## WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

## WHITE POTATO LAKE

## 2019-2020 CREEL SURVEY REPORT OCONTO COUNTY



Treaty Fisheries Publication

Compiled by:
Eric Brown, DNR Fisheries Technician


## CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION ..... 1
GENERAL LAKE INFORMATION ..... 2
Location ..... 2
Physical Characteristics ..... 2
Seasons Surveyed ..... 2
Weather ..... 2
Fishing Regulations ..... 2
SPECIES CATCH AND HARVEST INFORMATION ..... 2
CREEL SURVEY RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ..... 3
Survey Logistics ..... 3
General Angler Information ..... 3
RESULTS BY SPECIES ..... 3
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... 4
SUMMARY TABLES
Table 1. Sportfishing effort summary ..... 5
Table 2. Creel survey synopses ..... 6
SPECIES CATCH AND HARVEST FIGURES
Gamefish
Figure 1. Walleye ..... 7
Figure 2. Northern Pike ..... 8
Figure 3. Muskellunge ..... 9
Figure 4. Largemouth Bass ..... 10
Panfish
Figure 5. Yellow Perch ..... 11
Figure 6. Bluegill ..... 12
Figure 7. Black Crappie ..... 13
Figure 8. Pumpkinseed ..... 14
Figure 9. Rock Bass ..... 15
Figure 10. Yellow Bullhead ..... 16
Copyright 2021Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources101 S. Webster Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53707https://www.dnr.wi.gov

## INTRODUCTION

Fish populations can fluctuate due to a variety of factors including natural forces like climate, reproductive success, predation and competition. Human activities such as fish harvest, stocking, habitat change and invasive species introduction can also have significant impacts. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR) fisheries crews regularly conduct fishery surveys on lakes and reservoirs to gather the information needed to monitor changes, identify concerns, evaluate past management actions and to prescribe fishery management strategies. Netting and electrofishing surveys are used to gather data on the status of fish populations and communities, measuring such parameters as species composition, population size, reproductive success, size and age distribution and growth rates. The other key component of the fishery that we often need to measure is harvest.

We measure angler harvest by conducting creel surveys. A creel survey is an assessment tool used to sample the fishing activities of anglers on a body of water and make projections, or estimates, of harvest and other fishery parameters. Creel survey clerks work on randomlyselected days and shifts, forty hours per week. The survey is conducted during the open season for gamefish from the first Saturday in May through the first Sunday in March. Creel surveys are not conducted in November when fishing effort is low and ice conditions are often unsafe. The survey is run during daylight hours and shift times change from month to month as day length changes.

Creel survey clerks travel their lakes using a boat or snowmobile to count the number of anglers at predetermined times and to interview anglers who have completed their fishing trip. Data are collected on what species they fished for, catch, harvest, lengths of fish harvested, marks (fin clips or tags) and hours of fishing effort. Collecting completed-trip data provides the most accurate assessment of angling activities and it avoids the need to disturb anglers while they are fishing.

A computer program is used to estimate catch and harvest of each species, catch and harvest rates and fishing effort by month, as well as for the year in total. Keep in mind that these are estimates based on the best information available and not a complete accounting of effort, catch and harvest. Accurate estimates require that we sample a sufficient and representative portion of the angling activity on a lake. The accuracy of creel survey results depends on good cooperation and truthful responses by anglers when a creel clerk interviews them.

You may have encountered a DNR creel survey clerk on a recent fishing trip. We appreciate your cooperation during an interview. The survey only takes a few minutes of your time and it gives the DNR valuable information needed for management of the fishery.

This report provides estimates of:

1. Overall fishing effort (pressure)
2. Fishing effort directed at each species
3. Numbers of fish caught and harvested
4. Catch and harvest rates

Also included are a physical description of White Potato Lake, discussion of results of the survey and detailed summaries by species of fishing effort, catch and harvest.


## LOCATION

White Potato Lake is located in Oconto County near the town of Beaver.

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

White Potato Lake is a 1,023 -acre seepage lake with a maximum depth of 11 feet. Littoral substrate consists primarily of sand, muck and a small amount of gravel. White Potato Lake contains medium hard, light brown water of moderate transparency.

## SEASONS SURVEYED

The period referred to in this report as the 2019-2020 fishing season ran from May 4, 2019 through March 1, 2020. The open-water creel survey ran from May 4 through Sept. 30, 2019 and the ice fishing creel survey ran from Jan. 4, 2020 through March 12, 2020.

## WEATHER

Ice-out on White Potato Lake was around April 13, 2019. Fishable ice formed on White Potato Lake in midDecember.

