]	Baseline TSS Loss [tons/ac/yr]	TMDL P Loss Goal [lbs/ac/yr]	TMDL TSS Loss Goal [tons/ac/yr]						
LF051300	1.9	0.85	0.44	0.35						

Credits cannot be generated when the PTP and PTTSS calculated for the future condition (permanent grassland, not harvested) are greater than the TMDL loss goals in Table 3 above.

# 3.5 Modeled PTP/PTTSS Under Current Conditions

Attachment E includes the following SnapPlus reports:

- Narrative and Crop Report
- Soil Test Report
- Application Summary Report
- Manure Tracking Report
- Fields Data and 590 Assessment Plan
- Nutrient Management Report
- P Trade Report
- Annual Soil Loss

Potentially Tradeable Phosphorus (PTP) and Potentially Tradeable TSS (PTTSS) are summarized in Tables 4 and 5, respectively, below using the current crop and application rotation.



	Table 4									
_	SnapPlus Potentially Tradable Phosphorus (PTP) Report – Current (lbs/yr)									
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
	Field A	14.8	26.68	24.13	29.22	26.44	23.88	28.93		
σ	Field B	17.5	23.39	20.86	25.34	23.09	20.55	24.99		
an	Field D	4.6	7.38	6.73	8.14	7.30	6.65	8.04		
o L pp	SUB	36.9	57.45	51.72	62.70	56.83	51.08	61.96		
ZA	TOTAL									
	Field A	14.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
dd	Field B	17.5	1.29	23.19	11.90	1.99	23.94	12.79		
d A '	Field D	4.6	2.03	4.67	2.35	2.23	4.89	2.61		
and	SUB	36.9	3.32	27.86	14.25	4.22	28.83	15.4		
ΟΓ	TOTAL									
tal	Field A	14.8	26.68	24.13	29.22	26.44	23.88	28.93		
To	Field B	17.5	24.68	44.05	37.24	25.08	44.49	37.77		
	Field D	4.6	9.41	11.40	10.49	9.53	11.54	10.65		
	TOTAL	36.9	60.77	79.58	76.94	61.05	79.91	77.36		

	Table 5									
_	SnapPlus Potentially Tradable TSS (PTTSS) Report – Current (tons/yr)									
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
	Field A	14.8	34.504	28.100	34.920	34.481	28.094	34.916		
σ	Field B	17.5	95.104	77.454	96.251	95.042	77.437	96.240		
an	Field D	4.6	7.904	6.437	8.000	7.899	6.436	7.999		
lo I pp	SUB	36.9	137.512	111.991	139.171	137.422	111.967	139.155		
ZA	TOTAL									
	Field A	14.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
dd	Field B	17.5	0.088	1.759	0.658	0.087	1.759	0.658		
d A /	Field D	4.6	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007	0.146	0.055		
and	SUB	36.9	0.095	1.905	0.713	0.094	1.905	0.713		
10	TOTAL									
tal	Field A	14.8	34.504	28.100	34.920	34.481	28.094	34.916		
To	Field B	17.5	95.192	79.213	96.909	95.130	79.196	96.898		
	Field D	4.6	7.912	6.583	8.055	7.906	6.582	8.054		
	TOTAL	36.9	137.607	113.896	139.884	137.516	113.872	139.868		

# 3.6 Modeled PTP/PTTSS with Proposed Permanent Grassland

The fields were then modeled by replacing the current crop rotation with a permanent grassland, not harvested. The same SnapPlus reports as were done for the current crop rotation are available for the permanent grassland modeling in Attachment F. Tables 6 and 7 below summarize the PTP/PTTSS given in the Trade Reports for future conditions with permanent grassland, not harvested.



_	Snap	Plus PTP	– Perman	ent Grassl	and, not ł	narvested	(lbs/yr)					
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024				
	Field A	14.8	5.56	4.84	4.35	4.14	4.02	3.95				
q	Field B	17.5	4.40	3.80	3.41	3.24	3.14	3.09				
'an	Field D	4.6	1.66	1.45	1.31	1.24	1.21	1.19				
o L pp	SUB	36.9	11.62	10.09	9.07	8.62	8.37	8.23				
A A	TOTAL											
	Field A	14.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
dd	Field B	17.5	0.57	0.51	0.47	0.45	0.44	0.43				
d A '	Field D	4.6	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11				
and	SUB	36.9	0.72	0.64	0.59	0.57	0.55	0.54				
0 L	TOTAL											
tal	Field A	14.8	5.56	4.84	4.35	4.14	4.02	3.95				
To	Field B	17.5	4.96	4.31	3.87	3.68	3.58	3.52				
	Field D	4.6	1.81	1.58	1.43	1.36	1.32	1.30				
	TOTAL	36.9	12.33	10.73	9.65	9.18	8.92	8.77				

Table 6

Table 7

SnapPlus PTTSS – Permanent Grassland, not harvested (tons/vr)

							(de 1.10) j 17	
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	Field A	14.8	0.705	0.312	0.132	0.082	0.059	0.046
σ	Field B	17.5	1.942	0.860	0.363	0.226	0.164	0.127
'an	Field D	4.6	0.161	0.071	0.030	0.019	0.014	0.011
o L pp	SUB	36.9	2.808	1.243	0.525	0.327	0.237	0.184
N A	TOTAL							
	Field A	14.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
dd	Field B	17.5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
A A	Field D	4.6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
and nly	SUB	36.9	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0 L	TOTAL							
tal	Field A	14.8	0.705	0.312	0.132	0.082	0.059	0.046
To	Field B	17.5	1.942	0.860	0.363	0.226	0.164	0.127
	Field D	4.6	0.161	0.071	0.030	0.019	0.014	0.011
	TOTAL	36.9	2.808	1.243	0.525	0.327	0.237	0.184

# 3.7 <u>Calculation Interim PTP/PTTSS Based on Modified Land Use</u>

Based on the change in land use from cropped agricultural land in corn and soybeans to a permanent grassland, not harvested, total interim PTP/PTTSS was then calculated. Tables 8 and 9 calculate the difference of the values in Tables 3 and 4 from Tables 5 and 6 above. Tables 8 and 9 do not incorporate the trade ratio which is discussed further in Section 4 of this report. The trade ratio must be included to determine final credits generated.



	Table 0										
_	Calculated Interim PTP – Permanent Grassland, not harvested (lbs/yr)										
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
	Field A	14.8	21.12	19.29	24.87	22.30	19.85	24.98			
σ	Field B	17.5	18.99	17.05	21.93	19.86	17.40	21.90			
an	Field D	4.6	5.72	5.28	6.83	6.06	5.44	6.85			
o I pp	SUB	36.9									
ΖΨ	TOTAL		45.83	41.62	53.63	48.22	42.69	53.73			
	Field A	14.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
dd	Field B	17.5	0.72	22.68	11.43	1.54	23.50	12.36			
ЧЧ	Field D	4.6	1.88	4.54	2.22	2.12	4.78	2.50			
and	SUB	36.9									
ΟΓ	TOTAL		2.60	27.22	13.65	3.66	28.28	14.86			
tal	Field A	14.8	21.12	19.29	24.87	22.30	19.85	24.98			
To	Field B	17.5	19.71	39.73	33.37	21.40	40.91	34.26			
	Field D	4.6	7.60	9.82	9.05	8.18	10.22	9.35			
	TOTAL	36.9	48.43	68.84	67.29	51.88	70.98	68.59			

Table 8

	Table 9								
_	Calculated	Interim F	PTTSS - Pe	ermanent (	Grassland,	not harve	ested (tons,	/yr)	
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	
	Field A	14.8	33.799	27.788	34.788	34.399	28.034	34.870	
σ	Field B	17.5	93.162	76.595	95.888	94.816	77.273	96.113	
an	Field D	4.6	7.743	6.366	7.970	7.880	6.422	7.988	
l ol pp	SUB	36.9							
ZA	TOTAL		134.704	110.749	138.646	137.095	111.729	138.971	
	Field A	14.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
dd	Field B	17.5	0.088	1.759	0.658	0.088	1.759	0.658	
d A	Field D	4.6	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007	0.146	0.055	
ano	SUB	36.9							
ΟΓ	TOTAL		0.095	1.905	0.713	0.095	1.905	0.713	
tal	Field A	14.8	33.799	27.788	34.788	34.399	28.034	34.870	
To	Field B	17.5	93.250	78.354	96.546	94.903	79.032	96.771	
	Field D	4.6	7.750	6.512	8.024	7.888	6.569	8.043	
	TOTAL	36.9	134.799	112.654	139.358	137.190	113.635	139.684	

# 4 Trade Ratio Calculation

The PTP and PTTSS generated by the SnapPlus modeling is adjusted by the applicable trade ratio to determine the amount of credits the credit user can receive for the management practice. As described in WDNR's "Guidance for Implementing Water Quality Trading in WPDES Permits" dated August 21, 2013 ("WQT Guidance"), the trade ratio is the sum of the delivery, downstream, equivalency, and uncertainty factors less any habitat adjustment factor. The trade ratio can be summarized as:



Trade Ratio = (Delivery + Downstream + Equivalency + Uncertainty - Habit Adjustment):1

See WQT Guidance at Section 2.11. For trades between point sources and nonpoint sources, there is a minimum trade ratio of 1.2:1. See WQT Guidance at Section 2.11.6.

As described in further detail by factor below, BelGioioso's management practice results in the minimum trade ratio of 1.2:1.

### 4.1 Individual Trade Ratio Factors

### 4.1.1 Delivery factor:

As discussed earlier, the trade fields subject to the permanent vegetative cover management practice are within the same HUC12, the Middle Duck Creek Subwatershed as BelGioioso Outfall 001. Because the Fields are within the same HUC12 as the Outfall, the delivery factor is not needed (i.e., it is zero). See WQT Guidance at § 2.11.1.

### 4.1.2 Downstream factor:

All 36.9 acres of the proposed trade fields are upstream of Outfall 001. Because the fields are located upstream of the Outfall, the downstream is not needed for these fields (i.e., it is zero). See WQT Guidance at Section 2.11.2.

### 4.1.3 Equivalency factor:

The permanent vegetative cover management practice on the fields will reduce phosphorus and suspended solids loadings to the subwatershed. BelGioioso is using the phosphorus and TSS credits generated by the permanent vegetative cover management practice to comply with the TP and TSS limits on Outfall 001. Because phosphorus and TSS reductions are being used to generate phosphorus and TSS credits, an equivalency factor is not needed (i.e., it is zero). See WQT Guidance at § 2.11.3.

### 4.1.4 Uncertainty factor:

The Fields will be placed in permanent vegetative cover, as described in Section 6. According to Table 4 of the WQT Guidance, land in perennial vegetation that was established and is maintained consistent with NRCS Technical Standard 327 results in an uncertainty factor of 1. See WQT Guidance at § 2.11.4, Table 4.

### 4.1.5 Habitat Adjustment factor:

BelGioioso is not claiming any beneficial habitat adjustment, so a habitat adjustment is not needed (i.e., it is zero). See WQT Guidance at § 2.11.5.

BelGioioso Cheese Inc. – Freedom, WI Water Quality Trading Plan Rev 1 – July 24, 2019



# 4.2 Calculation of Trade Ratio Based on Individual Factors

Inserting the above factors into the WQT Guidance's trade ratio formula results in a trade ratio of 1:1:

Trade Ratio = (Delivery + Downstream + Equivalency + Uncertainty - Habit Adjustment):1

**36.9 Upstream Acres Trade Ratio** = (0 + 0 + 0 + 1 - 0):1= 1:1

Because the minimum allowed trade ratio by WDNR is 1.2:1, BelGioioso will use a 1.2:1 trade ratio for the entire 36.9 acres for estimating credits generated by the management practice.

# 5 Interim Credit Generation Calculation

For each year, the interim credit generated from the management practice is the difference between the PTP/PTTSS based on SnapPlus modeling assuming the prior crop rotation was continued and the PTP/PTTSS based on SnapPlus modeling assuming a permanent vegetative cover is installed and maintained on the Fields, divided by the credit ratio as shown in the equation below. Tables 10 and 11 show the results of this calculation for each field.

Interim Credits Per Year = (PTP or PTTSS Assuming Crops Rotation Continued – PTP or PTTSS Assuming Permanent Vegetative Cover) ÷ trade ratio

	SnapPlus Interim PTP (lbs/year) - (trade ratio of 1.2 applied)									
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
	Field A	14.8	17.60	16.07	20.73	18.58	16.54	20.82		
σ	Field B	17.5	15.83	14.21	18.28	16.55	14.50	18.25		
an	Field D	4.6	4.77	4.40	5.69	5.05	4.53	5.71		
o I pp	SUB	36.9	38.19	34.68	44.69	40.18	35.58	44.78		
ΖΥ	TOTAL									
	Field A	14.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
dd	Field B	17.5	0.60	18.90	9.53	1.28	19.59	10.30		
A A	Field D	4.6	1.57	3.78	1.85	1.77	3.98	2.08		
and	SUB	36.9	2.17	22.68	11.38	3.05	23.57	12.38		
ΟĽ	TOTAL									
tal	Field A	14.8	17.60	16.07	20.73	18.58	16.54	20.82		
L0	Field B	17.5	16.43	33.11	27.81	17.83	34.09	28.55		
	Field D	4.6	6.34	8.18	7.55	6.81	8.51	7.79		
	TOTAL	36.9	40.37	57.37	56.08	43.23	59.15	57.15		

Table 10

BelGioioso Cheese Inc. – Freedom, WI Water Quality Trading Plan Rev 1 – July 24, 2019



For example, in 2019 for Field B phosphorus Total: PTP Assuming Crop Rotation Continues: 24.68 lbs P/yr (from Table 4) PTP Assuming Permanent Vegetative Cover: 4.96 lbs P/yr (from Table 6) *Difference: 19.72 lb P/yr (24.68-4.96)* Trade ratio: 1.2:1 (from Section 4.2) PTP including Trade Ratio: 16.43 lbs P/yr (19.72/1.2)

	SnapPlus Interim PTTSS (tons/year) - (trade ratio of 1.2 applied)									
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		
	Field A	14.8	0.000	23.157	28.990	28.666	23.362	29.058		
σ	Field B	17.5	0.000	0.000	79.907	79.013	64.395	80.094		
an	Field D	4.6	6.452	5.305	6.641	6.567	5.352	6.657		
o I pp	SUB	36.9	6.452	28.462	115.538	114.246	93.109	115.809		
ΖΨ	TOTAL									
	Field A	14.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
dd	Field B	17.5	0.074	1.466	0.549	0.073	1.465	0.548		
d A '	Field D	4.6	0.006	0.122	0.046	0.006	0.122	0.046		
and	SUB	36.9	0.080	1.588	0.594	0.079	1.587	0.594		
0 F	TOTAL									
tal	Field A	14.8	0.000	23.157	28.990	28.666	23.362	29.058		
L0	Field B	17.5	0.000	0.000	80.455	79.086	65.860	80.643		
	Field D	4.6	6.459	5.427	6.687	6.573	5.474	6.702		
	TOTAL	36.9	6.459	28.584	116.132	114.325	94.696	116.403		

For	TSS,	WDN	١R	assu	imes	that	only	109	6 ο	f the	mod	leled	sed	iment	loss	ma	kes	it to	b the	Э
wate	erway	. All	val	ues	in T	able	11 a	re d	irec	t fro	n Sn	apPlı	us. T	o inc	orpor	ate	the	10%	6 ru	le,
Tabl	e 12	sho	WS	the	final	inte	rim P	TTS	S ca	alcula	tions	for	each	n field	l.					

