

Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Wetland ID: Adj. to W2 Sample Point 2u **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind, Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. x 1 = OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 30 x 2 = 60 x 3 = FAC spp. 20 60 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 5 1. UPL spp. 60 x 5= 2. 3. 440 Total 115 (A) 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.826 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ✓ No 10. ----☐ Yes ✓ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = ☐ Yes ✓ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * 20 FAC 1. POA PRATENSIS Ν * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA 30 **FACW** present, unless disturbed or problematic. Υ DAUCUS CAROTA 30 UPL 3. 4. TARAXACUM OFFICINALE 5 Ν FACU **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** 5. **BROMUS INERMIS** 30 UPL Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 115 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0 Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic. Remarks:

Upland old field.		



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Ogden muck NWI/WWI Classification: Mzg Wetland ID: W2 Soil Unit: Landform: Depression Local Relief: Concave Sample Point: 2w Slope (%): Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A Community ID: wet meadow Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? ☑ Yes □No 20 Ε Range: Dir: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes □ No Yes □ No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? Remarks: Sample point is located in a wet meadow community. WETS analysis indicates drier than normal antecedent moisture conditions. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present □): Primary: Secondary: B6 - Surface Soil Cracks A1 - Surface Water □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor П B1 - Water Marks C8 - Crayfish Burrows П **B2** - Sediment Deposits C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron □ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ■ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface □ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes ✓ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation: 2009 concurrence Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology. SOILS Ogden muck Map Unit Name: Series Drainage Class: very poorly Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Medisaprists Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Туре Location 16 10YR 100 mucky loam 0 2/1 22 10YR 5/1 100 16 2 --__ __ --__ clay NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A1- Histosol □ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses \$6 - Stripped Matrix Other (Explain in Remarks) A3 - Black Histic П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral V A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) **Hydric Soil Present?** ☑ Yes □ No Type: N/A Depth: N/A Remarks: First horizon has mucky modifyer when wet. The soil at the sample plot meets F1 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 7.0.

2w

Sample Point



Project/Site:

Additional Remarks: Wet meadow community.

Emerald Park Landfill Expansion

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Midwest Region

Wetland ID:

W2

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. x 1 = OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 80 x 2 = 160 x 3 = FAC spp. 15 5 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 0 1. UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 85 (A) 175 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.059 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ✓ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation □ No 10. ----✓ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Yes Total Cover = ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA FACW 1. 40 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. Euthamia graminifolia 40 Υ **FACW** present, unless disturbed or problematic. FAC Aster lanceolatus 3. 5 Ν 4. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** --5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 85 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0 Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Remarks:



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Adj. to W2 Ashkum silty clay loam NWI/WWI Classification: N/A Wetland ID: Soil Unit: Landform: Terrace Local Relief: Convex Sample Point: 3u Community ID: Old field Slope (%): 0-2 Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? ☑ Yes □No 20 Ε Range: Dir: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? 🔲 Yes 🔟 No WETS analysis indicates drier than normal antecedent moisture conditions. The sample point located on terrace, approx 3-4' above surface of Remarks: wetland. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑): Secondary: B6 - Surface Soil Cracks ☐ A1 - Surface Water □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table П B1 - Water Marks C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor C8 - Crayfish Burrows П **B2** - Sediment Deposits C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ■ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface ☐ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes √ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation: 2009 concurrence Remarks: Soil pit dry to > 20". No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. SOILS Map Unit Name: Ashkum silty clay loam Series Drainage Class: poorly Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Endoaquolls Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Туре Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Location 10YR 100 loam 0 8 2/1 М 20 2.5Y 5/2 99 2.5Y 5/6 С 8 2 1 clay loam NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present 🗵): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A1- Histosol □ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses Other (Explain in Remarks) A3 - Black Histic \$6 - Stripped Matrix П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral П A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: N/A **Hydric Soil Present?** ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: N/A Remarks: Few redoximorphic features. Doesn't meet requirement of depleted matrix. No O² roots. The soil at the sample plot does not have any field indicators of hydric soil, nor does it appear to be inundated or saturated to the surface for long periods of time during the growing season in most years.



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Wetland ID: Adj. to W2 Sample Point 3u **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind, Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. OBL spp. x 1 = Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 0 x 2 = 0 x 3 = FAC spp. 0 0 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 65 1. UPL spp. 45 x 5= 2. 3. 485 Total 110 (A) 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.409 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ✓ No 10. ----☐ Yes ✓ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = ☐ Yes ✓ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * FACU 1. Aster ericoides 40 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. **MELILOTUS ALBUS** 30 UPL present, unless disturbed or problematic. DAUCUS CAROTA UPL 3. 15 Ν 4. SONCHUS ARVENSIS 10 Ν FACU **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** CIRSIUM ARVENSE 5 N FACU 5. TARAXACUM OFFICINALE **FACU** Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 5 Ν breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. Solidago canadensis 5 Ν FACU 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 110 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No 5. 4.

Additional Remarks:

Remarks:

Topography is abrupt.		

Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.

Total Cover =

0



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Soil Unit: Muskego muck NWI/WWI Classification: F0Kf Wetland ID: W2 Landform: Depression Local Relief: Concave Sample Point: 3w Datum: N/A Community ID: Wet Meadow Slope (%): Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? ☑ Yes □No 20 Ε Range: Dir: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes □ No Yes □ No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? The sample plot is located in a wet meadow. WETS analysis indicates drier than normal antecedent moisture conditions. Remarks: **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present □): Primary: Secondary: B6 - Surface Soil Cracks ☐ A1 - Surface Water □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table П B1 - Water Marks C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor C8 - Crayfish Burrows П C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots **B2** - Sediment Deposits C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron □ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ■ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface ☐ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes ✓ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation: 2009 concurrence Remarks: The presence of 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology. SOILS Map Unit Name: Muskego muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Туре Location 10YR 100 mucky loam 0 8 2/1 10YR М 20 10YR 5/1 95 4/6 5 С 8 2 clay NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A1- Histosol □ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses \$6 - Stripped Matrix Other (Explain in Remarks) A3 - Black Histic П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral V A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface 4 A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) **Hydric Soil Present?** ☑ Yes □ No Type: N/A Depth: Remarks: Does not match Muskego muck mapped soil characteristics of being a histosol.



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Wetland ID: Sample Point W2 3w **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind, Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. x 1 = OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 100 x 2 = 200 x 3 = FAC spp. 0 0 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 1. UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 101 (A) 204 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.020 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ✓ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation □ No 10. ----✓ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Yes Total Cover = 0 □ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA 100 FACW 1. * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. CIRSIUM ARVENSE Ν **FACU** 1 present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. --4. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** --5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 101 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0

Additional Remarks:

Remarks:

Depressional wet meadow community dominated by reed canary grass.	

Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic.



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Ogden muck Soil Unit: NWI/WWI Classification: E2Ka Wetland ID: W2 Landform: Depression Local Relief: Concave Sample Point: 4w Slope (%): Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A Community ID: wet meadow Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? ☑ Yes □No 20 Range: Dir: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes □ No Yes □ No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? Reed Canary grass dominated wet meadow on deep muck soils. WETS analysis indicates drier than normal antecedent moisture conditions. Remarks: **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present □): Primary: Secondary: B6 - Surface Soil Cracks ☐ A1 - Surface Water □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns 1 A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor П B1 - Water Marks C8 - Crayfish Burrows П **B2** - Sediment Deposits C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron □ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ■ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface □ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes ✓ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation; 2009 concurrence Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology. SOILS Ogden muck Map Unit Name: Series Drainage Class: very poorly Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Medisaprists Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Туре Location **10YR** 100 mucky loam 0 24 2/1 __ ------__ __ __ __ NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A1- Histosol □ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses \$6 - Stripped Matrix Other (Explain in Remarks) A3 - Black Histic П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral V A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) **Hydric Soil Present?** ☑ Yes □ No Type: N/A Depth: N/A Remarks: Deep mucky loam surface horizon. The soil at the sample plot meets F1 Indicator described in the NRCS publication Field Indicators of Hydric Soil in the United States - version 7.0.



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Wetland ID: Sample Point W2 4w **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. x 1 = OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 60 x 2 = 120 x 3 = FAC spp. _ 20 60 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 0 1. UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 100 (A) 200 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.000 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ✓ No 10. ----✓ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = ✓ Yes ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA **FACW** 1. 60 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. TYPHA ANGUSTIFOLIA 20 **OBL** present, unless disturbed or problematic. 20 FAC 3. Aster lanceolatus 4. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** --5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 100 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0 Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Remarks: Additional Remarks:



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Ogden muck Soil Unit: NWI/WWI Classification: F0Kf Wetland ID: W2 Landform: Depression Local Relief: Concave Sample Point: 5W Community ID: Wet Meadow Slope (%): Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? ☑ Yes □No 20 Ε Range: Dir: Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes □ No Yes □ No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? 💹 Yes 🔲 No Area not plowed due to adjacency to a rock pile, so normal circumstances present. Surrounding area plowed and planted to soybean during the 2013 Remarks: growing season. WETS analysis indicates drier than normal antecedent moisture conditions, **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present □): Primary: Secondary: B6 - Surface Soil Cracks ☐ A1 - Surface Water □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table П B1 - Water Marks C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor C8 - Crayfish Burrows П **B2** - Sediment Deposits C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots ☑ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery 4 C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ■ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface □ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes ✓ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation; 2009 concurrence; FSA slides Remarks: The presence of 1 primary and 2 secondary indicators at the sample plot provides evidence of wetland hydrology. FSA slide review indicates consistent signature within this farmed area. SOILS Ogden muck Map Unit Name: Series Drainage Class: very poorly Taxonomy (Subgroup): Terric Medisaprists Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Туре Location 10YR 100 silty clay loam 0 8 2/1 10YR М 20 10YR 5/1 85 4/6 15 С 8 2 clay NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A1- Histosol □ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses \$6 - Stripped Matrix A3 - Black Histic Other (Explain in Remarks) П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral П A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface 4 A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Clay Hardpan Depth: 8" **Hydric Soil Present?** ☑ Yes □ No Remarks:



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Wetland ID: Sample Point W2 5W **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. x 1 = OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 0 x 2 = 0 x 3 = FAC spp. 85 255 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 10 1. UPL spp. 11 x 5= 2. 3. Total 106 (A) 350 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.302 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ✓ No 10. --✓ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = 0 ☐ Yes ✓ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☐ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☐ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * 80 FAC 1. Panicum capillare * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. DAUCUS CAROTA 5 Ν UPL present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. **FACU** Amaranthus retroflexus 5 Ν 4. SETARIA VIRIDIS 5 Ν UPL **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** TARAXACUM OFFICINALE 5 N FACU 5. Ambrosia trifida FAC Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 5 Ν breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. MELILOTUS ALBUS Ν UPL 1 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3,28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 106 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0 Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule; vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Remarks:

Additional Remarks:		



