

October 15, 2021

Candace Sykora Hydrogeologist Remediation and Redevelopment Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 890 Spruce St Baldwin, WI 54002

RE: Site Investigation Work Plan WM Waste, Inc. Facility 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, Racine County, WI 53182 BRRTS Activity # 02-52-586974 DNR FID # 252195350

Dear Ms. Sykora:

On behalf of WM Waste, Inc. (WM Waste), Cornerstone Environmental Group, LLC, a Tetra Tech Company (Tetra Tech) has prepared this Site Investigation Work Plan (SIWP) for the facility located at 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, WI. The SIWP has been prepared in response to a Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) letter dated July 14, 2021 (Attachment 1).

The purpose of this SIWP is to complete a Wisconsin Administrative Code (WAC) NR 716 compliant site investigation to define the extent and magnitude of residual contamination associated with the release of impacted carbon during carbon change-out activities that is the subject of BRRTS # 02-52-586974, following the completion of interim response actions. To obtain closure of this BRRTS case number, the WDNR has indicated that the impacted areas of the facility associated with that release must be remediated to the standard residual contaminant limits (RCLs). The RCL for mercury for direct contact is 3.3 mg/kg and the RCL for groundwater is 0.208 mg/kg. This investigation will determine the degree and extent of residual mercury contamination from the subject release using the RCLs and the need for additional remedial actions, if any, to meet the RCL standards. A brief project background, proposed scope of services, and tentative schedule are provided in the following sections. We are requesting that the WDNR review this SIWP. The review fee will be submitted by WM Waste.

Site Information

Site name: WM Waste, Inc. Facility

Address: 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, Racine County, WI 53182

Parcel IDs: 006-03-20-36-031-018; 006-03-20-36-031-017; 006-03-20-36-029-000; 006-03-20-36-031-022; 006-03-20-36-031-021

Site location: Northeast ¼ of the Northeast ¼ of section 36 of Township 3 North and Range 20 East, Racine County, Wisconsin

Responsible Party's name and address: WM Waste, Inc., 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, Racine County, WI 53182

Consultant name and address: Tetra Tech, 8413 Excelsior Drive suite 160, Madison, WI , 53717

Background and Scoping of Investigation

The facility was historically used as a mercury recycling and licensed hazardous waste storage and treatment facility. Mercury recycling activities were conducted utilizing retort ovens. Emissions from the mercury retort ovens were directed to a granular activated carbon (GAC) system. The facility no longer operates the ovens nor processes mercury for recycling. Nonetheless, the GAC system remains operational at the facility.

The GAC's carbon media is replaced approximately every five years. The site is located in a small industrial park and is bordered to the north by Durand Avenue followed by agricultural land. The remainder of the surrounding area consists of industrial properties to the south and residences to the east and west. The site location is shown on Figure 1.

The WDNR was notified in August 2001 that soils at the facility were impacted with mercury. In 2008, a Site Investigation was performed and the WDNR issued case closure on June 18, 2009 with continuing obligations related to residual soil contamination left in place at the site. Requirements included sampling and analysis of any excavated soil from the site, as well as a determination as to whether the material is considered a solid or hazardous waste. A soil sampling event occurred in September 2010 where samples were collected from the facility and select surrounding properties. All results were below the site-specific standard for mercury of 10 mg/kg.

According to Condition 59.f of the facility's Feasibility and Plan of Operation Report (FPOR) approval from the WDNR dated August 18, 2011, biennial collection of a minimum of 70 soil samples are to be analyzed for total mercury commencing in 2012. The Sample Locations and Summary of Historical Soil Analytical Results are provided in (Attachment 2). Results at or above the site-specific standard of 10 mg/kg must be reported to the WDNR. Biennial sampling occurred at the site from 2012 through 2018 with no exceedances of the site-specific standard.

On August 28, 2020, the biennial soil sampling event was conducted by Environmental Monitoring & Technologies, Inc. (EMT) in accordance with the approved FPOR. EMT collected grab soil samples from the facility and submitted them to their certified lab for mercury analysis. Lab results were received on September 11, 2020 identifying that seven of the 89 samples collected exceeded the site-specific standard of 10 mg/kg. The suspected source of the elevated concentrations is spillage of approximately one gallon of carbon media that occurred during the last GAC changeout event in September 26, 2018. WM Waste was not aware of the release prior to the 2020 sampling event. The changeout was reportedly performed by new employees, and although plastic tarping was used, carbon media was spilled on the ground surface near the carbon vessels on the west side of the facility while being transferred to totes.

Immediate non-emergency remedial action was taken to address the site-specific exceedances. Over-excavation of contaminated soil was conducted from December 10, 2020 through December 16, 2020. WM Waste personnel over-excavated soils to a depth of approximately 1-foot below ground surface (bgs) based on analytical results and visual observations. The approximate extent of the excavation is shown of Figure 2. Post-excavation confirmation sample results were well below the site-specific standard of 10 mg/kg as well as the direct contact RCL of 3.3 mg/kg as reported in the February 2021 Request for No Further Action Letter (Attachment 10).

If precipitation were to have come in contact with the spilled GAC prior to the remediation it would have flowed to the stormwater drain located on the western side of the facility within the excavation area. The stormwater drains discharge to a stormwater retention pond. The stormwater retention pond was constructed circa 2008 (based on an aerial photo review) to manage stormwater from the site in accordance with Conditions 60 and 61 of the facility's FPOR. The pond is designed with a 2-foot-thick clay liner to protect the groundwater from infiltration. The as-built elevations for the pond, pond design specifications and plans and the stormwater flow pattern for the site are provided in Attachment 3. The pond sediment was initially sampled in 2012, is sampled annually, and the analytical reports are maintained in on-site records. Mercury results from pond sediment samples have fluctuated over time. The Sample Locations and Summary of Historical Pond Sediment Analytical Results are presented in Attachment 4.

A review of the subsurface conditions in the vicinity of the site indicates a contaminant would have to traverse a thick stratum or deposit of clay prior to reaching the groundwater which appears to be more than 50 feet below the ground surface. Historic water supply well analytical results from samples collected at the two on-site wells and the neighboring properties wells indicate no detections of mercury. The analytical results are provided in Attachment 5.

No other potential sources of mercury contamination are known in the vicinity of the site.

There is no known potential for impacts to sensitive species, habitats or ecosystems, based on a Preliminary WDNR Endanger Resource Review completed on August 13, 2021 (Attachment 6). A review of nearby surface waters and wetlands in the vicinity of the site showed a small wetland mapped approximately 130 feet west of the stormwater pond discharge area. No waterways are present in the vicinity of the site that the site would discharge to. Additionally, no potential impacts to sites of historical/archaeological significance are known.

Based on the area where the spill occurred, the SIWP will focus the investigation on the soil surrounding the previously remediated area of the GAC spill, the sediment and water quality of the stormwater pond. Additionally, the two water supply wells onsite will be tested for total mercury to characterize the groundwater at the site.

Physiographical and Geological Setting

The following information is provided as a background of the natural physical features on-site, in the vicinity of the site and beneath the site.

Topography

The current USGS – 7.5 Minute Topographic Map (see Figure 1) showing the site and surrounding area was reviewed. Based on the local topography and surface water features, surface water is presumed to flow to the west toward a stormwater retention pond. When the pond discharges, it flows to the southwest toward a mapped wetland offsite.

Surficial Soils

The ground surface at the site is either paved, covered in gravel or manicured lawn. The area where the GAC spill occurred was overlain by gravel before it was removed and replaced during remediation. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservations Service (NRCS) maps indicate the surficial soils on site as silty clay loam and silt loam (Attachment 7).

Geology

Nearby water supply well logs (Attachment 8) show a clay deposit extending from the ground surface to a depth of 40 feet to 120 feet below grade. The clay deposit overlies sand and gravel deposits of varying thickness. The unconsolidated deposits overlie limestone/dolomite bedrock at approximately 130 to 160 feet bgs.

Hydrogeology

Well construction logs within the surrounding area also indicate that the groundwater is greater than 50 feet bgs. A well log found in site records indicates the water table is at 98 feet below the ground surface. Locally, groundwater is presumed to flow to the east toward an unnamed tributary of the Des Plaines River. Deeper groundwater conditions or the piezometric surface, as shown on the United State Geological Survey 1963 water table contour map (Attachment 9), also flows to the east where it likely discharges into Lake Michigan.

Potential Migration Pathways

Contamination from the GAC spill was likely limited to the surficial soils above the clay near the original spill location and the storm water retention pond sediments. The impacted soil in the area where the GAC was spilled has since been remediated by excavating and removing the soil down to one foot below the surface and replacing it with clean fill and gravel. The residual mercury remaining in the soil after the remediation may be a migration pathway.

Samples have been collected from the stormwater retention pond sediments since 2012. The historical laboratory results have shown elevated mercury concentrations in the pond sediments, likely resulting from historic mercury handling/recycling activities. The last pond sediment samples were collected in December 2020, after the GAC spill and remediation activities. Therefore, sediment conditions can be discerned from this prior sampling. The stormwater retention pond is designed with a clay bottom as noted/observed by Tetra Tech personnel during the December 2020 sediment sampling event and based on the retention basin plan set (Attachment 3). Consequently, the clay liner is designed to limit the vertical migration of mercury within the pond sediment. The pond is further designed to settle out suspended solids (including mercury) before evaporating or discharging through a discharge structure to the pond outlet.

Water has a specific gravity value of 1.0 while inorganic mercury compounds have a specific gravity value of 13.6. Along with this, National Institute Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) reports that inorganic mercury is insoluble, because inorganic mercury has a higher specific gravity than water and is insoluble meaning that it has the tendency to settle out in water. Based on the depth to groundwater, the specific gravity of inorganic mercury particles and their likelihood to settle out rather than discharge, the engineered nature of the stormwater pond liner and the thick layer of clay below the surficial soil seen on nearby well logs as well as past water supply well sampling results, impacts to groundwater from the GAC release are not likely.