Table	11	



Sr	SnapPlus Final Interim PTTSS (ton/year) - (trade ratio and 10% rule applied)										
		Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024			
	Field A	14.8	0.000	2.316	2.899	2.867	2.336	2.906			
σ	Field B	17.5	0.000	0.000	7.991	7.901	6.440	8.009			
an	Field D	4.6	0.645	0.531	0.664	0.657	0.535	0.666			
o L pp	SUB	36.9									
ZA	TOTAL		0.645	2.846	11.554	11.425	9.311	11.581			
	Field A	14.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
dd	Field B	17.5	0.007	0.147	0.055	0.007	0.147	0.055			
d A '	Field D	4.6	0.001	0.012	0.005	0.001	0.012	0.005			
and	SUB	36.9									
10	TOTAL		0.008	0.159	0.059	0.008	0.159	0.059			
tal	Field A	14.8	0.000	2.316	2.899	2.867	2.336	2.906			
To	Field B	17.5	0.000	0.000	8.046	7.909	6.586	8.064			
	Field D	4.6	0.646	0.543	0.669	0.657	0.547	0.670			
	TOTAL	36.9	0.646	2.858	11.613	11.433	9.470	11.640			

Table 17

For example, in 2019 for Field D TSS Total:

PTTSS Assuming Crop Rotation Continues: 7.912 tons TSS/yr (from Table 5) PTTSS Assuming Permanent Vegetative Cover: 0.161 tons TSS/yr (from Table 7) Difference: 7.751 tons TSS/yr (7.912 - 0.161) (from Table 9) Trade ratio: 1.2:1 (from Section 4.2) PTTSS Using Trade Ratio: 6.459 tons TSS/yr (7.751/1.2) (from Table 11) Percent of Modeled TSS to Waterway: 10% Final PTTSS: 0.646 tons TSS/yr (6.459\*0.1)

The same math applies for credits based on land application. The sum of the credits based on land application and those based on fertilizer or manure application is the total credits generated on site.

Planting of the permanent prairie was completed in June 2018. Full establishment of the prairie was expected by mid-September 2018. Construction of the wastewater treatment plant is expected in the summer of 2019. Because the prairie was fully established in 2018, no proration of credits is necessary for 2019. Interim credit for TP and TSS are summarized in Table 13 below. Values for PTTSS are in lbs/yr for ease of input into the WPDES permit rather than in tons/yr as they appear in Table 12.

I adie 13								
Total Interim PTP and PTTSS (lbs/yr)								
Interim Credits	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023*	2024*		
PTP [lbs/yr]	40.37	57.37	56.08	43.23	59.15	57.15		
PTTSS [lbs/yr]	1,292	5,717	23,226	22,865	18,939	23,281		

\*Credits will be either interim or long term in 2023 and 2024 depending on the permit issuance schedule. Interim and long-term credits are not generated in the same year.



# 6 Long-Term Credit Generation Calculation

For long-term credits, each field must meet the TMDL credits threshold so credits must be calculated on a field-by-field basis rather than considering the whole farm. These credits will not apply until 5 years from the issuance of the permit, but are included in this report for clarity of long-term compliance needs. Field-specific calculations are included in Attachment H. Table 14 below summarizes the total long term PTP/PTTSS credits calculated.

Total Long-Term PTP and PTTSS (lbs/yr)							
Long-Term Credits	2023*	2024*	2025	2026	2027	2028	
PTP [lbs/yr]	6.09	6.22	6.30	6.34	6.37	6.38	
PTTSS [lbs/yr]	164	172	177	179	181	181	

Table 14

\*Credits will be either interim or long term in 2023 and 2024 depending on the permit issuance schedule. Interim and long term credits are not generated in the same year.

# 7 Land Application Nutrient Management Plans

In an effort to track phosphorus & TSS throughout the entire trade, nutrient management plans will be completed for fields where industrial liquid waste or WWTP sludge is land applied. These plans model the original phosphorus & TSS non-point load to surface waters before and after land application of wastes from BelGioioso, showing that land application does not increase phosphorus or TSS loads to surface waters.

To establish a non-point phosphorus & TSS load baselines for land application in this Water Quality Trading Report, eight (8) likely fields for land application have been modeled using SnapPlus. These fields were used for land application of raw wastewaters at an assumed rate of 20,133 gallons/acre/year. This assumed rate is an average of the total land applied volumes from BelGioioso Freedom in 2016 and 2017.

Assumptions were made based on cropping information available on CropScape, assumed soil sample results of 101 ppm P, and usual farming practices. These assumptions may overestimate baseline phosphorus and TSS loss from the fields. The nutrient management plans for these fields will be completed along with the Land Application Management Plan as required by the WPDES permit once this information is available, and may result in less phosphorus & TSS loss than estimated in Tables 15 & 16 below. The completed nutrient management plans will be shared with the respective landowner(s).



Lane	Lanu Application rields - Potentiat Tradeable Phosphorus baseline (lbs P/y)									
BelGioioso Field Name	Verhasselt Field Name	Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023			
DR/3	DR/3	70	406	270	135	391	257			
DR/4	DR/4	81.8	393	261	130	378	248			
JV/1	HF1-1	34	77	74	38	73	69			
JV/2	HF1-2	32	90	76	38	85	71			
	HF1-5	8	13	14	7	12	13			
JV/3	HF1-3	39	109	92	46	104	87			
	HF1-4	25	70	59	30	67	55			
JV/4	HF2-4	17.8	60	51	26	57	48			
	HF2-5	45.5	218	145	72	210	138			
	HF2-6	38.7	88	84	43	83	79			
JV/5	HF2-1	43	97	93	48	92	88			
	HF2-2	57	331	220	110	318	209			
	HF2-3	15	87	58	29	84	55			
WS/1	WS/1	115	362	347	178	343	326			
	TOTAL	621.5	2,402	1,843	930	2,298	1,743			

Table 15 Land Application Fields – Potential Tradeable Phosphorus Baseline (lbs P/vr)

Table 16

Land Application Fields – Potential Tradeable TSS Baseline (lbs TSS/yr)

BelGioioso Field Name	Verhasselt Field Name	Acres	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
DR/3	DR/3	70	8,029	3,921	2,079	8,080	3,951
DR/4	DR/4	81.8	5,177	2,528	1,341	5,209	2,547
JV/1	HF1-1	34	690	420	215	695	424
JV/2	HF1-2	32	1,389	713	373	1,399	719
	HF1-5	8	1,693	869	454	1,705	876
JV/3	HF1-3	39	1,085	557	291	1,093	561
	HF1-4	25	104	64	33	105	65
JV/4	HF2-4	17.8	873	532	272	879	536
	HF2-5	45.5	6,538	3,193	1,693	6,579	3,217
	HF2-6	38.7	1,721	840	446	1,731	847
JV/5	HF2-1	43	1,229	631	330	1,238	636
	HF2-2	57	2,879	1,406	746	2,898	1,417
	HF2-3	15	786	478	244	791	482
WS/1	WS/1	115	8,809	5,364	2,739	8,869	5,406
	TOTAL	621.5	41,003	21,517	11,256	41,269	21,683

Figure 2 below shows the approximate location of these land application fields in relation to the trade fields/Outfall. Figures 3, 4 and 5 are closer views of the location of the land application fields.

BelGioioso Cheese Inc. – Freedom, WI Water Quality Trading Plan Rev 1 – July 24, 2019



Figure 2 Location of Land Application Fields and Outfall/Trade Fields



Figure 3 Location of Land Application Fields



BelGioioso Cheese Inc. – Freedom, WI Water Quality Trading Plan Rev 1 – July 24, 2019



Figure 4 Location of Land Application Fields



Figure 5 Location of Land Application Fields





Since phosphorus and TSS do not have natural gaseous forms, all phosphorus and TSS that enter the WWTP will either need to leave in the treated wastewater or through the sludge byproduct. Table 17 below is a rough mass balance table for phosphorus and TSS before and after WWTP construction and phosphorus trading.

Approximate Average mass balance	e(DS/aC/yr)	
	Phosphorus (lb/yr)	TSS (lbs/yr)
CURRENT		
Raw Wastewater to Land Application <sup>a</sup>	2,749	45,817
AFTER WWTP		
Discharge from WWTP to Stream	44.02 <sup>b</sup>	733.628°
Credits Generated from Trade (average from	-52.23	-129.554
2019-2024 before trade ratio)		
Biosolids from WWTP applied as land application <sup>a</sup>	2,705	45,083

Table 17						
Approximate	Average	Mass	Balance	(lbs/ac/	yr)	

 $^{\rm a}Note$  that this mass is what is land applied and NOT the load to surface waters  $^{\rm b}Assumes$  a discharge of 0.06 mg/L  $^{\rm c}Assumes$  a discharge of 1 mg/L

# 8 Management Practice Description

# 8.1 Installation Plan

An Establishment Plan has been developed by Carl Korfmacher of Midwest Prairies and has been included as Attachment I. The plan outlines what soil preparation, seed mix, erosion control measures, and other measures are required to install the native prairie consistent with NRCS Technical Standard 327. The seed mix includes all native grasses and sedges. The plan is specific to each field and a map is included. The plan outlines other activities that may or may not be required to establish the prairie during the first couple of months.

# 8.2 Operation and Maintenance Plan

A separate operations plan was also prepared by Carl Korfmacher of Midwest Prairies and has been included as Attachment J. This plan outlines regular maintenance requirements to keep the prairie healthy. It also includes other irregular activities that may be required after inspections by a prairie expert.



# 9 Timeline

# 9.1 Schedule for Construction and Initial Operation of WWTP

BelGioioso will begin constructing the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Plant in the Spring of 2019. Estimated start-up date and discharge of treated wastewater in accordance with Outfall 001 of their WPDES permit will occur in the Summer of 2019.

# 9.2 Schedule for Installation of Permanent Vegetative Practice

Date	Action
June 2018	Initial Planting of prairie (including cover crop)
July 2018	First inspection (one month after planting)
August 2018	Germination of all seed
August thru Nov 2018	Mowing and herbicide application as needed for weed control
August 2018	Second inspection
September 2018	Prairie established (bare spots greater than 100 yd <sup>2</sup> will be reseeded)
September 2018	BelGioioso will follow the Operation and Maintenance plan after this date

Between the time of the original submittal and this plan revision, BelGioioso planted the permanent vegetation as described in this plan in order to ensure that, if approved, credits would be available for use by the Summer of 2019.

# 10 Inspections and Reporting

# 10.1 Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration

WDNR Practice Registration Form 3400-207 for Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration ("Practice Registration Form") has been included in Attachment G.

# 10.2 Monthly Certification

Each month, BelGioioso will inspect the Fields generating the phosphorus and TSS reduction credits to confirm continued cover of the permanent vegetative management practices. If during these inspections any attention is needed to the permanent vegetative management practice, the issue will be addressed immediately. Any photos taken during these inspections can be used to supplement the annual inspections described further in Section 10.3. If larger issues are encountered, BelGioioso will have their prairie expert out to the site to address any issues observed. This may include regrading and reseeding if necessary. BelGioioso will also reach out to WDNR within 24 hours of becoming aware of the issue. Follow-up documentation explaining the issue and prevention of future issues will be documented if requested by WDNR.



Each month, BelGioioso shall also certify that the permanent vegetative cover management practice installed to generate TP and TSS reduction credits is operated and maintained in a manner consistent with that specified in this Water Quality Trading Plan or a statement noting noncompliance with this Plan. A certification of compliance may be made by including the following statement as a comment on the monthly discharge monitoring report (DMR):

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the management practice identified in the approved water quality trading plan as the source of phosphorus and TSS reduction credits is installed, established and properly maintained.

Usage and reporting of phosphorus and TSS credits will also occur on a monthly basis and be submitted on the DMRs.

# 10.3<u>Annual Inspections</u>

Once per year, BelGioioso's prairie restoration consultant will inspect the fields generating the TP and TSS reduction credits to confirm implementation of the permanent vegetative cover management practice and that the management practice is being appropriately maintained. This annual inspection shall occur between mid-August and mid-September each year and shall include at least one photograph of each of the Fields; one overall site photo, and one close-up photo of a representative area of the field.

# 10.4 Notification of Problems with Management Practice

In accordance with the Operation and Maintenance Plan, BelGioioso will notify the regional WDNR wastewater compliance staff verbally within 24 hours of becoming aware that the phosphorus reduction credits used or intended for use by BelGioioso are not being implemented or generated as set forth in this Water Quality Trading Plan. Additionally, WDNR within five (5) days of becoming aware of noncompliance, written notification will be provided to the regional WDNR wastewater compliance staff. Both notifications will include the nature of the noncompliance, a description of how the issues will be addressed, and an appropriate timeline to address the issues. BelGioioso shall work to rectify such problems in accordance with the Operation and Maintenance Plan.

# 10.5 Annual Water Quality Trading Report

BelGioioso shall report to WDNR by January 31 of each year the following:

- The number of TP and TSS reduction credits (lbs/month) used each month of the previous year to demonstrate compliance;
- Photographs from the annual inspection of the permanent vegetative cover management practice that generated the TP and TSS reduction credits used during the previous years;



- Identification of noncompliance or failure to implement any terms or conditions WPDES permit WI-0066176-01-0 with respect to water quality trading that have not been reported in discharge monitoring reports; and
- SnapPlus Nutrient Management Plan report of all fields receiving land applied waste (industrial liquid waste or WWTP sludge) will be submitted to WDNR annually to demonstrate that there has not been overloading of any field as a result of this trade. Land application management plans will also be reviewed annually to determine whether updates are necessary.

# 10.6 WDNR Right to Inspect the Fields

WDNR has the right to inspect the permanent vegetative cover management practice at any time upon giving reasonable notice to BelGioioso to ensure the management practice is in compliance with the NRCS Technical Standard 327 and the terms of this Plan.

# 11 Compliance with Water Quality Trading Checklist

This Water Quality Trading Plan complies with the Water Quality Trading Checklist in Table 8 set forth at page 37 of the WQT Guidance. BelGioioso's water quality trade must comply with the requirements for Credit Source (e) in Table 8. Credit Source (e) includes sources where "credits are obtained from a construction project or implementation of a plan undertaken by the credit user for sources other than that covered by the credit user's WPDES permit." BelGioioso will be installing permanent vegetative cover on the Fields, which are not currently covered by their WPDES permit.

Below is a list of the elements of a Water Quality Trading plan for credit sources classified as (e) under Table 8 and references the section of this Water Quality Trading Plan in which each element is addressed:

- <u>Permittee's/credit user's WPDES permit number</u>. BelGioioso WPDES permit number is WI-0066176-01-0 and is included in Section 2.1.
- <u>Permittee's/credit user's contact information</u>. BelGioioso contact information is included in Section 12.
- <u>Pollutant(s) for which credits will be generated</u>. Credits will be generated for phosphorus and TSS as discussed in Section 2.1.
- Amount of credits available from each location/management\_practice/local governmental unit when acting as a broker. The amount of interim credits generated per year by installing and maintaining permanent vegetative cover on the Fields are set forth in Tables 10 and 12 in Section 5.
- <u>Certification that the content of the trading application is accurate and correct</u>. Certification that the content of this trading application is accurate and correct is included in Section 12.