Project/Site:	Emerald Pa	ark Landfill Expansion	on				Stantec Project #:	193702557		Date:	10/14/13
Applicant:	ADS	•					-			County:	Waukesha
Investigator #1:				Invest	gator #2:	MC				State:	Wisconsin
		hu alau la am		IIIVESI	gator #2.		/I/WWI Classification:	. NI/A		-1	
Soil Unit:		ty clay loam						. IN/A			W3
Landform:	Depression				al Relief:		9			Sample Point:	
Slope (%):	0-2	Latitude:	: N/A	L	ongitude:	N/A		Datum:		Community ID:	wet meadow
Are climatic/hyd	Irologic cond	litions on the site ty	pical for	this time	of year?	(If no, explai	n in remarks)	☐ Yes ☑	No	Section:	36
		or Hydrology 🛘 sig					Are normal circumsta	ances presen	t?	Township:	5N
							✓ Yes	□No		•	
		or Hydrology 🛭 nat	turally pro	obiemau	C?		es			Range:	20 Dir: E
SUMMARY OF											
Hydrophytic Ve	getation Pres	sent?		Yes	□ No			Hydric Soils	Present?		
Wetland Hydrol	oav Present'	?		☑ Yes	☐ No			Is This Samu	plina Point '	Within A Wetla	ınd? ☑ Yes ■ No
Remarks:			na area is			ade \//F	TS analysis indicates				
ixemaiks.	Closed dep	ression, ourroundi	ig area is	graver	100000	aus. VVL	. 10 analysis indicates	dilei tilali ilo	illiai alitect	edent moistare	conditions.
HYDROLOGY											
Wetlend Hydre	logy Indica	toro (Chaok bara if	indicata	ro oro no	t procent						
•		itors (Check here if	indicato	is are no	n present						
<u>Primary:</u>		10/-4			F)O 14/-4-	. 04-1			Secondary:		11 O1
	A1 - Surface				B9 - Wate					B6 - Surface So	
	A2 - High Wa				B13 - Aqu					B10 - Drainage	
	A3 - Saturation				B14 - True					C2 - Dry-Seasor	
	B1 - Water M				C1 - Hydro					C8 - Crayfish Bu	
							spheres on Living Roots				Visible on Aerial Imagery
	B3 - Drift Dep				C4 - Prese					D1 - Stunted or	
	B4 - Algal Ma						duction in Tilled Soils			D2 - Geomorphi	
	B5 - Iron Dep	osits			C7 - Thin I	Muck Surf	ace		✓	D5 - FAC-Neutra	al Test
	B7 - Inundation	on Visible on Aerial Ima	agery		D9 - Gaug	e or Well	Data				
	B8 - Sparsely	Vegetated Concave S	Surface		Other (Exp	olain)					
Field Observat	ions:										
Surface Water I	Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	drology Pr	esent?	Yes □ No
Water Table Pro	esent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wettand Hy	urology i i	esent:	163 🗆 110
Saturation Pres	ent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
- Cutarution 1 100	OIII.	2 100 2 110	Вории.		()						
Describe Record	ed Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorir	ng well, a	erial phot	os, previo	us inspec	tions) if available:		2007 NRC F	Delineation; 2009	concurrence
							dono, il avallable.		2007 14110 2		CONTOUNTON
Remarks:	The presen	ce of 1 primary and	2 secon					ence of wetlar		*	Concerno
Remarks:	The presen	ce of 1 primary and	l 2 secon				ole plot provides evide	ence of wetlar		*	Concurrence
	The presen	ce of 1 primary and	l 2 secon					ence of wetlar		*	Containense
SOILS						the sam	ole plot provides evide			*	CONTRACTOR
SOILS Map Unit Name	· :	Ashkum silty clay k	oam			the sam				*	CONTOURING
SOILS	· :		oam			the sam	ole plot provides evide			*	osnounor e
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	: :group):	Ashkum silty clay lo	oam	dary ind	cators at	the sam	ole plot provides evide	poorly	nd hydrolog	y.	osition of the second of the s
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	: group): tion (Describe to th	Ashkum silty clay lo	oam	dary ind	cators at	the sam	ole plot provides evide	: poorly	nd hydrolog	y.	
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	group): tion (Describe to the	Ashkum silty clay Id Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indi	Dam cator or confirm t	dary ind the absence of in	ndicators) (Type:	the sam	ole plot provides evide eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS	=Covered/Coated Sand G	nd hydrolog Grains; Location: PL=	Y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	: group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Ashkum silty clay lo	cator or confirm t	dary ind the absence of it Matrix (Moist)	icators at	the sam	ole plot provides evide	: poorly	nd hydrolog	y.	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	group): tion (Describe to the	Ashkum silty clay Id Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indi	Dam cator or confirm t	dary ind the absence of in	ndicators) (Type:	the sam	ole plot provides evide eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS	=Covered/Coated Sand G	nd hydrolog Grains; Location: PL=	Y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6	Ashkum silty clay Id Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indi Horizon	cator or confirm to Color 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist)	ndicators.) (Type:	the sam	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist)	E-Covered/Coated Sand G Mottles %	ond hydrolog Brains; Location: PL= Type	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual to the individual	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of it Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	ndicators.) (Type: % 100 85	the sam C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	E-covered/Coated Sand G Mottles % 15	Prains; Location: PL=	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual to the individual	cator or confirm to Color 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist)	ndicators.) (Type:	the sam S C=Concentratio 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	E-covered/Costed Sand Communication Mottles Mottles % 15	rains; Location: PL= Type C	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual to the individual	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of it Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	ndicators.) (Type: % 100 85	the sam C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	E-covered/Coated Sand G Mottles % 15	Prains; Location: PL=	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual to the individual	cator or confirm Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85	the sam S C=Concentratio 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	E-covered/Costed Sand Communication Mottles Mottles % 15	rains; Location: PL= Type C	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay Id Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individ	cator or confirm Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	Secovered/Coated Sand Communication Mottles Mottles % 15	rains; Location: PL= Type C	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the indivi	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of it Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85	C=Concentration	eries Drainage Class: o, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	E-Covered/Coated Sand Communication Mottles Mottles % 15	rains; Location: PL= Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay Id Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individ	cator or confirm Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	Secovered/Coated Sand Communication Mottles Mottles % 15	rains; Location: PL= Type C	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay Id Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individ	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	dary ind the absence of it Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85	C=Concentration	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	Secovered/Coated Sand G Mottles % 15	rains; Location: PL= Type C	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the indivi	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	// mdicators.) (Type: // % // 100 // 85 //	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6	### SECOVER OF THE PROPERTY OF	Srains; Location: PL= Type C	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric 3	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20 Soil Field In	Ashkum silty clay Id Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individ	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85 e not pres	SSC=Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1	S=Covered/Coated Sand of Mottles % 15 Indicators	Type C s for Problem	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20 Soil Field In	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individual	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1	E-Covered/Coated Sand Communication Mottles % 15 Indicators	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast	Pere Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individu	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	% 100 85 e not pres 84 - Sand 55 - Sand	SC-Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric	group): tion (Describe to tr Depth 6 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histoic Er A3 - Black Hi	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the th	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	% 100 85	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	Pere Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he objector) stic n Sulfide	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam	SSC=Concentration 10YR seent y Redox y Redox y Redox y Muck M	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix neral	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individual	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	% 100 85	C=Concentratio 10YR 10YR y Gleyed I y Redox y Muck M y Gleyed M y Muck M y Gleyed I y Gleyed I y Gleyed I y Redox y Muck M y Gleyed	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix neral Matrix	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It of the state o	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	mdicators.) (Type: % 100 85	the sam S C=Concentratio 10YR ent □) y Gleyed I y Redox sed Matrix y Muck M y Muck M y Gleyed dted Matrix	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix neral Matrix	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It of the state o	Ashkum silty clay lot Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the individual	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	% 100 85	the sam S C=Concentratio 10YR ent □) y Gleyed I y Redox sed Matrix y Muck M y Muck M y Gleyed dted Matrix	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix neral Matrix	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It of the state o	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the depth needed to document the individual of the company of the compa	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators are	mdicators.) (Type: % 100 85	SC-Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix face	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifice A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls edepth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface bark Surface	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	mdicators.) (Type: % 100 85 e not pres \$4 - Sand; \$5 - Sand; \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix meral Matrix face Surface	Secovered/Coated Sand Communications Mottles % 15 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem F12 - Iron-W	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls edepth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface bark Surface	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	widicators.) (Type: % 100 85	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix meral Matrix face Surface	S=Covered/Coated Sand of Mottles % 15 Indicators	Type Type C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-M Other (Expla	y. Location M natic Soils Prairie Redox langanese Masse ain in Remarks)	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric:	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral lcky Peat or Peat	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1	% 100 85 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple F8 - Redo	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix meral Matrix face Surface	S=Covered/Coated Sand of Mottles % 15 Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-W Other (Expla	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M matic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse ain in Remarks)	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral lcky Peat or Peat	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	widicators.) (Type: % 100 85	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix meral Matrix face Surface	S=Covered/Coated Sand of Mottles % 15 Indicators	Type Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-W Other (Expla	y. Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M matic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox langanese Masse ain in Remarks)	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the comment of the individual of the individ	cator or confirm 1 Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	midicators.) (Type: % 100 85 e not pres \$4 - Sand; \$5 - Sand; \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam; F2 - Loam; F3 - Deple; F6 - Redo; F7 - Deple; F8 - Redo; N/A	SC-Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix neral Matrix face Surface ions	E-Covered/Coated Sand Communities Mottles %6 15 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Frains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-W Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the comment of the individual of the individ	cator or confirm 1 Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	midicators.) (Type: % 100 85 e not pres \$4 - Sand; \$5 - Sand; \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam; F2 - Loam; F3 - Deple; F6 - Redo; F7 - Deple; F8 - Redo; N/A	SC-Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix meral Matrix face Surface	E-Covered/Coated Sand Communities Mottles %6 15 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Frains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-W Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the comment of the individual of the individ	cator or confirm 1 Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	midicators.) (Type: % 100 85 e not pres \$4 - Sand; \$5 - Sand; \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam; F2 - Loam; F3 - Deple; F6 - Redo; F7 - Deple; F8 - Redo; N/A	SC-Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix neral Matrix face Surface ions	E-Covered/Coated Sand Communities Mottles %6 15 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Frains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-W Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 20	Ashkum silty clay k Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the individual of the comment of the individual of the individ	cator or confirm 1 Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 4/3 5/1 cators an	midicators.) (Type: % 100 85 e not pres \$4 - Sand; \$5 - Sand; \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam; F2 - Loam; F3 - Deple; F6 - Redo; F7 - Deple; F8 - Redo; N/A	SC-Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Color (Moist) 5/6 1 Matrix neral Matrix face Surface ions	E-Covered/Coated Sand Communities Mottles %6 15 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Frains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-W Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay loam



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Wetland ID: Sample Point W3 1w **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. OBL spp. x 1 = Total Cover = FACW spp. 50 x 2 = 100 x 3 = FAC spp. _ 20 60 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 0 15 FACW 1. Salix bebbiana UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. Salix interior 10 **FACW** 3. Total 135 (A) 225 4. 5. 1.667 Prevalence Index = B/A = --6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ✓ Yes □ No 10. ----✓ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Yes Total Cover = □ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * OBL Calamagrostis canadensis 40 1. * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. TYPHA ANGUSTIFOLIA 25 **OBL** present, unless disturbed or problematic. 20 Ν FAC 3. Aster lanceolatus 4. PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA Ν **FACW Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** 15 Euthamia graminifolia 10 N FACW 5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3,28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 110 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0 Meets Rapid Test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore hydrophytic vegetation is present. Remarks:

Additional Remarks:

No upland sample point taken as the surrounding land is gravel access roads associated with existing landfill infrastructure. Topography is abrupt.



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Adj. to W4 Soil Unit: Muskego muck NWI/WWI Classification: F0Kf Wetland ID: Landform: Rise Local Relief: Convex Sample Point: 1u 0-2 Community ID: old field Slope (%): Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Datum: N/A Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? ☑ Yes □No 20 Ε Range: Dir: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? Infiltation basin with excavated & graded soils. Mixed soil horizons. The sample plot is located in an old field. Remarks: **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present ☑): Primary: Secondary: ☐ A1 - Surface Water B6 - Surface Soil Cracks □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor П B1 - Water Marks C8 - Crayfish Burrows П **B2** - Sediment Deposits C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ☐ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface □ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes ✓ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? ☐ Yes ✓ No Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation: 2009 concurrence Remarks: No O² roots present. No evidence of wetland hydrology was observed at the sample plot. SOILS Map Unit Name: Muskego muck Series Drainage Class: very poorly Taxonomy (Subgroup): Limnic Haplosaprists Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles Туре (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Location 10YR 100 clay 0 6 4/3 20 10YR 4/3 6 2 50 __ __ __ __ clay 10YR 5/1 30 10YR 3/3 20 NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A1- Histosol □ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses \$6 - Stripped Matrix A3 - Black Histic Other (Explain in Remarks) П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral П A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) **Hydric Soil Present?** ☐ Yes ☑ No Type: N/A Depth: N/A Remarks: Depleted matrix component of horizon 2 is approx. 30%. 60% or more of chroma 2 or less is required for depleted matrix.



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Wetland ID: Adj. to W4 Sample Point 1u **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind, Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. x 1 = OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 5 x 2 = 10 x 3 = FAC spp. 40 120 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 35 140 1. UPL spp. 20 x 5= 100 2. 3. 370 Total 100 (A) 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.700 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ✓ No 10. ----☐ Yes ✓ No Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Yes Total Cover = ✓ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * FAC 1. POA PRATENSIS 40 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. **MELILOTUS ALBUS** 20 UPL present, unless disturbed or problematic. MEDICAGO SATIVA **FACU** 3. 20 4. Ν FACU **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** Solidago canadensis 15 5. PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA 5 N FACW Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 -breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 100 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0

Additional Remarks:

Remarks:

Slight rise above wetland swale within infiltration basin.		
		l

Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is not hydrophytic.