By design the surface water is collected in a retention pond to prevent suspended solids from leaving or discharging from the pond. Soil samples have been collected in a grid pattern across the site and analyzed for total mercury since 2009. The grid location and a summary of the results is provided in Attachment 2. Grid sample locations H7 and H8 are located closest to the storm water pond outlet. Mercury concentrations at H7 and H8 have fluctuated over the years with only one instance of reaching a concentration over the direct contact RCL which occurred at location H7 in 2010, well before the GAC spill. The results since 2010 have remained well below the direct contact RCL including since the GAC spill. When these concentrations are compared to the fluctuating nature of past results across the site, similar concentrations reported across the site, and the concentrations that occurred at the samples in the immediate vicinity of the GAC spill, it can be inferred that the GAC spill had little to no impact on the soils near the retention pond outfall.

Based on historical sampling data and the results from the confirmation samples taken during the GAC spill remediation, the identified potential migration pathways at the site are the near surface soils surrounding the previous remediation area, the retention pond sediments and the retention pond surface water. The groundwater is not expected to be impacted from the GAC spill.

Sampling and Analysis

As noted above, the proposed sampling program focuses on the media that require further investigation. Specifically, the location and methodology for the collection of soil, surface water and groundwater are further described below.

Soil

The extent of the soil contamination in the vicinity of the GAC spill will be defined by collecting soil samples from six locations (S1-S6 – see Figure 2) to the north, west and south of the area of the spill and outside of the previously remediated location. The excavated or remediated area already has confirmation samples taken at a depth of one foot below the surface that are below the direct contact RCL for mercury. The proposed sample locations are approximately 12 feet beyond the boundary of the previously excavated area. The proposed sample locations would laterally confirm the extent of potentially contaminated soil. Soil samples will be collected from each of the proposed six locations both at the ground surface (below grass or gravel surfaces) and from one foot below ground surface. If lab results indicate the mercury levels within the soil samples are above the direct contact RCL of 3.3 mg/L, additional soil samples will be collected either laterally from any one specific proposed sample location and/or further vertically at S1-S6 to define the extent of the impacts. A similar lateral offset distance or "step out" would be performed as is currently proposed for sample locations S1-S6. This process would be performed until results below the RCL are achieved. As part of this investigation, up to 12 total initial discrete soil samples will be collected below grass or gravel surfaces. Each of the soil sample locations will be surveyed with a GPS.

The soil sampling will be conducted by using a shovel to remove the overburden of grass turf or gravel. In areas with deep compacted gravel or hard ground a mini excavator may be used to remove the compacted gravel. After removal of the overburden the soil samples will be collected by using clean nitrile gloves or a stainless steel trowel that will be decontaminated with Alconox soap and rinsed with distilled water between samples from the portion of soil that has not come in contact with the shovel or mini-excavator. New, clean gloves will be used for each sample. A site map showing the proposed locations of the samples is attached (Figure 2).

The soil samples will be laboratory analyzed for Total Mercury using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 7471.

Surface Water

Precipitation that fell in the area around the GAC spill prior to remediation would have flowed to a drain that subsequently flows into the sedimentation pond as seen on the storm water flow pattern on Attachment 3. WM Waste proposes that one sample be collected from the surface water of the pond near the discharge structure. Water from the pond will be collected using a clean laboratory provided container and poured into a second laboratory supplied sampling container while wearing a clean pair of nitrile gloves. The surface water sample will be unfiltered. A site map showing the proposed location of the surface water sample is attached as Figure 2.

The surface water sample will be laboratory analyzed for Total Mercury using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 7470.

Groundwater

There are two private water supply wells located on the site. Each well was sampled in 2009 and neither had detections for total mercury (Attachment 5). A well log found in the site's records shows the clay thickness as 41 feet ranging from the ground surface to 41 bgs. The well log is provided in Attachment 8. The well log from the site records shows the depth to groundwater as 98 feet below the ground surface which is reasonable when compared to the regional ground surface elevation of approximately 828 feet above mean sea level from the topographic map in Figure 1 and the regional water table depth of approximately 750 ft above mean sea level shown on the USGS Water Table Contour Map in Attachment 9. The water supply wells are located on the WM

Waste property and are shown on Figure 2. The PW-1 well head (Well Head 1) is located down/sidegradient of the GAC spill while the PW-2 well head (Well Head 2) is located upgradient of the spill based on regional flow information provided in Attachment 9. The 41 feet of clay soil beneath the site likely inhibits the movement of potential residual mercury to the groundwater surface in the area of the remediated GAC spill, rendering the risk to groundwater from the GAC spill to be low. Further evidence from confirmation samples collected during the remediation of the GAC spill in December 2020 demonstrated that the mercury concentration is reduced below the direct contact RCL at one foot below the spill. Before and after remediation concentrations can be seen in the February 2021 Request for No Further Action Letter (Attachment 10). The groundwater RCL is not used as the standard because there is no direct pathway to the groundwater from the impacted soil or sediment.

To investigate the groundwater, samples will be collected from each of the two onsite water supply wells and sent to the laboratory to be analyzed for total mercury. The well samples will be collected from outdoor taps located on the outside of two separate buildings in the same locations as 2009 (see Figure 2; points PW-1 and PW-2). The taps will be run for an adequate amount of time before filling laboratory provided sample containers while wearing a new clean pair of nitrile gloves for each sample. Both water supply well samples will be unfiltered.

The private water supply well samples will be laboratory analyzed for Total Mercury using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 7470.

Quality Control and Quality Assurance

Samples collected during the investigation will be placed into plastic bags and into a clean laboratory provided cooler with ice immediately after collection. One duplicate water sample will be collected and analyzed to confirm results. The samples will be documented on a laboratory provided chain of custody and laboratory provided temperature blanks will be utilized during shipping.

Investigative Wastes

Sampling waste materials (i.e. used gloves and cups) will be disposed of in an on-site trash receptacle that is bound for landfill disposal. Disturbed soils will be returned to the exhumed area until laboratory results confirm the need for any further remediation.

Interpretation of Sampling Results

Soils

Laboratory results from the soil samples will be compared to the total mercury RCL for direct contact of 3.3 mg/kg. If the total mercury concentration in the sample is below the RCL, no further soil sampling investigation or remedial action will be considered or performed. If the value is above the direct contact RCL, remedial actions will be evaluated. If the results indicate the extent of the contamination requires further definition, the proposed "step out" soil sampling investigation plan as defined in this document will be implemented. The December 2020 excavation confirmation samples were taken from the base of the excavation and at a depth of one foot below the spill, all resulting in concentrations that were below the direct contact RCL limit. Based on these results, sampling to a depth of one foot below the ground surface is adequate to define the vertical extent of contamination if additional sampling is warranted.

Surface Water

The results from the surface water inside the pond will be used to determine whether further investigation of surface water is warranted.

Sediment

Based on the annual pond sediment sample results, including the December 2020 sediment samples collected after the GAC spill remediation, the stormwater sedimentation pond is functioning as designed. No further sediment characterization is warranted at this time.

Groundwater

Groundwater sampled from private water supply wells will be analyzed for total mercury. The results will be compared to NR 812 and NR140 groundwater standards. Based on the limited nature of the GAC release, the post-excavation sampling results from the interim response action completed, the depth to groundwater and underlying fine-grained soil, impacts to groundwater from the GAC release are not likely.

Site Management During Sampling

All sample holes should be filled in after sample collection with the removed soil, the soil will be recompacted and any grass turf or gravel will be replaced and stabilized to avoid erosion.

Schedule

The field investigation is tentatively scheduled for the beginning of 2022, following Department review and approval of this work plan.

Report Preparation

A Site Investigation Report and Remedial Action Plan (if necessary) will be prepared which documents the investigation methods and activities, evaluates the results of the analytical data and collected field data, and presents the findings, conclusions and recommendations.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this SIWP or require additional information, please contact Lee Daigle by phone at (951) 236-2526 or by email at lee.daigle@tetratech.com, or John Oswald by phone at (630) 410-7224 or by email at john.oswald@tetratech.com.

Sincerely,

Tetra Tech

Le Jagle

Lee Daigle, P.E. Client Manager

John C Chull

John C. Oswald, P.G. Central Region Area Manager

Enclosures: Figure 1 – Site Location Map

Figure 2 – Site Layout with Proposed Sample Locations

Attachment 1 – WDNR Correspondence

Attachment 2 - Sample Locations & Summary of Historical Soil Analytical Results

Attachment 3 - Retention Basin Plan Set

Attachment 4 - Sample Locations & Summary of Historical Sediment Analytical Results

Attachment 5 - Private Well Sampling Results

Attachment 6 - Endangered Resources Preliminary Assessment

Attachment 7 - NRCS Soils Map

Attachment 8 – Water Supply Well Logs

Attachment 9 - USGS Water Table Contour Map

Attachment 10 – Post Excavation Sampling Results -December 2020

Cc: Sixto Ortiz – Waste Management Michelle Gale – Waste Management Mark Noel – Waste Management Steven Smolko – Waste Management Todd Washburn – Waste Management David Crass – Michael Best & Friedrich, LLP

References:

"CDC - NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards - Mercury Compounds [except (Organo) Alkyls] (as Hg)." Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 30 Oct. 2019, <u>https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0383.html</u>.

FIGURES









WM WASTE, INC. SITE INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN 21211 DURAND AVENUE UNION GROVE, WI SITE LAYOUT PROPOSED SAMPLE LOCATIONS

FIGURE NO. **2** PROJECT NO. 4211680

WDNR Correspondence



State of Wisconsin DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES 890 Spruce Street Baldwin, WI 54002

Tony Evers, Governor Preston D. Cole, Secretary Telephone 608-266-2621 Toll Free 1-888-936-7463 TTY Access via relay - 711



July 14, 2021

Sixto Ortiz WM Waste, Inc. 800 Capitol Street 28th floor Houston, TX 77002

Subject: No Further Action Not Recommended WM Waste, Inc Facility, 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, Racine County, Wisconsin DNR BRRTS Activity # 02-52-586974 FID #: 252195350

Dear Mr. Ortiz:

On June 3rd, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR) reviewed the No Further Action request for the case identified above. As you are aware, the DNR reviews environmental remediation cases for compliance with applicable laws, including Wis. Stat. ch. 292 and Wis. Admin. Code chs. NR 700 – 754 and whether any further threat to public health, safety or welfare or the environment exists at the site or facility, per Wis. Admin. Code § NR 726.13 (2) (b). As discussed with your consultant on 6/15/21, case closure is not recommended because additional legal requirements must be met. The purpose of this letter is to inform you of the remaining requirements for obtaining closure.

