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## INTRODUCTION

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR) regularly conducts fishery surveys on lakes and reservoirs to gather information on species composition, population size, reproductive success, size/age distribution, and growth rates. The information from the netting and electrofishing surveys helps the DNR determine the best management practices for that body of water. Another important aspect of a fishery is the amount of harvest that occurs on the lake. This information is collected by creel census or creel survey.

On lakes in the Ceded Territory of Wisconsin, the harvest of fish is divided between sport anglers and the six Ojibwe tribal bands. The six Ojibwe tribal bands harvest fish under rights governed by federal treaties of 1837 and 1842. Most tribal fish harvest is done by spearing during a short period of time in the spring. All speared fish are individually counted by tribal creel clerks, allowing for a complete "census" of the tribal fish harvest in the spring.

We also measure the sport angler harvest to assess its impact on the fishery. It would be highly impractical and very costly to conduct a complete census of every angler who fishes on a lake. A creel survey is conducted to estimate the amount of fish harvested by sports anglers.

A creel survey is an assessment tool used to sample the fishing activities of sport anglers and estimate fish harvest on a body of water as well as other fishery parameters such as sizes of fish harvested. Creel clerks conduct random counts of people fishing, as well as do interviews of anglers that have completed their fishing trips on randomly selected days and shifts. The survey is conducted during daylight hours throughout the open season for gamefish from the first Saturday in May through the first Sunday in March. Creel surveys are not conducted in November when fishing effort is low, and ice conditions are often unsafe.

The information collected from anglers during the interview process includes the species of fish being targeted, catch and harvest, lengths of harvested fish, and hours of fishing effort. Typically, only anglers that have completed their fishing trip are interviewed because it provides the most accurate information and it avoids the need to disturb anglers while they are fishing.

You may have encountered one of the DNR creel clerks on a recent fishing trip. We appreciate your cooperation during an interview. The survey only takes a moment of your time, and it provides the DNR with valuable information required for management of the fishery.

The data collected during the survey is processed by a computer program and summarized by month to calculate estimates of the total fishing pressure, fishing effort directed at each species, catch and harvest rates, and the number of fish caught and harvested.

This creel survey report will provide you with four types of estimated information for this body of water:

1. Overall fishing pressure
2. Fishing effort directed at each species
3. Catch and harvest rates
4. Numbers of fish caught and harvested.

Also included in this report are physical information about the lake, a discussion of results of this survey and detailed summaries by species.


NAMEKAGON
LAKE

## LOCATION

Namekagon Lake is in Bayfield County near the town of Cable.

## PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

Namekagon Lake is a 3227-acre drainage lake with a maximum depth of 51 feet. It is the headwaters of the Namekagon River.

## SEASONS SURVEYED

The period referred to in this report as the 2021-22 fishing season ran from May 1, 2021 through March 6, 2022. The open-water creel survey ran from May 1 through Oct. 31, 2021 and the ice fishing creel survey ran from Dec. 1, 2021 through March 6, 2022.

## FISHING REGULATIONS

The following seasons, daily bag limits and length limits were in place on Namekagon Lake during the 2021-22 fishing season:

| SPECIES | SEASON | BAG <br> LIMIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN. } \\ & \text { SIZE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth Bass | 5/ 1-3/6 | 5 | None |
|  | C\&Rall other times of year |  |  |
| Smallmouth Bass | 6/ 19-3/6 | 1 | 18" |
|  | C\&Rall other times of year |  |  |
| Musky | 5/ 29-12/31 | 1 | 50 |
|  | On open water only |  |  |
| Northern Pike | 5/ 1-3/6 | 5 | None |
| Walleye | 5/1-3/6 | 3 | 15" |
|  | 20"-24" Protected Slot, 1>24" |  |  |
| Panfish | Open all year | 25 | None |
| Rock Bass | Open all year | None | None |