## FISHING REGULATIONS

The following seasons, daily bag limits and length limits were in place on White Potato Lake during the 20192020 fishing season:

| SPECIES | SEASON | BAG <br> LIMIT | MIN. <br> SIZE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth Bass | $5 / 4-3 / 1$ | 5 | $14^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Musky | $5 / 23-11 / 30$ | 1 | $40^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Northern Pike | $5 / 4-3 / 1$ | 5 | none |
| Walleye | $5 / 4-3 / 1$ | 5 | $15^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Panfish | year round | 25 | none |
| Rock Bass | year round | none | none |

## SPECIES CATCH AND HARVEST INFORMATION

Angling effort, catch and harvest information is summarized for each species in Table 2 and Figures 1-10. Information presented about species whose fishing season extends beyond March 12 should be considered minimum estimates. Each species page has up to five graphs depicting the following:

## 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT

Total calculated number of hours during each month that anglers spent fishing for a species.
2. ESTIMATED CATCH AND HARVEST Calculated number of fish of the indicated species caught or harvested by all anglers, regardless of targeted species.

## 3. ESTIMATED SPECIFIC CATCH AND HARVEST RATES

Calculated number of hours it takes an angler to catch or harvest a fish of the indicated species. Only information from anglers who were specifically targeting that species is reported.

## 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH

All fish of a species that were measured by the clerk during the entire creel survey season.

## 5. LARGEST AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF HARVESTED FISH

Monthly largest and average length of harvested fish of a species. Only fish measured by the creel survey clerk are reported.

## CREEL SURVEY RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## SURVEY LOGISTICS

We encountered no unusual problems conducting the survey or calculating the projections contained in the report. It is important to note that the months of October and December were not surveyed. This is important when comparing these results on White Potato Lake to other creel surveys. This was the first time the DNR conducted a creel survey on White Potato Lake.

## GENERAL ANGLER INFORMATION

Anglers spent 12,442 hours, or 12.2 hours per acre, fishing White Potato Lake during the 2019-2020 season (Table 1). That was less than the Oconto County average of 39.1 hours
per acre. June was the most heavily fished month (2,400 hours) and fishing effort was lightest in September (747 hours). The creel clerks were able to conduct 240 interviews during the survey.

## RESULTS BY SPECIES

WALLEYE (Table 2, Figure 1)
Anglers spent 2,641 hours targeting walleye. The greatest fishing effort for walleye was in January ( 1,072 hours). July had the least amount of walleye fishing effort (11 hours).

Total catch of walleye was 220 fish, with a harvest of 85 . Highest catch (58 fish) occurred in June and highest harvest ( 40 fish) occurred in January. Anglers fished an average of 20.3 hours to catch and 34.6 hours to harvest a walleye during the survey. The mean length of harvested walleye was 18.4 inches and the largest measured was a 20.5 -inch fish.

## NORTHERN PIKE (Table 2, Figure 2)

Fishing effort directed at northern pike was 3,397 hours during the season. Northern pike fishing effort was greatest in February ( 1,205 hours). Total catch of northern pike was 374 fish, with a harvest of 114. Anglers fished an average of 9.8 hours to catch a northern pike during the survey. The mean length of harvested northern pike was 23.4 inches and the largest measured was a 27.0 -inch fish.

MUSKELLUNGE (Table 2, Figure 3) Anglers spent 462 hours targeting muskellunge during the season. Muskellunge fishing effort was greatest in August (200 hours). There was no documented catch or harvest of muskellunge during this survey.

LARGEMOUTH BASS (Table 2, Figure 4)
Fishing effort directed at largemouth bass was 3,459 hours during the season. Largemouth bass fishing effort was greatest in June ( 935 hours). Total catch of largemouth bass was 3,356 fish, with a harvest of 121 . Highest catch (1,452 fish) occurred in June. Anglers fished an average of 2.0 hours to catch a largemouth bass during the survey. The mean length of harvested largemouth bass was 15.0 inches and the largest measured was a 16.0-inch fish.

PANFISH (Table 2, Figures 5-10)
YELLOW PERCH received 7,972 hours of directed fishing effort. Total catch of yellow perch was 3,064 fish, with 837 harvested. The mean length of yellow perch harvested was 8.9 inches and the largest measured was 12.0 inches.

BLUEGILL directed fishing effort was 7,702 hours. Total catch of bluegill was 4,962 fish, with 1,342 harvested. The mean length of bluegill harvested was 7.8 inches and the largest measured was 9.2 inches.

BLACK CRAPPIE received 5,618 hours of directed fishing effort. Anglers caught 476 black crappie and harvested 209. The mean length of black crappie harvested was 9.6 inches and the largest was a 12.5 -inch fish.

PUMPKINSEED received 482 hours of directed fishing effort. Anglers caught 113 pumpkinseed and harvested 35. The mean length of pumpkinseed harvested was 7.3 inches and the largest was 8.0 inches.

ROCK BASS received no directed
fishing effort. Anglers caught 96 rock bass but harvested no fish.