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Saylesville silt loam NWI/WWI Classification: N/A Wetland ID: Soil Unit: W4 Landform: Basin Local Relief: Concave Sample Point: 1W Datum: N/A Community ID: Wet Meadow Slope (%): 0-2 Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic? ☑ Yes □No 20 Ε Range: Dir: Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☑ Yes □ No Yes □ No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? 💹 Yes 🔲 No Sample point taken within constructed infiltration basin. Culvert inlet and outlet are present. WETS analysis indicates drier than normal antecedent Remarks: moisture conditions. Infiltration/storm-water basin, so potential non-jurisdictional created wetland. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present □): Primary: Secondary: B6 - Surface Soil Cracks ☐ A1 - Surface Water □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns 1 A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table П B1 - Water Marks C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor C8 - Crayfish Burrows П C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots **B2** - Sediment Deposits C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery 4 C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron ☐ D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☑ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ■ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface □ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes ✓ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? ☑ Yes ☐ No Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation: 2009 concurrence Remarks: Perched hydrology above clay soils in horizon 2. SOILS Saylesville silt loam Map Unit Name: Series Drainage Class: moderately well to well Taxonomy (Subgroup): Typic Hapludalfs Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles Туре (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Location 10YR 100 silt 0 4 3/2 20 10YR 90 4 2 5/1 __ __ __ __ clay 10YR 4/3 10 NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix A1- Histosol □ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses \$6 - Stripped Matrix A3 - Black Histic Other (Explain in Remarks) П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral П A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface 4 A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Clay 4" **Hydric Soil Present?** ☑ Yes □ No Depth: Remarks:

1W

Sample Point



Project/Site:

Emerald Park Landfill Expansion

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Midwest Region

Wetland ID:

W4

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. x 1 = OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 100 x 2 = 200 x 3 = FAC spp. 10 30 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 0 FAC 1. Populus deltoides 5 UPL spp. 0 x 5 = 2. __ 3. Total 110 (A) 230 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.091 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ✓ No 10. --✓ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = ✓ Yes 5 ☐ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA 100 FACW 1. * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. Aster lanceolatus 5 Ν FAC present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. --4. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** --5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3,28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 105 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0 Dominant vegetation was determined through use of the 50/20 rule and Prevalence Index. Vegetation at the sample plot is hydrophytic. Remarks:

Additional	Domarke
Auulliollai	Reiliai NS.

Sample point taken within infiltration basin.



Emerald Park Landfill Expansion Stantec Project #: 193702557 Date: 10/14/13 Applicant: County: Waukesha ADS Investigator #1: DP Investigator #2: MC State: Wisconsin Martinton silt loam NWI/WWI Classification: N/A Wetland ID: N/A Soil Unit: Landform: Depression Local Relief: Concave Sample Point: 5-1 Datum: N/A Community ID: Cropland Slope (%): Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ☐ Yes ☑ No 36 Section: Are Vegetation ☑ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present? 5N Township: Are Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology ☑ naturally problematic? ☐ Yes ☑No 20 Ε Range: Dir: Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soils Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? 🔲 Yes 🔟 No Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No WETS analysis indicates site conditions drier than normal. Depression in soybean field, crop may have been drowned out shortly after planting. Remarks: Potential problematic seasonal wetland interpreted to be non-wetland based on soils and vegetation indicators, **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present □): Primary: Secondary: B6 - Surface Soil Cracks ☐ A1 - Surface Water □ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves A2 - High Water Table ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns 1 A3 - Saturation B14 - True Aquatic Plants ☐ C2 - Dry-Season Water Table П B1 - Water Marks C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor C8 - Crayfish Burrows П **B2** - Sediment Deposits C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery D1 - Stunted or Stressed Plants B3 - Drift Deposits C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron B4 - Algal Mat or Crust C6 - Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery ■ D9 - Gauge or Well Data □ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface □ Other (Explain) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Wetland Hydrology Present? ☑ Yes □ No Water Table Present? ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No Depth: 12 (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: 2007 NRC Delineation: 2009 concurrence: ESA Slides Remarks: FSA slide review remarks at bottom of data form. The A3 indicator is barely within the threshold and saturation in silty clay is often difficult to discern. Surface soil cracks may have formed from a heavy rain event even if the depressional area drained. SOILS Map Unit Name: Martinton silt loam Series Drainage Class: somewhat poorly Taxonomy (Subgroup): Aquic Argiudolls Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) (Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Texture Top Bottom Matrix Mottles Туре (e.g. clay, sand, loam) Depth Depth Horizon Color (Moist) % Color (Moist) % Location 10YR 100 silty clay loam 0 12 3/1 24 10YR 5/1 100 12 2 --__ __ __ __ silty clay NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): Indicators for Problematic Soils 1 \$4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix ☐ A16 - Coast Prairie Redox A1- Histosol \$5 - Sandy Redox A2 - Histic Epipedon F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses A3 - Black Histic \$6 - Stripped Matrix Other (Explain in Remarks) П A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide F1 - Loamy Muck Mineral П A5 - Stratified Layers F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix F3 - Depleted Matrix A10 - 2 cm Muck A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface F6 - Redox Dark Surface A12 - Thick Dark Surface F7 - Depleted Dark Surface П \$1 - Sandy Muck Mineral F8 - Redox Depressions \$3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat 1 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problemation Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Clay **Hydric Soil Present?** ☐ Yes ☑ No Depth: 4" Remarks: Depleted matrix below 12". The soil at the sample point does not meet the A12 Indicator because the value is too high in the 1st horizon.



Project/Site: Wetland ID: Sample Point Emerald Park Landfill Expansion N/A 5-1 **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius % Cover Dominant Ind Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. OBL spp. x 1 = Total Cover = FACW spp. 15 x 2 = 30 FAC spp. x 3 = 30 90 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) FACU spp. x 4 = 30 1. UPL spp. 15 x 5 = 75 2. 3. Total 90 (A) 315 4. 5. 3.500 Prevalence Index = B/A = 6. 7. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 8. 9. Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☐ Yes ✓ No 10. ----☐ Yes ✓ No Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Yes Total Cover = ✓ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ✓ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * FAC 15 1. Panicum capillare * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. CHENOPODIUM ALBUM 15 **FACU** present, unless disturbed or problematic. FAC 3. Ambrosia trifida 15 4. SETARIA VIRIDIS 15 UPL **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** 10 N FACW 5. Echinochloa crus-galli **FACU** Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 Amaranthus retroflexus 10 N breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. ABUTILON THEOPHRASTI 5 Ν FACU 5 N FACW 8. Cyperus esculentus Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 90 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No 5. 4. Total Cover = 0 Apparently no soybean germination. Timing of planting may have resulted in crops being drowned out. Dominant vegetation was determined through Remarks:

Additional Remarks:

This point is located in an area that was reviewed in the FSA slide review and it was noted that this depression showed a signature in only one out of the six most recent normal precipitation years (precipitation data interpreted using the 3 months prior to the crop slide photo being taken in each year).

use of the 50/20 rule. Vegetation at the sample plot is non-hydrophytic.



Project/Site:	Emerald Pa	ark Landfill - Wester	n Expan	sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557		Date:	10/23/14
Applicant:		Disposal Services, I	NC							County:	Waukesha
Investigator #1:				Invest	igator #2:					State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Saylesville	silt loam					/I/WWI Classification	: N/A		Wetland ID:	•
Landform:	Hill Slope	1 22 1	N 1/A		cal Relief:			Б.	A1/A	Sample Point:	
Slope (%):	2-4	Latitude:			ongitude:			Datum:			Agricultural Hay Field
		litions on the site ty				(I'nd, expla	Are normal circumst		No No	Section:	36
		or Hydrology 🗆 sig					☐ Yes		13	Township:	5 N
		or Hydrology 🛭 паt	urany pr	opiemati	C?		Lives	⊍No		Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF		10		- V-	- 1			Firedate Celle	D		D.V. D.N.
Hydrophytic Ve					□ No			Hydric Soils		A (; t.)= : A \ A (- t) -	☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrol					□ No		Chains Invasibul to the a			Within A Wetla	
Remarks:		umstances assume			on vyets	analysis.	Point located in an a	ignoutural field	u with poter	itiai nydrologic	cai manipulations.
HYDROLOGY						2007					
		itors (Check here if	indicato	rs are no	t present	☑):					
Primary	<u>r:</u> A1 - Surface	\Mater			B9 - Wate	r-Stained	93//95		Secondary:	E6 - Surface So	oil Cracks
	A2 - High Wa				B13 - Aqu					E10 - Drainage	
	A3 - Saturation	on			B14 - True	e Aquatic I	Plants			C2 - Dry-Seaso	
77.00	B1 - Water M				C1 - Hydr					C8 - Crayfish B	
	B2 - Sedimer B3 - Drift Der				C3 - Oxidi		spheres on Living Roots				Visible on Aerial Imagery Stressed Plants
	B4 - Algal Ma			_			duction in Tilled Soils			D2 - Geomorph	
	B5 - Iron Dep				C7 - Thin	Muck Surf	ace			□5 - FAC-Neutr	
		on Visible on Aerial Ima									
	B8 - Sparsely	Vegetated Concave S	Surface		Other (Ex	plain in Re	marks)				
F: 1101											
Field Observat					<i>(</i> : \)						
Surface Water		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	drology Pr	esent?	Yes ☑ No
Water Table Pr		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			•			-
Saturation Pres	sent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
Describe Record	ded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorir	ng well, a	erial phot	tos, previo	us inspec	tions), if available:		Annual Crop	Slide Review	
Remarks:		gy indicators were o	bserved	FSA sli	des indica	atod unla	nda in this location (and the first of the second	Control to the land of the control of the con-
					aco maio	ateu upia	nus in this location. (Convex slope	with sample	e point severai	i teet nigner in elevation
	than adjace	ent wetland.		110/10	acs maio	ateu upia	nds in this location. (Convex slope	with sample	e point several	i feet nigher in elevation
SOILS		ent wetland.		11 67 (6.1	acs male					e point several	i teet nigher in elevation
Map Unit Name	e:	ent wetland. Saylesville silt loam		., ., .,	des maior		eries Drainage Class			e point several	teet nigher in elevation
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	e: ogroup):	ent wetland. Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs	1			S	eries Drainage Class	: moderately v	vell to well		i teet nigher in elevation
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the	ent wetland. Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs	1	the absence of i	ndicators.) (Type:	S	eries Drainage Class	: moderately v	vell to well		
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the	ent wetland. Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs re depth needed to document the indi	icator or confirm	the absence of i	ndicators.) (Type:	S	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Ret	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	well to well Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	ent wetland. Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs e depth needed to document the indi	cator or confirm	the absence of i	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features %	well to well Grains; Location: PL=		Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs re depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1	cator or confirm Color 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/2	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist)	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features %	well to well Brains: Location: PL= Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4	e: bogroup): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 4 24	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs the depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of i Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2	% 100 95	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/1	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3	well to well Frains; Location: PL= Type D	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs re depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1	cator or confirm Color 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/2	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features %	well to well Brains: Location: PL= Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4	e: bogroup): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 4 24	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs the depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of i Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2	% 100 95	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/1	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3	well to well Frains; Location: PL= Type D	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the Depth 4 24	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2	cator or confirm Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2	% 100 95	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2	rains; Location: PL= Type D C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the Depth 4 24	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs re depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2	% 100 95	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2	rains; Location: PL= Type D C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4	e: ogroup): otion (Describe to the Depth 4 24	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs the depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2	cator or confirm Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2	% 100 95	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2	rains; Location: PL= Type D C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4	e: bogroup): btion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 4 24	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs ne depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2	% 100 95		eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Rec Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2	rains; Location: PL= Type D C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs the depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators	rains; Location: PL= Type D C s for Problem	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs ne depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he	Color 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95 e not pres	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features %	rains; Location: PL= Type D C s for Problen A16 - Coast	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs re depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he	Color 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR seent y Gleyed y Redox y Redox	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features	rains; Location: PL= Type D C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs the depth needed to document the individual form Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he objedon stic	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of i Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Stripp	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR sent	eries Drainage Class Rec Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	s=Covered/Coated sand of dox Features % 3 2 Indicators	rains; Location: PL= Type D C for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge	Saylesville silt Ioam Typic Hapludalfs e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic in Sulfide	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR sent y Gleyed ly Redox oed Matrix any Muck M	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 Matrix neral	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black H A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he oppedon stic in Sulfide at Layers	Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Stripp	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR y Gleyed y Redox py Redox py Muck M wind M trix wind my Gleyed wind y Gleyed	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A1- Deplete	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs re depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Strip F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR seent y Gleyed y Redox y Redox y Redox y Redox y Muck M Might y M	eries Drainage Class Debepletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 Matrix neral Matrix face	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 4 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifiee A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D	Saylesville silt Ioam Typic Hapludalfs Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface bark Surface	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	mdicators.) (Types % 100 95	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Science of the state of the sta	Saylesville silt Ioam Typic Hapludalfs e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface park Surface luck Mineral	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	% 100 95 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Strip F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	:: moderately v	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S7 - Very Other (Explant)	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Science of the state of the sta	Saylesville silt Ioam Typic Hapludalfs Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface bark Surface	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	mdicators.) (Types % 100 95	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	:: moderately v	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S7 - Very Other (Explant)	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam es urface
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Science of the state of the sta	Saylesville silt Ioam Typic Hapludalfs e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface alark Surface luck Mineral lcky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	mdicators.) (Types % 100 95	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	:: moderately v	Type Type C C Grains; Location: PL=	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Science of the state of the sta	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs T	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	mdicators.) (Types % 100 95	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C Grains; Location: PL=	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam es urface
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Science of the state of the sta	Saylesville silt Ioam Typic Hapludalfs e depth needed to document the indi Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface alark Surface luck Mineral lcky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	mdicators.) (Types % 100 95	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam es urface
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Science of the state of the sta	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs T	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	mdicators.) (Types % 100 95	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam es urface
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 4 NRCS Hydric	Science of the state of the sta	Saylesville silt loam Typic Hapludalfs T	Color 10YR 10YR re if indic	the absence of Matrix (Moist) 3/2 3/2 cators ar	mdicators.) (Types % 100 95	c=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Ret Color (Moist) 5/1 5/3 : Matrix face Surface	: moderately v S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 3 2 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) loam silt loam silt loam es urface