- Signature and date of signature of permittee's/credit user's authorized representative. BelGioioso authorized representative's signature and date of signature is included in Section 12.
- Location(s) where credits will be generated (e.g., map of field or site where management practice will be applied including major drainage way(s) from the project). Maps indicating the location of the Fields and Outfall 001 are included in Section 2.4 and in Attachment B.
- Identification of method(s) including management practice(s) that will be used to generate credits at each location. The management practice applied to the Fields is permanent vegetative cover consistent with NRCS Technical Standard 327 and is explained in Section 8 and Attachments I and J.
- Duration of agreement (e.g., the design life of the management practice) with each <u>credit generator</u>. The design life of the permanent vegetative management practice is perpetual as described in Section 10.
- Schedule for installation/construction of each management practice. The schedule for installation of the permanent vegetative practice is included in Section 9.2.
- <u>Operation and maintenance plan for each management practice used to generate</u> <u>credits</u>. The operation and maintenance plan for the permanent vegetative cover management practice is summarized in Section 8.2 and included in full in Attachment J.
- <u>Date when credits become available for each management practice (i.e., when practice is established and effective)</u>. The date when credits become available is September 1, 2018 and is referenced in Section 9.
- <u>Model(s) used to derive the amount of credits</u>. The model used to derive the amount of credits is SnapPlus V2 version 18..1, build 19015.1024 as referenced in Section 3.3.
- The applicable trade ratio for each for each management practice including supporting technical basis (see Table 4 on p. 20 of WQT Guidance). The applicable trade ratio is 1.2:1 and the technical basis and calculation of the trade ratio is included in Section 4.

BelGioioso Cheese Inc. – Freedom, WI Water Quality Trading Plan Rev 1 – July 24, 2019



# 12 Certification of Water Quality Trade Report

The undersigned hereby certifies that this Water Quality Trade Report is, to the best of his knowledge, accurate and correct.

BELGIOIOSÓ CHEESE INC - Freedom, WI. By: Sustavo Badino

920-863-2123 4200 Main Street Green Bay, WI 54311

# ATTACHMENT A

Notice of Intent (NOI) to Conduct Water Quality Trading



State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 101 South Webster Street Madison WI 53707-7921 dnr.wi.gov

Notice: Pursuant to s. 263.84, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 217 Wis. Adm. Gode, this form must be completed by any WPDES permittee that is using water quality trading as a method of complying with a permit limitation. Failure to complete this form would not result in penalties. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.).

		the second se					
Applicant Inform	nation	Demit Number			Facility Site Number		WINDS - F
Permittee Name							
BelGioioso Che	ese inc	W1- 0001/0-01-	·V	City		State	ZIP Code
Facility Address				Kanka	una	WI	54130
N4056 Vine Ro	ad an	6 deline		City		State	ZIP Code
Project Contact Name (If applicable) Address			e Suite 120 Brookfield		WI	53005	
Lynn Morrison	- Probst Group	1 /USD W. WISCONSIN AV	o Bune 120	DIOOK			
Project Name	dom Nov. W/W/TD						
BeiGioloso Free	dom new wwir	aremeter/e) being traded		H	UC 12(s)		
Duck Crock		otal Phosphorus Total S	Suspended Soli	ds 0	40302040104		
DUCK CIECK		Our I hospitor day Your		oint sou	rce dominated		
is the permittee in	a point or nonpoint &	ource commated watersne whowic/surfacewater/onesto	ntmî) 🍙 N		source dominated		
(See PRESTO les	suns - <u>Inderson avieg</u> e	ALCONOL: CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		onponie	Opdies apriliated		
Credit Generato	r Information	Permitted Discharge (no	m-MS4/CAFO)	Urb	an nonpoint source discl	narge	
outour deserator (	Atha (serent an mar [						
ahhili	L						
		Permitted CAFO	Parath Original		er - Specity		
Are any of the cre	edit generators in a di	fferent HUC 12 than the ap	plicant? O Ye	s; HUC	12:		
			🖲 No				
			🔿 Un	sure			
Are any of the cre	edit generators down	stream of the applicant?	() Ye	\$			
The day of the ore	9		No No				
			Ő IIn	sure			
		Cobarta tradeo		e Name			
Will a broker/exchange be used to facilitate trade?							
			() No	1			
			O Un	SULL			A REAL PROPERTY AND
Point to Point T	rades (Traditional I	Municipal / Industrial Dis	charge, MS4, C	(AFO)	is the point of	11000 0	redit generat
Discharge Type	Permit Number	Name	Contact Ad	dress	currently in co permit require	mpliar	ice with their ?
		and a second		, j	Ő Yes		
() Traditional		1			O No		
O MS4		2			O Unsure		
CAFO							
() Traditional							
Ō MS4							
O CAFO						1.0	
O Treadition of					OYes		
					O No		
UUAFU					O Yes		
<b>Traditional</b>	1				O No		
Q MS4							
O CAFO							
					O Yes		
MS4							
					O Unsure		
		A second s			and the second se		

Point to Nonpoint Trades (Non-permitted Agricultural, Non-Permitted Urban, etc.) List the practices that will be used to generate credits:

Conservation Easement (natural prairie restoration) with Parcel 09004000 and portions of Parcel 090039800 in Outagamie County in the Town of Freedom, WI owned by BelGioioso Cheese Inc.

Method for quantifying credits generated:	Monitoring Modeling, Names: SnapPlus V2 18.1 Other:	
Projected date credits will be available:	09/01/2018	
The preparer certifies all of the followi	ng:	
<ul> <li>I am familiar with the specifications su addressed.</li> <li>I have completed this document to the</li> </ul>	bmitted for this application, and I believe all best of my knowledge and have not exclud	applicable items in this checklist have been ed pertiment information.
Signature of Preparer		Date Sighed 7 (30/2019
Authorized Representative Signature		
I cartify under penalty of law that this docu inquiry of those persons directly responsite and belief, accurate and complete. I am an possibility of fine and imprisonment for known	ment and all attachments were prepared un ble for gathering and entering the information ware that there are significant penalties for s owing violations.	der my direction or supervision. Based on my h, the information is, to the best of my knowledge submitting false information, including the
Signature of Authorized Representative	~>	Date Signed 07/30/18
	>	

# ATTACHMENT B

Watershed, Subwatershed, and Field Maps












BelGioioso Freedom Trade Fields Farm: BelGioioso Freedom, V18 Generated:3/1/2019, Crop year: 2019, Township Range Section:22N 18E s15



E	Counties	$\boxtimes$	Not farmed
	]Township/Range	X	Grass filter
C	Areas contributing runoff to direct conduits to groundwater	×	Vegetated b
	Nutrient prohibited areas (buffers vary by feature)	X	Non-metallie
	Nutrient prohibited areas (drawn manure prohibited areas)		Water
	Grassed waterway	X	Sinkhole/oth
	Non-eroding channel	X	Other
	Ephemeral erosion channel	A	Municipal w
	Ditch	Δ	County Defi
	Gully		Fields
C	Headland stacks	: س	Drinking We

area buffer ic mine ther karst feature vells fined Karst Features - Drinking Well

- Public well
   Irrigation well
   Sinkhole 2 Non-metallic mine Fractured bedrock at surface Other direct conduit
  - Tile outlet

## **Converted Acres for WQT:**

- Field A = 14.8 acres Field B = 17.5 acres
- Field D = 4.6 acres
- TOTAL = 36.9 acres



**Conservation Service** 

MAP LI	EGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	<ul><li>Spoil Area</li><li>Stony Spot</li></ul>	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.
Area of Interest (AOI)SoilsSoil Map Unit PolygonsSoil Map Unit PolygonsSoil Map Unit PointsSpecial Point FeaturesImage: BlowoutImage: Blowout <td><ul> <li>Stony Spot</li> <li>Stony Spot</li> <li>Very Stony Spot</li> <li>Wet Spot</li> <li>Other</li> <li>Special Line Features</li> <li>Water Features</li> <li>Streams and Canals</li> <li>Transportation</li> <li>Rails</li> <li>Interstate Highways</li> <li>US Routes</li> <li>Local Roads</li> <li>Local Roads</li> <li>Eackground</li> <li>Aerial Photography</li> </ul></td> <td><ul> <li>Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.</li> <li>Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.</li> <li>Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.</li> <li>Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)</li> <li>Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.</li> <li>This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.</li> <li>Soil Survey Area: Outagamic County, Wisconsin Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 11, 2018</li> <li>Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.</li> <li>Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Dec 31, 2009—Oct 31, 2016</li> <li>The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background</li> </ul></td>	<ul> <li>Stony Spot</li> <li>Stony Spot</li> <li>Very Stony Spot</li> <li>Wet Spot</li> <li>Other</li> <li>Special Line Features</li> <li>Water Features</li> <li>Streams and Canals</li> <li>Transportation</li> <li>Rails</li> <li>Interstate Highways</li> <li>US Routes</li> <li>Local Roads</li> <li>Local Roads</li> <li>Eackground</li> <li>Aerial Photography</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.</li> <li>Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.</li> <li>Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.</li> <li>Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)</li> <li>Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.</li> <li>This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.</li> <li>Soil Survey Area: Outagamic County, Wisconsin Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 11, 2018</li> <li>Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.</li> <li>Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Dec 31, 2009—Oct 31, 2016</li> <li>The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Sinkhole</li> <li>Slide or Slip</li> <li>Sodic Spot</li> </ul>		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Fu	Fluvaquents	1.0	2.2%
HrB	Hortonville silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	2.0	4.4%
McA	Manawa silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	4.1	8.9%
MuA	Mundelein silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	37.9	82.3%
Pf	Poy silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.6	1.2%
SyA	Symco silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.5	1.1%
Totals for Area of Interest		46.0	100.0%



## BelGioioso Freedom Trade Fields Upstream of Discharge



## PRESTO-Lite Watershed Delineation Report



Avg. Annual Nonpoint Phosphorous Load (80% Confidence Interval)	28,105 (11,228 - 70,351) lbs
Number of Facilities (Individual Facility Information below)	0
Avg. Annual Point-source Phosphorous Load (2010 - 2012 total of all facilities)	Olbs
Most Likely Point : Nonpoint Phosphorous Ratio	0% : 100%
Low Estimate Point : Nonpoint Phosphorous Ratio (Adaptive Management)	0% : 100%

## Adaptive Management Results

Facilities Discharging to the Middle Duc	Avg. Phosphorus			
Facility Name	Permit # Outfall #	Waste Type	Receiving Water	Load (lbs.) (2010 - 2012)
No Facilities Found		-	-	-

PRESTO-Lite Watershed Delineation Report - 2/27/2019 11:44

## Watershed Analysis Limitations

- This analysis relies on pre-defined catchments from the Wisconsin Hydrography Data-Plus and may not delineate from the exact location required. When assessing phosphorus loads for specific facility in support of efforts such as adaptive management, care should be taken to ensure that additional downstream point sources do not exist. For adaptive management information related to specific facilities please reference the PRESTO website <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/presto.html">http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/presto.html</a>
- Delineation of watersheds is based on a topographic assessment and therefore do not account for modified drainage networks such as stormwater sewer systems and ditched agriculture.
- If a watershed requires delineation from an exact location the user may use the desktop version of PRESTO that requires ESRI ArcGIS. The PRESTO tool and default datasets can be downloaded at <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/presto.html</u>
- Data sources for this report originate from the WDNR's Wisconsin Hydrography Data-Plus value-added dataset and the point and non-point source loading information including in the WDNR's PRESTO model.
- If you have questions about the report generated from the PRESTO-Lite application please contact: <u>DNRWATERQUALITYMODELING@wisconsin.gov</u>

## ATTACHMENT C

**Existing Farming Practices Questionnaire** 





























17035 W. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 120 Brookfield, WI 53005 Phone: (262) 264-5665 Web: probstgroup.com

# WATER QUALITY TRADING - FIELD QUESTIONAIRE

Date: June 13, 2017 (Rev 1 November 13, 2017)

BelGioioso owns 3 parcels in Outagamie County, WI near the Freedom production facility for a total of 124.5 Acres:

- 090040000 18.67 Acres
- 090039800 70.08 Acres
- 090039900 35.75 Acres





The potentially viable land to be used for Water Quality Trading is shown on the map below along with the potentially tradeable acres. BelGioioso owns a total of approximately 96 potentially tradeable acres.

- 09004000 (14.8 Acres Potentially Eligible of 18.67 Total Acres)
  - o A 14.8 Acres
- 090039800 (59.9 Acres Potentially Eligible of 70.08 Total Acres)
  - o B 17.5 Acres
  - o C 22.5 Acres
  - o D 4.6 Acres
  - o E 15.3 Acres
- 090039900 (10.7 Acres Potentially Eligible of 35.75 Total Acres)
  - o F 10.7 Acres



Several variables can impact the acreage required for trading. An increase in acreage converted to protective practices (prairie restoration, waterway setbacks, grassed waterways, etc) results in an increase in operational flexibility to ensure compliance with the final phosphorus limit. Based on preliminary calculations, Freedom could need 60-100 acres. This range can be narrowed significantly with site specific phosphorus loss calculations that will be completed using the data you provide in the table below.



Field	А	В	С	D	E	F
Nutrient Management Plan available?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2016 crop & estimated yield	CORN 180 BUSH	CORN 180 BUSH	GRASS	CORN 180 BUSH	CORN 180 BUSH	CORN 180 BUSH
2015 crop & estimated yield	SOY 40-50 BUSH	SOY 40-50 BUSH	GRASS	SOY 40-50 BUSH	SOY 40-50 BUSH	SOY 40-50 BUSH
2014 crop & estimated yield	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2016 fertilizer (incl. quantity)	NONE	NONE	SPRING 150# urea 100# potash 100# phosphate	NONE	NONE	NONE
2015 fertilizer (incl. quantity)	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
2014 fertilizer (incl. quantity)	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
2016 manure quantity	SPRING 11,000	SPRING 11,000	N/A	SPRING 11,000	SPRING 11,000	SPRING 11,000
2015 manure quantity	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
2014 manure quantity	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
ls manure incorporated?	YES INJECTED	YES INJECTED	N/A	YES INJECTED	YES INJECTED	YES INJECTED
Irrigated?	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
2016 tilling <sup>1</sup>	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED
2015 tilling <sup>1</sup>	SPRING CHISELED	SPRING CHISELED	SPRING CHISELED	SPRING CHISELED	SPRING CHISELED	SPRING CHISELED
2014 tilling <sup>1</sup>	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED	FALL CHISELED

<sup>1</sup>Choose one of the following:

- Fall chiseled, disked
- Fall chiseled, no disked
- Fall cultivated
- Fall MB Plow
- Fall vertical tillage
- No Till
- Spring chiseled, diskedSpring chiseled, no
  - Spring chiseled, no disked

- Spring cultivated
- Spring MB Plow
- Spring vertical tillage

# ATTACHMENT D Soil Sampling Results



## Attachment D Soil Sample Results Summary

	Lab Sample	Sample	Farm	Field		Plow	Soil Sample				
Lab ID	ID	Date	Name	Name	Size	Depth	ID	РН	ОМ	Р	К
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	А	18.7	7	1	7.9	4	71	216
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	А	18.7	7	2	8	3.1	56	194
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	А	18.7	7	3	7.8	4	60	177
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	В	20.4	7	4	8	2.8	42	182
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	В	20.4	7	5	8.1	2.7	39	197
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	В	20.4	7	6	8.2	2.5	42	171
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	D	5.3	7	7	8	2.9	55	182
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	D	5.3	7	8	8.1	3.3	70	206
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	E	17	7	9	7.8	3.7	93	221
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	E	17	7	10	8	3.4	107	239
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	E	17	7	11	7.9	4	53	203
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	F	12.2	7	12	8.1	3.6	104	251
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	F	12.2	7	13	8.3	3.1	131	256
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3790	6/30/2017	Freedom	F	12.2	7	14	8.1	3.2	82	219