Need to Define the Degree and Extent of Contamination

Additional soil, groundwater, surface water, sediment, sampling is needed to define the degree and extent of contamination per Wis. Admin. Code § NR 716.11. Based on the identified soil impacts additional investigation is needed to establish the extent and magnitude of the release to the environment. This includes but is not limited to the soil previously identified as having impacts but also, the adjacent pond and pertaining sediments, and on-site groundwater.

Need to Conduct Additional Remedial Action

Additional remedial action is needed to comply with the closure criteria of Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 726. Excavations of impacted soils were completed using the hazardous waste site-specific standard of 10ppb. The site-specific standard for mercury is a permitted number but not a standard used nor allowed for a release to the environment. Remedial actions addressing impacts to the environment are required to meet residual contaminant limits (RCLs). The direct contact RCL for mercury is 3.13 mg/kg and the groundwater (leachability to groundwater) RCL is 0.208 mg/kg.

Schedule

Within 60 days of the date of this letter, respond in writing with a schedule of your plans to meet these requirements.

Until requirements are met, your site will remain "open" and you are required to submit semi-annual progress reports, per Wis. Admin. Code § NR 700.11. You are also responsible for any operation and maintenance activities required under Wis. Admin. Code § NR 724.13. Once the additional work has been completed, documentation should be submitted to the DNR to demonstrate that the applicable requirements have been met.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding the information in this letter or would like to schedule a meeting to discuss this case, please contact the DNR project manager, Candace Sykora at 715-928-0452. For more information on the closure reconsideration process, please see DNR publication, RR-102, "Wis. Admin. Code ch. NR 726 Case Closure Reconsideration Process" by visiting dnr.wi.gov, search: RR-102, for more information.

The DNR appreciates your efforts to restore the environment at this site.

Sincerely,

Candace Sykora Hydrogeologist Remediation & Redevelopment Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 890 Spruce St, Baldwin, WI 54002 Phone: 715-928-0452 Candace.sykora@wisconsin.gov

cc: Lee Daigle, Tetra Tech



Retention Basin Plan Set





Sample Locations & Summary of Historical Soil Analytical Results

TABLE 2-1 SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, WI BRRTS #02-52-586974

			Si	ample Collection Yea	ar		
Sample	2009	2010	2012	2014	(g) 2016	2018	2020
A-2	0.1410	0.5670	0.0898	0.245	0.347	0.277	1.21
A-2a	0.2460	0.4750	0.3890	0.157	0.412	0.255	3.84
A-9	0.6420	0.6150	0.1480	0.201	1.25	0.452	0.981
A-9a	0.0628	0.0390	0.0280	0.203	0.661	0.212	0.958
A-9b	0.0861	0.1360	5.2700	0.144	1.38	0.772	1.95
A-9c	0.9810	0.1080	0.0385	0.056	0.46	0.334	1.89
B-1a	0.1250	0.0583					0.175
B-2	0.0614	0.0656					0.643 J
B-2a	0.0358	0. 0907					0.306
B-2c	0.0874	0.0750					0.400 J
B-2c	0.0748	<0.0299					
B-3		0.2320					0.213
B-9	7.7400	0.457	1.0800	0.264	0.274	0.152	3.02
B-9a	0.3500	0.2820	0.1960	2.97	0.108	2.51	2.45
B-9b	0.6440	0.0559	0.7840	1.01	3.17	5.49	6.9
B-9c	5.5400	0.5810	0.7480	0.591	2.67	2.58	3.17
C-1	0.0752	0.0492					0.359
C-2a	0.0353	0.0627					0.755 J
C-9	4.3600	1.4100	1.6700	1.29	1.61	0.79	10.9
D-2	0.2500	0.2760	0.2360	0.165	1.12	0.13	0.232
D-3	0.1500	0.1400	0.2970	0.206	0.877	0.479	0.039 J
D-4	0.2390	0.0384	0.0200	0.062	6.41	1.76	0.681
D-4b	0.0648	0.1790					
D-4c	0.1110	0.1020	0.0200	0.264	0.818	0.216	1.07
D-4c	0.9710	0.3860					
D-5	<0.0405	0.0994					
D-9	2.6500	0.8890	1.1400	2.08	0.876	0.386	2.77
D-9a	0.2530	0.0536	0.0522	0.162	0.135	0.565	2.51
D-9b	0.3640	0.0585	0.1120	0.268	0.442	0.978	1.44
D-9c	0.3200	2.3600	0.1180	3.88	0.729	0.396	5.38
E-2	0.1770	0.1220	0.2400	0.263	0.147	0.259	0.16
E-3	0.4630	0.4890	0.2690	0.341	0.92	0.07	0.483
E-4	0.0410	0 0971	0.0210	0.031	2.46	0.047	11.9
E-4a	0.0486	0.0820					
E-4b	0.0627	0.0828					
E-4c	0.0760	0. 0681	<0.0311	0.023	2.68	0.323	3.98
E-5	<0.0292	0.1160					
E-5	0.0786	0.1340					
E-5b	0.0531	0.0320					
E-5c	0.0546	0.3720					
E-6	0.0859	0.1960	0.0733	0.011	0.863	0.542	776
E-6a	0.0541	0.0220	0.1600	1.13	2.31	1.74	26.6
Е-6а	0.3020	1.3100					
E-/	0.7280	0.0293	~0.0330	9.47	0.042	3.19	0.013 J
E-/a	0.3420	0.0428	0.2410	1.03	0.870	1.90	0.012
E-9	0.7070	00000	0.1250	0.10	1.30	2.01	∠.U9 1.10
E-9a E-9b	0.1010	0.0230	0.1330	0.19	1.12	0.993	0.323 1
E-90	0.1200	0.0790	0.1190	1.62	1.37	0.700	1 01
E-30	0.1200	0.1800	0.0370	0 120	0 115	0.200	0.261
F-2	0.0000	0.1780	0.2230	0.123	0.115	0.143	0.201
F-3	0.1730	0.0837	0.1640	0.304	0.040	0.121	0.203
F-4	0.3580	0.311	0.2580	0.033	0.101	0.400	0.213
F-4a	3 0800	0.3040	0.7630	1 04	2.53		1.06
F-5	2 3100	0.279	0 1050	<0.009	0 192	0 542	1.58
F-5a	2.0100	0.373	0.9780	0.12	0 131	0.11	0.589.1
F-6	3 1400	0.0845	0.1850	0.069	2 45	0.063	14.8
F-6a	0 1850	0.0619	0.0398	0.176	0.476	0.319	632
F-7	0.6990	1 12	0.3830	5 13	2 07	0.596	39.5
F-7a	3,2000	0.0918	3.2700	0.554	4,15	0.386	0.094
	1	1		1		1	

TABLE 2-1 SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, WI BRRTS #02-52-586974

Sample			S	ample Collection Ye ercury Results (mg/l	ar (g)		
Identification	2009	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020
F-8	2.6100	0.843	1.9900	0.32	0.885	1.4	1.82
F-9	0.2440	1.3200	0.1330	0.793	0.812	0.121	1.77
F-9a	0.4840	0.0395	0.3660	0.759	0.768	0.666	0.059
G-1	0.4160	0.2480	0.3090	0.061	0.062	0.264	0.166
G-2	0.2110	0.0769	0.0785	0.044	0.074	0.231	0.364 J
G-3	0.1370	0.1400	0.0511	0.125	0.193	0.364	0.321
G-4	0.5410	0.5130	0.7210	0.06	0.152	0.338	0.358 J
G-5	0.5130	0.9400	0.3400	0.98	0.054	1.33	1.86
G-6	0.5590	0.0607	0.3000	0.184	0.086	0.125	1.59
G-7	0.1650	0.0250	<0.0335	0.792	0.233	0.336	2.47
G-8	0.3480	0.1330	0.0511	0.08	0.066	0.312	0.385
G-9	0.2900	0.4570	0.4490	0.214	0.419	0.249	0.479 J
G-9a	0.6160	1.4400	0.0577	0.177	0.401	0.231	0.292 J
H-1	0.4590	0.2540	0.4110	0.22	0.064	0.195	0.065 J
H-2	0.0723	0.0791	0.4480	0.103	0.08	0.196	0.133
H-3	0.2520	1.3200	0.1370	0.097	0.392	0.269	0.275
H-4	0.5000	1.1800	0.2350	0.502	2.09	0.751	0.122
H-5	0.4450	0.3620	0.3110	0.251	0.126	1.06	1.45
H-6	0.0814	0.0758	0.0592	0.415	0.989	0.232	1.18
H-7	0.3320	4.1300	0.1410	0.155	0.842	0.069	0.460 J
H-8	0.4850	0.1910	0.1250	0.405	0.221	0.086	0.36
H-9	0.3660	0.2020	0.2940	0.306	0.271	0.248	0.3
H-9a	2.2600	3.9200	0.3630	0.124	0.33	0.258	0.615 J
I-1	0.5320	0.162	0.2130	0.146	0.099	0.15	0.047 J
I-2	0.2380	0.0956	0.1640	0.202	0.066	0.057	0.049 J
I-3	0.2670	0.1470	0.1600	2.46	0.456	0.052	0.199
I-4	0.3550	0.1340	0.1110	0.19	0.032	0.252	0.321
I-5	0.1960	0.0841	0.1410	0.16	0.086	0.494	0.044 J
I-6	0.2340	0.4390	0.3780	0.202	0.607	0.256	0.367

Notes:

Data excerpted from WM's Release Notification Documentation submitted to the WDNR on 12/9/2020.
 Highlighted cells exceed the site-specific standard of 10 mg/kg as established in the WDNR approved FPOR dated 8/18/2011.