Wetland ID: Adj to W1 Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion Sample Point W1-7u **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) % Cover Dominant Ind.Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. OBL spp. x 1 = Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 0 x 2 = 0 x 3 = FAC spp. 0 0 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 120 480 1. UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 120 (A) 480 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.000 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☐ Yes ☑ No 10. --__ ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = 0 ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * SCHEDONORUS ARUNDINACEUS FACU 1. 95 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE 20 Ν **FACU** present, unless disturbed or problematic. TARAXACUM OFFICINALE **FACU** 3. 5 Ν 4. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** ----5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 120 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No 4. 5. Total Cover = 0 Sample point located in a hay field, vegetation shows evidence of periodic mowing. Remarks: Additional Remarks:



		ark Landfill - Wester		sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557		Date:	10/23/14
Applicant:		Disposal Services, I	NC		""	_				County:	Waukesha
Investigator #1:				Invest	igator #2:			T0/F0//		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Saylesville				al Dallafi		/I/WWI Classification:	: 13/E2Ka			W1
Landform: Slope (%):	Depression 0-2	ı Latitude:	NI/A		cal Relief: ongitude:)	Datum:	NI/A	Sample Point: Community ID:	
		ditions on the site type					min remarks\		No	Section:	36
		or Hydrology 🗆 sigi				in no onpiu	Are normal circumst			Township:	5 N
Are Vegetation	□, Soil □,	or Hydrology □ nat						□No	7	Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF											
Hydrophytic Ve					□ No			Hydric Soils		A ()	☑ Yes □ No
Wetland Hydrol Remarks:			nemal.		□ No		Point located in a shi	is in sam	Ing Point	Within A Wetla	and? ✓ Yes No
Primary	A1 - Surface A2 - High Wa A3 - Saturatio B1 - Water M	ater Table on larks	indicator		B9 - Wate B13 - Aqu B14 - True C1 - Hydr	er-Stained atic Fauna e Aquatic I ogen Sulfi	Plants le Odor			E6 - Surface So E10 - Drainage C2 - Dry-Seasor C8 - Crayfish Bu	Patterns n Water Table urrows
	B8 - Sparsely	oosits at or Crust			C4 - Prese	ence of Re ent Iron Re Muck Surf ge or Well	duction in Tilled Soils ace Data			C9 - Saturation D1 - Stunted or D2 - Geomorphi D5 - FAC-Neutr	ic Position
Surface Water		☑ Yes □ No	Depth:	2	(in.)						
Water Table Pr		☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	6	(in.)			Wetland Hy	drology Pr	esent?	Yes □ No
Saturation Pres		☑ Yes □ No	Depth:	0	(in.)						
		eam gauge, monitorin		erial nhot	. ,	us insner	tions) if available:				
Remarks:	Depression	nai									
SOILS											
SOILS Man Unit Name	5 .	Savlesville silt Ioam				ç	eries Drainage Class:	: moderately v	vell to well		
Map Unit Name		Saylesville silt loam	l			S	eries Drainage Class:	: moderately v	vell to well		
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	ogroup):	Typic Hapludalfs		he absence of i	ndicators.) (Type:					Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	ogroup):	Typic Hapludalfs		he absence of i			n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS			Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth	ogroup): otion _(Describe to the theory) Bottom Depth	Typic Hapludalfs	cator or confirm to		%	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covered/Coated Sand G		Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	(e.g. clay, sand, loam)
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8	Typic Hapludalfs he depth needed to document the indic Horizon 1	Color (Matrix (Moist) 3/1	% 95	C=Concentration	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 5	Type	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8	Depth 8 18	Typic Hapludalfs the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color (Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2	% 95 95	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 5 5	Type C C	Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8 18 24	Typic Hapludalfs he depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color (10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1	% 95 95 95	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 5 5 5 5	Type C C C	Location M M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt loam silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18	ogroup): otion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 8 18 24	Typic Hapludalfs he depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color (10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1	% 95 95 95 	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 5 5 5	Type C C C	Location M M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt loam silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8 18 24	Typic Hapludalfs he depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color (10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1	% 95 95 95	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 5 5 5	Type C C C	Location M M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt loam silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Depth 8 18 24	Typic Hapludalfs he depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color (10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1	% 95 95 95 	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 5 5 5	Type C C C	Location M M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt loam silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Depth 8 18 24	Typic Hapludalfs he depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color (10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1 	% 95 95 95 	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 5 5 5	Type C C C	Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt loam silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18 NRCS Hydric	Degroup): Dition (Describe to the Depth 8 8 18 24	Typic Hapludalfs ne depth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check her objedon stic sh Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface Olark Surface Olark Mineral	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1	% 95 95 95 	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR sent	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features % 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Type C C C	Location M M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt y clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18 NRCS Hydric	Degroup): Dition (Describe to the Depth 8 8 18 24	Typic Hapludalfs ne depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 Idicators (check here pipedon stric en Sulfide d Layers fluck ded Below Dark Surface Dark Surface Auck Mineral ucky Peat or Peat	Color of 10YR 10YR 10YR Ter if indice	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1	% 95 95 95 95	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR sent	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features % 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Type C C C	Location M M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 18 NRCS Hydric	Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Typic Hapludalfs ne depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 Idicators (check here pipedon stric en Sulfide d Layers fluck ded Below Dark Surface Dark Surface Auck Mineral ucky Peat or Peat	Color of 10YR 10YR 10YR Ter if indice	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 4/2 5/1	% 95 95 95 95	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR sent	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS Red Color (Moist) 5/6 5/6 5/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features % 5 5 5 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type C C C	Location M M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam silt loam silty clay



Project/Site:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Midwest Region

Wetland ID:

W1

Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion Sample Point W1-7w **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) % Cover Dominant Ind.Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name Populus deltoides 10 Υ FAC 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. 7. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: x 1 = 10. OBL spp. FACW spp. x 2 = Total Cover = 10 120 240 x 3 = FAC spp._ 15 45 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 0 0 40 FACW 1. Salix interior UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 136 (A) 286 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.103 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☑ No 10. --__ __ ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = □ No ✓ Yes Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA FACW 1. 45 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. Spartina pectinata 35 Υ **FACW** present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. FAC Symphyotrichum lanceolatum Ν 5 Asclepias incarnata Ν OBL **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** 4. 1 5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 86 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 4. 5. Total Cover = 0 Remarks: Additional Remarks:



Project/Site:		ark Landfill - Wester		sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557		Date:	10/17/14
Applicant: Investigator #1:		Disposal Services, I	NC	Invocti	gator #2:	Molicea	Curran			County: State:	Waukesha Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Ashkum sil			IIIVESII	yatui #2.		/I/WWI Classification:	N/A		Wetland ID:	
Landform:	Hill Slope	rioum		Loc	al Relief:		VIII VVVVI Olabbilloation.	14/7		Sample Point:	•
Slope (%):	2-3	Latitude:	N/A		ongitude:			Datum:	N/A	Community ID:	
		litions on the site typ					marriemaiks)		No	Section:	36
		or Hydrology 🗆 sig				-	Are normal circumsta	ances present	13	Township:	5 N
		or Hydrology 🗆 nat						□No		Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF		, 0,								ŭ	
Hydrophytic Ve	getation Pres	sent?		☐ Yes	☑ No.			Hydric Soils	Present?		☐ Yes ☑ No
Wetland Hydrol	ogy Present	?		□ Yes						Within A Wetla	and? ■ Yes ■ No
Remarks:	Antecedent	moisture conditions	s normal	based o	n WETS	analysis.					
HYDROLOGY											
Wetland Hydro	ology Indica	itors (Check here if	indicato	rs are no	toresent	127 10					
Primary:		(Oncor here in	marcaro	is are no	present	C. J.			Secondary:		
	A1 - Surface				B9 - Wate					E6 - Surface So	
	A2 - High Wa				B13 - Aqu					E10 - Drainage	
	A3 - Saturation B1 - Water M				B14 - True C1 - Hydro					C2 - Dry-Season C8 - Crayfish Bu	
	B2 - Sedimer						spheres on Living Roots				Visible on Aerial Imagery
	B3 - Drift Dep				C4 - Prese					□1 - Stunted or	Stressed Plants
	B4 - Algal Ma						duction in Tilled Soils			D2 - Geomorphi	
	B5 - Iron Dep	osits on Visible on Aerial Ima	agery		C7 - Thin I D9 - Gaug				ш	D5 - FAC-Neutra	ai rest
		Vegetated Concave S			Other (Exp						
Field Observat	ions:										
Surface Water I	Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Watland Il.	dualanı Du		Van El Na
Water Table Pro	esent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	urology Pr	esent?	Yes ☑ No
Saturation Pres	ent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
Describe Record	ed Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorir	ng well, a	erial phot	os. previo	us inspec	tions), if available:				
	(,						
Remarks:	No hydrolog	gy indicators were o	bserved								
	No hydrolog	gy indicators were o	bserved								
SOILS			bserved				orios Proinago Class	noorly			
SOILS Map Unit Name	:	Ashkum si l t loam				S	eries Drainage Class:	poorly			
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	: group):	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls			ndionary (Tune)		<u> </u>	, ,	Project Legation DLs	(Para Lisias MaMatés)	
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	: group): tion (Describe to th	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls		the absence of ir	ndicators.) (Type:		n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=	=Covered/Coated Sand G	Srains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Tayture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	group): tion (Describe to the	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indic	cator or confirm t	the absence of ir Matrix			n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=	=Covered/Coated Sand G			Texture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indie	cator or confirm t	the absence of ir Matrix (Moist)	%	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=	=Covered/Coated Sand G	Туре	Location	(e.g. clay, sand, loam)
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon	Color 10YR	the absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 3/1	% 100	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist)	=Covered/Coated Sand G	Type 	Location 	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of ir Matrix (Moist)	%	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist)	=Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30	Type C	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam)
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 24	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon	Color 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand G	Type 	Location 	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 24	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70 	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 30	Type C	Location M 	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 24	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70 	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features % 30	Type C	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 24	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70 	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features % 30	Type C	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 13 24	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70 	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features % 30	Type C	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 13 24	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls ne depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70 		n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS: Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features 9/6 30	Type C	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 13 24	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70 		n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 3/6 	-Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features 96 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problem	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he oppedon	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand	10YR sent ②) y Gleyed T y Redox	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix	-Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features % 30 Indicators	Type C	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the described of the described to the described of the described	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he oppedon stic	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features % 30 Indicators	Type C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric:	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he objector) stic n Sulfide	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70 s not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam	C=Concentratio	n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS: Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features %6 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the described of the described to the described of the described	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he oppedon stic n Sulfide	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	10YR y Gleyed I y Redox ped Matrix by Muck Miy Gleyed I y Gleyed I y Gleyed I y Gleyed I y Gleyed Muck Miy Gleyed Muck Miy Gleyed Muck Miy Gleyed I y Gleyed I y Gleyed I y Gleyed Muck Miy Gleyed I y Gleyed I	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix neral Matrix	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features %6 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the state of the	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he pipedon stic n Sulfide da Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix meral Matrix face	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features %6 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls Propic Endoaquolls Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide 1 Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface bark Surface	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features %6 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St \$12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplett A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy N	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he oppedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface luck Mineral	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls e depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he objector) stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral lucky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features % 30 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric :	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplett A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy N	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls e depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he objector) stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral lucky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface luck Mineral licky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	-Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features %	Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Explain	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface luck Mineral licky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	-Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features %	Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Explain	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth	Ashkum silt loam Typic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check he bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface luck Mineral licky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 3/6	-Covered/Coated Sand Gox Features %	Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Explain	Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay

Wetland ID: Adj to W2 Sample Point W2-4u



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Midwest Region

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) % Cover Dominant Ind.Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. OBL spp. x 1 = Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 1 x 2 = x 3 = FAC spp. 0 0 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 105 420 1. UPL spp. 10 x 5= 50 2. 3. Total 116 (A) 472 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.069 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☐ Yes ☑ No 10. --__ __ ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = 0 ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * POA COMPRESSA FACU 1. 75 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. Solidago canadensis 15 Ν **FACU** present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. DAUCUS CAROTA 10 UPL Ν 4. TARAXACUM OFFICINALE 5 Ν FACU **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** Erigeron annuus 5 N FACU 5. **FACU** Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE 5 Ν breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA Ν **FACW** 1 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. 116 Total Cover = Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No 4. 5. Total Cover = 0 Remarks: Sample point contained typical old field vegetation. Additional Remarks:



Project/Site:		ark Landfill - Wester		sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557		Date:	10/17/14
Applicant: Investigator #1:		Disposal Services, II	NC	Invocti	igator #2:	Molicco	Curron			County: State:	Waukesha Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Ogden mud			invest	igator #2:		Curran /I/WWI Classification	ENKf		Wetland ID:	
Landform:	Crest	J.K.		Loc	al Relief:		71/ VV VVI Classification	i. i oki		Sample Point:	•
Slope (%):	2-4	Latitude:	N/A		ongitude:			Datum:	N/A		Agricultural Field
		ditions on the site type					n in remarks)		No	Section:	36
		or Hydrology 🗆 sigi					Are normal circumst			Township:	5 N
		or Hydrology 🗆 nat					☐ Yes	⊡No		Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF		, 0,								ŭ	
Hydrophytic Ve	getation Pre	sent?		☐ Yes	■ No			Hydric Soils	Present?		
Wetland Hydrol	logy Present	?			■ No			Is This Samp	ng Point	Within A Wetla	
Remarks:	Antecedent	t moisture conditions	normal	based o	IN WETS	analysis.	Point located in an a	gricultural field	with poter	ntial hydrologic	cal manipulations -
HYDROLOGY							ing field tiles. Normal rounded by weltands.		s interprete	ed to not be pre	esent. FSA slides show
Wetland Hydro	ology Indica	tors (Check here if	indicato	rs are no	t present	[7]):					
Primary		itoro (onocumero)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	J 4.5 110	, procent	12/			Secondary:		
	A1 - Surface				B9 - Wate					E6 - Surface So	
	A2 - High Wa A3 - Saturation				B13 - Aqu B14 - True					E10 - Drainage C2 - Dry-Seaso	
	B1 - Water M				C1 - Hydr					C8 - Crayfish Bu	
	B2 - Sedimer	nt Deposits			C3 - Oxidi	ized Rhizo	spheres on Living Roots			C9 - Saturation	Visible on Aerial Imagery
	B3 - Drift Dep						duced Iron			□1 - Stunted or	
	B4 - Algal Ma B5 - Iron Der				C7 - Thin		duction in Tilled Soils			☐2 - Geomorphi	
		on Visible on Aerial Ima	gery		D9 - Gaug				_	Lo 1710 Hour	u. 1000
	B8 - Sparsely	y Vegetated Concave S	urface		Other (Ex	plain in Re	marks)				
Field Observat	tions:										
Surface Water		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
Water Table Pr		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	drology Pr	esent?	Yes ☑ No
Saturation Pres		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
		14-54 W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W			. ,	!	Carabata and a second		1 10	01:1 0	
Describe Record	ieu Dala (Sili										
Dama ankar							tions), if available:	animatalii 4 fa		Slide Review	land FOA alidaa
Remarks:	No hydrolo	gy indicators were o					tions), if available: opographic lens appro	oximately 4 fe			land. FSA slides
	No hydrolo						<u> </u>	oximately 4 fe			land. FSA slides
SOILS	No hydrolo indicate up	gy indicators were o lands in this area.				convex t	opographic lens appro	•			land. FSA slides
SOILS Map Unit Name	No hydrologindicate up	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck	bserved.			convex t	<u> </u>	•			lland. FSA slides
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	No hydrologindicate up	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists	bserved.	Point lo	ocated on	convex t	opographic lens appro	: very poorly	et above su	urrounding wet	land, FSA slides
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	No hydrologindicate up c: ogroup): otion (Describe to the	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists	bserved.	Point lo	ocated on	convex t	opographic lens appropriet of the series Drainage Class	: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G	et above su	urrounding wet	
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	No hydrologindicate up b: c: ogroup): tion (Describe to the source) Bottom	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists he depth needed to document the indic	bserved.	Point Ico	ndicators.) (Type:	convex t	opographic lens appropriet of the series Drainage Class 1. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Rec	: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	et above su	urrounding wet	Texture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	No hydrologindicate up c: ogroup): otion (Describe to the	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists	bserved.	Point Ico	ocated on	convex t	opographic lens appropriet of the series Drainage Class	: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G	et above su	urrounding wet	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth	No hydrologindicate up group): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 6	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists ne depth needed to document the indic	bserved. autor or confirm to Color 10YR	Point Ico	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class De Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist)	: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features %	et above su	urrounding wet Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	No hydrologindicate up b: b: cgroup): tion (Describe to the strength of the s	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists he depth needed to document the indicators Horizon 1	bserved.	the absence of in Matrix (Moist)	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class Despletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, Cs Red Color (Moist)	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features %	et above su	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	No hydrologindicate up Experiment of the second of the se	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists ne depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of ii Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 10	et above su	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18	No hydrologindicate up Experiment of the control o	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indicators Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2	% 100 90 80	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 10 20	et above su Frains: Location: PL= Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18	No hydrolo: indicate up c: ogroup): btion (Describe to to Depth 6 18 24	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists ne depth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2	% 100 90 80	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 10 20	et above su Frains; Location: PL= Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18	No hydrolo: indicate up c: ogroup): btion (Describe to to Depth 6 18 24	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists ne depth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2	% 100 90 80	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 10 20	et above su Frains; Location: PL= Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18	No hydrologindicate up Experiment of the control o	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indicators Horizon 1 2 3	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 2.5Y 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 5/2 6/2	% 100 90 80	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 10 20	et above su Frains: Location: PL= Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18	No hydrologindicate up Strongroup): Stion (Describe to the strong Depth 6 18 24	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indicators Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2	96 100 90 80	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Rec Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 10 20	et above su Frains: Location: PL= Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrolo indicate up indicat	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists he depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check her	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres	Convex to SS C=Concentration 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand Of dox Features % 10 20 Indicators	Type C C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrolo indicate up c: ogroup): btion (Describe to the second of the	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists ne depth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres \$4- Sand \$5- Sand	CONVEX to SECONDENSITY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features	Type C C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrologindicate up Expression (Describe to the description of the	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indicators Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check hereopedon stic)	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pree \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stripi	Convex t	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	:: very poorly s=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 10 20 Indicators	et above su Frains: Location: PL= Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast F12 - Iron-M	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrolo- indicate up indicate to the up indicate to the up indicate to th	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here bipedon istic en Sulfide	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2	% 100 90 80 e not pres \$4- Sand \$5- Sand	CONVEX to SECOND CONTROL TO SE	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6 Adtrix	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	Type C C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils ¹ Prairie Redox	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydroloindicate up by cogroup): btion (Describe to the state of t	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres S4- Sand S5- Sand S6- Stripp F1- Loam F3 - Deple	CONVEX 1 SCC=Concentration 10YR 10YR Sent	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	Type C C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrolo: indicate up c: ogroup): btion (Describe to the state of	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists Terric Medisaprists Terric Medisaprists Terric Medisaprists Horizon 1 2 3 Idicators (check here Dipedon Istic En Sulfide d Layers Luck Terric Medisaprists Terric M	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres \$5- Sand \$6- Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Depth F6 - Redo	CONVEX to SECONDENTAL SECONDEN	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Rec Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	Type C C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrolo- indicate up Experiments to the state of the	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here beipedon istic en Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface bark Surface	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80	CONVEX to SECOND CONTROL TO SE	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Rec Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	Type C C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrolo- indicate up indica	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here beipedon istic en Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface bark Surface	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres \$5- Sand \$6- Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Depth F6 - Redo	CONVEX to SECOND CONTROL TO SE	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Rec Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features	Type C C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydrolo- indicate up indica	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80	CONVEX to SECOND CONTROL TO SE	eries Drainage Class n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Rec Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	S=Covered/Coated Sand of dox Features	Type Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay es
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydroloindicate up Experiment of the second of the sec	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists Terric Medisaprists	Color 10YR 2.5Y re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sard \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loarr F2 - Loarr F3 - Deple F6 - Redo	CONVEX to SECOND	eries Drainage Class n. D-Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	Type Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay es urface
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydroloindicate up Experiment of the second of the sec	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 2.5Y re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sard \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loarr F2 - Loarr F3 - Deple F6 - Redo	CONVEX to SECOND	eries Drainage Class n. D-Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	Type Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay es urface
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sut Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 18 NRCS Hydric	No hydroloindicate up Experiment of the second of the sec	gy indicators were o lands in this area. Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists Terric Medisaprists	Color 10YR 2.5Y re if indic	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 5/2 6/2 cators an	% 100 90 80 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sard \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loarr F2 - Loarr F3 - Deple F6 - Redo	CONVEX to SECOND	eries Drainage Class n. D-Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/6 4/6	:: very poorly S=Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features	Type Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay es urface



Wetland ID: Adj to W2 Sample Point W2-5u Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) % Cover Dominant Ind.Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. OBL spp. x 1 = FACW spp. Total Cover = 0 0 x 2 = 0 x 3 = FAC spp. 0 0 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 18 72 1. UPL spp. 50 x 5= 2. 3. Total 68 (A) 322 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.735 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☐ Yes ☑ No 10. --__ __ ☐ Yes ☑ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = 0 ☐ Yes ☑ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * UPL 1. **GLYCINE MAX** 50 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. TARAXACUM OFFICINALE 15 **FACU** present, unless disturbed or problematic. TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE FACU 3. 3 Ν 4. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** ----5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 68 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☐ Yes ☑ No 4. 5. Total Cover = 0 Healthy soybean crop observed at this location in 2013 and 2014; this and cover estimated based on stubble. Remarks: Additional Remarks:



Project/Site: Applicant:		ark Landfill - Wester Disposal Services, I		sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557		Date: County:	10/17/14 Waukesha
Investigator #1:	Eric Parker	<u>'</u>		Investi	gator #2:					State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	•	Ogden muck NWI/						F0Kf			W2
Landform:	Toeslope Local Relief: Concav						9			Sample Point:	
Slope (%):	1-2 Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A							Datum:		1 ·	Wet Meadow
	drologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, exp.						mini remails)		No	Section:	36
		or Hydrology 🗆 sigi					Are normal circumsta		13	Township:	5 N
		or Hydrology 🔲 nat	urally pro	polematic	57		Yes	□No		Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF											
Hydrophytic Veg					□ No			Hydric Soils		ACIL: - A \A/- (I-	☑ Yes □ No
Wetland Hydrol			e de marcia de la	☑ Yes			O-more a series (a series d	is ins samp	IIIng Point	Within A Wetla	and? ✓ Yes No
Remarks:							Sample point located			soybean field v	with Phalaris wet
11)/DDQ1 QQ1/	meadow ne	earby. Stubble provid	led skid	ence of t	hop stres	S. NORTH	d circumstances interp	prefer to be b	nesent.		
HYDROLOGY											
Wetland Hydro	ology Indica	tors (Check here if	indicator	s are no	t present	□):					
Primary:		10/-1			50 14/-4-	. 01-1			Secondary:	Fo. 0	11 Over also
	A1 - Surface A2 - High Wa				B9 - Wate B13 - Aqu					E6 - Surface So E10 - Drainage	
	A3 - Saturation				B14 - True					C2 - Dry-Season	
	B1 - Water M	larks			C1 - Hydro	ogen Sulfi	de Odor			C8 - Crayfish Bu	urrows
	B2 - Sedimer						spheres on Living Roots				Visible on Aerial Imagery
	B3 - Drift Dep B4 - Algal Ma				C4 - Prese		duced Iron duction in Tilled Soils			D1 - Stunted or D2 - Geomorphi	
	B5 - Iron Dep				C7 - Thin					D5 - FAC-Neutra	
	B7 - Inundation	on Visible on Aerial Ima			D9 - Gaug				_		
	B8 - Sparsely	Vegetated Concave S	urface		Other (Exp	olain in Re	marks)				
Field Observat											
Surface Water I		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hyd	drology Pr	esent?	Yes □ No
Water Table Pre		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
Saturation Pres	ent?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	0	(in.)						
Describe Record	ed Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorin	ng well, a	erial phot	os, previo	us inspec	tions), if available:		Annual Crop	Slide Review	
Remarks:	FSA slides	chow consistant we	atland by	drology	cianaturo		although a although a fire and a fill of	alamatan Cald	/	ad by this sam	and a market
		SHOW CONSISTENT WE	zuanu ny	arology :	signature	s surrour	nding adjacent small u	piana ag fiela	i (represent	ed by this sair	ipie point).
		SHOW CONSISTENT WE	suanu ny	arology :	signature	s surrour	iding adjacent small u	piand ag field	(represent	ed by this sair	ipie point).
SOILS		Show consistent we	ediand ny	arology	signature	s surrour	iding adjacent small u	piand ag field	(represent	ed by this sair	iple point).
Map Unit Name	:	Ogden muck	•	arology :	signature		eries Drainage Class:		(represent	ed by this sair	пріе роіпт).
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	: group):	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists	3			S	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly			ipie point).
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	: group): tion (Describe to th	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists	3	he absence of ir		S	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G			
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	group): tion (Describe to the	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists te depth needed to document the indice	Sator or confirm t	he absence of ir Matrix	ndicators.) (Type:	S	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Good Sand Good Seatures	Srains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth	: group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists te depth needed to document the indice Horizon	cator or confirm t	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist)	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features %	Frains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1	Color 10YR	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 2/1	% 100	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features %	Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	: group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists te depth needed to document the indice Horizon	cator or confirm t	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist)	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features %	Frains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1	Color 10YR	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 2/1	% 100	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features %	Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 22	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 30	Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 22	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G dox Features % 30	Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 22	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly -Covered/Costed Sand G tox Features % 30	Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 13 22	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly -Covered/Costed Sand G tox Features % 30	Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 13 22	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of ir	% 100 70	10YR	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 30	Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	: group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70 e not pres	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators	Type Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check her	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 30 Indicators	Type C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly -Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 30 Indicators	Type C s for Problem A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S0	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the described of the described	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here toppedon stic	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly	Type C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St TF12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 13 22	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck	Color 10YR 2.5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St TF12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the state of the	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2 cators are	% 100 70	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: n. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS= Red Color (Moist) 3/6	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St TF12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide 1 Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface bark Surface	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	sent 'y Redox y Redox y Muck M ary Gleyed Matrix y Muck M ary Gleyed deted Matrix x Dark Su teted Da	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix face Surface	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark St TF12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplette A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide 1 Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface bark Surface	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	sent 'y Redox y Redox y Muck M ary Gleyed Matrix y Muck M ary Gleyed deted Matrix x Dark Su teted Da	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix face Surface	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 30 Indicators	Type C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S1 F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Explain	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	9% 100 70	sent 'y Redox y Redox y Muck M ary Gleyed Matrix y Muck M ary Gleyed deted Matrix x Dark Su teted Da	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix face Surface Guarana Paranage Class: Red Red Red Red Red Red Red Re	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplette A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	% 100 70	Sent 19 y Gleyed it y Redox y Muck M y Gleyed Matrix y Muck M and y Gleyed deted Matrix x Dark Su teted Dark Su te	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix face Surface Guarana Paranage Class: Red Red Red Red Red Red Red Re	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 30 Indicators	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	9% 100 70	Sent 19 y Gleyed it y Redox y Muck M y Gleyed Matrix y Muck M and y Gleyed deted Matrix x Dark Su teted Dark Su te	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix face Surface Guarana Paranage Class: Red Red Red Red Red Red Red Re	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	9% 100 70	Sent 19 y Gleyed it y Redox y Muck M y Gleyed Matrix y Muck M and y Gleyed deted Matrix x Dark Su teted Dark Su te	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix face Surface Guarana Paranage Class: Red Red Red Red Red Red Red Re	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 13 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 13 22 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Ogden muck Terric Medisaprists the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide I Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 2.5Y	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 6/2	9% 100 70	Sent 19 y Gleyed it y Redox y Muck M y Gleyed Matrix y Muck M and y Gleyed deted Matrix x Dark Su teted Dark Su te	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 3/6 Matrix face Surface Guarana Paranage Class: Red Red Red Red Red Red Red Re	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 30 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Location Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) muck silty clay es

Sample Point W2-5W



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Midwest Region

Wetland ID:

W2

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) % Cover Dominant Ind.Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 10. OBL spp. x 1 = Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 10 x 2 = 20 x 3 = FAC spp. 0 ___ 0 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 0 0 1. UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 10 (A) 20 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.000 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☐ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☑ No 10. ----__ ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = 0 ✓ Yes □ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA FACW 1. 5 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. ECHINOCHLOA CRUS-GALLI 5 **FACW** present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. --**Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** 4. --5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 10 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 4. 5. Total Cover = 0 Sparse vegetation and nearby soybean stubble indicate crop stress in this part of the agricultural field. Soybeans recently harvested. Nearby but Remarks: outside the field, Phalaris dominates. Additional Remarks:



Project/Site:	Emerald Pa	ark Landfill - Wester	n Expans	sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557	•	Date:	10/17/14
Applicant:	Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion Advanced Disposal Services, INC						otanico i roject iii			County:	Waukesha
	: Eric Parker Investigator #2: Me						Curran			State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	Montgomery silty clay						/I/WWI Classification:	F2Ka		1	W2
Landform:	Hill Slope Local F							LZING		Sample Point:	
Slope (%):		Latitudo:	NI/A		onaitude:			Datum:	NI/A		Wet Meadow
							v day come dest		No	Section:	36
	ydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no e						Are normal circumsta			1	
	on □ , Soil □ , or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? on □ , Soil □, or Hydrology □ naturally problematic?								15	Township:	5 N
		or Hydrology 🗀 nat	urany pro	pplematic	S.F.		Yes	□No		Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF											
Hydrophytic Ve				Yes	☐ No			Hydric Soils			
Wetland Hydrol	ogy Present	?			□ No					Within A Wet l a	
Remarks:	Antecedent	moisture conditions	s normal	based o	n WETS	analysis.	Soils significantly dist	turbed due to	fill material	incorporated i	in the profi l e.
HYDROLOGY											
	logy Indian	tore (Chook hare f	indicator	n om on	+ areaant	-44					
		tors (Check here if	indicator	s are no	present	1 1			Cocondon.		
<u>Prim</u> ary: □	A1 - Surface	\Mater		П	B9 - Wate	r-Stained I	93//96		Secondary:	E6 - Surface So	il Cracke
	A2 - High Wa				B13 - Aqu					E10 - Drainage	
	A3 - Saturation				B14 - True					C2 - Dry-Season	
	B1 - Water M				C1 - Hydro					C8 - Crayfish Bu	
	B2 - Sedimer						spheres on Living Roots				Visible on Aerial Imagery
	B3 - Drift Dep				C4 - Prese					D1 - Stunted or	
	B4 - Algal Ma				C6 - Rece	nt Iron Red	duction in Tilled Soils		V	D2 - Geomorphi	ic Position
	B5 - Iron Dep				C7 - Thin				▽	D5 - FAC-Neutra	al Test
		on Visible on Aerial Ima			D9 - Gaug						
	B8 - Sparsely	Vegetated Concave S	urface		Other (Exp	olain in Re	marks)				
Field Observat	ions:										
Surface Water F	Present?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						V B N
Water Table Pre	esent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	arology Pr	esent?	Yes □ No
Saturation Pres		☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	24	(in.)						
		The second			, ,						
		eam gauge, monitorir				us inspec	tions), if available:				
Remarks:	Marginal we	etland hydrology, bu	ıt interpre	eted to b	e met bas	sed on tw	o secondary indicator	s and profess	siona l judgn	nent.	
Remarks:	Marginal we	etland hydrology, bu	ıt interpre	eted to b	e met bas	sed on tw	o secondary indicator	s and profess	sional judgn	nent.	
SOILS	Marginal we	etland hydrology, bu	ıt interpre	eted to b	e met bas	sed on tw	o secondary indicator	s and profess	sional judgn	nent.	
SOILS				eted to b	e met bas		·		sional judgn	nent.	
SOILS Map Unit Name	:	Montgomery silty cl	lay	eted to b	e met bas		o secondary indicator eries Drainage Class:		sional judgn	nent.	
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	: group):	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls	lay			S	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly			
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	: group): tion (Describe to th	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls	lay	he absence of ir		S	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G			Toyturo
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	group): tion (Describe to the	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls ne depth needed to document the indic	ay cator or confirm t	he absence of ir Matrix	ndicators.) (Type:	S C=Concentration	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Good Sand Go	Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	Texture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indic Horizon	cator or confirm to	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist)	ndicators.) (Type:	S C=Concentration	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Colox Features %	Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	(e.g. clay, sand, loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices Horizon	cator or confirm to	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentration	eries Drainage Class: Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand © lox Features % 2	Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 12	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indic Horizon	cator or confirm to	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist)	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2	Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	(e.g. clay, sand, loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices Horizon	cator or confirm to	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1	ndicators.) (Type:	C=Concentration	eries Drainage Class: Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand © lox Features % 2	Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6	group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 6 12	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices Horizon	cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	he absence of ir Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1	% 98 100	C=Concentration 10YR	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2	Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices of the the indi	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	he absence of in Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1	% 98 100 95 100	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand of tox Features % 2 5	Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1	% 98 100 95 100	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Debeletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly	Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices of the the ind	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1	% 98 100 95 100	10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly -Covered/Coated Sand of tox Features % 2 5	Srains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1	% 98 100 95 100	C=Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Debeletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly	Grains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices to the depth needed to document the indices of the ind	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1	% 98 100 95 100	10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly	Srains; Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices of the the ind	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	% 98 100 95 100 e not pres	SC-Concentration 10YR 10YR 10YR sent	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C s for Problem	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M matic Soils ¹	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	98 100 95 100	10YR 10YR 10YR sent) y Gleyed M	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand of lox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objeedon	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	98 100 95 100 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand	SSC-Concentration 10YR 10YR sent y Gleyed N y Redox	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly	Type C C C s for Problem A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objedon stic	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	% 98 100 95 100 en to pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stripts	10YR 10YR sent) y Gledox	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand © flox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Grains; Location: PL= Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge	Montgomery silty control vertice Endoaquolls Vertice Endoaquolls Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objector) stice in Sulfide	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	% 98 100 95 100 en not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Strip F1 - Loam	10YR	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic E; A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls Le depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he oppedon stic n Sulfide d Layers	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	98 100 95 100 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR y Gleyed N y Redox by Muck Mily y Gleyed I	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix eries Drainage Class:	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratiffec A10 - 2 cm M	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls be depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objecton stic in Sulfide it Layers luck	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	98 100 95 100 e not pres S4 - Sand S5 - Sand S6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F3 - Deple	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR y Gleyed N y Redox y Redox datrix y Muck Mi y Gleyed Matrix the ded Matrix	eries Drainage Class: De Depleton, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Adatrix meral Matrix	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A11 - Deplete	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he poipedon stic n Sulfide da Layers luck and Below Dark Surface	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	98 100 95 100	10YR 10YR 10YR 5 ent) y Gleyed N y Redox Ded Matrix y Muck Miny y Gleyed I steed Matrix x Dark Sur	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM-Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix meral Matrix face	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls Le depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objector) stic n Sulfide 1 Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface lark Surface	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	% 98 100 95 100 en not press \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Strip F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls Vertic Endoaquolls edepth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check here) bipedon stic in Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	98 100 95 100	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Goox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S1 F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Explain	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Eg. A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Montgomery silty control vertic Endoaquolls be depth needed to document the indicators. Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objectors) check he objectors it can be suffide at Layers luck and Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	% 98 100 95 100 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$5 - Stand \$7 - Deple \$6 - Redo \$7 - Deple \$7 - Redo	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils Prairie Redox urface langanese Masse Shallow Dark Suain in Remarks)	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck es
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27	Montgomery silty control vertic Endoaquolls be depth needed to document the indicators. Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objectors) check he objectors it can be suffide at Layers luck and Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	% 98 100 95 100 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$5 - Stand \$7 - Deple \$6 - Redo \$7 - Deple \$7 - Redo	10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Goox Features % 2 5 Indicators	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils Prairie Redox urface langanese Masse Shallow Dark Suain in Remarks)	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objector stice on Sulfide da Layers luck and Below Dark Surface luck Mineral lucky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR if indic	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	9% 98 100 95 100 e not pres S4 - Sand S5 - Sand S6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple F8 - Redo	10YR 10YR 10YR sent) y Gleyed N y Redox ped Matrix in y Gleyed I ted Matrix x Dark Sureted Dark S x Depressi	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix neral Matrix face surface ons	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils Prairie Redox urface langanese Masse Shallow Dark Suain in Remarks)	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck es
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Montgomery silty control vertic Endoaquolls be depth needed to document the indicators. Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objectors) check he objectors it can be suffide at Layers luck and Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR if indic	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	9% 98 100 95 100 e not pres S4 - Sand S5 - Sand S6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple F8 - Redo	10YR 10YR 10YR sent) y Gleyed N y Redox ped Matrix in y Gleyed I ted Matrix x Dark Sureted Dark S x Depressi	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix neral Matrix face surface ons	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils Prairie Redox urface langanese Masse Shallow Dark Suain in Remarks)	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objector stice on Sulfide da Layers luck and Below Dark Surface luck Mineral lucky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR if indic	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	9% 98 100 95 100 e not pres S4 - Sand S5 - Sand S6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple F8 - Redo	10YR 10YR 10YR sent) y Gleyed N y Redox ped Matrix in y Gleyed I eted Matrix x Dark Sureted Dark S x Depressi	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix neral Matrix face surface ons	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils Prairie Redox urface langanese Masse Shallow Dark Suain in Remarks)	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 6 12 24 NRCS Hydric S	group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 6 12 24 27 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 4 dicators (check he objector stice on Sulfide da Layers luck and Below Dark Surface luck Mineral lucky Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 10YR 10YR 10YR if indic	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 3/1 2/1 cators are	9% 98 100 95 100 e not pres S4 - Sand S5 - Sand S6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple F8 - Redo	10YR 10YR 10YR sent) y Gleyed N y Redox ped Matrix in y Gleyed I eted Matrix x Dark Sureted Dark S x Depressi	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix neral Matrix face surface ons	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 2 5 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M natic Soils Prairie Redox urface langanese Masse Shallow Dark Suain in Remarks)	(e.g. clay, sand, loam silty clay loam silty clay loam silty clay loam muck es