	Lab Sample	Sample	Farm	Field		Plow	Soil Sample				
Lab ID	ID	Date	Name	Name	Size	Depth	ID	PH	ОМ	Р	K
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	А	18.7	7	1	7.9	4.7	100	350
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	А	18.7	7	2	7.8	3.4	54	181
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	А	18.7	7	3				
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	В	20.4	7	4				
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	В	20.4	7	5				
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	В	20.4	7	6				
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	D	5.3	7	7	7.7	2	38	108
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	D	5.3	7	8	7.7	2.8	63	154
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	E	17	7	9	7.6	3.5	34	111
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	E	17	7	10	7.6	3	47	128
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	E	17	7	11	7.6	2.9	96	175
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	F	12.2	7	12	8	3.3	131	304
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	F	12.2	7	13	7.5	3.6	102	237
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	6653	11/16/2017	Freedom	F	12.2	7	14	7.5	1.9	24	94

## Attachment D Soil Sample Results Summary

	Lab Sample	Sample	Farm	Field		Plow	Soil Sample				
Lab ID	ID	Date	Name	Name	Size	Depth	ID	PH	ОМ	Р	K
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	А	18.7	7	1	7.9	4.35	85.5	283
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	А	18.7	7	2	7.9	3.25	55	187.5
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	А	18.7	7	3	7.8	4	60	177
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	В	20.4	7	4	8	2.8	42	182
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	В	20.4	7	5	8.1	2.7	39	197
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	В	20.4	7	6	8.2	2.5	42	171
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	D	5.3	7	7	7.85	2.45	46.5	145
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	D	5.3	7	8	7.9	3.05	66.5	180
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	E	17	7	9	7.7	3.6	63.5	166
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	E	17	7	10	7.8	3.2	77	183.5
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	Е	17	7	11	7.75	3.45	74.5	189
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	F	12.2	7	12	8.05	3.45	117.5	277.5
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	F	12.2	7	13	7.9	3.35	116.5	246.5
Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	NA	Average	Freedom	F	12.2	7	14	7.8	2.55	53	156.5





17035 W. WISCONSIN AVE. SUITE 120 BROOKFIELD, WIS. 53005 TEL: (262) 264-5665 FAX: (262) 436-1359

This document contains information which is the property of The Probst Group, and may not, in whole or in part, be duplicated, disclosed or used for design or manufactuning purposes without the pior written permission of The Probst Group.





PRELIMINARY

## ATTACHMENT E

SnapPlus Modeling Reports (Current)



## NM1: Narrative and Crops Report

Starting Year	2014	P
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	B
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

Farm has 3 fields totalling 36.9 acres Farm Narrative: None

### Annual Farm Notes:

No Annual Farm Notes

Spreader Calibration Methods: No spreader calibration rate documentation has been selected.

## Narrative and Crops:

Field Name	Acres	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
A	14.8	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre
В	17.5	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre
D	4.6	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre

BelGioiosoFreedom

Summary by Crop: NOTE: Yields calculated using the midpoint of the SnapPlus yield goal range for each crop.

Crops Grouped By Category		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Acres bu			37 6,679			37 6,679		
Idle Land	Acres none	37 0			37 0			37 0	
Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Acres bu		37 1,869			37 1,869			37 1,869

## **NM1: Narrative and Crops Report**

Starting Year	2022	P
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	B
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

Farm has 3 fields totalling 36.9 acres Farm Narrative: None

### Annual Farm Notes:

No Annual Farm Notes

Spreader Calibration Methods: No spreader calibration rate documentation has been selected.

## Narrative and Crops:

Field Name	Acres	2022	2023	2024
A	14.8	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre
В	17.5	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre
D	4.6	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre

BelGioiosoFreedom

### 05/07/2019

Summary by Crop: NOTE: Yields calculated using the midpoint of the SnapPlus yield goal range for each crop.

Crops Grouped By Category		2022	2023	2024
Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Acres bu	37 6,679		
Idle Land	Acres none		37 0	
Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Acres bu			37 1,869

## FM6: Soil Test Report

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom
Printed	2019-05-07
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

			Predo	minant				Sam	ples				in ppm		
Field Name	Subfarm	Acres	Soil Map Symbol	Soil Name	Soil Test Date	Soil Test Lab	Lab Number	Rec. #	Actual #	рН	OM%	Р	к	s	CEC
A		14.8	MuA	MUNDELEIN	2018-03-04	Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3791	3	3	7.9	3.9	67	216	0	0
В		17.5	MuA	MUNDELEIN	2018-03-04	Soil and Forage Analysis Lab	3791	3	3	8.1	2.7	41	183	0	0
D		4.6	MuA	MUNDELEIN	2018-03-04	Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3791	1	2	7.9	2.8	57	163	0	0

## **Crop Year Soil Test Needed**

Field Name	Soil Test Date	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
A	2018-03-04					Х		
В	2018-03-04					Х		
D	2018-03-04					Х		

## **FM2: Application Summary Report**

Starting Year	2014	Pr
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	Be
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2	2019-01-15	

pared for: Gioioso Freedom :BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

## Annual Manure Production And Use By Source

Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00

Application Results Reported For Farm All

### BelGioiosoFreedom

SnapPlus Application Summary Report

05/07/2019

Annual Pounds Of Available N, And K2O Applied From Manure Fertilizer.	P2O5 and								
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Produced from Manure (lb)	Ninj	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	
	P2O5	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	
	K2O	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	
Total Available Manure Nutrients Applied (lb)	Ninj P2O5 K2O	0 723 0	0 336 0	4,686 2,422 6,639	0 544 0	0 395 0	4,686 2,430 6,639	0 723 0	
Total Fertilizer Nutrients Applied (lb)	N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	P2O5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	K2O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Crop Removal (lb)	P2O5	0	1,476	2,583	0	1,476	2,583	0	
	K2O	0	2,583	1,845	0	2,583	1,845	0	
Nutrient Balance (Applied - Crop removal, lb)	P2O5	723	-1,140	-161	544	-1,081	-153	723	
	K2O	0	-2,583	4,794	0	-2,583	4,794	0	

## FM2: Application Summary Report

Starting Year	2021	Prep
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	attn:
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

## Annual Manure Production And Use By Source

Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2021	2022	2023	2024
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O)	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0
	Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O)	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17
	Dry Matter (%) Total Value	6 0.00	6 0.00	6 0.00	6 0.00

Application Results Reported For Farm All

### BelGioiosoFreedom

### SnapPlus Application Summary Report

05/07/2019

Annual Pounds Of Available N, And K2O Applied From Manure Fertilizer.					
		2021	2022	2023	2024
Produced from Manure (lb)	Ninj	132	132	132	132
	P2O5	66	66	66	66
	K2O	187	187	187	187
Total Available Manure Nutrients Applied (lb)	Ninj P2O5 K2O	0 395 0	4,686 2,430 6,639	0 723 0	0 395 0
Total Fertilizer Nutrients Applied (lb)	N	0	0	0	0
	P2O5	0	0	0	0
	K2O	0	0	0	0
Total Crop Removal (lb)	P2O5	1,476	2,583	0	1,476
	K2O	2,583	1,845	0	2,583
Nutrient Balance (Applied - Crop removal, lb)	P2O5	-1,081	-153	723	-1,081
	K2O	-2,583	4,794	0	-2,583

## NM4: Manure Tracking Report

Starting Year	2014	Prepar
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	attn:Be
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
On an Dive Manalan, 40.4 hould be	0040 04 45	

red for: ioso Freedom elGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

Acres/ CropYear	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Acres in plan	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9
Acres receiving manure	21.5	21.5	35.5	21.5	21.5	35.5	21.5	21.5

## Annual Manure Production And Use By Source Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00

## **Estimated Livestock Manure Production For 2014**

No Livestock Found

Manure Storage For 2014

BelGioiosoFreedom

SnapPlus Manure Tracking Report

05/07/2019

BelGioiosoFreedom

SnapPlus Manure Tracking Report

05/07/2019

No Storages Found

Spreaders For 2014

No Spreaders Found
## NM4: Manure Tracking Report

Starting Year	2022						
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom						
Printed	2019-05-07						
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05						

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

Acres/ CropYear	2022	2023	2024
Acres in plan	36.9	36.9	36.9
Acres receiving manure	35.5	21.5	21.5

# **Annual Manure Production And Use By Source** Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2022	2023	2024
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0 0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P205-K20) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00

#### **Estimated Livestock Manure Production For 2022**

No Livestock Found

Manure Storage For 2022

SnapPlus Manure Tracking Report

05/07/2019

No Storages Found

Spreaders For 2022

No Spreaders Found

# NM3: Field Data and 590 Assessment Plan

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	Prepa BelGio
Printed	2019-05-07	attn:Be
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

ared for: oioso Freedom BelGioioso Freedom

ւթ

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

#### Field Data: 37 Total Acres Reported.

Field Name	SubF arm	FSA Trct	FSA Fld	Acres	County	Critical Soil Series & Symbol	F. Slp %	F.Slp Len ft	Below Field Slope To Water %	Dist.To Water ft	Contour/ Filters	Irrig	Tiled	Rotation	Tillage	Report Period	Field "T" t/ac	Rot Avg Soil Loss t/ac	SCI	Rot Avg Pl	Soil Test P ppm	Rot P2O5 Bal Ib/ac	P2O5 Bal Target Ib/ac
А				14.8	Outagamie	MANAW A McA	2	250	0 - 2	1001 - 5000	No / No	No	No	IL-Sg7- Cg18	D-SCND- FCD	2014- 2016	3	1.1	0.4	2	67	-44	0
В				17.5	Outagamie	MUNDEL EIN MuA	2	250	0 - 2	301 - 1000	No / No	No	No	IL-Sg7- Cg18	D-SCND- FCD	2014- 2016	5	1.1	0.3	2	41	9	-
D				4.6	Outagamie	HORTO NVILLE HrB	4	200	0 - 2	0 - 300	No / No	No	No	IL-Sg7- Cg18	D-SCND- FCD	2014- 2016	5	3.7	0.1	5	57	11	0

Crop Abbreviation	ons	Tillage Abbreviations						
Abbreviation	Сгор	Abbreviation	Tillage					
Cg18	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	D	Disk					
IL	Idle Land	FCD	Fall Chisel, disked					
Sg7	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	SCND	Spring Chisel, no disk					

Crop Year	2015	Pi				
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	at				
Printed	2019-05-07					
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05					
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15						

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

Soil Test Field Data ppm		Test om	Crop Data				Reco	mmend	ations	F Appli	Planne ication Credit	d s and s	Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs				
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2014 Crop	2015 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	20	24	17	0	24	17	-20
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	20	17	12	0	17	12	-20

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Р	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table
+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive features, however an on-site investigation is needed to identify which restrictions may actually be present.

Crop Year	2016	Pr				
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	att				
Printed	2019-05-07					
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05					
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15						

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

Soil T Field Data ppr			Test om	Crop Data					mmend	ations	l Appli	Planne ication Credits	d s and s	Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs			
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2015 Crop	2016 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	171- 190	Fall Chisel, disked	140 0.05 /MRTN	0	0	132	66	187	-8	66	187
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	171- 190	Fall Chisel, disked	140 0.05 /MRTN	0	15	132	66	187	-8	66	172
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	171- 190	Fall Chisel, disked	140 0.05 /MRTN	0	15	154	82	187	14	82	172

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Р	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table

#### SnapPlus Nutrient Management Report

+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive
	features, however an on-site investigation is needed to
	identify which restrictions may actually be present.

Crop Year	2017	Pi
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	at
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

# Field data: 37 total acres reported.

Field Data			Soil pr	Test om	Crop Data					Recommendations			Planned Applications and Credits			Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs		
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2016 Crop	2017 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Idle Land	0-0	Disk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Idle Land	0-0	Disk	0	0	0	44	27	0	44	27	0	
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Idle Land	0-0	Disk	0	0	0	33	20	0	33	20	0	

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Р	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table
+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive features, however an on-site investigation is needed to identify which restrictions may actually be present.

Crop Year	2018	Р
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	B
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

Field Data		Soil pr	Test om	Crop Data					Recommendations			Planned Applications and Credits			Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs		
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2017 Crop	2018 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O lb/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	0	24	20	0	24	20	0
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	0	17	14	0	17	14	0

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Р	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table
+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive features, however an on-site investigation is needed to identify which restrictions may actually be present.

# WQ1: P Trade Report

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	Prepared for: BelGioioso Ereedom
Printed	2019-05-07	attn:BelGioioso Freedom
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on		

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

The P Trade Report estimates the annual pounds of phosphorus (P) in surface runoff from cropland entering surface waters. These P loss calculations are based on a field's soil test P concentration, crops, tillage, nutrient management practices and estimates of average runoff and sheet and rill erosion for the predominant soil type. Losses from concentrated flow channel or gully erosion with a field are not included in these calculations. Field runoff losses are calculated for each year as **PTP** (lb P/field/yr). Fields are only included if there are at least 2 years of crops before the selected start year. Before using this report as part of a Water Quality Trade activity, phosphorus losses (PTP) must be converted into 'P credits' according to DNR guidance.

**Questions?** Please contact DNRphosphorus@wisconsin.gov

For more information go to http://dnr.wi.gov/ and type keyword: Water Quality Trading

This report was developed for Wisconsin DNR Water Quality Trading and Adaptive Management purposes and cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with NR 151 or NRCS 590 NM plan requirements.

P Trade Report				РТР										
Field Name	Soil Series	Soil Symbol	Acres	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
A	MUNDELEIN	MuA	15	28	25	30	27	24	29	26	24	29	26	24
В	MUNDELEIN	MuA	18	25	39	37	25	44	37	25	44	38	26	45
D	MUNDELEIN	MuA	5	10	10	10	9	11	10	10	12	11	10	12
Total			37	63	74	77	61	80	77	61	80	77	61	80

PTP									
2027	2028								
29	26								
38	26								
11	10								
78	62								

# SL2: Annual Soil Loss Report

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Current.snapDb

									Annual Soil Loss (t/ac)		
Field	Soil Series & Symbol (critical)	Slope	Slope Len	Contour/ Filters	Rotation	Tillage	Field "T" t/ac/yr	Rot Avg Soil Loss t/ac/yr	2014	2015	2016
А	MANAWA McA	2	250	No / No	IL-Sg7-Cg18	D-SCND-FCD	3	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.2
В	MUNDELEIN MuA	2	250	No / No	IL-Sg7-Cg18	D-SCND-FCD	5	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.2
D	HORTONVILLE HrB	4	200	No / No	IL-Sg7-Cg18	D-SCND-FCD	5	3.7	3.0	3.9	4.1

\* This column shows estimated sediment delivery through a **designed** field edge grass filter area when that field management option is selected.

Crop Abbreviatio	ons	Tillage Abbreviations			
Abbreviation	Сгор	Abbreviation	Tillage		
Cg18	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	D	Disk		
IL	Idle Land	FCD	Fall Chisel, disked		
Sg7	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	SCND	Spring Chisel, no disk		

# ATTACHMENT F

SnapPlus Modeling Reports (Prairie)



## **NM1: Narrative and Crops Report**

Starting Year	2014	P
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	B
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

Farm has 3 fields totalling 36.9 acres Farm Narrative: None

#### Annual Farm Notes:

No Annual Farm Notes

Spreader Calibration Methods: No spreader calibration rate documentation has been selected.