Soil samples collected by Cardinal Environmental and EMT.
 2020 data was collected prior to remediation activities.

Sample Locations & Summary of Historical Sediment Analytical Results

TETRA TECH Madison, WI

TABLE 4-1 SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL SEDIMENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS 21211 Durand Avenue, Union Grove, WI BRRTS #02-52-586974

Sample				Sample Col Mercury Res	ection Year sults (mg/kg)			
Identification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2020
Α	4.70	0.10	87.00	-	-	24.80	-	41.90
В	0.40	0.48	-	-	-	-	-	214.00
С	3.70	9.24	-	-	-	138.00	-	503.00
D	99.10	1290.00	-	-	-	-	-	277.00
E	214.00	359.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
F	16.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	184.00
G	3.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.00
Н	0.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.60
I	8.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.20
Inlet Side	-	-	-	29.60	166.00	-	-	-
Outlet Side	-	-	-	8.40	-	-	-	-
#1	-	-	-	-	8.17	-	42.30	-
#2	-	-	-	-	30.40	-	178.00	-
#3	-	-	-	-	48.70	-	-	-
#4	-	-	-	-	49.90	-	-	-
Notes:								Created by: LS Checked By: SF

1. Data excerpted from WM's lab reports.

2. The locations of points 1,2,3 and 4 are unknown and are not presented on the figure.

1

Private Well Sampling Results

October 19, 2009

Mr. Joseph P. Carruth, P.E. Mercury Waste Solutions 21211 Durand Avenue Union Grove, WI 53182-9711

Dear Mr. Carruth:

Attached is the laboratory report for the two (2) well water samples collected on September 25, 2009 for low-level mercury analysis. <u>The samples were below the detection limit of 3.3 ng/l</u> (nanograms per liter), which is significantly lower than the current EPA drinking water standard of 2,000 ng/l.

The samples were collected using the "Clean Hands/Dirty Hands" method. The samples were collected from water that had not been treated or softened. The samples were collected after running the water for approximately ten minutes. Field blanks were collected to verify that the equipment being used was clean, and that the samples were not contaminated either on site or during transportation. The following is a summary of the results:

Sample ID#	Date of Collection	Time of Collection	Sample Description/Location	Mercury Result (ng/l)
2009-01	09/25/09	01:20 p.m.	Well Water: Outdoor Tap - North Side 21211 Durand Avenue	ND
2009-02	09/25/09	02:10 p.m.	Well Water: Outdoor Tap - East Side 21255 Durand Avenue	ND

Comments

• ND = None Detected, Below the limit of detection of 3.3 ng/l.

• The "Field Blank" were not analyzed since mercury was not detected in the well water samples.

If you have any questions, concerns or need further clarification, please call. Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you and Mercury Waste Solutions.

Sincerely Cardinal Environmental Inc.

Bruce Ten Haken, CHMM Project Manager

NORTHERN LAKE SERVICE, INC. Analytical Laboratory and Environmental Services 400 North Lake Avenue - Crandon, WI 54520 Ph: (715)-478-2777 Fax: (715)-478-3060	ANALYTIC	AL REP	ORT		WDNR I WDATC EPA La Printed:	Aboratory P Laborat boratory II 10/13/09 C	ID No. 72102646 ory Certification D No. WI00034 ode: S	0 No. 105-330 Page 1 of 1
Client: Cardinal Environmental Inc Attn: Bruce TenHaken 3303 Paine Avenue Sheboygan, WI 53081 8456	a Ast				, L	. 020 450 -	NLS Project: NLS Customer: 503 Dhome: 80	137068 05016 1413 7225
Project: 01205.005			•		- 42	4 ont 04n .		
2009-01 NLS ID: 538434 COC: 102149:1 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/25/09 13:20 Received: 09/29/09 Notes: Result may not be used for compliance reporting purposes. Parameter Mercury Low Level as Ho	Result Units ND na/L		Dilution 1	LOD 3.3	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed 10/13/09	Method 245.7M/ 1631M	Lab 721026460
2009-02 NLS ID: 538436 COC: 102149:3 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/25/09 14:10 Received: 09/29/09 Notes: Result may not be used for compliance reporting purposes. Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	Result Units ND ng/L		Dilution 1	LOD 3.3	LOQ/MCL 10	Analyzed 10/13/09	Method 245.7M/ 1631M	Lab 721026460
Values in brackets represent results greater than or equal to the LOD to be in the region of "Certain Quantitation". LOD and/or LOQ tagger LOD = Limit of Detection LOQ = Limit of Quantitation ND DWB = Dry Weight Basis NA = Not Applicable %DN MCL = Maximum Contaminant Levels for Drinking Water Samples. S	D but less than the LOQ and a d with an asterisk(*) are consi D = Not Detected (< LOD) WB = (mg/kg DWB) / 10000 Shaded results indicate >MCL	are within a regic dered Reporting 1000 ug/L = 1	n of "Less-Ce Limits. All LC mg/L	ertain Quantii DD/LOC:s adj Reviewed	ation". Results usted to reflect by:	greater that dilution.	nor equal to the LO	2 are considered Authorized by: R. T. Krueger President
÷								

NORTHERN LAKE SERVICE, INC. Analytical Laboratory and Environme 400 North Lake Avenue - Crandon, WI Ph: (715)-478-2777 Fax: (715)-478-306	ntal Services 54520 50	ANAL	YTICAL F	REPORT		WDNR WDAT EPA L	Laborator CP Labora aboratory 1: 09/02/10	/ ID No. 7210264 tory Certification D No. W100034 Code: NNNN-S	50 No. 105-330 Page 1 of 1
Client: Cardinal Environmental II Attn: Bruce TenHaken 3303 Paine Avenue Shebovgan. WI 53081 84!	2 8					i		NLS Project: NLS Customer:	150834 05016
Project: 01205.007						Га	1X: 920 459	2503 Phone: 8(0 413 /225
W-01 NLS ID: 578846 COC: 108072 Matrix: DW Collected: 08/25/10 10:38 Received: 08.	/27/10								
Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg		Result ND	Units ng/L	Dilution	LOD 3.3	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed 09/01/10	Method 245.7M/ 1631M	L ab 721026460
W-02 NLS ID: 578848 COC: 108072 Matrix: DW Collected: 08/25/10 11:35 Received: 08	/27/10								
Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg		Result ND	Units ng/L	Dilution 1	LOD 3.3	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed 09/01/10	Method 245.7M/ 1631M	L ab 721026460
Values in brackets represent results grea to be in the region of "Certain Quantitatio LOD = Limit of Detection LOQ = Li DWB = Dry Weight Basis NA = Not MCL = Maximum Contaminant Levels for	ter than or equal to th ". LOD and/or LOQ mit of Quantitation Applicable Drinking Water Samp	e LOD but less than the tagged with an asterisk(ND = Not Detected (%DWB = (mg/kg DW oles. Shaded results inc	e LOQ and are withi (*) are considered R (< LOD) 1000 /B) / 10000 dicate >MCL.	n a region of "Less-C eporting Limits. All L ug/L = 1 mg/L	ertain Quar .0D/LOQs ⊧ Reviewe	titation". Result djusted to reflec d by:	ts greater that dilution.	n or equal to the LC	Q are considered Authorized by: R. T. Krueger President

October 22, 2010

Mr. Joseph P. Carruth, P.E. Mercury Waste Solutions, Inc. 21211 Durand Ave. Union Grove, WI 53182-9711

Dear Mr. Carruth:

RE: 2010 Well Water Sampling Results from Neighborhood Drinking Water Wells

On September 22, 2010 Cardinal Environmental Inc. (Cardinal) collected water samples from various drinking water wells in the neighborhood of Mercury Waste Solutions, Inc., 21211 Durand Ave., Union Grove, WI (MWS). The samples were collected to determine the mercury concentration in the water. The current EPA drinking water standard for mercury is 2,000 ng/l (nanograms per liter).

The samples were collected using the "Clean Hands/Dirty Hands" method. Mr. Bruce Ten Haken from Cardinal collected the samples with the assistance of Mr. Joseph Carruth from MWS. The samples were collected from well water that had not been treated, softened, or heated. Sampling supplies and containers were provided by Northern Lake Services, Inc., Crandon, WI (NLS). The supplies included plastic gloves, field blank water, and 250-ml glass containers with teflon-lined lids.

The samples were collected after running the water for approximately ten minutes. Field blanks were collected to verify that the equipment being used was clean, and that the water samples were not contaminated either on site or during transportation.

After collection, the samples were sent to NLS for low level mercury analysis. The samples were analyzed by EPA Method 245.7M / 1631M using a Lachat "Quickchem" Analyzer equipped with an atomic fluorescent detector. <u>All samples were below the laboratory's</u> <u>detection limit of 3.3 ng/l (nanograms per liter), which is significantly lower than the current EPA drinking water standard of 2,000 ng/l.</u>

A summary of the results is attached, along with the NLS laboratory reports and chain-of custodies. If you have any questions, concerns or the need for further clarification, please call. Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you and MWS.

Sincerely Cardinal Environmental Inc.

