

## SFR Fishing Pier Facility Scoring Sheet

| <b>Location 0 - 12 Pts</b>           |  | Possible Points | Score    |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|----------|
| 1                                    | Built coincident with a boat launch but located separate from the launch ramp/boarding dock to create safer operations for both user groups.   | 0-4             |          |
| 2                                    | Proximity to people/users; is it located near a local community, cluster of housing, or within a campground (NOT a population score).  | 0-4             |          |
| 3                                    | Is it the first public fishing facility on a waterbody; or greater than 10 miles from the nearest public fishing facility if on a Great Lake, river, stream or creek?                        | 0 or 3          |          |
| 4                                    | Is it in a location that has connectivity to users other than cars; is it on a bike trail, hiking route, motorized trail, or other recreational/community thoroughfare?                      | 0 or 1          |          |
| <b>Facility Components 0 - 6 Pts</b> |  | Possible Points | Score    |
| 5                                    | Does it have safe adequate parking available within reasonable walking distance to the pier?   | 0-3             |          |
| 6                                    | Are there any support facilities that will make this pier usable by a wider audience - ie: driveway, signage, restroom, lighting?  | 0-3             |          |
| <b>Project Applicant 0 - 9 Pts</b>   |  | Possible Points | Score    |
| 7                                    | Does the applicant have partners who are helping with this project (documentation req'd):  |                 |          |
|                                      | - With funding for the project or long-term O&M of the facility  | 1               |          |
|                                      | - Partners who promote fishing and/or are associated with an Angler R3 funded project  | 2               |          |
| 8                                    | Does or will the applicant advertise the facility to as many users as possible:  |                 |          |
|                                      | - On a website or social media   | 1               |          |
|                                      | - Cooperatively on other sites, databases, events, etc   | 1               |          |
| 9                                    | Does the applicant have a documented plan which the pier is contained in (outdoor recreation plan, needs assessment, etc.)?  | 2               |          |
| 10                                   | Does the applicant have; staff or members who will maintain the facility, a documented O&M plan for the facility, and/or funding to cover O&M for the usable life of the project components? | 2               |          |
|                                      |  | <b>TOTAL</b>    | <b>0</b> |

## SFR Fishing Pier Facility Scoring Sheet

| <b>Biologist Questionnaire 0 - 13</b> |   | Possible Points    | Score |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------|-------|
| 11                                    | A good variety of fish or a good quantity of fish to make it enjoyable for all levels of fishing enthusiasts.   | 0-2                |       |
|                                       |   |                    |       |
| 12                                    | Does the location of the fishing pier on the waterbody make it more likely that fishing will be successful from this pier. (adequate water depth, not adjacent to swimming/boating, or not overgrown with aquatic plants)?          | 0-5                |       |
|                                       |   |                    |       |
| 13                                    | Is this an area of the waterbody that has low concern of environmental risk and controversial issues for the pier? (ice shoves, damaging waves or current, flooding that damaged previous facilities, previous vandalism problems)? |                    |       |
|                                       | - Low risk - has no issues of concern   | 3                  |       |
|                                       | - Medium risk - has issue of concern  | 1-2                |       |
|                                       | - High risk - has several issues of concern   | 0                  |       |
|                                       |   |                    |       |
| 14                                    | Is this an area that has a known demand for increased fishing opportunities?  | 0-3                |       |
|                                       |   |                    |       |
|                                       |   | <b>TOTAL</b>       | 0     |
|                                       |   |                    |       |
|                                       |   | <b>GRAND TOTAL</b> | 0     |