

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County				
								10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140		
WC	Vernon	Vernon County proposes to replace an existing bridge across Hay Valley Creek within the boundary of the Kickapoo Valley Reserve Land. This bridge was funded at 50 percent last year. They did apply for RTA funding this year. The Kickapoo Valley Reserve has committed 20 percent \$8,200.00. This application will be pulled if they received RTA funding.	*Structure	\$12,300	\$12,300	\$0																	
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	\$12,300																		
			Site prep	\$0																			
			Materials	\$0																			
			Abutments	\$0																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$0																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$0																			
Labor	\$0																						
Equip Rental	\$0																						
Other	\$0																						
# of New Mi	0	\$12,300																					
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																							
Old Bridge Size:		11.5' x40'	New Bridge Size		12' x 30'																		
Water Body:		Hay Valley Cr	Material:		steel																		
Years in System:		25+	Design Weight Load:		14,000																		
SC	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace a bridge known as DPC/Fryes Feeder Creek Bridge on State Coord. 21. The bridge is too short for the site and sits within the flood zone. It has washed out at least twice in the last five years. This is Dane County's # 2 priority.  RTP applied for. <b>Ranked to receive 16,300 from RTA.</b>	*Structure	\$26,000	\$32,600	\$0		5	10	0	17	10	15	15	25	12					4	113	
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	\$16,300																		
			Site prep	\$0																			
			Materials	\$0																			
			Abutments	\$0																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$0																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$0																			
Labor	\$6,000																						
Equip Rental	\$0																						
Other	\$600																						
# of New Mi	0	\$16,300																					
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=			TRUE																				
Old Bridge Size:		11.5' x40'	New Bridge Size		12'X50'																		
Water Body:		Fryes Feeder Cr	Material:		steel																		
Years in System:		unknown	Design Weight Load:		14,000																		
NER	Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace two 50 year old concrete culverts on the Fox River State Trail. The joints are cracked, causing the culvert to separate, creating an unstable trail surface. The two culverts would be replaced with one steel culvert. 50% requested from RTA.  <b>Ranked to receive 9,500 from RTA.</b>	*Structure	\$10,750	\$19,000	\$0		5	10	5	17	10	10	15	25	5						5	107
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	\$9,500																		
			Site prep	\$1,500																			
			Materials	\$0																			
			Abutments	\$0																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$0																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$0																			
Labor	\$3,750																						
Equip Rental	\$3,000																						
Other	\$0																						
# of New Mi	0	\$9,500																					
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=			TRUE																				
Old Bridge Size:		48" x 48'	New Bridge Size		83"Wx56"Hx																		
Water Body:		drainage ditch	Material:		steel																		
Years in System:		2004	Design Weight Load:		14,000																		

No questions.  
No RTA, did not rank

1. Is this bridge high enough to be out of the flood zone.  
**Comment:** Funding is only available every 10 years for bridge projects.  
A: The new bridge would be out of the flood plain. The current club bridge is w/in the flood plan and gets taken out regularly by high water. it will meet all

1. Suggest county consider and price a PVC culvert.  
A: PVC would save - \$1277. County & State ok with either.

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County		
								10	10	5	17	10	15	20	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140
WC	Marathon	Marathon County proposes to replace an old bridge (#14) on an unnamed Eau Claire River tributary. The bridge's wood sub-structure has deteriorated and is no longer safe. The club has made numerous repairs over the years to extend the bridge's useful life. It is now time to replace. This bridge is on a very heavily used trail section.	*Structure	\$26,700	\$39,500	\$0																
			Engineer	\$2,000																		50/50 Split
	<b>#4</b>		Soil test	\$0																		
			Site prep	\$10,000																		
			Materials	\$0																		
			Abutments	\$0																		
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																		
			Approaches	\$0																		
			Culverts	\$0																		
			Riprap	\$0																		
			Labor	\$0																		
			Equip Rental	\$0																		
			Other	\$800																		
			# of New Mi	0																		
		TRUE or FALSE=																				
		Old Bridge Size: 12' X 50'	New Bridge Size 12' x 50'																			
		Water Body: Unnamed trib.	Material: steel																			
		Years in System: 42	Design Weight Load: 14,000																			
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #5 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$5,500	\$0																
			Engineer	\$0																		50/50 Split
	<b>#6</b>		Soil test	\$0																		
			Site prep	\$0																		
			Materials	\$5,500																		
			Abutments	\$0																		
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																		
			Approaches	\$0																		
			Culverts	\$0																		
			Riprap	\$0																		
			Labor	\$0																		
			Equip Rental	\$0																		
			Other	\$0																		
			# of New Mi	0																		
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
		Old Bridge Size: 9' x 38'	New Bridge Size																			
		Water Body: Pine River	Material: Wood																			
		Years in System: 42	Design Weight Load: 10,000																			
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #6 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$6,500	\$0																
			Engineer	\$0																		50/50 Split
	<b>#7</b>		Soil test	\$0																		
			Site prep	\$0																		
			Materials	\$6,500																		
			Abutments	\$0																		
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																		
			Approaches	\$0																		
			Culverts	\$0																		
			Riprap	\$0																		
			Labor	\$0																		
			Equip Rental	\$0																		
			Other	\$0																		
			# of New Mi	0																		
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
		Old Bridge Size: 9' x 40'	New Bridge Size																			
		Water Body: Pine River	Material: wood																			
		Years in System: 42	Design Weight Load: 10,000																			

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County	
								10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #7 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$5,500	\$0	0	10	10	17	10	10	20	25	5					97
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$5,500															
			Site prep	\$0																
			Materials	\$5,500																
			Abutments	\$0																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
			Labor	\$0																
			Equip Rental	\$0																
			Other	\$0																
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>			# of New Mi	0	\$5,500	No questions.														
Old Bridge Size: 9' x 32'			New Bridge Size																	
Water Body: Pine River			Material: wood																	
Years in System: <span style="background-color: yellow;">          </span>			Design Weight Load: 10,000																	
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #8 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$2,700	\$0	0	10	17	10	10	20	25	5						97
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$2,700															
			Site prep	\$0																
			Materials	\$2,700																
			Abutments	\$0																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
			Labor	\$0																
			Equip Rental	\$0																
			Other	\$0																
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>			# of New Mi	0	\$2,700	No questions.														
Old Bridge Size: 9' x 28'			New Bridge Size																	
Water Body: Pine River			Material: wood																	
Years in System: <span style="background-color: yellow;">          </span>			Design Weight Load: 10,000																	
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #11 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$5,500	\$0	0	10	17	10	10	20	25	5						97
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$5,500															
			Site prep	\$0																
			Materials	\$5,500																
			Abutments	\$0																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
			Labor	\$0																
			Equip Rental	\$0																
			Other	\$0																
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>			# of New Mi	0	\$5,500	No questions.														
Old Bridge Size: 9' x 38'			New Bridge Size																	
Water Body: Pine River			Material: wood																	
Years in System: <span style="background-color: yellow;">          </span>			Design Weight Load: 10,000																	

## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County			
								10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140		
WC	Eau Claire	Eau Claire County proposes to replace a bridge known as Brown Hut Bridge on Corridor 31. The existing bridge has been previously repaired and worked on by the local club and has also been welded and repaired in spots of weakness. An 8' additional ramp was added about 8 years ago and this has helped hold this bridge together for the time being. The footings have washed out and compromised several times.	*Structure	\$21,000	\$28,500	\$0																	
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	\$28,500																		
			Site prep	\$0																			
			Materials	\$0																			
			Abutments	\$0																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$0																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$0																			
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE=																							
Old Bridge Size:		24" x 30'	New Bridge Size		12' x 40'																		
Water Body:		Unnamed trib.	Material:		steel																		
Years in System:		unknown	Design Weight Load:		14,000																		
			Labor	\$5,000																			
			Equip Rental	\$800																			
			Other	\$1,700																			
			# of New Mi	0	\$28,500																	95	
NER	Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace a 50 year old concrete culvert on the Friendship State Trail. The joints are cracked, causing the culvert to shift, creating an unstable trail surface. The culvert would be replaced with the same size steel culvert. 50% requested from RTA.  <b>Ranked to receive \$2,250 from RTA.</b>	*Structure	\$1,250	\$4,500	\$0																	
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	\$2,250																		
			Site prep	\$500																			
			Materials	\$0																			
			Abutments	\$0																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$0																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$0																			
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE=			TRUE																				
Old Bridge Size:		24" x 30'	New Bridge Size		24" x 30'																		
Water Body:		drainage ditch	Material:		metal																		
Years in System:		2003	Design Weight Load:		14,000																		
			Labor	\$1,550																			
			Equip Rental	\$1,200																			
			Other	\$0																			
			# of New Mi	0	\$2,250																		95
WC	Dunn	Dunn County proposes to rehabilitate a bridge known as Tiffany Creek Snowmobile Bridge. The stream bank was comprised through two major storm events (July 6th & Sept. 2, 2015) and needs stabilization with rock rip rap and woody material placement is need to minimize the chance of the stream re-routing around the existing bridge. THIS IS A STORM DAMAGE REQUEST.	*Structure	\$0	\$16,200	\$0																	
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	\$16,200																		
			Site prep	\$0																			
			Materials	\$0																			
			Abutments	\$0																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$0																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$16,200																			
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE=																							
Old Bridge Size:			New Bridge Size		10' x 30'																		
Water Body:		Tiffany Creek	Material:		wood																		
Years in System:			Design Weight Load:																				
			Labor	\$0																			
			Equip Rental	\$0																			
			Other	\$0																			
			# of New Mi	0	\$16,200																		94

1. What is the size of the existing bridge?  
A: 40' x 10'

1. Suggest county consider and price a PVC culvert.  
A: PVC would save \$346. County & State

No questions.

## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County		
								10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140
SC	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace a bridge known as Gust/Sugar River Bridge on County Trail 53. The bridge is currently laying across the Sugar River without clearance for other recreational uses. It was constructed using 3 telephone poles. The middle pole is completely rotted through. The replacement proposed will be 12' x 50' w/2 40' approaches. This is Dane County #1 priority.	*Structure	\$59,500	\$76,200	\$0		5	5	0	15	8	15	15	20	5				6	94
	Engineer		\$0	50/50 Split																	
	<b>14</b>		Soil test	\$0	<b>\$76,200</b>																
			Site prep	\$0																	
			Materials	\$0																	
			Abutments	\$0																	
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																	
			Approaches	\$0																	
			Culverts	\$0																	
			Riprap	\$0																	
			Labor	\$15,500																	
			Equip Rental	\$0																	
			Other	\$1,200																	
			# of New Mi	0	\$76,200																
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= Old Bridge Size: 8.5' X 25'    New Bridge Size 12' x 50' Water Body: Sugar River    Material: steel Years in System: 25+    Design Weight Load: 14,000																			
SC	Columbia	Columbia County proposes to replace a bridge known as Doherty over the north branch of the Crawfish River. The bridge has deteriorated and is no longer safe. It is on Trail 35 which runs north and south. It connects Co. Trail 60E and 60W on the south end and also connects Co. Trail 13N and 13S on the north end of the trail. There would be no land or water alterations as we will install riprap as required. The bridge will have short approaches on both ends.	*Structure	\$31,600	\$35,268	\$0		5	5	0	15	8	10	15	20	5	0	0	0	10	93
	Engineer		\$0	50/50 Split																	
	<b>24</b>		Soil test	\$0	<b>\$35,268</b>																
			Site prep	\$800																	
			Materials	\$0																	
			Abutments	\$0																	
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																	
			Approaches	\$600																	
			Culverts	\$0																	
			Riprap	\$1,025																	
			Labor	\$0																	
			Equip Rental	\$740																	
			Other	\$503																	
			# of New Mi	0	\$35,268																
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= Old Bridge Size:                      New Bridge Size 12' x 48' Water Body: Crawfish River    Material: steel Years in System: 25+    Design Weight Load: 14,000																			
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County proposes to replace the decking on four bridges on the Nicolet State Trail. All four bridges were decked in 2004 and have not received rehab money since that time. Foundations, structures and railings are in good shape, but decking has deteriorated. County has applied to RTA program for 50% of the project cost, and request 25% from ATV and 25% from Snowmobile.	*Structure	\$0	\$34,800	\$0		5	10	0	17	10	10	20	10	5				5	92
	Engineer		\$0	50/25/25																	
	<b>15</b>		Soil test	\$0	<b>\$8,700</b>																
			Site prep	\$0																	
			Materials	\$0																	
			Abutments	\$0																	
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																	
			Approaches	\$0																	
			Culverts	\$0																	
			Riprap	\$0																	
			Labor	\$0																	
			Equip Rental	\$0																	
			Other	\$0																	
			# of New Mi	0	\$8,700																
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <b>TRUE</b> Old Bridge Size:                      New Bridge Size Water Body:                              Material: Years in System:                      Design Weight Load:																			

No questions.

No questions.

*This project was moved here after the bridge*

No questions.

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County							
							10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140						
NER	Oconto	Oconto County proposes to reconstruct a bridge over the McCaslin Brook on USFS land. During recertification 3 years ago, the USFS reduced the allowable weight limit from 6 tons to 3.5 tons. The new 11,500 lb groomer (plus 5,500 lb drag) is lighter than the old groomer but still does not meet the new weight restriction. This is the final year of the 3 year USFS waiver. Without the upgrade, the groomer detour will be 4 miles on town roads. The Bridge will be upgraded to the 18,000 lb design weight load which meets USFS requirements and is under what's currently allowed by the council on USFS land. The cost estimate includes repurposed beams for a savings of \$1600. Snow and ATV funds requested. RTA eligible.	*Structure	\$12,914	\$16,814	\$0	5	10	0	17	10	10	10	15	5				10	92						
			Engineer	\$0	50/25/25 Split																					
			Soil test	\$0	\$4,204																					
			Site prep	\$0																						
			Materials	\$0																						
			Abutments	\$0																						
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																						
			Approaches	\$0																						
			Culverts	\$0																						
			Riprap	\$0																						
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE																						
		Old Bridge Size: 38' x 11'	New Bridge Size 38' x 11'																							
		Water Body: McCaslin Brook	Material: steel																							
		Years in System: 25	Design Weight Load: 18,000																							
		# of New Mi		0	\$4,204																					
NOR-R	Langlade	Langlade Co proposes to replace several failing or undersized culverts on their ATV/Snow trails. Also requesting RTA (50%) & ATV (25%); (*) City of Antigo Trail - Flooding event 3/16, propose three 42" Arch Diameter Equiv culverts & trail bed raised to prevent future flooding. Total = \$17,120; RTA = \$8,560, Sno/ATV = \$4,280 each (*) 5-Cent Trail - Existing culverts too short and rusting out causing gaps in trail surface. Replace four 36" x 30' culverts. Total Cost = \$13,758; RTA = \$6,880, Snow & ATV = \$3,440 each (*) Wolf River State Trail - N of Lily, culverts seperating & causing gaps in trail. New 30" x 30' culvt = \$5,165; RTA=\$2,582, Sno/ATV=\$1,291e	*Structure	\$0	\$36,043	\$0															88					
			Engineer	\$1,750	50/25/25																					
			Soil test	\$0	\$10,302																					
			Site prep	\$0																						
			Materials	\$3,910																						
			Abutments	\$0																						
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																						
			Approaches	\$0																						
			Culverts	\$16,840																						
			Riprap	\$300																						
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE																						
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size																							
		Water Body:	Material: Culvert																							
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																							
		# of New Mi		0	\$10,302																					

1. Variance will be required to exceed Administrative Code maximum bridge load, council will likely entertain this request due to USFS requirements.

A: Variance request received and variance will be issued if Council recommends funding.

1. Bridge ranking needed.

A: Rankings were 5-Cent = 95, Wolf River ST = 94 and Antigo = 76 for an average

Ranked to receive \$15,438.90 from RTA for 2 of the 3 trails.

Ranked to receive \$8,407 from RTA.

## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County	140				
							10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16		10			
NOR-R	Iron	Iron County proposes to replace an undersized bridge over <b>Moose Creek on Trail 12</b> . The existing 14'x30' bridge was installed in 2002 by the Mercer Sno Goers, but has experienced erosion problems and is in danger of falling. The old bridge would be moved to the Montreal River on Trail 11A (see Iron's Montreal River grant request) and a new 14'x45' steel beam bridge would be built at this location.  Note: ATV #12 depends on this being approved.	*Structure	\$0	\$25,046	\$0																	
			Engineer	\$1,500	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	<b>\$25,046</b>																		
			Site prep	\$0																			
			Materials	\$10,851																			
			Abutments	\$0																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$4,806																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$0																			
Labor	\$4,174																						
Equip Rental	\$2,767																						
Other	\$948																						
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>			TRUE or FALSE=	<b>FALSE</b>																			
Old Bridge Size:	14 x 30	New Bridge Size	14 x 45																				
Water Body:	Moose Creek	Material:	Steel																				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000																				
			# of New Mi	0	\$25,046																		
NOR-R	Iron	Iron County proposes to replace a deteriorating bridge over <b>Montreal River on Trail 11A</b> with a bridge currently located on Moose Creek, pending the approval of that bridge rehab project by the Snowmobile Council. The original bridge at this location was built in 1989 out of power poles. The bridge at Moose Creek is too short for that location, but would work well as a replacement to the existing bridge at this location. The east abutment will need to be rebuilt and the decking rebuilt, but the west abutment existing at this site is sufficient. 50% has been requested of the ATV program.  Note: This project depends on Snow #17 being approved.	*Structure	\$0	\$9,265	\$0		10															
			Engineer	\$500	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	<b>\$4,633</b>																		
			Site prep	\$0																			
			Materials	\$3,457																			
			Abutments	\$2,179																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$0																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$0																			
Labor	\$1,391																						
Equip Rental	\$738																						
Other	\$1,000																						
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>			TRUE or FALSE=	<b>TRUE</b>																			
Old Bridge Size:	13 x 33	New Bridge Size	14 x 30																				
Water Body:	Montreal River	Material:	prefab																				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000																				
			# of New Mi	0	\$4,633																		
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County proposes to replace a 40' bridge with a 90' bridge over the <b>Little Popple River</b> on Trail 6 in the town of Homestead. The current bridge is too short and approaches not straight. Trail will also be rehabed on both sides to straighten the approach.	*Structure	\$70,000	\$107,344	\$0		5	5	3	5	10	10	15	20	5							
			Engineer	\$8,000	50/50 Split																		
			Soil test	\$0	<b>\$107,344</b>																		
			Site prep	\$1,000																			
			Materials	\$1,200																			
			Abutments	\$10,000																			
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																			
			Approaches	\$2,000																			
			Culverts	\$0																			
			Riprap	\$2,000																			
Labor	\$8,250																						
Equip Rental	\$1,894																						
Other	\$3,000																						
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>			TRUE or FALSE=	<b>FALSE</b>																			
Old Bridge Size:	12 x 40	New Bridge Size	12 x 90																				
Water Body:	Little Popple R	Material:	Steel																				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000																				
			# of New Mi	0	\$107,344																		

No questions.

County needs to submit bridge

1. What was the date the previous grant was received for this bridge?  
A: 1997 when the bridge was constructed.

## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max	10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140	
							Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County		
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to replace an existing bridge over <b>Crane and Chase Creek</b> with a new 32' x 12.8' built-on-site bridge. The existing bridge needs to be replaced and cannot be repaired. Ramps will be constructed on both sides of the bridge to eliminate the need to fill a wetland. <b>NOTE FROM J STEFFES, DNR CSS</b> - Funding status of this trail/bridge is unclear, county is currently working on providing up-to-date trail maps with funded vs club trails identified. Maps currently in circulation are unabled or variable.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$15,000 \$0 \$3,000 \$500 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$8,000 \$3,500 \$0	\$30,000 50/50 Split <b>\$30,000</b>	\$0		5	5	0	5	8	10	10	20	20	5				10	78
		<b>20</b>	<b>50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE</b> Old Bridge Size: (not indicated) New Bridge Size 12.8' x 32' Water Body: Chase & Crane Material: <b>Wooden</b> Years in System: [redacted] Design Weight Load: <b>12,000</b>				<b>1. Is this a funded trail? Provide map and details. A: Yes, map provide on Council web page with agenda.</b> <b>2. Written easement required. A: County is aware and will pursue if funding recommended.</b> <b>3. Engineered plans must be provided before build. A: County is aware.</b> <b>4. What were the old bridge</b>															
WC	Chippewa	Chippewa County proposes to replace a bridge known as Trout Creek (Culver) Snowmobile Bridge on Corridor 33. The bridge is too short. The stream has widened. Both ends of the bridge are in direct contact with the ground and are partially submerged in the creek. The east side of the bridge does not appear to be on a sill and a piling is protruding through the decking.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$39,000 \$3,000 \$0 \$1,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,000 \$5,000 \$0 \$0	\$52,000 50/50 Split <b>\$52,000</b>	\$0		5	5		10	10	10	5	10	5					10	70
		<b>29</b>	<b>50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=</b> Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size 12' x 50' Water Body: Duncan Creek Material: <b>steel</b> Years in System: [redacted] Design Weight Load: <b>14,000</b>				<b>1. Age of current structure/years in system? A: At least 26 years old.</b> <b>2. Could this bridge be reset? A: We do not believe it is practical to reset this bridge at this location. Based on a review of historical aerial photos and current site conditions, it appears that the characteristics of the stream may have changed and the bridge is now too short. Additionally, it appears as though adequate rip rap was not used to stabilize the banks when the bridge was originally installed. Resetting this bridge would require a substantial amount of streambank construction to narrow the stream channel. Assuming streambank construction would be allowable under State &amp; Federal regs, it would be an expensive endeavor. DNR Water Reg. Specialist would prefer a clear span bridge rather than fill in riparian wetlands and streambank construction.</b>  Re-use in another location is an objective. We have spoken with Anderson Bridges who has advised they have the required credential to inspect this															
WC	Pepin & Dunn	Pepin County proposes to install replace a bridge known as Eau Galle River Bridge that was located in Dunn County on Corridor 37. The structure will be placed approximately 1/2 mile downstream of the current bridge to utilize a better location for a permanent bridge and a common landowner on both sides of the river. The trail will be re-routed to re-connect to common start and end points about 2 trails miles apart. The new trail is mostly fields and will require very little development on the east side and on the the other side a former snowmobile trail will be used. Both counties have been working together on this project. The old bridge was a homemade, removable bridge.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$105,000 \$10,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,000 \$0 \$2,000	\$134,000 50/50 Split <b>\$134,000</b>	\$0		5		15	10	10	20	5								65
		<b>#5</b>	<b>50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=</b> Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size 12' x 100' Water Body: Eau Galle Riiver Material: <b>steel</b> Years in System: <b>30</b> Design Weight Load: <b>14,000</b>				<b>No questions.</b> <i>This project was moved due to incorrect bridge ranking. This was not a</i>															

## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County		
								10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140	
WC	Dunn	Dunn County proposes to replace a bridge known as the Connersville Bridge over Flayton Creek. The current bridge is badly deteriorated and no longer safe.  <b>21</b>	*Structure	\$12,000	\$25,000 50/50 Split <b>\$25,000</b>		\$0															
			Engineer	\$3,000																		
			Soil test	\$0																		
			Site prep	\$1,000																		
			Materials	\$0																		
			Abutments	\$2,000																		
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																		
			Approaches	\$0																		
			Culverts	\$0																		
			Riprap	\$2,000																		
Labor	\$5,000																					
Equip Rental	\$0																					
Other	\$0																					
# of New Mi	0	\$25,000																				
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>																						
Old Bridge Size:	20' x 10'	New Bridge Size	20' x 12'																			
Water Body:	Flayton Creek	Material:	steel																			
Years in System:	unknown	Design Weight Load:	14,000																			
NO	Burnett	Burnett County proposes to replace the decking on a bridge on trail 150. The bridge is on private land and owned by the landowner and is jointly used by the snowmobile club and the landowner for access to his residence. <b>Trail 150 Bridge Rehab.</b>  <b>22</b>	*Structure		\$22,000 50/50 Split <b>\$22,000</b>		\$0	5	5	0	12	8	10	5	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	60
			Engineer	\$2,000																		
			Soil test																			
			Site prep																			
			Materials	\$11,000																		
			Abutments																			
			Pilings/Piers																			
			Approaches																			
			Culverts																			
			Riprap																			
Labor	\$9,000																					
Equip Rental																						
Other																						
# of New Mi	0.00	\$22,000																				
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <b>FALSE</b>																						
Old Bridge Size:	88x12	New Bridge Size																				
Water Body:		Material:	wood																			
Years in System:	15	Design Weight Load:	14000																			
WC	Vernon	Vernon County proposes to place riprap and stabilize a streambank and then reset the bridge on a bridge known as Black Valley Bridge.  <b>23</b>	*Structure	\$0	\$2,500 50/50 Split <b>\$2,500</b>		\$0															
			Engineer	\$0																		
			Soil test	\$0																		
			Site prep	\$0																		
			Materials	\$0																		
			Abutments	\$0																		
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																		
			Approaches	\$0																		
			Culverts	\$0																		
			Riprap	\$2,500																		
Labor	\$0																					
Equip Rental	\$0																					
Other	\$0																					
# of New Mi	0	\$2,500																				
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>																						
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size																				
Water Body:		Material:																				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:																				

No questions.

The council's copy of this project was incomplete, it will be reviewed at the committee meeting on Sunday, August 21.

1. Is this a funded trail? A: Yes
2. Could this be repaired with maintenance funds? The structure looks like it will need work in less than 10 years.  
A: -If forced to they will, but it will leave them short on funds for other maintenance.  
-The frame is steel and they believe the decking would need to be replaced in the future, but not before 10 years . They said the bridge was just installed 3 or 4 years ago.
3. Bridge ranking needed.

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County					
								10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140				
NER	Marinette	Marinette County proposes to replace this Corridor 5 bridge on private property. No ATV's allowed on this trail. <b>No bridge inventory received from the county. Both estimates are from Custom Manufacturing. One includes approaches, one doesn't. This clubs trails are still not marked accurately - funded vs non-funded, but the county believes this is a funded segment. No ranking sheet yet.</b>	*Structure	\$26,200	\$32,800	\$0																			
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split																				
			Soil test	\$0	<b>\$32,800</b>																				
			Site prep	\$0																					
			Materials	\$0																					
			Abutments	\$0																					
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																					
			Approaches	\$0																					
			Culverts	\$0																					
			Riprap	\$0																					
			Labor	\$0																					
			Equip Rental	\$5,700																					
			Other	\$900																					
			<b>50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE</b>																				# of New Mi	0	\$32,800
			Old Bridge Size:	12' x 50'	New Bridge Size																		12' x 60'		
Water Body:	Pem Bon Won	Material:	steel																						
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	12,000																						
NO	Douglas	Douglas County would like to replace a bridge with a culvert. The bridge was installed in 2005 and due to high water in 2015 the bridge was damaged. The installation of culvert will require a floodplain analysis. The culvert will be sized once the engineering is completed.	*Structure	\$9,900	\$25,500	\$0																			
			Engineer	\$15,600	50/50 Split																				
			Soil test	\$0	<b>\$12,750</b>																				
			Site prep	\$0																					
			Materials	\$0																					
			Abutments	\$0																					
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																					
			Approaches	\$0																					
			Culverts	\$0																					
			Riprap	\$0																					
			Labor	\$0																					
			Equip Rental	\$0																					
			Other	\$0																					
			<b>50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= TRUE</b>																				# of New Mi	0	\$12,750
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size																				
Water Body:		Material:																							
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:																							
<b>Total</b>					<b>\$732,997</b>	<b>\$0</b>																			

1. Confirm this is a funded trail.  
 2. Provide 2nd quote.  
 3. Provide summer pictures.  
 A: Included in Project Information on Council web page.  
 4. Bridge inventory needs to be submitted.  
 5. Bridge ranking needed.

Bridge ranking needed.



## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NO	33	The Tri-County Corridor Commission proposes to rehab 8.8 miles of trail by grading and adding a 4 inch gravel lift to the trail. <b>Ashland/Moquah Rehab.</b>	*Structure		\$144,438	\$0	
			Engineer		50/50		
			Soil test		<b>\$72,219</b>		
			Site prep	\$138,438			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$6,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi		\$72,219		
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b>	TRUE or FALSE=	<b>TRUE</b>			
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size				
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
NO	34	The Tri-County Corridor Commission proposes to rehab 7.5 miles of trail by grading and adding a 4 inch gravel lift to the trail. <b>Moccasin Mike Rehab.</b>	*Structure		\$123,307	\$0	
			Engineer		50/50		
			Soil test		<b>\$61,654</b>		
			Site prep	\$118,807			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$4,500			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi		\$61,654		
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b>	TRUE or FALSE=	<b>TRUE</b>			
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size				
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
					<b>\$161,001</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
WC	36	La Crosse Co has a mandatory re-route due to the city (Onalaska) redesigning streets and area where the trail was. This re-route is known as the Great River Landing Trail Relocation. Onalaska is an important link between the La Crosse River Trail and the Great River Trail. The city is paying for the ground work for the trail. They are putting in a paved trail for handicapped accessibility and wanted to run the snowmobile trail adjacent on the grass. Due to fear of damage to the paved path and yearly damage to the grass, the club wants to put a gravel base with 4" of screening 10' wide the length of the relocated trail.	*Structure		\$6,460		
			Engineer		50/50 Split		
			Soil test		<b>\$6,460</b>		
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor				
			Equip Rental				
			Other	\$6,460			
			# of New Mi		\$6,460		
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b>	TRUE or FALSE=				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 80'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14,000			
WC	37	Pierce County proposes to re-route a .7 miles trail due to a landowner closure issue. The new trail will be 1.5 miles in length and require an 80' clear span bridge. New trail and bridge will be located on private land with a 25 year agreement for the bridge.	*Structure	\$62,000	\$103,400		1. LUA terms ? A: 25 years 2. How many new net miles? A: New miles = 0.35 3. Why can't the temporary trail continue? A: The temporary bridge does not allow the groomer to cross so it needs to back track to get around the creek. Also, the bridge permit is a temporary permit which has been granted based on our goal of obtaining a permanent bridge with the proper permits. I do not believe that we will be able to continue to get temporary bridge permits year after year.
			Engineer	\$15,000	50/50 Split		
			Soil test		<b>\$103,400</b>		
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
			Abutments	\$8,000			
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches	\$2,000			
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$2,000			
			Labor				
			Equip Rental	\$5,000			
			Other	\$8,400			
			# of New Mi	0.00	\$103,400		
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b>	TRUE or FALSE=				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 80'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14,000			
SC	38	Iowa Co. has a mandatory relocation due to a loss of landowner permission. This re-route will mean new bridge to be known as Dodge Branch Creek Bridge. This project includes a H & H study.	*Structure	\$33,200	\$52,900		1. Is this trail funded? Provide map. 2. Did old trail have a bridge? If so, was that bridge funded and when?
			Engineer		50/50 Split		
			Soil test		<b>\$52,900</b>		
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor				
			Equip Rental	\$8,200			
			Other	\$11,500			
			# of New Mi		\$52,900		
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b>	TRUE or FALSE=				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	70' x 12'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
SER	39	Sheboygan County proposes to reroute an existing trail over the Pigeon River. The reroute requires a new bridge on private property with a 10 year easement. The bridge will be 52' long with two 30' approaches totaling 112'.	*Structure	\$44,100	\$55,800 50/50 Split <b>\$55,800</b>		No questions.
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
Abutments							
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap							
Labor	\$7,000						
Equip Rental	\$3,000						
Other	\$700						
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>			TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE			
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	112' x 12'				
Water Body:		Material:	steel				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14000				
		# of New Mi			\$55,800		
SER	40	Washington County proposes to install a bridge over the Milwaukee River east of the City of West Bend due to a mandatory relocation of a portion of Corridor #5, the main north/south corridor trail through the eastern portion of Washington County. The only north/south snowmobile bridge that crossed the Milwaukee river has been replaced with a pedestrian bridge in the City of West Bend. The City of West Bend prohibits snowmobiles to operate within City limits but has given permission to the snowmobile clubs to use the pedestrian bridge for a limited time. Washington County has also applied for RTA and Motorized Stewardship funding.	*Structure	\$90,000	\$578,300 50/50 Split <b>\$578,300</b>		Committee recommended that the Council not fund this project. No tentative RTA, did not rank.
			Engineer	\$33,300			
			Soil test	\$7,000			
			Site prep	\$108,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$90,000						
Pilings/Piers	\$60,000						
Approaches	\$42,000						
Culverts							
Riprap	\$7,500						
Labor	\$75,000						
Equip Rental	\$60,000						
Other	\$5,500						
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>			TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE			
Old Bridge Size:	70' x 9'	New Bridge Size	256' x 12'				
Water Body:	Milwaukee River	Material:	steel				
Years in System:	20 +	Design Weight Load:	14000				
		# of New Mi		0.00	\$578,300		
NOR-R	41	Vilas County proposes to relocate a 0.4 mile segment of trail ( <b>Trail 2 near Manitowish Waters</b> ) due to loss of easement. Failure to relocate will result in a 14 mile segment of trail being closed, as no alternate locations have been identified. Local snow club has purchased land to facilitate this, but construction of a new '66 bridge is required to create the new trail.	*Structure	\$60,000	\$149,500 50/50 Split <b>\$149,500</b>		No questions.
			Engineer	\$20,000			
			Soil test	\$4,000			
			Site prep	\$4,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$10,000						
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap	\$2,000						
Labor	\$30,000						
Equip Rental	\$17,000						
Erosion Cont	\$2,500						
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>			TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE			
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	12' x 66'				
Water Body:	Papoose Creek	Material:	Steel				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000				
		# of New Mi		0.00	\$149,500		