I. Jakin

Bruce Ten Haken, CHMM Project Manager

2010 MWS Neighborhood Well Water Summary (Collected 9/22/10)

Sample ID#	Date Collected	Time Collected	Address (Union Grove, WI)	Sample Location	Mercury Result
DW-01	9/22/2010	8:31 am	21410 Durand Ave.	Kitchen Sink Faucet	ND
DW-02	9/22/2010	9:06 am	21209 Durand Ave. (M&W Shops)	Exterior Faucet South Side	ND
DW-03	9/22/2010	9:34 am	21115 Durand Ave.	Exterior Faucet Southwest Corner	ND
DW-04	9/22/2010	10:05 am	21021 Durand Ave. (Shepard Industries)	Exterior Faucet Southeast Side	ND
DW-05	9/22/2010	10:58 am	4627 Haag Drive (Redwood Construction)	Exterior Faucet Northeast Corner of House	ND
DW-06	9/22/2010	11:24 am	4701 Haag Drive (<i>CAMCO</i>)	Bathroom Sink Faucet In Production Area	ND
DW-07	9/22/2010	12:23 pm	4720 Haag Drive (Plush Industries)	Utility Sink Faucet In Production Area	ND
DW-08	9/22/2010	12:43 pm	21415 Durand Ave.	Exterior Faucet South Side of House	ND
DW-09	9/22/2010	1:20 pm	21349 Durand Ave.	Exterior Faucet Northeast Corner of House	ND
DW-10	9/22/2010	1:39 pm	21341/21345 Durand Ave. (Residential, Shared Well)	Exterior Faucet By Well Between Properties	ND
DW-11	9/22/2010	2:39 pm	4823 Schoen Road (Hickory Haven)	Pressure Tank Faucet Center Pump House	ND
DW-12	9/22/2010	3:06 pm	4823 Schoen Road (Hickory Haven)	Pressure Tank Faucet South Pump House	ND
DW-13	9/22/2010	3:30 pm	4823 Schoen Road (Hickory Haven)	Pressure Tank Faucet North Pump House	ND
DW-14	9/22/2010	4:00 pm	4734 Schoen Road	Exterior Faucet South Side of House	ND
DW-15	9/22/2010	4:30 pm	4634 Schoen Road	Exterior Faucet East Side of House	ND
DW-16	9/22/2010	4:51 pm	4701 Schoen Road	Kitchen Sink Faucet	ND

• ND = Not Detected, below the detection limit of 3.3 nanograms per liter (ng/l).

• Field blanks were not analyzed since all samples were reported as "ND".

• The addresses are single-family residential properties except where noted in the address column.

• The samples were collected of water that had not been treated, softened, or heated. The samples were collected from faucets as close to the well as practical.

• Additional information and comments regarding the analysis of the samples can be found on the attached laboratory reports and chain of custodies from Northern Lake Services, Inc., Crandon, WI.

.105-330	ge 1 of 2 152541 05016 13 7225		Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460	Lab 721026460
D No. 721026460 Y Certification No. W100034	de: NNNN-S Pa LS Project: LS Customer: 03 Phone: 800 4		lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M	lethod 45.7M/ 1631M
-aboratory II P Laborator boratory ID	below ** N below ** N N		Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2	Analyzed N 09/29/10 2
WDNR L WDATC EPA La	0 ** See note Fax		LOQ/MCL	LOQ/MCL	LOQ/MCL	LOQ/MCL	LOQ/MCL 10	LOQ/MCL 10	LOQ/MCL	LOQ/MCL	LOQ/MCL
	: 10/11/201		1.0D 3.3	LOD 3.3	LOD 3.3	LOD 3.3	LOD 3.3	LOD 3.3	3.3 3.3	10D 3.3	LOD 3.3
EPORT	roject revised on		Dilution	Dilution	Dilution	Dilution 1	Dilution	Dilution 1	Dilution	Dilution	Dilution 1
YICAL	¢.		Units ng/L	Units ng/L	Units ng/L	Units ng/L	Units ng/L	Units ng/L	Units ng/L	Units ng/L	Units ng/L
ANAL		ance reporting	Result ND	Result ND	Result ND	Result ND	Result ND	Result ND	Result ND	Result ND	Result ND
NORTHERN LAKE SERVICE, INC. Analytical Laboratory and Environmental Services 400 North Lake Avenue - Crandon, WI 54520 Ph: (715)-478-2777 Fax: (715)-478-3060	Client: Cardinal Environmental Inc Attn: Customer Service 3303 Paine Avenue Sheboygan, WI 53081 8456	Project: 01205.007-Results may not be used for compli	DW-01 NLS ID: 584320 COC: 127276:1 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 08:31 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	DW-02 NLS ID: 584322 COC: 127276:3 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 09:06 Received: 09/24/10 Matrix: DW DW <td>DW-03 NLS ID: 584324 COC: 127276:5 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 09:34 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg</td> <td>DW-04 NLS ID: 584326 COC: 127276:7 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 10:05 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg</td> <td>DW-05 NLS ID: 584328 COC: 127276:9 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 10:58 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg</td> <td>DW-06 NLS ID: 584330 COC: 125085:1 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 11:24 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg Mercury Low Level as Hg Mercury No No</td> <td>DW-07 NLS ID: 584332 COC: 125085:3 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 12:23 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg</td> <td>DW-08 NLS ID: 584334 COC: 125085:5 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 12:43 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg</td> <td>DW-09 NLS ID: 584336 COC: 125085:7 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 13:20 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg</td>	DW-03 NLS ID: 584324 COC: 127276:5 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 09:34 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	DW-04 NLS ID: 584326 COC: 127276:7 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 10:05 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	DW-05 NLS ID: 584328 COC: 127276:9 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 10:58 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	DW-06 NLS ID: 584330 COC: 125085:1 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 11:24 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg Mercury Low Level as Hg Mercury No No	DW-07 NLS ID: 584332 COC: 125085:3 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 12:23 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	DW-08 NLS ID: 584334 COC: 125085:5 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 12:43 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	DW-09 NLS ID: 584336 COC: 125085:7 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 13:20 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg

NORTHERN LAKE SERVICE, INC. Analytical Laboratory and Environmental Services 400 North Lake Avenue - Crandon, WI 54520	ANALYTICA	L REPORT		WDNR WDAT EPA L	Laborator) CP Laborat aboratory I	/ ID No. 7210264 ory Certification D No. W100034	60 No. 105-330
Client: Cardinal Environmental Inc Atth: Customer Service		Project revised or	10/11/20	Printec 10 ** See not	1: 10/11/10 e below **	Code: NNNN-S NLS Project:	Page 2 of 2 152541
3303 Paine Avenue Sheboygan, WI 53081 8456				ц	ix: 920 459 2	NLS Customer: 2503 Phone: 8(05016 30 413 7225
Project: 01205.007-Results may not be used for compli	iance reporting						
DW-10 NLS ID: 584338 COC: 125085:9 Matrix: DW Collected: 00.02140.13:30 Barrisot: 00.02140							
collected. 09/22/10 15:39 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter Merciny 1 ow 1 evel as Ho	Result Units	Dilution	LOD	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed	Method	Lab
DW-11 NLS ID: 584340 COC: 117006:1 Marrix: DM/			0	2	03/23/10		107070171
Collected: 09/22/10 14:39 Received: 09/24/10	:						
rarameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	Kesuit Units ND ng/L	Dilution	3.3 3.3	10 LOQ/MCL	Analyzed 09/29/10	Method 245.7M/ 1631M	Lab 721026460
DW-12 NLS ID: 584342 COC: 117006:3 Matrix: DVV	san in a tha sign of the constant and the co						
Collected: 09/22/10 15:06 Received: 09/24/10							
Parameter Mercury, Low Level as Hg	Result Units ND ng/L	Dilution 1	1.0D 3.3	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed 09/29/10	Method 245.7M/ 1631M	Lab 721026460
DW-13 NLS ID: 584344							
CUC: 11/006;5 Matrix: UV Collected: 09/22/10 15:30 Received: 09/24/10							
Parameter Morening Low Low Low Low	Result Units	Dilution	LOD	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed	Method	Lab
	NU ng/L		3.3	10	09/29/10	245.7M/ 1631M	721026460
DW-14 NLS ID: 584346 COC: 117006:7 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 16:00 Received: 09/24/10							
Parameter Merciuw I owel as Ho	Result Units	Dilution	LOD	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed	Method	Lab
WEIGUTY, LOW LEVEL AS FIG	ND ng/L		3.3	10	09/29/10	245.7M/ 1631M	721026460
UW-15 NLS ID: 584348 COC: 117006:9 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 16:30 Received: 09/24/10 Parameter	Docult Docult		6				
Mercury, Low Level as Hg	ND ng/L	DIIUUUI 1	3.3		Analyzed 09/29/10	Method 245.7M/ 1631M	Lab 721026460
DW-16 NLS ID: 584350 COC: 115750:2 Matrix: DW Collected: 09/22/10 16:51 Received: 09/24/10							
Parameter	Result Units	Dilution	гор	LOQ/MCL	Analyzed	Method	Lab
Mercury, Low Level as Hg	ND ng/L		3.3	10	09/29/10	245.7M/ 1631M	721026460
Values in brackets represent results greater than or equal to the to be in the region of "Certain Quantitation". LOD and/or LOQ ta LOD = Limit of Detection LOQ = Low Weight Basis NA = Not Applicable MCL = Maximum Contaminant Levels for Drinking Water Sample	LOD but less than the LOQ and are agged with an asterisk(*) are conside ND = Not Detected (< LOD) %DWB = (mg/kg DWB) / 10000 les. Shaded results indicate >MtCL.	within a region of "Less-(red Reporting Limits. All I 1000 ug/L = 1 mg/L	Certain Quan -OD/LOQs a Reviewee	titation". Result djusted to reflec d by:	is greater tha	n or equal to the LC	0Q are considered Authorized by: R. T. Krueger President
Revision note: Moved P-01 and P-01FB to a	senarate nroiont						