## OTHER SPECIES

YELLOW BULLHEAD had no directed effort, but 7 fish were caught by anglers with no harvest.
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Table 1. Sportfishing effort summary, White Potato Lake, 2019-20 season. Compared to Ceded Territory averages.

| Month | Number of <br> Angler Party <br> Interviews | Total Angler <br> Hours | Oconto <br> Total Angler <br> Hours/Acre | Ceded <br> County <br> Average <br> Hours/Acre | Territory <br> Average <br> Hours/Acre |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 37 | 1832 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 5.0 |
| June | 46 | 2400 | 2.3 | 11.1 | 6.4 |
| July | 16 | 1304 | 1.3 | 11.6 | 6.8 |
| August | 13 | 1036 | 1.0 | 8.8 | 5.5 |
| September | 12 | 747 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 3.3 |
| October |  |  |  | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| December |  |  |  | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| January | 34 | 1803 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 1.6 |
| February | 68 | 2176 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 1.6 |
| March | 14 | 1144 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| *Summer Total | 124 | 7319 | 7.2 | 43.0 | 28.5 |
| *Winter Total | 116 | 5123 | 5.0 | 13.7 | 4.5 |
| Grand Total | 240 | 12442 | 12.2 | 39.1 | 33.0 |

*"Summer" is May-October; "Winter" is December-March. White Potato Lake was not creeled in October or December during this survey.

Number of Angler Party Interviews is the number of groups of anglers interviewed by the creel clerk. A party is considered the members of a group who fish together in the same boat, ice shanty, or from shore. The clerk fills out one interview form for each group of anglers. The number of individual anglers actually contacted by the clerk is usually much greater than the number of groups listed in this table since most groups consist of more than one angler.

Total Angler Hours is the estimated total number of hours that anglers spent fishing on White Potato Lake during each month surveyed.

Total Angler Hours/Acre is the total angler hours divided by the area of the lake in acres. This is useful in order to compare effort on White Potato Lake to other lakes.

County Average Hours/Acre is the average angler effort in hours per acre for county lakes that have been surveyed since 1990. This value is useful for fishing pressure comparisons with other waters.

Ceded Territory Average Hours/Acre is the average angler effort in hours per acre for inland lakes in the Ceded Territory that have been surveyed since 1990. This value can be used to compare White Potato Lake to other lakes in northern Wisconsin.

Table 2. Creel survey synopses of White Potato Lake 2019-20 fishing season.

## CREEL YEAR: 2019-20

| SPECIES | DIRECTED EFFORT (Hours) | PERCENT OF TOTAL | TOTAL CATCH | SPECIFIC CATCH RATE (Hrs/Fish) * | TOTAL HARVEST | SPECIFIC HARVEST RATE (Hrs/Fish) ** | MEAN LENGTH OF HARVESTED FISH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye | 2,641 | 8.3\% | 220 | 20.3 | 85 | 34.6 | 18.4 |
| Northern Pike | 3,397 | 10.7\% | 374 | 9.8 | 114 | 29.8 | 23.4 |
| Muskellunge | 462 | 1.5\% | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Largemouth Bass | 3,459 | 10.9\% | 3,356 | 2.0 | 121 | 59.4 | 15.0 |
| Yellow Perch | 7,972 | 25.1\% | 3,064 | 2.8 | 837 | 9.5 | 8.9 |
| Bluegill | 7,702 | 24.3\% | 4,962 | 1.6 | 1,342 | 5.8 | 7.8 |
| Black Crappie | 5,618 | 17.7\% | 476 | 12.1 | 209 | 26.8 | 9.6 |
| Pumpkinseed | 482 | 1.5\% | 113 | 4.3 | 35 | 13.8 | 7.3 |
| Rock Bass | 0 | 0.0\% | 96 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Yellow Bullhead | 0 | 0.0\% | 7 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |

*A blank cell in this column indicates that no fish of a given species were caught by anglers who specifically targeted that species.
${ }^{*}$ A blank cell in this column indicates that no fish of a given species were harvested by anglers who specifically targeted that species.
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Figure 1. Walleye sportfishing effort, catch, harvest, and length distribution, White Potato Lake, during 2019-20.
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Figure 2. Northern Pike sportfishing effort, catch, harvest, and length distribution, White Potato Lake, during 2019-20.
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Figure 4. Largemouth Bass sportfishing effort, catch, harvest, and length distribution, White Potato Lake, during 2019-20.

## YELLOW PERCH



Figure 5. Yellow Perch sportfishing effort, catch, harvest, and length distribution, White Potato Lake, during 2019-20.
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Figure 6. Bluegill sportfishing effort, catch, harvest, and length distribution, White Potato Lake, during 2019-20.
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Figure 7. Black Crappie sportfishing effort, catch, harvest, and length distribution, White Potato Lake, during 2019-20.


Figure 8. Pumkinseed sportfishing effort, catch, harvest, and length distribution, White Potato Lake, during 2019-20.