#### Narrative and Crops:

Field Name	Acres	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
A	14.8	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre
В	17.5	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre
D	4.6	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Soybeans 7-10 inch row Spring Chisel, no disk 46-55 bu/acre	Corn grain, 18 inch rows Fall Chisel, disked 171-190 bu/acre	Idle Land Disk 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre

Summary by Crop: NOTE: Yields calculated using the midpoint of the SnapPlus yield goal range for each crop.

Crops Grouped By Category		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Acres bu			37 6,679					
Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	Acres none					37 0	37 0	37 0	37 0
Idle Land	Acres none	37 0			37 0				
Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Acres bu		37 1,869						

### NM1: Narrative and Crops Report

Starting Year	2022	P
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	B at
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

Farm has 3 fields totalling 36.9 acres Farm Narrative: None

#### Annual Farm Notes:

No Annual Farm Notes

Spreader Calibration Methods: No spreader calibration rate documentation has been selected.

#### Narrative and Crops:

Field Name	Acres	2022	2023	2024
A	14.8	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre
В	17.5	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre
D	4.6	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested None 0-0 none/acre

#### SnapPlus Narrative and Crops Report

#### 05/07/2019

Summary by Crop: NOTE: Yields calculated using the midpoint of the SnapPlus yield goal range for each crop.

Crops Grouped By Category		2022	2023	2024
Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	Acres none	37 0	37 0	37 0

# FM6: Soil Test Report

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom
Printed	2019-05-07
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

			Predo	minant				Sam	ples				in ppm		
Field Name	Subfarm	Acres	Soil Map Symbol	Soil Name	Soil Test Date	Soil Test Lab	Lab Number	Rec. #	Actual #	рН	OM%	Р	к	s	CEC
A		14.8	MuA	MUNDELEIN	2018-03-04	Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3791	3	3	7.9	3.9	67	216	0	0
В		17.5	MuA	MUNDELEIN	2018-03-04	Soil and Forage Analysis Lab	3791	3	3	8.1	2.7	41	183	0	0
D		4.6	MuA	MUNDELEIN	2018-03-04	Soil & Forage Analysis Lab	3791	1	2	7.9	2.8	57	163	0	0

### **Crop Year Soil Test Needed**

Field Name	Soil Test Date	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
А	2018-03-04					Х		
В	2018-03-04					Х		
D	2018-03-04					Х		

# **FM2: Application Summary Report**

Starting Year	2014	Pre
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	Bel
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2	2019-01-15	

pared for: Gioioso Freedom :BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Annual Manure Production And Use By Source

Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O)	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0
	Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%)	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17 6	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6
	Total Value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Application Results Reported For Farm All

SnapPlus Application Summary Report

Chapman Application Cummary Report								
Annual Pounds Of Available N, And K2O Applied From Manure Fertilizer.								
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Produced from Manure (lb)	Ninj	132	132	132	132	132	132	132
	P2O5	66	66	66	66	66	66	66
	K2O	187	187	187	187	187	187	187
Total Available Manure Nutrients Applied (lb)	Ninj P2O5 K2O	0 723 0	0 336 0	4,686 2,422 6,639	0 544 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Fertilizer Nutrients Applied (lb)	N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	P2O5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	K2O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crop Removal (lb)	P2O5	0	1,476	2,583	0	0	0	0
	K2O	0	2,583	1,845	0	0	0	0
Nutrient Balance (Applied - Crop removal, lb)	P2O5	723	-1,140	-161	544	0	0	0
	K2O	0	-2,583	4,794	0	0	0	0

# FM2: Application Summary Report

Starting Year	2021	Prepa
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	BelGi attn:E
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Annual Manure Production And Use By Source

Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2021	2022	2023	2024
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O)	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0
	Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O)	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17
	Dry Matter (%) Total Value	6 0.00	6 0.00	6 0.00	6 0.00

Application Results Reported For Farm All

#### SnapPlus Application Summary Report

				,	
Annual Pounds Of Available N, And K2O Applied From Manure Fertilizer.					
		2021	2022	2023	2024
Produced from Manure (lb)	Ninj	132	132	132	132
	P2O5	66	66	66	66
	K2O	187	187	187	187
Total Available Manure Nutrients Applied (lb)	Ninj P2O5 K2O	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Fertilizer Nutrients Applied (lb)	N	0	0	0	0
	P2O5	0	0	0	0
	K2O	0	0	0	0
Total Crop Removal (lb)	P2O5	0	0	0	0
	K2O	0	0	0	0
Nutrient Balance (Applied - Crop removal, Ib)	P2O5	0	0	0	0
	K2O	0	0	0	0

# NM4: Manure Tracking Report

Starting Year	2014	Prepa
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	attn:B
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05	
SpanBlue Version, 19.1 built on	2010 01 15	

ared for: ioioso Freedom BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

Acres/ CropYear	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Acres in plan	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9	36.9
Acres receiving manure	21.5	21.5	35.5	21.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

# Annual Manure Production And Use By Source Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P205-K20)	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 487,328 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 107,800 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 892,100 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0	0 0 - 1/0/0-1-0
	Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	11,000 0 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 390,500 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00

### **Estimated Livestock Manure Production For 2014**

No Livestock Found

Manure Storage For 2014

SnapPlus Manure Tracking Report

SnapPlus Manure Tracking Report

05/07/2019

No Storages Found

Spreaders For 2014

No Spreaders Found

## NM4: Manure Tracking Report

Starting Year	2022			
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom			
Printed	2019-05-07			
Plan Completion/Update Date:	2017-07-05			

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on 2019-01-15

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

Acres/ CropYear	2022	2023	2024
Acres in plan	36.9	36.9	36.9
Acres receiving manure	0.0	0.0	0.0

# **Annual Manure Production And Use By Source** Total Value = \$ Value of all nutrients, incorporated including S.

Source		2022	2023	2024
Land Application	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P2O5-K2O) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	0 0 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 0 1/0/0-1-0 0.00	0 0 1/0/0-1-0 0.00
Manure	Production (Gallons) Used (Gallons) Analysis Date Analysis (N/Ninc/Ninj-P205-K20) Dry Matter (%) Total Value	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00	11,000 0 - 7/10/12-6-17 6 0.00

#### **Estimated Livestock Manure Production For 2022**

No Livestock Found

Manure Storage For 2022

SnapPlus Manure Tracking Report

05/07/2019

No Storages Found

Spreaders For 2022

No Spreaders Found

# NM3: Field Data and 590 Assessment Plan

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	Prepa
Printed	2019-05-07	attn:B
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

ared for: ioioso Freedom BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Field Data: 37 Total Acres Reported.

Field Name	SubF arm	FSA Trct	FSA Fld	Acres	County	Critical Soil Series & Symbol	F. Slp %	F.Slp Len ft	Below Field Slope To Water %	Dist.To Water ft	Contour/ Filters	Irrig	Tiled	Rotation	Tillage	Report Period	Field "T" t/ac	Rot Avg Soil Loss t/ac	SCI	Rot Avg Pl	Soil Test P ppm	Rot P2O5 Bal Ib/ac	P2O5 Bal Target Ib/ac
A				14.8	Outagamie	MANAW A McA	2	250	0 - 2	1001 - 5000	No / No	No	No	Gnh-Gnh- Gnh	None- None- None	2018- 2020	3	0	1.9	0	67	0	0
В				17.5	Outagamie	MUNDEL EIN MuA	2	250	0 - 2	301 - 1000	No / No	No	No	Gnh-Gnh- Gnh	None- None- None	2018- 2020	5	0	1.6	0	41	0	-
D				4.6	Outagamie	HORTO NVILLE HrB	4	200	0 - 2	0 - 300	No / No	No	No	Gnh-Gnh- Gnh	None- None- None	2018- 2020	5	0	1.6	0	57	0	0

Crop Abbreviatio	ns	Tillage Abbrevi	ations
Abbreviation	Сгор	Abbreviation	Tillage
Gnh	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	None	None

Crop Year	2015	P
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	B at
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

Soil Test Field Data ppm		Test om	Crop Data					mmend	ations	F Appli	Planne ication Credit	d s and s	Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs				
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2014 Crop	2015 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O lb/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	20	24	17	0	24	17	-20
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Idle Land	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	46-55	Spring Chisel, no disk	0	0	20	17	12	0	17	12	-20

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Р	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table
+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive features, however an on-site investigation is needed to identify which restrictions may actually be present.

Crop Year	2016	Pi
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	at
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

	Fie	eld Data	Soil pr	Test om		Crop Data			Reco	mmend	ations	l Appli	Planne ication Credits	d s and s	Over( U	(+)/Und W Rec	er(-) s
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2015 Crop	2016 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	171- 190	Fall Chisel, disked	140 0.05 /MRTN	0	0	132	66	187	-8	66	187
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	171- 190	Fall Chisel, disked	140 0.05 /MRTN	0	15	132	66	187	-8	66	172
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Soybeans 7-10 inch row	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	171- 190	Fall Chisel, disked	140 0.05 /MRTN	0	15	154	82	187	14	82	172

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Р	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table

#### SnapPlus Nutrient Management Report

+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive
	features, however an on-site investigation is needed to
	identify which restrictions may actually be present.

Crop Year	2017	P
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	at
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

Soil Test Field Data ppm		Test om	Crop Data					mmend	ations	l Appli	Planne ication Credits	d s and s	Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs				
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2016 Crop	2017 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O lb/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Idle Land	0-0	Disk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Idle Land	0-0	Disk	0	0	0	44	27	0	44	27	0
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Corn grain, 18 inch rows	Idle Land	0-0	Disk	0	0	0	33	20	0	33	20	0

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Р	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table
+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive features, however an on-site investigation is needed to identify which restrictions may actually be present.

Crop Year	2018	Pr
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	at
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

	So Field Data			Test om	Crop Data			Recommendations			Planned Applications and Credits			Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs			
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2017 Crop	2018 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Idle Land	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	0-0	None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Idle Land	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	0-0	None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Idle Land	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	0-0	None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Ρ	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table

#### SnapPlus Nutrient Management Report

+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive
	features, however an on-site investigation is needed to
	identify which restrictions may actually be present.

Crop Year	2019	P
Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	at
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

#### Field data: 37 total acres reported.

Field Data			Soil pr	Test om	Crop Data					Recommendations			Planned Applications and Credits			Over(+)/Under(-) UW Recs		
Field Name	Ac	Predominant Soil and N Restrictions	Avg P	Avg K	2018 Crop	2019 Crop	Yield Goal	Tillage	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O lb/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	N Ib/ac	P2O5 Ib/ac	K2O Ib/ac	
A	14.8	MUNDELEIN MuA	67	216	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	0-0	None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
В	17.5	MUNDELEIN MuA W	41	183	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	0-0	None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
D	4.6	MUNDELEIN MuA W	57	163	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	0-0	None	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Code	Description of Code
S	Field is in SWQMA
D	Drinking water well within 50 feet of field.
С	Conduit to groundwater within 300 feet
L	Local restrictions on nutrient applications.
%	Slope restriction for winter applications
Ρ	High permeability N restricted soils
R	N restricted soils with less than 20 inches to bedrock
W	N restricted soils with less than 12 inches to apparent water table

#### SnapPlus Nutrient Management Report

+	This map unit may have any of the N restrictive
	features, however an on-site investigation is needed to
	identify which restrictions may actually be present.

# WQ1: P Trade Report

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom
Printed	2019-05-07	attn:BelGioioso Freedom
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on		

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

The P Trade Report estimates the annual pounds of phosphorus (P) in surface runoff from cropland entering surface waters. These P loss calculations are based on a field's soil test P concentration, crops, tillage, nutrient management practices and estimates of average runoff and sheet and rill erosion for the predominant soil type. Losses from concentrated flow channel or gully erosion with a field are not included in these calculations. Field runoff losses are calculated for each year as **PTP** (lb P/field/yr). Fields are only included if there are at least 2 years of crops before the selected start year. Before using this report as part of a Water Quality Trade activity, phosphorus losses (PTP) must be converted into 'P credits' according to DNR guidance.

**Questions?** Please contact DNRphosphorus@wisconsin.gov

For more information go to http://dnr.wi.gov/ and type keyword: Water Quality Trading

This report was developed for Wisconsin DNR Water Quality Trading and Adaptive Management purposes and cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with NR 151 or NRCS 590 NM plan requirements.

P Trade Report				РТР												
Field Name	Soil Series	Soil Symbol	Acres	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026		
A	MUNDELEIN	MuA	15	28	25	9	6	5	4	4	4	4	4	4		
В	MUNDELEIN	MuA	18	25	39	8	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	3		
D	MUNDELEIN	MuA	5	10	10	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Total			37	63	74	20	12	11	10	9	9	9	9	9		
РТР																
------	------	------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
2027	2028	2029														
4	4	4														
3	3	3														
1	1	1														
9	9	9														

#### SL2: Annual Soil Loss Report

Reported For	BelGioioso Freedom	
Printed	2019-05-07	
Plan Completion/Update Date	2017-07-05	
SnapPlus Version 18.1 built on	2019-01-15	

Prepared for: BelGioioso Freedom attn:BelGioioso Freedom

W:\Clients\BelGioioso\Freedom\5175\_New WWTP\Permitting & Regulations\Water Quality Trading\BelGioioso Freedom Future.snapDb

									Annu	al Soil (t/ac)	il Loss c)	
Field	Soil Series & Symbol (critical)	Slope	Slope Len	Contour/ Filters	Rotation	Tillage	Field "T" t/ac/yr	Rot Avg Soil Loss t/ac/yr	2018	2019	2020	
А	MANAWA McA	2	250	No / No	Gnh-Gnh-Gnh	None-None-None	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
В	MUNDELEIN MuA	2	250	No / No	Gnh-Gnh-Gnh	None-None-None	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
D	HORTONVILLE HrB	4	200	No / No	Gnh-Gnh-Gnh	None-None-None	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

\* This column shows estimated sediment delivery through a **designed** field edge grass filter area when that field management option is selected.

Crop Abbreviatio	ns	Tillage Abbr	eviations
Abbreviation	Сгор	Abbreviation	Tillage
Gnh	Grasslands, permanent, not harvested	None	None

## ATTACHMENT G

"Practice Registration Form" 3400-207



State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 101 South Webster Street Madison WI 53707-7921 dnr.wl.gov

# Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration Form 3400-207 (R 1/14)

Notice: Pursuant to s. 283.84, Wis. Stats., this form must be completed by any WPDES permittee that is using water quality trading as a method of complying with a permit limitation. Failure to complete this form would not result in penalties. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.).