NO. had 4 of COLLECTION REMARKS (i.e. DNR Well ID #) schoen NORTHERN LAKE SERVICE, INC. IVC.H 400 North Lake Avenue • Crandon, WI 54520-1298 USE BOXES BELOW: Indicate Y or N if GW Sample is field filtered. da Analytical Laboratory and Environmental Services Tel: (715) 478-2777 • Fax: (715) 478-3060 B or C if WW Sample is Grab or Composite. TO MEET REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED IN DETAIL AND INCLUDED IN THE COOLER CONTAINING THE SAMPLES DESCRIBED.
 PLEASE USE ONE LINE PER SAMPLE, NOT PER BOTTLE.
 RETURN THIS FORM WITH SAMPLES - CLIENT MAY KEEP PINK COPY.
 PARTIES COLLECTING SAMPLE, LISTED AS REPORT TO AND LISTED AS INVOICE TO AGREE TO STANDARD TERMS & CONDITIONS ON REVERSE. REPORT TO INVOICE TO Q DATE/TIME DATE/TIME DATE/TIM TEMP. 63 ¢, Wisconsin Lab Cert. No. 721026460 SAMPLE COLLECTION AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD ANALYZE PER ORDER OF ANALYSIS E-MAIL ADDRESS WI DATCP 105-000330 CUSTODY SEAL NO. (IF ANY) MATRIX (See above) / DW = drinking water 4 SW = surface water GW = groundwater 2 A Q WW = waste water PROD = product SED = sediment REMARKS & OTHER INFORMATION TIS = tissue SOIL = soil SL = sludge MATRIX: AIR = airOTHER A. M. METHOD OF TRANSPORT RECEIVED BY (signature) WDNR FACILITY NUMBER S TIME COLLECTION IME 2 DOF g QUOTATION NO. T HA = hydrochloric & ascorbic acid ЫZ DNR LICENSE # OH = sodium hydroxide PHONE H = hydrochloric acid \mathcal{A} FAX SAMPLE ID 201-RECEIVED AT NUS BY (signature) Z = zinc acetate M = methanolPROJECT DESCRIPTION / NO **DISPATCHED BY (signature** COLLECTED BY (signature PURCHASE ORDER NO. 554435ù 353 RELINQUISHED BY NP = no preservativePRESERVATIVE: ARKALE (MARK) S = sulfuric acid COOLER # DNR FID # ADDRESS CONTACT CLIENT Men Service VПО ~~~* ę പ é \$ ŝ ö ~ ထံ റ്

Endangered Resources Preliminary Assessment

Endangered Resources Preliminary Assessment

Created on 8/13/2021. This report is good for one year after the created date.

DNR staff will be reviewing the ER Preliminary Assessments to verify the results provided by the Public Portal. ER Preliminary Assessments are only valid if the project habitat and waterway-related questions are answered accurately based on current site conditions. If an assessment is deemed invalid, a full ER review may be required even if the assessment indicated otherwise.

Results

A search was conducted of the NHI Portal within a 1-mile buffer (for terrestrial and wetland species) and a 2-mile buffer (for aquatic species) of the project area. Based on these search results, below are your follow-up actions.

No further action is necessary.

This project is covered by the Broad Incidental Take Permit/Authorization for No/Low Impact Activities (No/Low BITP/A) (https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/ERReview/ITNoLowImpact.html). This BITP/A covers projects that the DNR has determined will have no impact or a minimal impact to endangered and threatened species in the state. Due to this coverage under the No/Low BITP/A, a formal review letter is not needed and there are no actions that need to be taken to comply with state and/or federal endangered species laws, any take that may result from the proposed project is permitted/authorized.

A copy of this document can be kept on file and submitted with any other necessary DNR permit applications to show that the need for an ER Review has been met. This notice only addresses endangered resources issues. This notice does not constitute DNR authorization of the proposed project and does not exempt the project from securing necessary permits and approvals from the DNR and/or other permitting authorities.

Project Information		
Landowner name	Mercury Site	
Project address	21211 Durand Avenue	
Project description	Site Investigation Work Plan	
Project Questions		
Does the project involve a public pr	roperty?	No

Is there any federal involvement with the project?	No
Is the project a utility, agricultural, forestry or bulk sampling (associated with mining) project?	No
Is the project property in Managed Forest Law or Managed Forest Tax Law?	No
Project involves tree removal?	No
Is project near (within 300 ft) a waterbody or a shoreline?	No
Is project within a waterbody or along the shoreline?	No

Does the project area (including access routes, staging areas, laydown yards, select sites, source/fill sites, etc.) occur **entirely within** one or more of the following habitats?

Urban/residential	Yes
Manicured lawn	No

Artificial/paved surface	Yes
Agricultural land	No
Areas covered in crushed stone or gravel	Yes

The information shown on these maps has been obtained from various sources, and is of varying age, reliability and resolution. These maps are not intended to be used for navigation, nor are these maps an authoritative source of information about legal land ownership or public access. Users of these maps should confirm the ownership of land through other means in order to avoid trespassing. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding accuracy, applicability for a particular use, completeness, or legality of the information depicted on this map. For more information, see the DNR Legal Notices web page: http://dnr.wi.gov/legal/.

https://dnrx.wisconsin.gov/nhiportal/public

101 S. Webster Street . PO Box 7921 . Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7921

NRCS Soils Map

Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey Soil Map—Kenosha and Racine Counties, Wisconsin (WM-Mercury)

Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (A Soils Soil Map Unit Poly Soil Map Unit Lint Soil Map Unit Lint Blowout			
Soils Soil Map Unit Poly Soil Map Unit Lint Soil Map Unit Poi Special Point Features Blowout	(IO)	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.
Soil Map Unit Poly Soil Map Unit Line Soil Map Unit Poli Special Point Features Blowort	8	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Soil Map Unit Poi Special Point Features Blowout	/dous	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soi
Special Point Features	∆ ∆	Other	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Blowout	۲.	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more details scale.
	Water Fea	itures	
Borrow Pit	{	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
K Clay Spot	Transport	ation Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Closed Depressio	}	Interstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate Svstem: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
K Gravel Pit	1	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercat
Gravelly Spot	1	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
🕲 Landfill	1	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
🚶 🗼 Lava Flow	Backgrou	pu	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Marsh or swamp	8	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data of the version date(s) listed below.
Mine or Quarry			Soil Survey Area: Kenosha and Racine Gounties Wisconsin
Miscellaneous W	ıter		Survey Area Data: Version 17, Jun 8, 2020
Perennial Water			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
Rock Outcrop			1:50,000 or larger.
Saline Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Apr 29, 2011—M. 28 2012
Sandy Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were
Severely Eroded	Spot		compiled and digitized probably differs from the background
Sinkhole			imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
Slide or Slip			
🚿 Sodic Spot			

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
AtA	Ashkum silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	3.2	10.4%
EtB	Elliott silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	10.9	35.7%
MeB	Markham silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	12.8	42.0%
OzaB2	Ozaukee silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	3.0	9.7%
RaA	Radford silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.7	2.2%
Totals for Area of Interest	1	30.6	100.0%

Water Supply Well Logs

WELL LOG FROM SITE RECORDS

						From Site	Records	
	ASTRUCTOR'S REPORT	WISCO	NSIN STAT	E BOARD OF	HEALTH			Wel
I. COUNTY	Official	Town	ONE Village	NAI				
2. LOCATIO	N (Number and Street or ¼ section, sec	tion, township	and range. Al	o give subdivision	name, lot and	block numbers while he		
<u> </u>	Route 1 Box	- 16 0	n	36	311-2	J3CM		
8. OWNER A	AT TIME OF DRILLING	- 2.	ha			FF	0 1 4 1036	;
4. OWNER'S	COMPLETE MAIL ADDRESS	- P	mre	1	~			,
	Porte 1 Box	16 U	2 6	I mon a	Drove	Mis	·	• * *
5. Distance	in feet from well to nearest: 1	BUILDING SA	NITARY SEW C. I. TILI	C. I. TILE	FOUN	DATION DRAIN ECTED INDEPENDEN	C. I.	TER DRAIN
(Record an	swer in appropriate block)	15	× 15		X		" ×	20
CLEAR WAT	ER DRAIN SEPTIC TANK PRIVY	SEEPAGE PTI	ABSORPTI	ON FIELD BAR	N SILÓ	ABANDONED WELL	SINK HOLE	1
	20 70 X	X]]	TO X	\times	\times	X	
OTHER POL	LUTION SOURCES (Give description a	such as dump,	quarry, drain	age well, stream, j	ond, lake, etc.))	ki kito	
6. Well is	intended to supply water for	· 66		e.n 11	10			
7. DRILLHO	DLE	/70-	useno					<u></u>
Dia. (in.)	From (ft.) To (ft.) Dia. (in.)	From (ft.)	To (ft.)		Kind		From (ft.)	To (ft.)
10	Surface 30			_ Cli	ey		Surface	41
Go	39 292			Fine	Sam	e .	#1	70
8. CASING	, LINER CURBING AND SCREE	N .		<u> </u>	16.	<u>^</u>		. 1
	Black Street	Surface	/ 20	Dud	<u>+ Ora</u>	0	10	108
	the second	in the second		quar	Jon	<u> </u>		
<u> </u>	NW 17C 1931			Gran	<u>ul</u>		119	126
				But	la lea	/	120	130
				1	- ism	Ç	126	100
,			ļ	1 mes	None		130	292
	н. Н					e e		
9. GROUT	OR OTHER SEALING MATERIA	L	, ,				-	
	Kind	From (ft.)	To (ft.)					*s:
C.	lay Slurra	Surface	30					
							<u> </u>	
				Well construc	tion comple	ted on $3/$	25	1969
Yield test:	K Hrs.	at //	9 GPM	Well is termi	nated	10 inches	j above below fi	inal grade
Depth from	surface to normal water level	9	8 ft.	Well disinfec	ted upon c	ompletion	ĽX Yes	i 🗌 No
Depth to w	vater level when pumping	12	O fi.	Well sealed	watertight u	pon completion	Y Yes	; 🗋 No
Water sam	ple sent to No record	kest	a dat	5	labor	atory on:	20. 1	19
Your opini wells, scre surface pui	on concerning other pollution ens, seals, type of casing joi mprooms, access pits, etc., sho	hazards, i nts, method uld be give	nformation d of finish en on reve	concerning di ing the well, rse side.	fficulties en amount of	countered, and d cement used in g	ata relating prouting, bla	to nearby sting, sub
SIGNATURE	ccupate Dublin	y Pun	o a	COMPLETE MA	ALL ADDRESS			