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	42	Price County proposes to relocate 1.5 miles of trail ( <b>Trail 116</b> ) due to requirement of landowner to move the trail. Work includes dozing and brushing.	*Structure		\$6,000	50/50 Split <b>\$6,000</b>	
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$6,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0.00	\$6,000		
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <span style="color: red; border: 1px solid red; padding: 2px;">FALSE</span>							
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size				
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
NER	43	Green Lake County proposes to build a bridge over a drainage ditch on Corr 30W trail. The landowner is requiring the trail to move off the farm field. The bridge will be on private property with a 20 year easement.	*Structure		\$27,400	50/50 Split <b>\$27,400</b>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;">                     1. Why a bridge and not a culvert? A: Culvert requires fill &amp; permit, bridge doesn't. Past history of culverts washing out in spring.                      2. Could packed snow work with no bridge or culvert? A: No, 30' across groomer                 </div>
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials	\$20,000			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$1,200			
			Labor	\$3,200			
			Equip Rental	\$2,000			
			Other				
			# of New Mi		\$27,400		
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <span style="color: red; border: 1px solid red; padding: 2px;">FALSE</span>							
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 35'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel-lumber			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14000			
NER	44	Waushara County proposes to reroute the trail due to a lost easement. The reroute requires a 12' by 20' bridge over DNR land. RTA eligible. May get \$.	*Structure		\$16,148	50/50 Split <b>\$16,148</b>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;">                     1. Provide pictures of site with out the snow cover. A: Provided on Council webpage under Project Info.                      2. Is a bridge needed?                 </div>
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep	\$850			
			Materials	\$10,588			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches	\$440			
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$2,140			
			Equip Rental	\$1,661			
			Other	\$469			
			# of New Mi	0.00	\$16,148		
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <span style="color: red; border: 1px solid red; padding: 2px;">FALSE</span>							
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 20'			
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	12000			

## 2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments															
NO	45	Washburn County proposes to relocate 13.4 miles of trail with 6.6 miles of new trail construction due to lost easement by landowner. Project involves 4 new bridges, gates, and trail construction, . This project includes \$122,158 from an existing Motorized Stewardship grant. <b>Trail 140 Relocation.</b>	*Structure		\$27,500	50/50 Split <b>\$27,500</b>	No questions.															
			Engineer																			
			Soil test																			
			Site prep																			
			Materials	\$14,860																		
Abutments																						
Pilings/Piers																						
Approaches																						
Culverts																						
Riprap																						
Labor	\$10,690																					
Equip Rental																						
Other	\$1,950																					
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>50/50 or other split?</b></td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Old Bridge Size:</td> <td colspan="3">New Bridge Size</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td colspan="3">Material:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td colspan="3">Design Weight Load:</td> </tr> </table>			<b>50/50 or other split?</b>		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size			Water Body:	Material:			Years in System:	Design Weight Load:			# of New Mi	\$27,500		
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																			
Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size																					
Water Body:	Material:																					
Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																					
NO	46	Rusk County proposes to re-route trail 31 due to lost easement. The project involves the relocation of an existing 12x58 bridge and trail construction and placement of 2 culverts.	*Structure	\$37,600	\$46,150	50/50 Split <b>\$46,150</b>	1. Better breakdown of costs needed. a. Who's doing the work? b. Why take the bridge apart? c. Price of a new structure, compared to moving the old? A: Quote posted on Council web page. 2. Does part of this reroute run on a road?															
			Engineer																			
			Soil test																			
			Site prep																			
			Materials	\$5,850																		
Abutments																						
Pilings/Piers																						
Approaches																						
Culverts																						
Riprap																						
Labor	\$2,700																					
Equip Rental																						
Other																						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>50/50 or other split?</b></td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Old Bridge Size:</td> <td colspan="3">12x58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td colspan="3">Material: steel</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td colspan="3">Design Weight Load: 14000</td> </tr> </table>			<b>50/50 or other split?</b>		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE	Old Bridge Size:	12x58			Water Body:	Material: steel			Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 14000			# of New Mi	0.00		
<b>50/50 or other split?</b>		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																			
Old Bridge Size:	12x58																					
Water Body:	Material: steel																					
Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 14000																					
				<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,069,558</b>	<b>\$0</b>																

## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max:	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-gr	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete	TOTAL			
								5	15	5	10	10	10	5	10*	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to relocate a 3 mile segment of <b>Trail 51 in Arbor Vitae</b> . This would eliminate the need for a trail rehab project on the existing footprint, and the relocation can be done with no development cost, but would increase maintenance miles by 0.6 miles. This is presented as Alternative 2 - the original rehab project is also presented to the council under the Trail Rehab category as Alternative 1, Proj #32  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content;">47</div>	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	50/50 Split  \$0	\$0	\$0	3	15		5	10	10	2	3	10	5				43		
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>																				
		Old Bridge Size: _____ New Bridge Size _____ Water Body: _____ Material: _____ Years in System: _____ Design Weight Load: _____																				
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to develop 0.3 miles of trail adjacent to <b>State Hwy 52</b> west of Windfall Lake, compressing two one-way trails on each side of the highway into one two-way trail. The new two-way trail segment will be built on USFS land. <b>NOTE FROM CSS JILLIAN STEFFES</b> - I have requested the county submit additional maps and scoring.  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content;">48</div>	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	50/50 Split  \$2,313 \$2,313	\$0	\$0	5	17	5	10	10	0	3	7							57	
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FALSE																				
		Old Bridge Size: _____ New Bridge Size _____ Water Body: _____ Material: _____ Years in System: _____ Design Weight Load: _____																				
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to relocate 1.3 miles of trail south of the Town of Armstrong Creek. The current trail is on and adjacent to Engleking Road. In the past, this road was unplowed in the winter, but a new subdivision has been developed on Camp 6 Road, resulting in creased road traffic and plowing on Engleking Rd. The proposed new trail segment would be built on USFS land. <b>NOTE FROM CSS JILLIAN STEFFES</b> - I have requested the county submit additional maps and scoring.  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content;">49</div>	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	50/50 Split  \$6,400 \$6,400	\$0	\$0	5	17	5	5	10	0	3	3								48
		<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE= <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FALSE																				
		Old Bridge Size: _____ New Bridge Size _____ Water Body: _____ Material: _____ Years in System: _____ Design Weight Load: _____																				

Map included on Council web page with the agenda.