## SPECIES CATCH AND HARVEST INFORMATION

Summaries of angling effort, catch and harvest information for each species are in Table 1 and Figures 1-11, along with a comparison of these statistics with the previous creel survey in Table 2. Information about species with fishing seasons extending beyond March 7 should be considered minimum estimates. Each species page has up to five graphs depicting the following:

## 1. DIRECTED FISHING EFFORT

The estimated number of hours during each month that anglers spent fishing for a species.
2. TOTAL CATCH AND HARVEST

The estimated number of fish of the indicated species caught or harvested by all anglers, regardless of targeted species.
3. SPECIFIC CATCH AND HARVEST RATES The estimated number of hours it takes an angler to catch or harvest a fish of the indicated species. Only information from anglers who were specifically targeting that species is reported.
4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH
All fish of a species that were measured by the clerk during the entire creel survey season.

## 5. LARGEST AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF HARVESTED FISH

The largest and average (mean) length of a species of fish harvested. Only fish measured by the creel survey clerk are reported.
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## WALLEYE



Figure 1. Walleye sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.


## NORTHERN PIKE
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Figure 2. Northern Pike sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.


Figure 3. Muskellunge sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.


Figure 4. Smallmouth Bass sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.

## LARGEMOUTH BASS
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Figure 5. Largemouth Bass sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.

## YELLOW PERCH
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Figure 6. Yellow Perch sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.

## BLUEGILL



Figure 7. Bluegill sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.


Figure 8. Black Crappie sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.


Figure 9. Pumpkinseed sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.


Figure 10. Rock Bass sportfishing effort, catch, harvest and length distribution on Namekagon Lake during 2021-2022.

## FIGURE 11. TOTAL ANNUAL ANGLER DIRECTED EFFORT BY SPECIES

## Namekagon Lake 2021-2022

This graph illustrates the percentage of time that anglers spent fishing for each species during the entire creel survey. The percentages are based on the species of fish anglers told the clerk they were fishing for, not what they actually caught. If a particular species is not present in the graph it is because no one reported they were fishing for that species.


Table 1. Sportfishing effort summary for Namekagon Lake during the 2021-22 season compared to 200203 creel results from Namekagon Lake, Bayfield County and Ceded Territory averages.

| Month | Number of <br> Angler Party <br> Interviews | Total Angler <br> Hours | Total Angler <br> Hours/Acre | 2002-03 <br> Total Angler <br> Hours/Acre | Bayfield <br> County <br> Average <br> Hours/Acre | Ceded <br> Territory <br> Average <br> Hours/Acre |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 48 | 7977 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
| June | 56 | 14463 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
| July | 52 | 9361 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.6 |
| August | 34 | 8828 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 5.2 |
| September | 27 | 9538 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| October | 63 | 9231 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| December | 26 | 2014 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| January | 19 | 1833 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
| February | 25 | 1170 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| March | 3 | 178 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Summer Total | 280 | 59,398 | 18.4 | 22.8 | 19.9 | 27.4 |
| Winter Total | 73 | 5,194 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 4.6 |
| Grand Total | 353 | 64,592 | 20.0 | 24.9 | 22.2 | 32.0 |

Note: Summer is May-October; Winter is December-March
Number of Angler Party Interviews is the number of groups of anglers interviewed by the creel clerk. A party is considered the members of a group who fish together in the same boat, ice shanty or from shore. The clerk fills out one interview form for each group of anglers. The number of individual anglers actually contacted by the clerk is usually much greater than the number of groups listed in this table since most groups consist of more than one angler.

Total Angler Hours is the estimated total number of hours that anglers spent fishing on Namekagon Lake during each month surveyed.

Total Angler Hours/Acre is the total angler hours divided by the area of the lake in acres. This is useful in order to compare effort on Namekagon Lake to other lakes.

2002-03 Total Angler Hours/Acre is the total angler hours divided by the area of the lake in acres. This is from the previous creel survey that took place on Namekagon Lake.