A ppupate	Arblun	y funp co	COMPLETE MAI	, ADDREAS	
Shirdne	Niamu	Registered Well Dri	ller 4107 3	Bortul	mil Wis 53211
n de la declara la declara de la declara Se	7	Please do no	ot write in space be	low	
COLIFORM TEST RESULT		GAS - 24 HRS.	GAS 48 HRS.	CONFIRMED	REMARKS
36 37		S	E OTHER SIDE		

This well lerminated at 185 feet and listed : with an ample supply of water. Certain times of day after owners moved in Dwell ran shurt and then came talk. We went tack and deepended same and got a new supply,

Do not Film Jemeke

North East one-quarter (½) of Section Thirty-six (36), in Township Three (3) North, Range Twenty (20) East, bounded as follows: Commence at the Northwest Corner of the South 25 acres of the West ½ of the Northeast ½ of Section 36-3-20 East; run thence East 210 feet; thence South 110 feet; thence West 210 feet to the North and South center line of said Section 26; thence North along said center line, 110 feet to the place of beginning. Said land being in the Town of Dover, County of Racine, State of Wisconsin,

Do not film

NEARBY WELL LOGS

	UCTOR	S REPOR	Γ	wisco	NSIN STAT	E BOAR	D OF HE	EALTH					Wel (
1. COUNTY				CHECK	ONE		NAME	<u>.</u>				in one	
-	Raci	ne		Town	🔲 Village	e 🗌 Ci	ity D	over					
2. LOCATION (N	lumber an	d Street or	4 section, se	ection, township	and range. Al	so give sub	division na	me, lot an	d block i	numbers who	n available.)		
N, A 3. OWNER AT T	IME OF		c . 36	Twn. 31	Rge.	24.6	[R201	E ?/			et all a second and a second a		
		Wa	iener &	S Menhee	۶ r					The State of the S			
4. OWNER'S CON	MPLETE	MAIL ADD	RESS						.	AL MARKAN			
		Rt. 1	, Kans	sasville	Wisc.			25		e			
5. Distance in t	feet fro	m well to	nearest:	BUILDING SA	NITARY SEW	ER FLOOF	DRAIN	FOU EWER CON	NDATIO	N DRAIN	DENT C	TE WAI	ER DRAIN
(Record answer	în appro	priate block))	17				Ļ		17			
CLEAR WATER D	DRAIN S	SEPTIC TAI	K PRIVY	SEEPAGE PI	T ABSORPTI	ON FIELD	BARN	SILO	ABANI	ONED WE	LL SINK H	OLE	
0.1. 11		60		-22		22							
OTHER POLLUTI	ION SOU	RCES (Give	description	such as dum	, quarry, drain	age wall.	tream, zon	d. lake, et	 c.)		-		
			•					-,,					
6. Well is inte	ended t	o supply	water fo	»r:						an anna an			
				Home									
7. DRILLHOLE				· •	1	10. FO	RMATIO	NS					NEW ACCOUNT
Dia. (in.) Fro	em (ft.)	To (ft.)	Dîa. (in.)	From (ft.)	To (ft.)		K	lind			From	(ft.)	To (ft.)
10 ^{Su}	rface	25			5-1 (r			C1	av		Surf	ace	140
6/4 2	9	144					dan sekondul — koso	Gr	ave1		1	40	144
8. CASING, LIN	NER, CL	RBING, A	ND SCRE	ENAL	······································				· · ·				
Dia. (in.)	Ki	nd and Weig	ht	From (ft.)	To (ft.)					<u></u>			
70D St	anda	rd Ste	el BLK	Surface	144								
64	26	lbe n	ar ft							99999 - 53 - 51			
		<u>+03. p</u>	CL LL.	·····	- <u> </u>								
	READ	ED + C	LOUP.										
						:							
	OTHER	SEATING	MATER	<u> </u>	1			N. Marke					
	Kin	d		From (ft.)	To (ft.)				j.				
C	lay			Surface	25								
						Well c	onstructio	on comp	leted a	'n	7/ 10		19 67
11. MISCELLAN	IEOUS	DATA				Wall in	termine	ted		incher	abov	e _{fin}	al grade
Yield test:	10	24	Hrs.	. at	5 GPM	Wen is		lied	8	niches		w	
Depth from su	rface to	normal	water leve	el	85 ft.	Well d	lisinfecte	d upon	comple	tion	5	Yes	🗌 No
Depth to water	level v	when pur	nping	90	ft.	Weli s	ealed wa	atertight	upon (completio	n <u>2</u>	G Yes	<u> </u>
Water sample	sent to			Ma	dison		0	labo	oratory	on:	7/		¹⁹ 67
Vous opinion		ing other	nollutio	n hazarde	information	concern	ing diffi	icultier -	ncount	ared and	data rol	ating	o nearba

Your opinion concerning other pollution hazards, information concerning difficulties encountered, and data relating to nearby wells, screens, seals, type of casing joints, method of finishing the well, amount of cement used in grouting, blasting, sub surface pumprooms, access pits, etc., should be given on reverse side.

SIGNATURE All Ale for	کے Registered Well Drill	er Rt. 1. Bo	ADDRESS Dx 176 Waterfo	ard. Wisc.
COLIFORM TEST RESULT	Please do noi GAS — 24 HRS.	write in space bel GAS — 48 HRS.	ow Confirmed	REMARKS
3624				

Well Construct	tion Report NIQUE WELL	NUMBER		VA64	14		Drinking V Departme Madison V	Nater and Int of Natur	Groundwa al Resour	ater - DG/ ces, Box	/5 x 7921	Form 3	3300-077A
Property ASCHAU	IER, HELEN			Ph	one #		1. Well Lo	cation			F	ire # (if	avail.)
Owner				(26)	2)639-782	6	Town of D	OVER					,
Address	EN DR						Street Add	dress or Ro	ad Name a	and Numb	ber		
City RACINE		Sta	ate WI	Zip Code	53405		21321 DU	RAND AVE					
County	Co. Permit #	Notification #	ł		Completed		Subdivisio	n Name			Lot #	¢ E	Block #
Racine		39525324		C	2-15-201	1							
Well Constructor (Bi	usiness Name)	<u> </u>	ic # Ea	acility ID # (Public We	ells)	Latitude /	l ongitude i	n Decimal	Dearee ((חס	Method	Code
ASCHAUER E G &	SONS INC	6	6			,)	42.68138	°N	-88.077	'67	°W	GPS008	3
			W	ell Plan Ap	proval #		NW	NF	Section	Townsh	ip	Range	•
				on rian , p	provarii		or Govt Lo	it#	36	3	N	20	Е
Address PO BOX	206 VILLE WI 5313	0-0206	Ap	oproval Dat	e (mm-dd-yy	yy)	2. Well Ty	pe New \	Well			-	
	VILLE WI JUIJ	3-0200					of previous	s unique we	ell #	cc	onstructe	ed in	
Hicap Permanent W	/ell#	Common Well	# Sp	pecific Capa	acity		Reason fo	r replaced o	or reconstr	ucted wel	?		
			1	.2	-								
3. Well serves 1	# of		Hi	cap Well ?	No		1						
Private potable			Hi	cap Proper	tv? No								
Heat Exchange	# of drillholes		ні	can Potable	- ?		Constructio	on Type D)rilled				
4 Potential Contan	mination Source	s - ON REVE	RSE SID	F									
5 Drillholo Dimono	vione and Const	ruction Moth		_		God	ology	8 Goolog	W Type			rom (ft.)	To (ft)
Dia (in) From (ft)			Ju			Coc	des	Caving/No	oncaving, (Color,		on (n.)	10 (11.)
Dia. (III.) FIOIII (II.)	104 Uppe	ole		Lov	ver Open Bedrock		0	Hardness	, etc			Cf aaa	40
0 Surface	104	Rotary - Mud	Circulation			ĸ	- L -					Suriace	12
		Rotary - Air				0	- C -		A I			100	100
		Rotary - Air &	Foam				- 0 -	OIVAVEL				100	104
		Drill-Through	Casing Ha	mmer									
		Cable-tool Bit	ry in di	9									
		Dual Rotary		u									
		Temp. Outer (Casing	_in. dia									
		Removed? _	depth	ft. (If NO									
		explain on bac	K SIDE)										
6. Casing, Liner, So	creen					9. 5	Static Wate	r Level			11. We	ll Is	
Dia. (in.) Material, V	Veight, Specifica	tion		From (ft.) To (ft.)	65 ⁻	ft. below gro	ound surfac	e		12 in. a	bove gr	ade
					10.4	10.	Pump Tes	t			Develo	ped ?	Yes
6 SIEEL, 18	3.97 LB, A53 WF		ELDED	Surface) To (ft)	Pur	mping level	75 ft. below	/ surface		Disinfe	cted ?	Yes
Dia. (In.) Screen typ	de, material & sic	DI SIZE		From (π.) Το (π.)	Pur	mping at 12	GP M for 4	Hrs.		Cappeo	1?	Yes
						Pur	mping Meth	od ?					
7. Grout or Other S	Sealing Material					12.	Notified Ow	vner of nee	d to fill & s	eal ?			
Method MOUNDE													
Kind of Sealing Mate	erial	From (ft.)) To (fl	t.) # Sack	s Cement								
GRANULAR BENTO	ONITE	Surface	•			Fille	ed & Sealed	l Well(s) as	needed?				No
						NO	NE FOUNE)					
							<u> </u>			,			0
						13.	Constructo	r / Supervis	ory Driller	Lic #	7	Date	Signed
						AM.	A					02-2	3-2011
						Dril	ll Rig Opera	tor		Lic c	or Reg #	Date	Signed