Map included on Council web page with the agenda.



## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max:	10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140		
							Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County			
54	NOR-R Iron	<b>COST INCREASE S4509</b> - Iron County proposes to build a 180' x 12' bridge on state funded snowmobile <b>Trail 17</b> between Manitowish Waters and Lac Du Flambeau. Snow/ice bridges have been utilized in past years to cross wetland, but maintaining a good safe crossing has been very difficult, and a permanent bridge would make for a safer crossing. This project was selected for partial funding last year (\$12,924), but unable to be fully funded due to lack of available funds. The county is seeking the remainder of a 50% cost share, and has applied to RTA for the other 50%		*Structure		\$83,779															0	
		Engineer	\$1,000	50/50 Split																		
		Soil test																				
		Site prep																				
		Materials	\$50,233																			
<b>50/50 Split?</b> Enter TRUE or FALSE		<b>TRUE</b>																				
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	180' x 12'	Equip Rental	\$12,280																	
Water Body: wetland		Material:		Other	\$500																	
Years in System 25+		Design Weight Load:	14,000	# of New Mi		\$42,435																
51	WC Juneau	Multi-Use Path on Wisconsin 82 bridge over Wisconsin River The Wisconsin DOT will soon be replacing the current bridge on State Highway 82 spanning the Wisconsin River.		*Structure	\$1,450,000	\$1,450,000															0	
		Engineer		50/50 Split																		
		Soil test																				
		Site prep																				
		Materials																				
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE=				Labor																		
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size		Equip Rental																		
Water Body:		Material:		Other																		
Years in System		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi		\$1,450,000																
52	WC Marathon	Marathon County seeks funding to build a clear span bridge over Grass Creek in the Town of Hamburg. This bridge would eliminate a hazardous ice crossing. The trail has been crossing the creek at this location for 29 years.		*Structure	\$45,200	\$54,400															0	
		Engineer		50/50 Split																		
		Soil test																				
		Site prep																				
		Materials	\$8,400																			
<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE=				Labor																		
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size		Equip Rental																		
Water Body:		Material:		Other	\$800																	
Years in System		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi		\$54,400																

**\$83,779 - Project Total**  
 -12,924 - Received from SRC last year  
 -28,419.60 - Ranked to receive from RTA  
**\$42,435.40 - Requesting this year.**

**New bridge projects were not reviewed by the Infrastructure Committee.**  
  
**If funds are available to reach this category, these projects will be reviewed at the full funding meeting.**

## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max:	10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140			
SER	Sheboygan	Sheboygan County proposes to install a bridge over an unnamed waterbody. The waterway does not freeze to 'pack' a trail for snowmobiles or grooming equipment. This results in an unsafe crossing. The trail is landlocked so rerouting is not an option. Landowners have given written permission for 10 years and the adjacent owners (north & south) have given verbal trail permission for an undetermined amount of time.	*Structure	\$102,000	\$124,000	\$0															0		
			Engineer		50/50 Split																		
			Soil test		<b>\$124,000</b>																		
			Site prep																				
			Materials																				
			Abutments																				
			Pilings/Piers																				
			Approaches																				
			Culverts																				
			Riprap																				
			Labor	\$20,000																			
			Equip Rental																				
			Other	\$2,000																			
			# of New Mi		\$124,000																		
		<b>50/50 Split?</b> Enter TRUE or FALSE	<b>FALSE</b>																				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 200/210'																			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel																			
		Years in System	Design Weight Load:	14000																			
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to construct a 38' clear span bridge over <b>Needle Creek</b> . Ice crossings have been used in the past but present safety concerns. A 50% cost share is being requested of RTA.	*Structure		\$35,000	\$0																0	
			Engineer		50/50 Split																		
			Soil test		<b>\$17,500</b>																		
			Site prep																				
			Materials	\$18,000																			
			Abutments																				
			Pilings/Piers	\$3,000																			
			Approaches	\$500																			
			Culverts																				
			Riprap																				
			Labor	\$10,000																			
			Equip Rental	\$3,500																			
			Other																				
			# of New Mi		\$17,500																		
			<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE=	<b>TRUE</b>																			
		Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	12.8' x 38'																		
		Water Body:	Needle Creek	Material:	Wooden																		
		Years in System	Design Weight Load:	12,000																			
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to construct a 35' clear span bridge over <b>Little Elk River</b> . Ice crossings have been used in the past but present safety concerns.	*Structure		\$33,000	\$0																0	
			Engineer		50/50 Split																		
			Soil test		<b>\$33,000</b>																		
			Site prep																				
			Materials	\$16,000																			
			Abutments																				
			Pilings/Piers	\$3,000																			
			Approaches	\$500																			
			Culverts																				
			Riprap																				
			Labor	\$10,000																			
			Equip Rental	\$3,500																			
			Other																				
			# of New Mi		\$33,000																		
			<b>50/50 or other split?</b> TRUE or FALSE=	<b>FALSE</b>																			
		Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	12.8' x 35'																		
		Water Body:	Little Elk Riv	Material:	Wooden																		
		Years in System	Design Weight Load:	12,000																			



## 2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max:	10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140		
60	Manitowoc	Manitowoc County will install a bridge on an old railroad grade (site 1) adjacent to Hwy 10. Currently the riders are forced to leave the safety of the trail and drive on the shoulder of Hwy 10. No permit required. Potential 50% RTA funding.		*Structure	\$43,750	\$54,250	\$0	5	10	0	17	10	5	5							5	57
		Engineer		50/50 Split																		
		Soil test		\$27,125																		
		Site prep																				
		Materials																				
		Abutments																				
		Pilings/Piers																				
		Approaches																				
		Culverts																				
		Riprap																				
<b>50/50 Split? Enter TRUE or FALSE</b>		<b>TRUE</b>	Labor	\$8,000																		
Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	62' x 12'	Equip Rental	\$1,500																		
Water Body: ditch	Material:	steel, wood	Other	\$1,000																		
Years in System	Design Weight Load:	14000	# of New Mi		\$27,125																	
61	Manitowoc	Manitowoc County will install a bridge on an old railroad grade (site 2) adjacent to Hwy 10. Currently the riders are forced to leave the safety of the trail and drive on the shoulder of Hwy 10. Permit it required. Potential 50% RTA funding.		*Structure	\$53,000	\$67,000	\$0	5	10	0	17	10	5	5						5	57	
		Engineer		50/50 Split																		
		Soil test		\$33,500																		
		Site prep																				
		Materials																				
		Abutments																				
		Pilings/Piers																				
		Approaches																				
		Culverts																				
		Riprap																				
<b>50/50 Split? Enter TRUE or FALSE</b>		<b>TRUE</b>	Labor	\$11,000																		
Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	80' x 12'	Equip Rental	\$2,000																		
Water Body: ditch	Material:	steel, wood	Other	\$1,000																		
Years in System	Design Weight Load:	14000	# of New Mi		\$33,500																	