County Average Hours/Acre is the average angler effort in hours per acre for county lakes that have been surveyed since 1990. This value is useful for fishing pressure comparisons with other waters.

Ceded Territory Average Hours/Acre is the average angler effort in hours per acre for inland lakes in the Ceded Territory that have been surveyed since 1990. This value can be used to compare Namekagon Lake to other lakes in northern Wisconsin.

Table 2. Comparison of creel survey synopses, Namekagon Lake, 2021-22 and 2002-03 fishing seasons.
CREEL YEAR: 2021-2022

| SPECIES | DIRECTED <br> EFFORT <br> (Hours) | PERCENT OF TOTAL | TOTAL CATCH | SPECIFIC CATCH RATE (Hrs/Fish) | TOTAL HARVEST | SPECIFIC <br> HARVEST <br> RATE <br> (Hrs/Fish) | MEAN LENGTH OF HARVESTED FISH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye | 13,688 | 15.7\% | 8,347 | 1.7 | 705 | 23.7 | 17.3 |
| Northern Pike | 8,739 | 10.0\% | 5,160 | 4.4 | 299 | 57.1 | 24.7 |
| Muskellunge | 23,765 | 27.3\% | 443 | 61.7 | 0 | * | ** |
| Smallmouth Bass | 5,062 | 5.8\% | 801 | 12.4 | 0 | * | ** |
| Largemouth Bass | 5,744 | 6.6\% | 508 | 13.5 | 62 | 294.1 | 14.2 |
| Yellow Perch | 1,558 | 1.8\% | 3,063 | 2.2 | 240 | 8.9 | 8.6 |
| Bluegill | 10,198 | 11.7\% | 14,333 | 0.8 | 4,815 | 2.2 | 7.7 |
| Black Crappie | 17,547 | 20.2\% | 14,567 | 1.2 | 7,011 | 2.5 | 10.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | 124 | 0.1\% | 100 | 3.7 | 100 | 3.7 | 8.1 |
| Rock Bass | 656 | 0.8\% | 954 | 1.2 | 174 | 36.2 | 8.4 |

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}$
CREEL YEAR: 2002-03

| SPECIES | DIRECTED <br> EFFORT <br> (Hours) | PERCENT OF TOTAL | TOTAL CATCH | SPECIFIC CATCH RATE (Hrs/Fish) | TOTAL HARVEST | SPECIFIC <br> HARVEST <br> RATE <br> (Hrs/Fish) | MEAN LENGTH OF HARVESTED FISH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye | 22995 | 23.52\% | 7327 | 3.3 | 2899 | 8.2 | 14.2 |
| Northern Pike | 15029 | 15.37\% | 14107 | 2.2 | 2622 | 6.5 | 22.2 |
| Muskellunge | 24659 | 25.22\% | 306 | 92.0 | 0 | * | ** |
| Smallmouth Bass | 3061 | 3.13\% | 1577 | 2.9 | 21 | 143.8 | ** |
| Largemouth Bass | 3157 | 3.23\% | 618 | 9.5 | 30 | 104.5 | 16.2 |
| Yellow Perch | 2573 | 2.63\% | 4845 | 2.3 | 2094 | 4.6 | 9.0 |
| Bluegill | 13945 | 14.26\% | 33775 | 0.5 | 8357 | 1.7 | 7.2 |
| Black Crappie | 10457 | 10.70\% | 6765 | 1.6 | 5188 | 2.1 | 10.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | 610 | 0.62\% | 1526 | 0.7 | 396 | 1.8 | 6.6 |
| Rock Bass | 1275 | 1.30\% | 1828 | 2.0 | 207 | 10.9 | 8.2 |

Note: If a species is not shown in a table, no data was collected by the creel clerks for that species.

* Indicates that no fish of this species were caught or harvested (depending on the column) by anglers who specifically targeted this species.
** Indicates that no fish were measured by the creel clerks for this species
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