Project/Site:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Midwest Region

Wetland ID:

W2

Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion Sample Point W2-6w **VEGETATION** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) % Cover Dominant Ind.Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. 7. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: x 1 = 10. OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 106 x 2 = 212 x 3 = FAC spp. 1 3 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. FACW 1. Salix discolor 5 UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 108 (A) 219 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.028 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. ☑ Yes Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☐ No 10. --__ __ ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = ☐ No 5 ✓ Yes Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA FACW 1. 100 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. Solidago gigantea Ν **FACW** present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. Symphyotrichum lanceolatum FAC 1 Ν CIRSIUM ARVENSE Ν FACU **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** 4. 1 5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 103 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 4. 5. Total Cover = 0 Remarks: Sample point is located in a low quality wet meadow community. Additional Remarks:



Project/Site:		ark Landfill - Wester		sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557		Date:	10/17/14
Applicant:	Advanced Disposal Services, INC : Eric Parker Investigator #2: Melissa Curran									County: State:	Waukesha Wisconsin
Soil Unit:	: Eric Parker Investigator #2: Melissa Curran Muskego muck NWI/WWI Classifica							ENKf		1	W2
Landform:	Backslope Local Relief: Concave							TOR		Sample Point:	
Slope (%):	1-2	Latitude:		ongitude:		•	Datum:	N/A		Wet Meadow	
		litions on the site typ			rini remaiks)		No	Section:	36		
		or Hydrology 🗆 sign				Are normal circumsta	ances present	12	Township:	5 N	
		or Hydrology 🛚 nat						□No		Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF											
Hydrophytic Ve	getation Pres	sent?		☑ Yes	□ No		-	Hydric Soils	Present?		
Wetland Hydrol				☑ Yes				is This Samp	Ing Point	Within A Wet l a	and? ✓ Yes ✓ No
Remarks:											icantly disturbed due to
	recent mow	ing, but interpreted	to have	normal c	ircumstal	ices as c	composition of grasses	s differed in V	V-2 than in	adjacent uplan	nd hayfield.
HYDROLOGY											
Wetland Hydro	ology Indica	tors (Check here if	indicator	s are no	t present	厂);					
<u>Primary</u>	:				20 4 0-0.4600				Secondary:	5.04	
	A1 - Surface				B9 - Wate					E6 - Surface So	
	A2 - High Wa A3 - Saturation				B13 - Aqu B14 - True					E10 - Drainage C2 - Dry-Seasor	
	B1 - Water M				C1 - Hydro					C8 - Crayfish Bu	
	B2 - Sedimer				C3 - Oxidi	zed Rhizo	spheres on Living Roots				Visible on Aerial Imagery
	B3 - Drift Der				C4 - Prese					□1 - Stunted or	
	B4 - Algal Ma B5 - Iron Dep				C6 - Rece		duction in Tilled Soils			□2 - Geomorphi □5 - FAC-Neutra	
		on Visible on Aerial Ima	agery		D9 - Gaug					ES-1 AO-Neur	ai rest
		Vegetated Concave S			Other (Exp						
Field Observat	ions:										
Surface Water		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	drology Pr	esent?	Yes □ No
Water Table Pr	esent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	arology i i	esent:	163 🗀 110
Saturation Pres	ent?	☑ Yes ☐ No	Depth:	0	(in.)						
Describe Record	led Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorin	ng well, a	erial phot	os, previo	us inspec	tions), if available:		Annual Crop	Slide Review	
Remarks:	Standing w	vater exists in pocke	ets create	ed by vel	nicle ruts.	FSA slid	es support interpretat	ion of wetland	ls in this we	est lobe of W-2)
	ŭ										
				-				ion or wettane	10 III III0 WC		•
SOILS								ion of wetterio	io iii tiilo we		
SOILS Map Unit Name):	Muskego muck					eries Drainage Class:		io in tino we		
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	group):	Limnic Haplosapris				S	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly			
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	group):	Limnic Haplosapris		he absence of ir	ndicators.) (Type:	S		very poorly			
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	group):	Limnic Haplosapris		he absence of in		S	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly			Texture
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	ogroup): otion (Describe to the	Limnic Haplosapris	cator or confirm t		ndicators.) (Type:	S	eries Drainage Class:	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G			Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1	Color 10YR	Matrix (Moist) <mark>2/1</mark>	% 100	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features %	Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8 14	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	Color 10YR 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1	% 100 95	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 5	Type C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1	Color 10YR	Matrix (Moist) <mark>2/1</mark>	% 100	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features %	Type	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8 14	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1	% 100 95	C=Concentratio 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10	Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14	ogroup): otion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8 14 20	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10	Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14	ogroup): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8 14 20	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 	C=Concentratio 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10	Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14	ogroup): otion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 8 14 20	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1 	% 100 95 90 	C=Concentratio 10YR 10YR	eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly -Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10	Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14	ogroup): tion (Describe to the Depth 8 14 20	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1 	% 100 95 90 		eries Drainage Class: DeDepletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly -Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10	Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric :	pgroup): otion (Describe to the Depth 8	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1 eators are	% 100 95 90 e not pres	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly	Type Type C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	ogroup): ition (Describe to the Bottom Depth 8 14 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol	Horizon Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check her	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres	C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type C C s for Problen A16 - Coast	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	ogroup): otion (Describe to the state of th	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here)	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand	C=Concentratio 10YR 10YR Sent □ y Gleyed I y Redox	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly -Covered/Coated Sand Grown Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type C C s for Problem A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S0	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	pgroup): ption (Describe to the post of t	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here pipedon stic	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Stripp	C=Concentratio 10YR 10YR sent) y Gleyed I y Redox y Gleyd Matrix	eries Drainage Class: Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly -Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coasts S7 - Dark St F12 - Iron-M	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	pgroup): ption (Describe to the state of th	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand	SSC=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type Type C C C	Pore Lining, M=Matrix) Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	pgroup): tion (Describe to the street of th	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide 1 Layers luck	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres S4 - Sand S5 - Sand S6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F3 - Deple	Sent) y Gleyed I y Redox oed Matrix by Muck Mry Gleyed Matrix by Gleyed Beted Matrix	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	pgroup): tion (Describe to the post of th	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck and Below Dark Surface	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple	C=Concentratio 10YR 10YR Sent □) y Gleyed I y Redox y Muck M y Muck M y Muck M y Muck M teled Matrix x Dark Su	eries Drainage Class: De Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS-Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4 Matrix neral Matrix face	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 8 14 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Stratifier A10- 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck and Below Dark Surface park Surface	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple	SS C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G tox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	Soli Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic E; A3 - Black Hi A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Depleth A3 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Depleth A12 - Thick E A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M	Limnic Haplosapris te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface luck Mineral	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$5 - Sand \$6 - Stripp F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple	SS C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type C C C 5 for Problen A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S1 F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Explain	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 8 14 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic E; A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here to pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral luck Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F8 - Redo	SS C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 5 10 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	Soli Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic E; A3 - Black Hi A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Depleth A3 - Stratified A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Depleth A12 - Thick E A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here to pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral luck Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F6 - Redo F7 - Deple	SS C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Glox Features % 5 10 Indicators	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 8 14 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic E; A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here to pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral luck Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F8 - Redo	SS C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 5 10 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 8 14 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic E; A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here to pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral luck Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F8 - Redo	SS C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 5 10 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay es
Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 8 14 NRCS Hydric	Bottom Depth 8 14 20 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic E; A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Limnic Haplosapris the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 3 dicators (check here to pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ded Below Dark Surface bark Surface luck Mineral luck Peat or Peat	Color 10YR 5Y 5Y	Matrix (Moist) 2/1 3/1 6/1	% 100 95 90 e not pres \$4 - Sand \$6 - Stript F1 - Loam F2 - Loam F3 - Deple F8 - Redo	SS C=Concentratio	eries Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 4/4 4/4	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand G lox Features % 5 10 Indicators Indicators of hydrophy	Type Type C C C	Location Location M M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam silty clay clay es

Sample Point W2-7w



Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion

Project/Site:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Midwest Region

Wetland ID:

W2

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) % Cover Dominant Ind.Status Dominance Test Worksheet Species Name 2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A) 3. 4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) 5. Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) 6. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** 8. 9. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: x 1 = 10. OBL spp. Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 90 x 2 = 180 x 3 = FAC spp. 0 0 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) x 4 = FACU spp. 10 40 1. UPL spp. 0 x 5= 2. 3. Total 100 (A) 220 4. 5. Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.200 6. 7. 8. **Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:** 9. Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☑ Yes ☐ No 10. --__ ☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50% Total Cover = 0 ☑ Yes □ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * ☑ No ☐ Yes Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) ☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * PHALARIS ARUNDINACEA FACW 1. 90 * Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. TARAXACUM OFFICINALE 5 Ν **FACU** present, unless disturbed or problematic. TRIFOLIUM PRATENSE **FACU** 3. 5 Ν 4. **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** --5. Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at 6 breast height (DBH), regardless of height. 7. 8. Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. 10. 11. Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. 14. Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height. 15. Total Cover = 100 Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) 1. 3. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ No 4. 5. Total Cover = 0 Remarks: Sample point located in a low quality wet meadow community apparently being used for hay. Vegetation disturbed from recent mowing, however normal circumstances interpreted to be present.