## 2016-17 NEW MILES

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts													MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #		
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#							#	#
WC	Adams	Seven Mile Trail	7																7	\$1,750		\$1,750		65
WC	Adams	Five Mile Trail	5																5	\$1,250		\$1,250		66
WC	Adams	Eight Mile Trail	8																8	\$2,000		\$2,000		67
WC	Adams	Four Mile Trail	4																	\$1,000		\$1,000		68
WC	Buffalo	Gilmanton to Waumandee	17																	\$4,250		\$4,250		69
WC	Buffalo	Co. Rd. R to Co. Rd. Y	7																	\$1,750		\$1,750		70
WC	Buffalo	City of Mondovi	4.5																	\$1,125		\$1,125		71
WC	Chippewa	29 Pines - Rough Rider Trail	16.1																16.1	\$4,025		\$4,025		72
WC	Chippewa	Old Abe Trail South	5.9																	\$1,475		\$1,475		73
WC	Chippewa	Shortcut Trail	6.3																	\$1,575		\$1,575		74
WC	Chippewa	Bloomer City Loop	3.1																	\$775		\$775		75
WC	Chippewa	Cornell City Loop Trail	2																	\$500		\$500		76
WC	Chippewa	Low Down Trail	1.1																1.1	\$275		\$275		77
WC	Chippewa	Tilden Cut Across Trail	3.2																	\$800		\$800		78
WC	Chippewa	Big C Trail	2																	\$500		\$500		79
WC	Chippewa	Drywood Trail	1.7																	\$425		\$425		80
WC	Chippewa	Flambeau Ridge South	5																	\$1,250		\$1,250		81
WC	Chippewa	Stanley Bowl Trail	1																	\$250		\$250		82
WC	Chippewa	Buckhorn Trail	5.6																	\$1,400		\$1,400		83
WC	Chippewa	Trail K	7.3																	\$1,825		\$1,825		84
WC	Chippewa	Highrider Trail	5.7																	\$1,425		\$1,425		85
WC	Chippewa	Weiser Trail	4.5																	\$1,125		\$1,125		86
WC	Chippewa	Huron Trail	0.9																	\$225		\$225		87
WC	Chippewa	B & B Trail	1.1																	\$275		\$275		88
WC	Chippewa	Fitzwell's Trail	0.2																	\$50		\$50		89
WC	Chippewa	Old Abe Supper Club Trail	0.7																	\$175		\$175		90
WC	Chippewa	Pike Lake Trail	0.2																	\$50		\$50		91
WC	Chippewa	Sweeney's Trail	2.4																	\$600		\$600		92
WC	Chippewa	Arnold Trail	0.2																	\$50		\$50		93
WC	Chippewa	Charlies Trail	0.4																	\$100		\$100		94
WC	Chippewa	Baiers Trail	0.2																	\$50		\$50		95
WC	Chippewa	Kutzee's Trail	2																	\$500		\$500		96
WC	Chippewa	Quality Fuel Trail	1.2																	\$300		\$300		97
WC	Chippewa	Mornings Corner Trail	1																	\$250		\$250		98
WC	Chippewa	Bloomer Kwik Trip Trail	1																	\$250		\$250		99







2016-17 NEW MILES

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts													MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #			
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#							#	#	
NOR-F	Price	Trail 118 Prentice	5	0	0	0	8	8	8	8	8	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	\$1,250	\$0	\$1,250		205
NOR-F	Price	Catawba Trail Dev w Hobbles Creek E	15.8																0	15.8	\$3,950	\$98,190	\$102,140		206
NOR-F	Oneida	Trail 11	2.8	0	0	8	0	0	8	8		2	4	4	0	#	0	#	2.8	\$700	\$0	\$700		207	
NOR-F	Oneida	Iron Gate Road	6.7	5	0	0	8	8	0	8		2	4	6	0	0	0	#	6.7	\$1,675	\$0	\$1,675		208	
NER	Brown	Village of Denmark	1.3	0	0	0	8	8	0	6		0	3	#				#	1.3	\$325		\$325		209	
NER	Calumet	Badger Creek Trail	4.9	5	0	8	8	8	8	6	2	0	3	3				#	4.9	\$1,225		\$1,225		210	
NER	Calumet	Hickory Hills Rd to Paradise Rd	14															0	14	\$3,500		\$3,500		211	
NER	Calumet	Fondy County Line to Chilton	10															0	10	\$2,500		\$2,500		212	
NER	Calumet	County H to Brothertown	4															0	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		213	
NER	Calumet	County C to Lake Winn through Quinr	3															0	3	\$750		\$750		214	
NER	Calumet	County C to north side of Chilton	6															0	6	\$1,500		\$1,500		215	
NER	Calumet	County PP to Fox River Trail	9															0	9	\$2,250		\$2,250		216	
NER	Door	Rosiere Cross Trail	2.3	0			8		8			3	0	0			#	2.3	\$575		\$575		217		
NER	Door	Pines to Charudoirs Dock Cnty park	3.1	0			0	0	8			3	0	0			#	3.1	\$775		\$775		218		
NER	Door	Wilson Rd to Potawatomi Park	4	0			8	0	8			3	0	#			#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		219		
NER	Door	West Jacksonport Cross Trail	4	0			8	0	8			3	0	0			#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		220		
NER	Door	Peninsula Center Cross Trail	1.2	0			8	0	8			3	0	0			#	1.2	\$300		\$300		221		
NER	Door	Peninsula Park to Fish Creek	0.5	0			0	8	8			3	0	0			#	0.5	\$125		\$125		222		
NER	Door	Rites Cove Loop	8.8	0			8	0	8			3	#	0			#	8.8	\$2,200		\$2,200		223		
NER	Fond du Lac	St Peter to Pebbles	3	0	0	0	8	8	8	6	4	2	5	#			#	3	\$750		\$750		224		
NER	Fond du Lac	Ripon to Green Lake	8	0	0	8	8	8	0	6	4	0	5	0			#	8	\$2,000		\$2,000		225		
NER	Fond du Lac	New Fane to St Michael's	1.5														0	1.5	\$375		\$375		226		
NER	Fond du Lac	Rosendale Center	5.6														0	5.6	\$1,400		\$1,400		227		
NER	Green Lake	several segments	7	5	0	0	8	0	8	6		4	#				#	7	\$1,750		\$1,750		228		
NER	Kewaunee	Slovan	4	5	0	0	8	8	0	6		0	3	5			#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		229		
NER	Kewaunee	Luxemburg	8	5	0	8	8	8	0	6		2	3	#			#	8	\$2,000		\$2,000		230		
NER	Manitowoc	Louis Corners segment	7.3	5	0	0	8	8	8	6		0	5	#			#	7.3	\$1,825		\$1,825		231		
NER	Manitowoc	Valders Viking segment	4.7	5	0	0	8	8	8	6		0	5				#	4.7	\$1,175		\$1,175		232		
NER	Manitowoc	Newton segment	4	5	0	0	8	8	8	6		0	5	0			#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		233		
NER	Marinette	East Trail	29	5	0	0	8	8	8	7	6	2	4	2		#	#	29	\$7,250		\$7,250		234		
NER	Marinette	Hazelnut Trail	5	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	1	0		#	5	\$1,250		\$1,250		235		
NER	Marinette	Trail #6-Int 204 West to Int 226	6.5	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	1	0		#	6.5	\$1,625		\$1,625		236		
NER	Marinette	Int 206 East to Menominee River	2.5	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0		#	2.5	\$625		\$625		237		
NER	Marinette	Int 220 to Int 217	1.6	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	1	0		#	1.6	\$400		\$400		238		
NER	Marinette	Bear Point to Boat Landing	2.1	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0		#	2.1	\$525		\$525		239		