4a. Potential	Contamination	Sources Is t	the well loca	ted in floodpla	ain? <u>No</u>				
Туре			Qualifier	Distance	Туре			Qualifier	Distance
POWTS dispe	ersal component	(soil absorption unit		60	Wastewater	r Sump			40
Building Over	hang			13	Sewer - Bui	Iding Sanitary			42
Clearwater St	ump			27	Septic or He	olding, or POWT	S Tank		47
	•								
Comment:									
Water Quality	y Text:								
Water Quant	ity Text:								
Difficulty Tex	tt:								
Created On:	03-29-2011	Created by: W	/ELL CONS ⁻		Jpdated On:	03-29-2011	Updated by:	WELL PROCI	ESS
		-							

RECE WELL CONSTRUCTOR'S REPORT TO WISCONSIN STATE BOARD OF HEALTH AUG 29 1960 See Instructions on Reverse Side (Town X Village . 5.3.5.5 1. County ___ SANTARY City SF Check one and NE m 3N E 36 2. Location 1 Name of street and number of premise or Section, Town and Range numbers Name of individual, partnership or firm 3. Owner 🗹 or Agent 🔲 _____ 2321levant Wis 4. Mail Address ____ 0 Complete address required 5. From well to nearest: Building_____ft; sewer_____ft; drain_____ft; septic tank_____ft; dry well or filter bed_____ft: abandoned well_____ft. 6. Well is intended to supply water for: ____ nink 7. DRILLHOLE: 10. FORMATIONS: From (it.) Dia. (in.) | From (ft.) | To (ft.) || Dis. (in.) | From (ft.) To (It.) To (it.) Kind 646 0 3 0 164'6" 303 3 101 8. CASING AND LINER PIPE OR CURBING: 10 161 Kind and Weight Dia. (in.) From (ft.) To (ft.) 259 6 1646 0 6 259 29 298 303 9. GROUT: Kind From (ft.) To (ft.) 64 Construction of the well was completed on: 1960 20 Uno. **11. MISCELLANEOUS DATA:** Yield test: _____ Hrs. at _____ GPM. The well is terminated ___ inches above, below [] the permanent ground surface. Depth from surface to water-level: 100 ft. Was the well disinfected upon completion? Water-level when pumping: ______50_____ft. Yes_____ No_____ Water sample was sent to the state laboratory at: Was the well sealed watertight upon completion? _____ 19____ on Yes___ No____ City ACKER-BERKHOLTZ CO., 16715 W. GREENFIELD AVE. Signature A Please do not write in space benew BERENDlete Mail Address ONSIN Registered Well Driller 10 ml 10 ml 10 ml 10 ml | 10 ml Rec'd. RECEIVED Ans'd Gas-24 hrs. 48 hrs. Interpretation ____ AUG 29 1960 Confirm SANITARY B. Coli ENGINEERINE Examiner

Well Construct WISCONSIN U	ion Report NIQUE WEL	L NUMBEI	7	ZJ3	43	Drin Depa Madi	Drinking Water and Groundwater - DG/5 F Department of Natural Resources, Box 7921 Madison WI 53707				3300-077A
Property KURK				F	Phone #	1. W	ell Location			Fire # (if	avail.)
Mailing 4514 JAC	CK PINE LN					Villa	ge of YORKVILL	E			
Address						Stree	et Address or Ro	ad Name and	Number		
City UNION GROV	Έ	S	State WI	Zip Cod	le 53182	4514	JACK PINE LN				
County	Co. Permit #	Notification	#		Completed	Subo	livision Name		L	ot#E	Block #
Racine		790936140	3		03-05-2020					_	
Well Constructor (Bu	usiness Name)		Lic. # Fa	acility ID #	# (Public We	ls) Latit	ude / Longitude i	in Decimal De	gree (DD)	Method	Code
GEHRING LANCE	WELL DRILLIN	G INC	6994			42.6	812 °N	-88.0696	°W	GPS00	8
			W	ell Plan A	Approval #		NW NW	Section To	ownship	Range	•
Address 8820 KA	ROW RD					or Go	ovt Lot #	31	3 N	21	E
TWIN LA	KES WI 5318	31	A	oproval D	ate (mm-dd-yy)	y) 2. VV	ell lype New V		constru	ustad in	
Llicon Dermonont M	(all #		1# 0	a colfic Co	an a city		evious unique we		constru		
	en #	Common wei			арасну	Reas			eu weii ?		
2 Wall com/co 1		`	0		2 No						
S. Well serves		7			í INO						
Non-community	# of drillboloo			сар Ртор	Herty ? NO	Conc		Vrillad			
Heat Exchange	# of drillholes			cap Pota	ble? No	Cons	struction type L	Jillea			
4. Potential Contain	nination Source	Ces - UN REVI		E		0		_		-	
5. Drillhole Dimens	sions and Con	struction Met	hod			Geology Codes	8. Geolog Caving/N	gy Type, oncaving, Colo	or,	From (ft.)	lo (ft.)
Dia. (in.) From (ft.)	To (ft.) Up	per Enlarged Ilhole		L	ower Open Bedrock		Hardness	, etc			
	161 076 Yes	s Rotary - Muo	d Circulation	l	No	G C	G-GRAY	C-CLAY		Surface	120
0 101	276 No	Rotary - Air			No	2	Z-CLAY			120	161
	No	Rotary - Air	& Foam		<u>No</u>		L-LIMES	STONE/DOLO	MITE	161	276
	No	Drill-Through	n Casing Ha	mmer							
	<u>No</u>	Reverse Rot	ary								
	<u>No</u>	Cable-tool B	itin. di	a	<u>No</u>						
	No	Dual Rotary			<u>No</u>						
	Ye	<u>s</u> Temp. Outer	Casing 14i	n. dia	- 1						
	<u>Ye</u> :	on back side	2αeptn π. (e)		ain						
6. Casing, Liner, So	creen					9. Static	Water Level		11. \	Nell Is	
Dia. (in.) Material, V	Veight, Specific	ation		From ((ft.) To (ft.)	70 ft. bel	ow ground surfac	ce	14 ir	n. above gr	ade
Manufactu	irer & Method o	of Assembly				10. Pum	p Test		Deve	eloped ?	Yes
6 WHEATLA	AND STEEL T8	C ASTM A53E	3	Surfa	ace 161	Pumping	level 200 ft. belo	w surface	Disir	nfected ?	Yes
Dia. (in.) Screen typ	be, material & s	lot size		From ((ft.) To (ft.)	Pumping	at 5 GP M for 2	Hrs.	Cap	ped ?	Yes
						Pumping	Method ? Airlif	t			
7. Grout or Other S	ealing Materia	al				12. Notifi	ed Owner of nee	d to fill & seal	?		No
Method											
Kind of Sealing Mate	erial	From (f	t.) To (f	t.) # Sa	cks Cement						
DRILL MUD		Surfac	ce 16	61		Filled & S	Sealed Well(s) as	needed?			No
						13. Cons	tructor / Supervis	ory Driller	Lic #	Date	Signed
						LG			6994	03-0	5-2020
						Drill Rig (Operator		Lic or Reg	g # Date	Signed
					_						

4a. Potentia	I Contamination	Sources	Is the well located in	floodplain? <u>No</u>				
				Туре			Qualifier	Distance
				Septic or Ho	olding, or POWT	S Tank		2
Comment:								
Water Qualit	tv Text [.]							
Water Quan	tity Text:							
	uty TEXI.							
Difficulty rea	χι.							
Created On:	08-17-2020	Created by:	OSMONDM	Updated On:	11-25-2020	Updated by:	WELL PROC	ESS

USGS Water Table Contour Map

Post Excavation Sampling Results -December 2020

TETRA TECH Madison, WI The suspected source of the elevated concentrations is spillage of approximately 1 gallon of carbon media that occurred during the last GAC changeout event in September 26, 2018. WM was not made aware of the release prior to the 2020 sampling event. The changeout was reportedly performed by new employees, and although plastic tarping was used, carbon media was spilled on the ground surface near the carbon vessels on the west side of the facility while being transferred to totes. Carbon media was also reportedly spilled in the loading area on the south side of the facility when the totes were loaded onto trucks. Photos of the spilled carbon media taken prior to the over-excavation described further below are included as **Attachment 3**.

To WM's knowledge, no carbon media was spilled on the northern side of the facility near sample C9 (10.9 mg/kg). C9 was collected following the collection of samples along the western side of the facility, which included samples E6 and E6a with elevated concentrations of mercury. As such, the exceedance of the site-specific standard at C9 is likely due to cross-contamination from the western side of the facility.

Reporting and Response Actions

WM reported the release to the WDNR on December 3, 2020 via Form 4400-225 Notification for Hazardous Substance Discharge. Additional information was requested by the WDNR and provided by WM via an email on December 9, 2020. The WDNR opened a case for the incident and issued a Responsible Party (RP) Letter on December 17, 2020 outlining legal responsibilities and requirements to address the release (**Attachment 4**).

To address the detections, a nonemergency immediate action was taken pursuant to NR 708,05(3), Wis. Admin. Code. The response action, consisting of the over-excavation of contaminated soil, was conducted from December 10, 2020 through December 16, 2020. WM personnel over-excavated soils to a depth of approximately 1-foot BGS based on analytical results and visual observations. Orange and white fiberglass marking stakes were placed throughout areas of the excavations to show the original depth of soil using red paint. Photographs of the excavated areas are included as **Attachment 3**. Post-excavation confirmation samples were collected from the excavations by EMT on December 14, 2020 and sent to the certified lab for mercury analysis. All post-excavation confirmation sample results were well below the site-specific standard of 10 mg/kg. A copy of the lab report is included as an **Attachment 2**.

Soil Sample ID	Mercury Results Post-Excavation Sample Date: 12/14/2020 (mg/kg)
C9	0.310
E4	0.639
E6	0.591
E6a	2.44
F6	0.105
F6a	0.175
F7	0.830

The excavation on the southern side of the facility in the truck loading area was backfilled with clean gravel on December 16, 2020. The excavations on the northern (C9) and western (E6 through F7) sides of the facility are currently covered with snow and will be backfilled with clean fill material once the snow melts.