Additional Remarks:

Additional Notice Control
Sample point exhibits wetland characteristics despite recent mowing and disturbance.



Project/Site:		ark Landfill - Wester		sion			Stantec Project #:	193702557	•	Date:	10/23/14
Applicant:		Disposal Services, I	NC							County:	Waukesha
Investigator #1:								NI/A		State:	Wisconsin
Soil Unit: Landform:	Hill Slope Local Relief: Convex						VI/WWI Classification:	N/A		Wetland ID: Sample Point:	*
Slope (%):	0-2 Latitude: N/A Longitude: N/A							Datum:	N/A		Agricultural Field
							min remarks)	☑ Yes □		Section:	36
	we climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no expanse Vegetation ☑ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed?							ances presen	17	Township:	5 N
		or Hydrology 🛚 nat	urally pro	oblemati	c?		☐ Yes	☑No		Range:	20 E
SUMMARY OF											
Hydrophytic Ve					□ No			Hydric Soils		ACIL: - A \A/- II-	☑ Yes □ No
Wetland Hydrol Remarks:			e normal		□ No		Point located in an ac	is this sam	with potor	Within A Wetla	and? Yes No
Remarks.							ied to support an uplai				
	Tronnal one	amotariood againing	a nor price	Journa II	Or I Dilliaco	merpre	to a to coppose on apica	no doto mine	in at this i	ocation and go	onig courn
HYDROLOGY								_			
	ology Indica	tors (Check here if	indicator	re are no	t present	E1.		_			
Primary:		itors (Official file)	maicato	is ale lic	v bresein	L-).			Secondary:		
	A1 - Surface				B9 - Wate					E6 - Surface So	
	A2 - High Wa A3 - Saturation				B13 - Aqu B14 - True					E10 - Drainage C2 - Dry-Seaso	
	B1 - Water M				C1 - Hydro	ogen Sulfi	de Odor			C8 - Crayfish Bu	urrows
	B2 - Sedimer						spheres on Living Roots				Visible on Aerial Imagery
	B3 - Drift Dep B4 - Algal Ma						educed Iron duction in Tilled Soils			□1 - Stunted or □2 - Geomorphi	
	B5 - Iron Dep				C7 - Thin					D5 - FAC-Neutr	
		on Visible on Aerial Ima VVegetated Concave S			D9 - Gaug Other (Ex						
	po - Sparsely	vegetated Concave S	urrace		Other (Ex	Jiain in Re	emarks)				
Field Observat	ions:										
Surface Water I		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
Water Table Pre		☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hy	drology Pr	esent?	Yes ☑ No
Saturation Pres	ent?	☐ Yes ☑ No	Depth:		(in.)						
Describe Record	ed Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorin	ng well, a	erial phot	os, previo	us insped	ctions), if available:		Annual Cror	Slide Review	
Remarks:	No primary	hydrology indicators	s observ	ed and c	nly one s			indicated up			to the south. Transect
						econdar			lands at thi	s location and	to the south. Transect SE.
SOILS						econdar ssess pi	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica	ators and elev	lands at thi	s location and	
SOILS Map Unit Name	of points go	oing NW contrast with Montgomery silty cl	th this po lay loam			econdar ssess pi	y indicator. FSA s l ides	ators and elev	lands at thi	s location and	
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub	of points go	oing NW contrast with Montgomery silty cluber Vertic Endoaquolls	th this polary	oint in the	at they po	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides rimary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class:	very poorly	lands at thi	s location and slightly going	
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	of points go : group): tion (Describe to the	oing NW contrast with Montgomery silty cluber Vertic Endoaquolls	th this polary	Dint in the	at they po	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indicaseries Drainage Class:	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Coated	lands at thi	s location and slightly going	SE.
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top	of points go : group): tion (Describe to the Bottom)	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls re depth needed to document the indic	th this po	oint in the the absence of in Matrix	at they po	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides rimary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: on, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Clox Features	lands at this vation rises	s location and slightly going s Pore Lining, M=Matrix)	SE. Texture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip	of points go : group): tion (Describe to the	oing NW contrast with Montgomery silty cluber Vertic Endoaquolls	th this po	Dint in the	at they po	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indicaseries Drainage Class:	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Coated	lands at thi	s location and slightly going	SE. Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth	of points go group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice	ay loam cator or confirm t	the absence of in Matrix (Moist)	at they po	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides rimary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: n, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS: Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Clox Features %	lands at this vation rises Grains; Location: PL=	s location and slightly going s	SE. Texture
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	of points go group): tion (Describe to tr Bottom Depth 9	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices Horizon	ay loam cater or confirm to Color 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1	ndicators.) (Type:	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides rimary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: on, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist)	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand Clox Features %	lands at this vation rises Grains; Location: PL=	s location and slightly going s	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9	group): tion (Describe to the Depth 9 24	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indices of the silt	ay loam cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1	midicators.) (Type:	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides rimary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: on, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	lands at this vation rises Grains; Location: PL= Type C	s location and slightly going support slightly going support slightly going support slightly support slightly support slightly support slightly support slightly slightly slightly support slightly sligh	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9	of points go group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 9 24	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	ay loam cater or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1	midicators.) (Type: %	econdar ssess pr S C=Concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides rimary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: On, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	lands at this vation rises Prains; Location: PL= Type C	s location and slightly going support lining, M=Matrix) Location M	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9	of points go group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 9 24	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon	ay loam cator or confirm to Color 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1	% 100 60	econdar ssess pi \$ C=Concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: On, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	lands at this vation rises Grains; Location: PL= Type C	s location and slightly going support	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9	of points go group): tion (Describe to the Bottom Depth 9 24	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	ay loam Color or confirm to 10YR 10YR	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1	% 100 60	econdar ssess pr	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: On, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	lands at this vation rises Grains; Location: PL= Type C	s location and slightly going support	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9	of points go	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	th this po	matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1	midicators.) (Type:	C=Concentration	y indicator. FSA slides rimary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: On, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS- Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Jands at this vation rises Grains; Location: PL= Type C	s location and slightly going support	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to the Depth	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2	th this po	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators and	% 100 60 en not prese	c=condar ssess pr c=concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indicas: imary hydrology indicas: series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Type Type C	s location and slightly going support the support to the support t	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here	th this po	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators arr	midicators.) (Type:	C=Concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indicas: imary hydrology indicas: series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Type Type C	s location and slightly going slight	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to the points of points of points): Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi	Montgomery silty of Vertic Endoaquolls to depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here)	th this po	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	when they possess	C=Concentration SSESS pri C=Concentration 10YR sent	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly -Covered/Coated Sand of tox Features % 40 Indicators	Jands at this vation rises Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Probler A16 - Coasts F12 - Iron-N	s location and slightly going support	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to the state of the s	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls Le depth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here spipedon stic n Sulfide	th this po	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	% 100 60	c=condar ssess pr c=concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Type Type C C s for Probler A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark \$\$ F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	S location and slightly going slight	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to the points of points of points): Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls te depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here pipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers	th this po	matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators arr	when they possess	c=condarion SSESS price	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Type Type C C s for Probler A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark \$\$ F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	s location and slightly going support	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to III) Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifiee A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls be depth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 dicators (check her bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface	th this po	Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	when they possess	C=Concentration SSESS price C=Concentration 10YR sy Gleyed y Redox ped Matrix y Muck M ode M ted Matrix x Dark Su	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Type Type C C s for Probler A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark \$\$ F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	S location and slightly going slight	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to the state of the s	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls vertic Endoaquolls edepth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface bark Surface	th this po	the absence of it Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	% 100 60	c=Concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Type Type C C s for Probler A16 - Coast \$7 - Dark \$\$ F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very	S location and slightly going slight	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to It Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Er A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A5 - Stratifier A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplett A12 - Thick E S1 - Sandy N	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls vertic Endoaquolls edepth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide d Layers luck ed Below Dark Surface bark Surface	th this po	the absence of it Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	when they possess	c=Concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly =Covered/Coated Sand of Oox Features % 40 Indicators	Type Type C Grains; Location: PL= Type C Sfor Probler A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-N TF12 - Very Other (Explain	s location and slightly going slight	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	group): group): tion (Describe to the state of the stat	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls edepth needed to document the indic Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide t Layers luck ad Below Dark Surface luck Mineral cky Peat or Peat	th this po	the absence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	% 100 60	c=Concentratio	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly Covered/Coated Sand Color Features % 40 Indicators Indicators of hydroph	Type Type C C A16 - Coast S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	S location and slightly going slight	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es urface
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide dat Jayers luck and Below Dark Surface bark Surface bark Surface lucky Peat or Peat	th this po	bint in the bence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	## they po	C=Concentration SSESS price C=Concentration 10YR	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	s location and slightly going slightly sli	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es urface
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M Type: Continued	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide dat Jayers luck and Below Dark Surface bark Surface bark Surface lucky Peat or Peat	th this po	bint in the bence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	## they po	C=Concentration SSESS price C=Concentration 10YR	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	s location and slightly going slightly sli	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es urface
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S3 - 5 cm Mu	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide dat Jayers luck and Below Dark Surface bark Surface bark Surface lucky Peat or Peat	th this po	bint in the bence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	## they po	C=Concentration SSESS price C=Concentration 10YR	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	s location and slightly going slightly sli	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es urface
SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sub Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 9 NRCS Hydric S	of points go group): tion (Describe to H Bottom Depth 9 24 Soil Field In A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Ep A3 - Black Hi A4 - Hydroge A10 - 2 cm M A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M Type: Continued	Montgomery silty cl Vertic Endoaquolls the depth needed to document the indice Horizon 1 2 dicators (check here bipedon stic n Sulfide dat Jayers luck and Below Dark Surface bark Surface bark Surface lucky Peat or Peat	th this po	bint in the bence of in Matrix (Moist) 3/1 6/1 cators are	## they po	C=Concentration SSESS price C=Concentration 10YR	y indicator. FSA slides imary hydrology indica Series Drainage Class: Red Color (Moist) 5/8	very poorly	Grains; Location: PL= Type C s for Problem S7 - Dark S F12 - Iron-M TF12 - Very Other (Expla	s location and slightly going slightly sli	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silty clay loam clay es urface



Project/Site: Emerald Park Landfill - Western Expansion Wetland ID: Adj to W2A Sample Point W2-8u

VEGETATION	(Species identified in all uppercase are non-na ot size: 30 ft radius)	tive spec	ies.)		
Tree Stratum (Fr	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status	Dominance Test Worksheet
1.		70 COVE	DOMINIANI.		Dominance Test Workshoet
2.					Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
3.					
4.					Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
5.					
6.					Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.					
8.					Prevalence Index Worksheet
9.			-		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
10.					OBL spp. 0 x 1 = 0
	Total Cover =	0			FACW spp. 10 x 2 = 20
Conling/Chrub Ct	ratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)				FAC spp. 8 x 3 = 24 FACU spp. 2 x 4 = 8
1.	atum (Plot size. 15 it radius)				FACU spp. 2
2.					от L зрр х о =
3.					Total 20 (A) 52 (B)
4.					(-)
5.					Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.600
6.					
7.					
8.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.					☐ Yes ☑ No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
10.	<u></u>				☑ Yes ☐ No Dominance Test is > 50%
	Total Cover =	0			Yes □ No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
					☐ Yes ☑ No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
	ot size: 5 ft radius)	40		EAGNA	☐ Yes ☑ No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *
1.	ECHINOCHLOA CRUS-GALLI	10	Y	FACW	* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be
3.	Agrostis hyemalis Ambrosia artemisiifolia	8	N Y	FAC FACU	present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.					Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
5.					Deminions of Vegetation offata.
6					Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at
7.					breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8.					
9.					Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28
10.					ft, tall.
11.					
12.					Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall.
13.					and woody plants loss than 5.20 ft. tall.
14.					
15.					Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.
	Total Cover =	20			
Woody Vine Strat	um (Plot size: 30 ft radius)				
1.					
2.					
3.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Present ☑ Yes ☐ №
4.			-		
5.					
<u> </u>	Total Cover =	0	<u>.</u>		
Remarks:	Soybean crop recently harvested and so species in the herb plot.	oils plov	ved; wee	ds presen	t. Had the soybean crop been present, it could potentially change the dominant

Additional Remarks:

Hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils determined present, although both factors were significantly disturbed. A lack of primary hydrology indicators, contrasting with points to the north, and FSA slide interpretations supported an upland determination.