## 2016-17 NEW MILES

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts													MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#						
NER	Marinette	Int 224 West to Lake Noquebay	3.1	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0	#	3.1	\$775		\$775		240
NER	Marinette	Int 310 to Marinette, Int 315 to Int 318	7	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	0	0	#	7	\$1,750		\$1,750		241
NER	Marinette	Int 213 West to Crivitz	0.8	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	0	4	1	0	#	0.8	\$200		\$200		242
NER	Marinette	Int 308 South to Bay of Green Bay	19.7	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0	#	19.7	\$4,925		\$4,925		243
NER	Marinette	Int 314 to City of Peshtigo	5.7	5	0	0	8	0	8	7	6	0	4	1	0	#	5.7	\$1,425		\$1,425		244
NER	Marinette	Middle Inlet to Camp 5	3												0		3	\$750		\$750		245
NER	Marinette	51 Rd to Cty W, White Potato lake, ar	5.8	5	0	8	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	2		#	5.8	\$1,450		\$1,450		246
NER	Marinette	FR1510 from FR600 to Benson Lak R	4	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	4	0	#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		247
NER	Marinette	Intersection 107 to 112	7.5												0		7.5	\$1,875		\$1,875		248
NER	Marquette	Seg 1-Corr 30 to Oxford	12.7												0		12.7	\$3,175		\$3,175		249
NER	Marquette	Seg 2-Corr 30/East cnty line-Waushar	17.5												0		17.5	\$4,375		\$4,375		250
NER	Marquette	Seg 3-Pacwaukee Spur	2.9												0		2.9	\$725		\$725		251
NER	Marquette	Seg 4-Oxford to Brooks Cutoff	3.8												0		3.8	\$950		\$950		252
NER	Marquette	Seg 5-Endeavor Loop	3.9												0		3.9	\$975		\$975		253
NER	Oconto	segment 1	5.4	2			8	8	8			5	#		#	5.4	\$1,350		\$1,350		254	
NER	Oconto	segment 2	4.4	5			8	0	8			5	#		#	4.4	\$1,100		\$1,100		255	
NER	Oconto	segment 3	1.7	0			8	8	8			5	0		#	1.7	\$425		\$425		256	
NER	Oconto	segment 4	4.9	5			8	0	8			5	0	#	#	4.9	\$1,225		\$1,225		257	
NER	Oconto	segment 5	2.8	2			0	0	8			5	0		#	2.8	\$700		\$700		258	
NER	Oconto	segment 6	0.9	5			8	0	8			5	0		#	0.9	\$225		\$225		259	
NER	Oconto	segment 7	1.4	5			8	0	8			5	0		#	1.4	\$350		\$350		260	
NER	Oconto	segment 8	2.3	5			0	0	8			5	0		#	2.3	\$575		\$575		261	
NER	Oconto	segment 9	2.8	0			5	6	8			5	0		#	2.8	\$700		\$700		262	
NER	Oconto	segment 10	1.3	5			8	0	8			5	0		#	1.3	\$325		\$325		263	
NER	Oconto	segment 11	2	2			0	8	8			5	0		#	2	\$500		\$500		264	
NER	Oconto	segment 12	1.2	0			0	8	8			5	0		#	1.2	\$300		\$300		265	
NER	Oconto	segment 13	0.8	0			8	0	8			5	0		#	0.8	\$200		\$200		266	
NER	Oconto	segment 14	0.8	0			0	0	8			5	0		#	0.8	\$200		\$200		267	
NER	Oconto	segment 15	0.7	0			8	0	8			5	0		#	0.7	\$175		\$175		268	
NER	Oconto	segment 16	1	5			0	0	8			5	0		#	1	\$250		\$250		269	
NER	Oconto	segment 17	1.1	0			8	0	8			5	0		#	1.1	\$275		\$275		270	
NER	Oconto	segment 18	0.4	0			0	0	8			5	0		#	0.4	\$100		\$100		271	
NER	Oconto	segment 19	0.4	5			8	0	8			5	0		#	0.4	\$100		\$100		272	
NER	Oconto	segment 20	0.3	0			8	0	8			5	0		#	0.3	\$75		\$75		273	
NER	Oconto	segment 21	0.3	0			8	0	8			5	0		#	0.3	\$75		\$75		274	

## 2016-17 NEW MILES

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES														MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #			
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#							#	#	#
NER	Oconto	segment 22	0.2	0				8	0	8				5	0				#	0.2	\$50		\$50		275
NER	Outagamie	Hortonville to Greenville	3	5				8	8	6				4	#				#	3	\$750		\$750		276
NER	Outagamie	Wolf River Access	3	5				0	0	6				4	0	#			#	3	\$750		\$750		277
NER	Outagamie	Black Creek bypass to N Outagamie	3	5				8	0	6				4					#	3	\$750		\$750		278
NER	Outagamie	Seymour bypass to North Out Co	3	5				8	0	6				4					#	3	\$750		\$750		279
NER	Outagamie	Crystal Springs Access	0.6	0				0	0	6				4					#	0.6	\$150		\$150		280
NER	Outagamie	Gas/Rest/Casino Access	0.7	0				0	0	6				4					#	0.7	\$175		\$175		281
NER	Outagamie	Brenda's Bar to funded trail	0.8	0				0	0	6				4					#	0.8	\$200		\$200		282
NER	Outagamie	Corridor 26 to Little Chute	1.9	5										4					9	1.9	\$475		\$475		283
NER	Outagamie	Corridor 5 to Shawano Cty	1.5	5		8		8						4					#	1.5	\$375		\$375		284
NER	Shawano	Tigerton/Wiowash to Caroline	11.68	5	0	0	8	8	8	6	2	2	4	#					#	11.68	\$2,920		\$2,920		285
NER	Shawano	Mosling-H trail to Oconto Co	1.5	5	0	8	0	8	8	7	2	0	4						#	1.5	\$375		\$375		286
NER	Shawano	Mattoon to Langlade Co	3.23	5	0	8	0	8	0	7	2	0	3						#	3.23	\$808		\$808		287
NER	Shawano	Mountain Bay to Gresham	0.8	5	0	0	0	8	0	7	2	2	4						#	0.8	\$200		\$200		288
NER	Shawano	Red River Loop	8.85	5	0	0	0	8	0	7	2	0	4						#	8.85	\$2,213		\$2,213		289
NER	Waupaca	Shawano Co line to Embarrass	3.5	0	0	8	0	8	8	6	0	0	2	0					#	3.5	\$875		\$875		290
NER	Waupaca	#38 to New London to Out co line	5.5	0	0	8	0	0	8	6	0	0	2	#					#	5.5	\$1,375	\$152,000	\$153,375		291
NER	Waupaca	#61Neumans woods-Hwy 10 truck stop	6	0	0	0	8	8	8	6	0	0	3						#	6	\$1,500		\$1,500		292
NER	Waupaca	Steve's woods to Waushara co line	5	0	0	8	0	8	0	6	0	0	2	0					#	5	\$1,250		\$1,250		293
NER	Waupaca	#24a to Portage County line	9.5	0	0	8	0	8	0	6	0	0	2						#	9.5	\$2,375		\$2,375		294
NER	Waupaca	#17 to #18 #29a to #37a #49 to #48	12.5	0	0	0	8	8	8	6	0	0	3						#	12.5	\$3,125		\$3,125		295
NER	Waupaca	#71 to Winnebago co line	3	0	0	8	0	8	0	6	0	0	2						#	3	\$750		\$750		296
NER	Waupaca	Corridor 9 to Clintonville Parking	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	0	0	3						#	1	\$250		\$250		297
NER	Waupaca	Int 39a to Sheridan to Int 47a	4.5	0	0	0	8	8	8	6	0	0	2						#	4.5	\$1,125		\$1,125		298
NER	Waupaca	Wiowash state trail-Marion to Shaw	2.5	5	6	0	0	8	0	6	0	0	2						#	2.5	\$625		\$625		299
NER	Waushara	Archer ave to Buttercup Ave	8.9	0	0	0	0	8	8	6		0	4	3				#	#	8.9	\$2,225		\$2,225		300
NER	Waushara	Borth to Poy Sippi	8.6	0	0	8	8	8	8	6		0	3	7					#	8.6	\$2,150		\$2,150		301
NER	Winnebago	Bison Rd to Marsh Rd	6.25	5				0	8	6			5	#					#	6.25	\$1,563		\$1,563		302
NO	Burnett	Trail 80	7.7	0	0	8	0	8	0	7	6	0	3	5	0	#	0	#	#	7.7	\$1,925	\$0	\$1,925		303
NO	Douglas	Trail 17	11.7	5	0	8	0	8	8	8	6	2	3	5	0	#	0	#	#	11.7	\$2,925	\$0	\$2,925		304
NO	Douglas	Trail 217	13.6	5	0	8	0	8	8	8	6	2	3	5	0	#	0	#	#	13.6	\$3,400	\$0	\$3,400		305
NO	Douglas	Trail 6	4.8	0	0	0	0	8	8	9	6	0	4	0	0	#	0	#	#	4.8	\$1,200	\$0	\$1,200		306
NO	Rusk	Trail 113	2.75	5	0	0	8	8	8	7	4	0	4	#	0	#	0	#	#	2.75	\$688	\$1,500	\$2,188		307
NO	Burnett	Trail 18	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	#	0.6	\$150	\$0	\$150		308
NO	Burnett	Trail 22	5.3	0	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	#	5.3	\$1,325	\$0	\$1,325		309