Applicant Informatio	n								
Permittee Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Permit Number				Facility Site I	Number		
BelGioioso Cheese I	Inc	WI- 0066176-0	1-0		Loui			State	
Facility Address					City			ыа ил	54130
N4056 Vine Road					Kaukau	1118		State	ZIP Code
Project Contact Name	(if applicable) Ado	ress	<b>•</b> •	100	Droale	ald		WI	53005
Lynn Morrison, Prol	bst Group 170	35 W Wisconsin Av	ve Suite	: 120	Brooki	leia		1 11	
Project Name									
BelGioioso Freedon	1 New WWTP								
Broker/Exchange Inf	ormation (if appli	cable)							
Was a broker/exchang	je de used to lacilita								
		() No	10	Nomo					
Broker/Exchange Orga	anization Name		Contac	Iname					
			Dhone	Number	TĒ	-mail			ana in the second s
Address			FILONE	NUMBER					
	formation (Line a	conarate form for ea	l ch trad	e adree	nent)				
Trade Registration I	Trade Agreement	Practices Used to Ge	nerate	Anticipa	ted Load	Trada Dati	Moth	and of (	Juantification
Туре	Number	Credits		Reducti	ONTP/TS				202110110210011
<del>j</del> enne se				119 4	0.57 1292	-			
				120 5	7.37 5317	,	ŀ		
O Urban NPS		Conversion of agri	cultural	1-21 61	04. 7321	3			
Agricultural NPS	WOT-20190724	land to perman	nent 21 56.08 23			1.2:1	Snaj	pPlus	
O Other		vegetation		122		5			
			23 51.15 189			<u>1</u>			
				24 57	15 2328	!			
County	Close	st Receiving Water Nar	ne	Land Pa	arcel ID(s	)	Paramete	er(s) be	ing traded
Outagamie	Duck	Creek	(6)	090040	0000,090	039800	IP & 18	55	
The preparer certifie	es all of the follow	ing:		···					
<ul> <li>I have completed</li> </ul>	this document to th	e best of my knowledge	e and ha	ive not e	xcluded p	eninent initi	mation.		
I certify that the in	formation in this do	cument is true to the be	est of m	y knowle	dge.				
Signature of Preparer					Da	te Signed			
I m/		~				7/24	12019	,	
Authorized Penrase	ntative Signature				£	*	4 <b>5</b>		
I certify under penalty	of law that this doc	ument and all attachme	ents wer	e prepar	ed under	my direction	or supervi	sion. B	ased on my
inquiry of those perso	ns directly responsi	ble for gathering and e	ntering t	he infom	nation, the	e information	is, to the t	est of I	ny knowledge
and belief, accurate a	nd complete. I am a	aware that there are sig	Inificant	penalties	s for subm	nitting false ir	normation,	inclua	ng me
possibility of fine and	imprisonment forkr	lowing violations.			IDa	to Signed	1 1		
Signature of Authorize	ed Representative						120/1	0	
$\sim$							11/10/1	1	
		Leave Blank - Fo	or Depa	rtment L	ae Only				
Date Received						Trade Docke	t Number		
			+						
		Date Entered				Name of Dep	artment Re	viewer	
Entered in Tracking Sys	tem 🗌 Yes	and the second		esterne			-		

### ATTACHMENT H

Long-Term P and TSS Credit Calculation



#### Attachment H

Given:					
Watershed	LF051300				
P Loss [lb/ac/yr]	1.9	TSS Loss [ton/ac/yr]	0.85	TSS Loss [lb/ac/yr]	1700
P Loss Goal [lb/ac/yr]	0.44	TSS Loss Goal [ton/ac/yr]	0.35	TSS Loss Goal [lb/ac/yr]	700
P Loss [%]	23.16%	TSS Loss [%]	41.18%	TSS Loss [%]	41.18%
P Difference [lb/ac/yr]	1.46	TSS Difference [ton/ac/yr]	0.5	TSS Difference [lb/ac/yr]	1000
P Threshold	0.44	TMDL TSS Threshold	0.035	TMDL TSS Threshold [lb/ac/yr]	70

Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TP Credit Calculation

	Field A [acres]	РТР	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	14.8	Baseline	29.59	26.68	24.13	29.22	26.44	23.88	28.93	26.21	23.63	28.64	25.98
		Reduction	8.83	5.56	4.84	4.35	4.14	4.02	3.95	3.91	3.89	3.87	3.87
		Savings	20.76	21.12	19.29	24.87	22.30	19.85	24.98	22.30	19.74	24.77	22.12
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	17.30	17.60	16.07	20.73	18.58	16.54	20.82				
		Useable Interim Credit	0.00	17.60	16.07	20.73	18.58	16.54	20.82				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	2.00	1.80	1.63	1.97	1.79	1.61	1.95	1.77	1.60	1.94	1.76
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.60	0.38	0.33	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	-0.16	0.06	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	-2.3	0.9	1.7	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						2.07	2.13	2.17	2.19	2.20	2.21
		Useable Final Credit						2.07	2.13	2.17	2.19	2.20	2.21

	Field B [acres]	РТР	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	17.5	Baseline	36.76	24.68	44.05	37.24	25.08	44.49	37.77	25.50	44.95	38.31	25.93
		Reduction	8.16	4.96	4.31	3.87	3.68	3.58	3.52	3.48	3.46	3.45	3.44
		Savings	28.60	19.71	39.73	33.37	21.40	40.91	34.26	22.02	41.49	34.87	22.49
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	23.83	16.43	33.11	27.81	17.83	34.09	28.55				
		Useable Interim Credit	0.00	16.43	33.11	27.81	17.83	34.09	28.55				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	2.10	1.41	2.52	2.13	1.43	2.54	2.16	1.46	2.57	2.19	1.48
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.47	0.28	0.25	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	-0.03	0.16	0.19	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	-0.5	2.7	3.4	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						3.43	3.49	3.52	3.53	3.54	3.55
		Useable Final Credit						3.43	3.49	3.52	3.53	3.54	3.55

Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TP Credit Calculation

	Field D [acres]	РТР	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	4.6	Baseline	10.34	9.41	11.40	10.48	9.54	11.54	10.64	9.66	11.68	10.81	9.79
		Reduction	2.79	1.81	1.58	1.43	1.36	1.32	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.27	1.27
		Savings	7.55	7.61	9.82	9.05	8.18	10.22	9.35	8.38	10.40	9.53	8.52
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	6.29	6.34	8.18	7.55	6.81	8.51	7.79				
		Useable Interim Credit	0.00	6.34	8.18	7.55	6.81	8.51	7.79				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	0.70	0.64	0.77	0.71	0.64	0.78	0.72	0.65	0.79	0.73	0.66
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.61	0.39	0.34	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	-0.17	0.05	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	-0.8	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						0.58	0.60	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.63
		Useable Final Credit						0.58	0.60	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.63

TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS	0.00	40.37	57.37	56.08	43.23	59.15	57.15				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS						6.09	6.22	6.30	6.34	6.37	6.38

Man	ure Application Only	le.	to vivo ovo	Attac	chment F	1 to dit Cala							
			terim and	Long-Te	rm IP Cr	edit Calci	ulation						
	Field A [acres]	РТР	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	14.8	Baseline	29.59	26.68	24.13	29.22	26.44	23.88	28.93	26.21	23.63	28.64	25.98
		Reduction	8.83	5.56	4.84	4.35	4.14	4.02	3.95	3.91	3.89	3.87	3.87
		Savings	20.76	21.12	19.29	24.87	22.30	19.85	24.98	22.30	19.74	24.77	22.12
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	17.30	17.60	16.07	20.73	18.58	16.54	20.82				
		Useable Interim Credit	0.00	17.60	16.07	20.73	18.58	16.54	20.82				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	2.00	1.80	1.63	1.97	1.79	1.61	1.95	1.77	1.60	1.94	1.76
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.60	0.38	0.33	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	-0.16	0.06	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	-2.3	0.9	1.7	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						2.07	2.13	2.17	2.19	2.20	2.21
		Useable Final Credit	1		,			2.07	2.13	2.17	2.19	2.20	2.21

	Field B [acres]	PTP	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	17.5	Baseline	25.76	23.38	20.86	25.34	23.09	20.55	24.99	22.82	20.25	24.64	22.54
		Reduction	7.25	4.40	3.80	3.41	3.24	3.14	3.09	3.06	3.04	3.03	3.02
		Savings	18.51	18.99	17.05	21.93	19.86	17.40	21.90	19.76	17.21	21.61	19.52
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	15.42	15.82	14.21	18.28	16.55	14.50	18.25				
		<b>Useable Interim Credit</b>	15.42	15.82	14.21	18.28	16.55	14.50	18.25				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	1.47	1.34	1.19	1.45	1.32	1.17	1.43	1.30	1.16	1.41	1.29
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.41	0.25	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	0.03	0.19	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	0.4	3.3	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						3.80	3.84	3.87	3.89	3.90	3.90
		Useable Final Credit						3.80	3.84	3.87	3.89	3.90	3.90

#### Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TP Credit Calculation

	Field D [acres]	РТР	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	4.6	Baseline	8.26	7.38	6.73	8.14	7.30	6.65	8.04	7.22	6.56	7.94	7.15
		Reduction	2.57	1.66	1.45	1.31	1.24	1.21	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.16	1.16
		Savings	5.69	5.72	5.28	6.83	6.06	5.44	6.85	6.05	5.39	6.77	5.98
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	4.74	4.77	4.40	5.69	5.05	4.53	5.71				
		Useable Interim Credit	0.00	4.77	4.40	5.69	5.05	4.53	5.71				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	1.79	1.61	1.46	1.77	1.59	1.44	1.75	1.57	1.43	1.73	1.55
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.56	0.36	0.32	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	-0.12	0.08	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.19
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	-0.5	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						0.68	0.70	0.71	0.71	0.72	0.72
		Useable Final Credit						0.68	0.70	0.71	0.71	0.72	0.72

8												
	TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS	15.42	38.20	34.69	44.70	40.18	35.58	44.77				
T	OTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS						6.55	6.67	6.74	6.79	6.81	6.82

Lanc	Application Only	Ir	nterim an	Atta 1d Long-T	ichment l erm TP C	H Tredit Cal	culation						
	Field A [acres]	РТР	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	14.8	Baseline	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Savings	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
		Useable Interim Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Useable Final Credit						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Note: Credits will be either	r interim or long term in 7	2023 and	2024 de	pending (	on the pe	ermit issu	Jance sch	edule. In	terim an	d long te	rm credit	s are
	not generated in the same	year for these fields.											
	Field B [acres]	PTP	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	17.5	Baseline	11.00	1.29	23.19	11.90	1.99	23.94	12.79	2.69	24.71	13.68	3.39
	ļ	Reduction	0.91	0.57	0.51	0.47	0.45	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42
	ļ	Savings	10.10	0.72	22.68	11.43	1.54	23.50	12.36	2.26	24.28	13.26	2.96
	ļ	Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
	ļ	Interim Credit	8.41	0.60	18.90	9.53	1.28	19.59	10.30				
		Useable Interim Credit	8.41	0.60	18.90	9.53	1.28	19.59	10.30				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	0.63	0.07	1.33	0.68	0.11	1.37	0.73	0.15	1.41	0.78	0.19
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	6.8	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3

1.2:1

1.2:1

1.2:1

1.2:1

6.05

6.05

1.2:1

6.06

6.06

1.2:1

6.06

6.06

1.2:1

6.06

6.06

1.2:1

6.07

6.07

1.2:1

6.07

6.07

1.2:1

**Final Credit** 

**Useable Final Credit** 

1.2:1

2.e

Trade Ratio

Land	Application Only			Atta	achment	н							
		Ir	nterim an	d Long-T	erm TP C	redit Cal	culation						
	Field D [acres]	РТР	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	4.6	Baseline	2.08	2.03	4.67	2.35	2.23	4.89	2.61	2.44	5.12	2.87	2.65
		Reduction	0.23	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
		Savings	1.86	1.88	4.54	2.22	2.12	4.78	2.50	2.33	5.01	2.76	2.54
		Trade Ratio	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	1.55	1.57	3.78	1.85	1.77	3.98	2.08				
		<b>Useable Interim Credit</b>	1.55	1.57	3.78	1.85	1.77	3.98	2.08				
2.a	TMDL credit Threshold	lbs/ac	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
2.b	Baseline	lbs/ac	0.45	0.44	1.02	0.51	0.49	1.06	0.57	0.53	1.11	0.62	0.58
2.b	Reduction	lbs/ac	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
2.c	Difference	lbs/ac	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42
2.d	Difference x field acres	lbs	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9
2.e	Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit						1.59	1.59	1.59	1.60	1.60	1.60
		Useable Final Credit						1.59	1.59	1.59	1.60	1.60	1.60
	Noto: Cradite will be aithe	r intorim or long torm in 1	2022 and	2024 do	nonding	on tha na	rmit iccu	anco cch	adula In	torim on	d long to	rm cradit	c ara

TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS	9.96	2.17	22.68	11.38	3.05	23.57	12.38				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS						7.65	7.65	7.66	7.66	7.66	7.66

#### Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TSS Credit Calculation

	Field A [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	14.8		tons/ac	2.366	2.331	1.899	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330
		Baseline	tons/yr	35.013	34.504	28.100	34.920	34.481	28.094	34.916	34.480	28.094	34.916	34.480
			tons/ac	0.324	0.048	0.021	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
		Reduction	tons/yr	4.803	0.705	0.312	0.132	0.082	0.059	0.046	0.039	0.034	0.032	0.030
			tons/ac	2.041	2.284	1.878	2.351	2.324	1.894	2.356	2.327	1.896	2.357	2.328
		Savings	tons/yr	30.211	33.799	27.788	34.788	34.399	28.035	34.870	34.441	28.059	34.884	34.450
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	25.175	28.166	23.157	28.990	28.666	23.362	29.058				
	Usea	ble Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.00	0.00	23.157	28.990	28.666	23.362	29.058				
2.a	TMDL credit Thresh	old	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Baseline		tons/ac	2.366	2.331	1.899	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330
2.b	Reduction		tons/ac	0.324	0.048	0.021	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
2.c	Difference		tons/ac	-0.289	-0.013	0.014	0.026	0.029	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.033
2.d	Difference x field ac	cres	tons	-4.3	-0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
2.e	Trade Ratio			1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit	tons/yr						0.38	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.41	0.41

#### Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TSS Credit Calculation

	Field B [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	17.5		tons/ac	5.552	5.440	4.526	5.538	5.436	4.525	5.537	5.436	4.525	5.537	5.436
		Baseline	tons/yr	97.168	95.192	79.213	96.909	95.130	79.196	96.898	95.127	79.195	96.898	95.126
			tons/ac	0.766	0.111	0.049	0.021	0.013	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005
		Reduction	tons/yr	13.408	1.942	0.859	0.362	0.226	0.164	0.127	0.107	0.095	0.088	0.084
			tons/ac	4.786	5.329	4.477	5.517	5.423	4.516	5.530	5.430	4.520	5.532	5.431
		Savings	tons/yr	83.760	93.250	78.354	96.546	94.903	79.032	96.771	95.020	79.100	96.810	95.043
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	69.800	77.708	65.295	80.455	79.086	65.860	80.642				
	Usea	ble Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.00	0.00	0.000	80.455	79.086	65.860	80.642				
2.a	TMDL credit Thresh	old	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Baseline		tons/ac	5.552	5.440	4.526	5.538	5.436	4.525	5.537	5.436	4.525	5.537	5.436
2.b	Reduction		tons/ac	0.766	0.111	0.049	0.021	0.013	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005
2.c	Difference		tons/ac	-0.731	-0.076	-0.014	0.014	0.022	0.026	0.028	0.029	0.030	0.030	0.030
2.d	Difference x field ac	cres	tons	-10.8	-1.1	-0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
2.e	Trade Ratio			1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit	tons/yr						0.32	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.37

#### Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TSS Credit Calculation

	Field D [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	4.6		tons/ac	1.756	1.720	1.431	1.751	1.719	1.431	1.751	1.719	1.431	1.751	1.719
		Baseline	tons/yr	8.076	7.912	6.584	8.054	7.906	6.582	8.054	7.906	6.582	8.053	7.906
			tons/ac	0.242	0.035	0.016	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
		Reduction	tons/yr	1.114	0.161	0.071	0.030	0.019	0.014	0.011	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.007
			tons/ac	1.513	1.685	1.416	1.744	1.715	1.428	1.748	1.717	1.429	1.749	1.717
		Savings	tons/yr	6.962	7.750	6.512	8.024	7.888	6.569	8.043	7.897	6.574	8.046	7.899
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	5.801	6.459	5.427	6.687	6.573	5.474	6.702				
	Usea	ble Interim Credit		0.00	6.459	5.427	6.687	6.573	5.474	6.702				
2.a	TMDL credit Thresh	old	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Baseline		tons/ac	1.756	1.720	1.431	1.751	1.719	1.431	1.751	1.719	1.431	1.751	1.719
2.b	Reduction		tons/ac	0.242	0.035	0.016	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
2.c	Difference		tons/ac	-0.207	0.000	0.019	0.028	0.031	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033
2.d	Difference x field ac	cres	tons	-1.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
2.e	Trade Ratio			1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit	tons/yr						0.12	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13

TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS [tons/yr]	0.00	0.65	2.86	11.61	11.43	9.47	11.64				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS [tons/yr]						0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS [lbs/yr]	0	1,292	5,717	23,226	22,865	18,939	23,281				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS [lbs/yr]						164	172	177	179	181	181

Manı	ure Applicati	ion Only		Inte	erim and Lo	Attachm ong-Term T	ent H SS Credit	: Calculati	on					
Field	A [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	14.8		tons/ac	2.366	2.331	1.899	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330
		Baseline	tons/yr	35.013	34.504	28.100	34.920	34.481	28.094	34.916	34.480	28.094	34.916	34.480
			tons/ac	0.324	0.048	0.021	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
		Reduction	tons/yr	4.803	0.705	0.312	0.132	0.082	0.059	0.046	0.039	0.034	0.032	0.030
			tons/ac	2.041	2.284	1.878	2.351	2.324	1.894	2.356	2.327	1.896	2.357	2.328
		Savings	tons/yr	30.211	33.799	27.788	34.788	34.399	28.035	34.870	34.441	28.059	34.884	34.450
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	25.175	28.166	23.157	28.990	28.666	23.362	29.058				
	Useab	le Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.00	0.00	23.16	28.99	28.67	23.36	29.06				
2.a	TMDL cre	edit Threshold	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Ba	aseline	tons/ac	2.366	2.331	1.899	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330	1.898	2.359	2.330
2.b	Re	duction	tons/ac	0.324	0.048	0.021	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
2.c	Dif	ference	tons/ac	-0.289	-0.013	0.014	0.026	0.029	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.033
2.d	Differenc	ce x field acres	tons	-4.3	-0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
2.e	Tra	de Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit	tons/yr						0.38	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.41	0.41

Manı	ure Applicati	on Only		Inte	erim and Lo	Attachm ong-Term T	ent H SS Credit	t Calculat	ion					
Field	B [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	17.5		tons/ac	5.515	5.435	4.426	5.500	5.431	4.425	5.499	5.431	4.425	5.499	5.431
		Baseline	tons/yr	96.508	95.104	77.454	96.251	95.042	77.437	96.240	95.039	77.436	96.240	95.039
			tons/ac	0.756	0.111	0.049	0.021	0.013	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005
		Reduction	tons/yr	13.237	1.942	0.860	0.363	0.226	0.164	0.127	0.107	0.095	0.088	0.084
			tons/ac	4.758	5.324	4.377	5.479	5.418	4.416	5.492	5.425	4.420	5.494	5.426
		Savings	tons/yr	83.271	93.162	76.595	95.888	94.816	77.273	96.113	94.932	77.341	96.152	94.955
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	69.392	77.635	63.829	79.907	79.013	64.395	80.094				
	Useab	le Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.91	79.01	64.39	80.09				
2.a	TMDL cre	edit Threshold	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Ba	aseline	tons/ac	5.515	5.435	4.426	5.500	5.431	4.425	5.499	5.431	4.425	5.499	5.431
2.b	Re	duction	tons/ac	0.756	0.111	0.049	0.021	0.013	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005
2.c	Dif	ference	tons/ac	-0.721	-0.076	-0.014	0.014	0.022	0.026	0.028	0.029	0.030	0.030	0.030
2.d	Differenc	e x field acres	tons	-12.6	-1.3	-0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
2.e	Tra	de Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit	tons/yr						0.37	0.40	0.42	0.43	0.44	0.44

Manı	ure Applicati	on Only		Inte	erim and Lo	Attachm ong-Term T	ent H SS Credit	: Calculati	ion					
Field	D [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	4.6		tons/ac	1.744	1.718	1.399	1.739	1.717	1.399	1.739	1.717	1.399	1.739	1.717
		Baseline	tons/yr	8.021	7.904	6.437	8.000	7.899	6.436	7.999	7.899	6.436	7.999	7.899
			tons/ac	0.239	0.035	0.016	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
		Reduction	tons/yr	1.100	0.161	0.071	0.030	0.019	0.014	0.011	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.007
			tons/ac	1.505	1.683	1.384	1.733	1.713	1.396	1.737	1.715	1.397	1.737	1.716
Savings         tons/yr         6.921         7.743         6.366         7.970         7.880         6.422         7.988         7.890         6.428         7.991													7.892	
Trade Ratio         1.2:1													1.2:1	
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	5.767	6.452	5.305	6.641	6.567	5.352	6.657				
	Useab	le Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.00	6.45	5.30	6.64	6.57	5.35	6.66				
2.a	TMDL cre	edit Threshold	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Ba	aseline	tons/ac	1.744	1.718	1.399	1.739	1.717	1.399	1.739	1.717	1.399	1.739	1.717
2.b	Re	duction	tons/ac	0.239	0.035	0.016	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
2.c	Dif	ference	tons/ac	-0.204	0.000	0.019	0.028	0.031	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033
2.d	Differenc	e x field acres	tons	-0.9	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
2.e	Tra	de Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Final Credit	tons/yr						0.12	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13
	Note: Credit	s will be either in	nterim or long	term in 2	023 and 20	24 depend	ling on th	e permit	issuance	schedule	e. Interim	and long	term cre	dits are

not generated in the same year for these fields.

TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS [tons/yr]	0.00	0.65	2.85	11.55	11.42	9.31	11.58				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS [tons/yr]						0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10
TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS [lbs/yr]	0	1,290	5,692	23,108	22,849	18,622	23,162				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS [lbs/yr]						176	185	190	192	194	195

Land	Application O		Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TSS Credit Calculation											
Fie	eld A [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	14.8		tons/ac	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Baseline	tons/yr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
			tons/ac	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Reduction	tons/yr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
			tons/ac	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Savings	tons/yr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
	Useal	ble Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
2.a	TMDL cre	dit Threshold	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Ba	seline	tons/ac	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.b	Rec	duction	tons/ac	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.c	Diff	erence	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.d	Difference	e x field acres	tons	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
2.e				1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
			tons/yr						0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43

Land Application Only				Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TSS Credit Calculation										
Fie	eld B [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
17.5			tons/ac	0.038	0.005	0.101	0.038	0.005	0.100	0.038	0.005	0.100	0.038	0.005
		Baseline	tons/yr	0.660	0.088	1.759	0.658	0.087	1.759	0.658	0.087	1.758	0.658	0.087
			tons/ac	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Reduction	tons/yr	0.170	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
			tons/ac	0.028	0.005	0.101	0.038	0.005	0.100	0.038	0.005	0.100	0.038	0.005
		Savings	tons/yr	0.489	0.088	1.759	0.658	0.088	1.759	0.658	0.087	1.758	0.658	0.087
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.408	0.074	1.466	0.549	0.073	1.465	0.548				
	Usea	ble Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.408	0.074	1.466	0.549	0.073	1.465	0.548				
2.a	TMDL cre	dit Threshold	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Ba	iseline	tons/ac	0.038	0.005	0.101	0.038	0.005	0.100	0.038	0.005	0.100	0.038	0.005
2.b	Red	duction	tons/ac	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.c	Dif	ference	tons/ac	0.025	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.d	Differenc	e x field acres	tons	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
2.e				1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
			tons/yr						0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51

Land	Application O	nly		Attachment H Interim and Long-Term TSS Credit Calculation										
Fie	eld D [acres]	PTTSS	PTTSS Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
	4.6		tons/ac	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002
		Baseline	tons/yr	0.055	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007
			tons/ac	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Reduction	tons/yr	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
			tons/ac	0.009	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002
		Savings	tons/yr	0.041	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007	0.146	0.055	0.007
		Trade Ratio		1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
		Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.034	0.006	0.122	0.046	0.006	0.122	0.046				
	Useal	ble Interim Credit	tons/yr	0.034	0.006	0.122	0.046	0.006	0.122	0.046				
2.a	TMDL cre	dit Threshold	tons/ac	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.b	Ba	seline	tons/ac	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002	0.032	0.012	0.002
2.b	Red	duction	tons/ac	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.c	Diff	erence	tons/ac	0.032	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
2.d	Difference	e x field acres	tons	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
2.e				1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1	1.2:1
			tons/yr						0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13

TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS [tons/yr]	0.044	0.008	0.159	0.059	0.008	0.159	0.059				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS [tons/yr]						0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
TOTAL INTERIM CREDITS [lbs/yr]	88	16	318	119	16	317	119				
TOTAL LONG-TERM CREDITS [lbs/yr]						215	215	215	215	215	215

## ATTACHMENT I Prairie Establishment Plan



#### Belgioioso Cheese Prairie Plantings Freedom, Wisconsin Site Establishment Plan

This Establishment Plan was developed to establish permanent conservation cover consistent with the requirements and recommendations of NRCS Technical Standard 327. The primary purpose of the installation of conservation cover at the sites is to reduce downstream surface water quality degradation by nutrients and sedimentation.

#### **Soil Preparation**

In late May, any weeds growing on site will be sprayed with Round Up and 2,4-D killing. The soil will not be tilled prior to planting in order to minimize erosion.

#### **Seed Products**

Seed, with the exception of cover crop, shall be species native to Outagamie, Oconto or Brown County, Wisconsin and from a genetic source within the Midwest. Species selected are known to grow in Outagamie, Octonto or Brown County, WI, as listed by the University of Wisconsin's state herbarium records. Seed provided shall be measured as pure live seed, properly labeled and shipped in accordance with Wisconsin law. The species chosen have been carefully selected to ensure they are adapted to the local soils, ecological conditions and climactic conditions of the region.

Three seeding mixes will be used to ensure that species planted are adapted to the particular area of the site where they will be installed. The seed mixes include a heavier seeding of grasses than is typical because the primary purpose of the conservation cover is to reduce downstream surface water quality degradation by nutrients and sedimentation and to ensure quick site stabilization. Further, each unit includes a fairly dense seeding of Elymus canadensis (Canada wild rye), which establishes quickly. Unlike the other prairie grass species, Elymus canadensis is a cool season grass that typically germinates more readily without stratification and will provide a secondary cover after the oat cover crop (described below) begins to senesce in the mid-summer. The remaining warm season grasses are slower to establish, but will eventually come to dominate the site and provide a permanent cover that, if properly maintained, will last indefinitely. These species have deep root systems and will completely stabilize the soil at maturity.

In order to ensure that the primary purpose of the conservation cover will be met, seed for native grass species will be applied at a minimum rate of 10 lbs/acre with the exception of planting zone 3. This zone, being quite wet, will receive a higher proportion of sedges. Sedge seed is smaller and therefore a smaller quantity by weight is needed. A total of 8.15 lbs of grass and sedge seed is specified for zone 3. Live plants will also be installed in zone 3 (see below).

Oats will be seeded at a rate of 35 lbs/acre and used as a cover crop during the first year. Oats will be used as a cover crop because they germinate quickly and will provide ample cover within a few weeks. Other cover crop species have various drawbacks that oats do not have, such as an allelopathic effect (winter rye or winter wheat) and or they tend to persist longer than desired (annual rye).

The property has been broken into three units: Planting Zone 1 (higher and drier knolls), Planting Zone 2 (channels and gently sloped areas at the bottom of the knolls) and Planting Zone 3 (flat and wetter areas). In addition, a seed mix specifically designed to reduce erosion will be installed under erosion control blanket where required. The seed species and quantities are described below:

<u>Planting Zone 1</u>: These areas are on the top and sides of drier knolls to the north. These areas have silt loam soils that are gently sloped and well drained. They will support and mesic prairie habitat.

10.3		ас		
				Total
Common Name		Rate/Ac	Unit	Seed Qty
Little Bluestem		3.000	lb	30.900
Side-oats Grama		2.000	lb	20.600
Big bluestem		0.500	lb	5.150
Indiangrass		0.500	lb	5.150
Canada Wild Rye		3.000	lb	30.900
Switch Grass		1.000	lb	10.300
Total grasses and sedges		10.000	lb	103.00
	10.3 <i>Common Name</i> Little Bluestem Side-oats Grama Big bluestem Indiangrass Canada Wild Rye Switch Grass <i>Total grasses and sedges</i>	10.3 <u>Common Name</u> Little Bluestem Side-oats Grama Big bluestem Indiangrass Canada Wild Rye Switch Grass <u>Total grasses and sedges</u>	10.3acCommon NameRate/AcLittle Bluestem3.000Side-oats Grama2.000Big bluestem0.500Indiangrass0.500Canada Wild Rye3.000Switch Grass1.000Total grasses and sedges10.000	10.3acCommon NameRate/AcUnitLittle Bluestem3.000lbSide-oats Grama2.000lbBig bluestem0.500lbIndiangrass0.500lbCanada Wild Rye3.000lbSwitch Grass1.000lbTotal grasses and sedges10.000lb

<u>Planting Zone 2</u>: These units are fairly flat to gently sloped. They include the major drainage ways in the northern part of the site. Soils are also silt loam with some sandier areas. The seed mix includes both mesic and wet mesic prairie species.

Planting Zone 2	9.5	ас
Grasses and Sedges		

				Total
Scientific Name	Common Name	Rate/Ac	Unit	Seed Qty
Andropogon scoparius	Little Bluestem	1.000	lb	9.500
Bouteloua curtipendula	Side-oats Grama	0.700	lb	6.650
Andropogon gerardii	Big bluestem	2.000	lb	19.000
Sorghastrum nutans	Indiangrass	1.000	lb	9.500
Carex scoparia	Broom sedge	0.100	lb	0.950
Carex brevior	Plains oval sedge	0.100	lb	0.950
Carex crinita	Fringed sedge	0.100	lb	0.950
Elymus canadensis	Canada Wild Rye	3.000	lb	28.500
Panicum virgatum	Switch Grass	2.000	lb	19.000
	Total grasses and sedges	10.000	lb	95.00

<u>Planting Zone 3:</u> This unit is at the bottom of the slope and is flat to slightly sloped. It includes the confluence of a number of eroded channels. Soils are silt loam and poorly drained. The seed mix includes both wet mesic species with a bias toward wetter species than Zone 2. We will also plant 1,280 Spartina pectinata (prairie cord grass) plugs. Most will go along the creek in the northeastern part of the site. This species will provide excellent erosion control but germination from seed can be slow.

10 0

~ ~

Planting Zone 3		40.8	ac		
Grasses and Sedges					
-					Total Sood
					Totul Seeu
Scientific Name	Common Name		Rate/Ac	Unit	Qty
Andropogon scoparius	Little Bluestem		0.200	lb	8.160
Bouteloua curtipendula	Side-oats Grama		0.200	lb	8.160
Andropogon gerardii	Big bluestem		2.000	lb	81.600
Sorghastrum nutans	Indiangrass		2.000	lb	81.600
Carex brevior	Plains oval sedge		0.250	lb	10.200
Carex vulpinoidea	Brown Fox Sedge		0.250	lb	10.200
Carex scoparia	Broom sedge		0.250	lb	10.200
Elymus canadensis	Canada Wild Rye		1.000	lb	40.800
Panicum virgatum	Switch Grass		2.000	lb	81.600
	Total grasses and se	dges	8.150	lb	332.52

<u>Erosion Control</u>: Any areas that are to receive type 1 and Type 2 erosion matting will be seeded with the seed mix that corresponds to the Planting Zone they are located in. Before installing the mat, seed from the species below will also be installed.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Qty	Unit	Total Seed Qty
Spartina pectinata	Cord grass	1.000	lb	1.000
Bromus ciliatus	Fringed brome	1.000	lb	1.000
Carex comosa	Bristly sedge	2.000	lb	1.000

The seeding mixes will be installed in the planting zones in accordance with the attached map.

#### **Plant Products**

Dianting Zone 2

Live plants shall be 2" potted material or equivalent. Plants shall be well rooted and healthy, free of disease and kept well-watered while in transit and on site prior to planting.

#### **Seed Installation**

After soil preparation described above, seed will be planted prior to June 30, 2018 with a target of mid-May to early June depending on site conditions. Seed will be installed using a no-till drill specifically manufactured for the purpose of planting prairie seed.

#### **Plant Installation**

Live plants shall be planted in locations appropriate to their ecological niche so they are able to thrive. Planting holes will be dug approximately 2x the size of the plug and soil shall be firmly tamped by hand to ensure air pockets are removed. If soil conditions require, plants will be watered immediately after planting.

#### **Erosion Control**

At this time all swales are stable and no erosion control activities are planned. However, if erosion develops in any areas at any time, erosion matting will be placed per manufacturer's recommendations. Type 1 and Type 2 erosion mat may be used depending on the severity and slope of the erosion issue.

Type 1 is defined as: Class 1 Type A Urban (EG1SNN) is the single net straw with biodegradable net

• Single net straw: 100% straw with a single biodegradable jute netting. It is designed to provide erosion protection and assist with vegetation establishment for 8 to 12 months on slopes up to 3:1 and low-flow channels.

Type 2 is defined as: Class 1 Type B Urban (EG2SNN) is the double net straw with biodegradable nets

• Double net straw: 100% straw between two biodegradable jute nettings. It is designed to provide erosion control and assist with vegetation establishment assistance for 8 to 12 months on 2:1 to 3:1 slopes and in moderate-flow channels.

#### Seed Establishment Standards

Standards for 2018, the Year of Planting

- Germination of cover crop shall occur within 20 days of installation. Cover crop establishment shall be uniform and consistent. Any area of more than 1 square yard that is devoid of cover crop shall be reseeded within three weeks of installation.
- Germination of native grass species shall be apparent by mid-July. Areas of erosion where seed has likely been lost will be reseeded and appropriate erosion control measures applied.
- Establishment of native grasses should be consistent and widespread by the middle of September 2018, although seedlings are likely to be inconspicuous. Areas greater than 100 square yards that do not have native grasses shall be reseeded with native grasses in November.

#### Seed Establishment Activities

<u>Mowing:</u> The purpose of mowing is to keep weeds from going to seed and to allow sunlight to penetrate to native grasses seedlings and to limit competition for water by weed species.

During the Year of Planting, seeded areas shall be mowed at a height of 8 to 12 inches when vegetation has reached a height of 18 inches. Depending on the growing conditions, this may require mowing as frequently as every two weeks. In no event will mowing be conducted at a height less than 8 inches.

<u>Herbicide Applications</u>: Herbicide shall be applied to perennial weeds such as Canada thistle or woody plants that invade the areas seeded with prairie seed. The herbicide used shall be the most selective possible given the target species and shall be applied only to the target species to the extent practicable. Herbicide shall not be applied to annual weeds unless they cannot be controlled by mowing and if they have a developed a monoculture that precludes establishment of native grasses.

#### **Site Inspections**

The site will be informally inspected periodically by BelGiosioso employees instructed on how to identify erosion problems. The site will be formally inspected one month after installation to ensure cover crop germination and compliance with establishment standards. The site will also be inspected to confirm initial germination of native grasses in mid-July 2018. A final inspection will occur in October. After that, the sites will be inspected per the operation and maintenance standards. Reports with photographic records will be generated for these three formal inspections.

#### **Plan Preparation**

This Plan was prepared by Carl Korfmacher, Owner, Midwest Prairies, LLC, 11847 Washington Road Edgerton, WI 53534, 800.382.1132, on behalf of The Probst Group and Belgioioso Cheese for inclusion in the Water Quality Trading Plan.

## **Belgioioso Freedom**

Seeding and Planting Zones

Boundary
 Zone 1a - 3.0 ac
 Zone 1b - 6.4 ac
 Zone 1c - 1.0 ac
 Zone 2 - 9.5 ac
 Zone 3a - 10.2 ac
 Zone 3b - 30.6 ac

Phosphorous Trade Planting Plan



## ATTACHMENT J Prairie O&M Plan





#### Belgioioso Cheese Prairie Plantings Freedom, Wisconsin Site Operation and Maintenance Plan

The goal of this Operation and Maintenance Plan is to ensure native cover remains consistently and exclusively throughout the site in perpetuity. The primary purpose of the installation and maintenance of conservation cover at the site is to reduce downstream surface water quality degradation by nutrients and sedimentation. This Maintenance Plan was developed to ensure this goal is achieved and is consistent with the requirements and recommendations of NRCS Technical Standard 327.

Prairie plants require regular maintenance and management to remain healthy. The concept of adaptive management is critical. Adaptive management implies that while we can and will prepare for certain activities to occur on site, we also must respond to changing conditions that are not always predictable. As a result, this Plan outlines certain activities to ensure the prairie plants remain healthy, but management practices will remain flexible and consistent with the principles outlined below, in order to adapt to any changing circumstances on-site.

As outlined below, the site will be inspected to ensure that management tools are used appropriately. The inspector will walk the entire site and take photos and notes regarding plant diversity, density, overall ecological health, and any erosion issues. Based on those findings, a more detailed prescription for remedial and maintenance activities will be developed specific to the current conditions on the site to ensure that consistent, perennial native cover remains on the site. The prescriptions for such activities will follow the standards and practices below.

#### Prairie Cover Standards for Seasons after the First Season

Standards for Second Growing Season:

• Native grasses shall be found consistently throughout the site by mid-July 2019. Areas greater than 25 square yards that exclusively have plants that are not native grasses shall be reseeded with native grasses prior to November 30, 2019.

Standards for Third and Fourth Growing Seasons:

Native grasses shall be found consistently throughout the site by mid-July 2020 and 2021. Areas
greater than 5 square yards that exclusively have plants that are not native grasses shall be
reseeded with native grasses prior to the end of November 2020 and 2021. Alternatively, native
grasses may be installed with a no-till drill in the spring.



Standards for the Fifth Growing Season and Subsequent Seasons:

 Native grasses shall be found consistently throughout the site as determined during the annual inspection each year. Areas greater than 5 square yards that exclusively have plants that are not native grasses shall be reseeded with native grasses in November of that same year. Alternatively, native grasses may be installed with a no-till drill in the spring.

Reseeding activities shall continue in following seasons as necessary to ensure the standards for the Fifth Growing Season continue to be met in later years.

#### Early Maintenance Activities for Prairie Through 2022

<u>Herbicide Applications</u>: Herbicide shall be applied to perennial weeds such as Canada thistle or woody plants that invade the areas seeded with prairie seed. The herbicide used shall be the most selective possible given the target species and shall be applied only to the target species to the extent practicable. Herbicide shall not be applied to annual weeds unless they cannot be controlled by mowing or burning and if they have a developed a monoculture that precludes native grasses.

<u>Prescribed Burning</u>: The primary management tool for prairies is prescribed burning. Prescribed burning simulates the effects of wildfires that were part of Wisconsin's pre-settlement environment in which native plant communities, including prairies, thrived. Native prairie grasses, including those species planted at the site, develop deep roots and buds beneath the soil, enabling them to withstand the heat of a fire. The deep roots of native prairie plants also stabilize the site after a fire and enable native prairie plants to quickly regenerate. The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources has additional information regarding prescribed burning and its benefits to native plant communities, such as prairies, on its website at: http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wildlifehabitat/burn.html.

Because fire is a critical element in sustaining native prairies, prescribed burning will be used as a management tool at the site. If fuel levels allow, seeded areas may be burned in the spring of 2020 or 2021. Prescribed burning will only occur if fuel levels and weather conditions are appropriate to ensure a prescribed burn can be conducted in a safe and controlled manner and that the site will benefit ecologically from the burn. Because burning will occur at the earliest in the fourth growing season after native vegetation is well-established, nutrient runoff is not expected. However, after a burn is conducted, the site will be monitored for any erosion issues. If erosion issues are identified, they will be addressed pursuant to the below sections titled, "Methods to Address Minor Erosion Control Concerns" and "Methods to Address Effects of Catastrophic and Anomalous Events."

#### Long-Term Maintenance and Management of Prairie after 2022



<u>Prescribed Burning</u>: As described in the immediately preceding section, the primary management tool for prairies is prescribed burning. Prescribed burning is ecologically beneficial to native prairie plants and will be used as a management tool, as appropriate, to ensure the continued health of the prairie at the site. Generally speaking, after 2022, one third of the site should be burned every year, creating a 3 year rotation. However, certain weeds and woody invasive species may be controlled with more or less frequent fire. In light of that, the determination of which area will be burned and when that area will be burned will be based on the best judgment of the inspector and his/her prescription for maintenance activities.

Prescribed burning will only occur if fuel levels and weather conditions are appropriate to ensure a prescribed burn can be conducted in a safe and controlled manner and that the site will benefit ecologically from the burn. Because burning will occur when the site is well-established, nutrient runoff is not expected. However, after a burn is conducted, the site will be monitored for any erosion issues. If erosion issues are identified, they will be addressed pursuant to the below sections titled, "Methods to Address Minor Erosion Control Concerns" and "Methods to Address Effects of Catastrophic and Anomalous Events."

<u>Herbicide Applications:</u> Management of some invasive species can often only be accomplished through the use of herbicides. Herbicide shall be applied to perennial weeds such as Canada thistle or woody plants that invade the areas seeded with prairie seed. The herbicide used shall be the most selective possible given the target species and shall be applied only to the target species to the extent practicable. Herbicide shall not be applied to annual weeds unless they cannot be controlled by burning and if they have a developed a monoculture that precludes native grasses.

#### Site Inspections

The site will be inspected one time each during the spring, summer, and fall in the second, third, and fourth growing seasons. Thereafter, the site will be inspected once on an annual basis. This annual inspection will occur between mid-August and mid-September of each year. The site inspections will ensure compliance with seed establishment standards and identify any erosion issues. The site will also be inspected following any major events that could cause erosion as soon as the safety of the inspector can be assured, and if any erosion issues are identified, they will be addressed in accordance with the seed establishment standards above and erosion control sections below. During inspections, the inspector will walk the site and take close-up and distant photos of the site. The inspector will also take notes regarding plant diversity, density, overall ecological health, and any erosion issues. Based on those findings, a more detailed prescription for remedial and maintenance activities will be developed that will ensure that consistent, perennial native cover remains on the site. If the inspection identifies areas at the site that are not meeting the applicable seed establishment standards for the growing season, the



remedial action identified in each standard will be taken. If the inspection identifies erosion issues, they will be addressed pursuant to the sections in this Plan titled "Methods to Address Minor Erosion Control Concerns" and "Methods to Address Effects of Catastrophic and Anomalous Events."

The inspection reports and associated documentation will be submitted to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources with the Belgioioso Cheese Annual Report, which is described in the Water Quality Trading Plan.

#### Methods to Address Minor Erosion Control Concerns

The site will be inspected for any bare spots, gullies, or other erosion control concerns. Erosion concerns will be addressed as follows:

- If bare spots larger than five square yards are identified during the growing season (May 15 through September 30), they will be immediately reseeded with cover crop and covered with a light straw mulch.
- If bare spots larger than five square yards occur outside the growing season, they will be addressed with temporary erosion matting, mulching, or the application of polyacrylamide, as necessary. Erosion events that occur outside of the growing season will be seeded with cover crop once the growing season begins.
- In the event of a major erosion event, such as the formation of a gully greater than one foot wide and one foot deep, the area will be regraded first and then reseeded per above.

All bare spots or gullies described above will also be reseeded with native grasses. Reseeding of native grasses in eroded areas must occur prior to July 15 or after November 1. Any eroded areas that are reseeded will be treated as newly established prairie and must meet the requirements for each growing season per the standards in the Establishment Plan and listed above.

#### Methods to Address Effects of Catastrophic and Anomalous Events

Certain catastrophic events may require the development of a more intense and urgent plan than the events outlined under the "Methods to Address Minor Erosion Control Concerns" above. These primarily include events that would cause flooding. For instance, in 1996 the Joliet, Illinois, area received over seventeen inches of rain in less than 48 hours. The level of flooding and related erosion was greater than had ever been experienced. Should such an event take place, it would be very difficult if not impossible to address while the event was in progress.

It is impossible to predict all the potential catastrophic or anomalous events that could cause significant damage to prairie plantings. If a catastrophic or anomalous event occurs, a site inspection would be done as soon as the safety of the inspector can be assured and an emergency plan will be developed and



implemented promptly following inspection unless weather or other conditions indicate it should be implemented later. The emergency plan will be consistent with the standards and practices outlined in the Establishment Plan and this Plan to ensure native perennial cover remains consistently throughout the site.

If a catastrophic flood event occurs during the growing season, an erosion plan that includes practices that closely resemble the standards and practices outlined in the Establishment Plan and in this Plan would be developed and implemented. If such an event occurred in mid-September or later, it would be impossible to establish cover prior to winter. Therefore, an erosion plan that includes standard physical erosion control structures would have to be prepared and implemented. This might include placing silt fence, straw wattles or perhaps even the excavation of a settling basin, if so warranted. In addition, a plan would be developed for the next growing season to grade if necessary and reseed in accordance with the standards and practices outlined in the Establishment Plan and this Plan. That plan would be implemented prior to July 1 of that growing season unless weather or other conditions indicate that it should be implemented later.

Other catastrophic events may be wind-based events, such as a tornado or intense straight-line winds, and these may cause trees to fall into the site from the surrounding fence lines. A site inspection would be done as soon as the safety of the inspector can be assured. Any fallen trees will be promptly removed and to the extent the prairie plantings are damaged, erosion issues will be addressed and the area reseeded per the standards and practices above.

Vandalism is another possible hazard. This would most likely involve off road vehicles illegally accessing the property and creating ruts. Ruts would be promptly filled, erosion issues would be addressed, and the area would be reseeded per the standards and practices above.

As previously stated, it is impossible to predict all the possible hazards. However, prairie plantings, in the form of Conservation Reserve Program plantings, private prairies, and remnant prairie plant communities have been shown to be exceptionally resilient in the face of disturbance.

#### **Plan Preparation**

This Plan was prepared by Carl Korfmacher, Owner, Midwest Prairies, LLC, 11847 Washington Road Edgerton, WI 53534, 800.382.1132, on behalf of The Probst Group and Belgioioso Cheese for inclusion in the Water Quality Trading Plan.